Annex 1

WORKPLAN OF THE JOINT IMPLEMENTATION ACCREDITATION PANEL
(2012)

(Version 01.0)

1. Background

1. The Accreditation Panel (hereinafter referred to as the JI-AP) is established under the Joint Implementation Supervisory Committee (hereinafter referred to as the JISC) to assist it in the performance of its functions concerning accreditation issues. The mandate of the panel is determined by its terms of reference, the rules of procedure of the JISC, and additional guidance provided by the JISC.

2. This workplan of the panel for 2012 strengthens the capacity of the JI-AP to support the JISC and has been developed within the context of the business plan for the JISC for 2012-2013.\(^1\) It provides details of the activities, expected outputs and timelines associated with each related JISC workplan objective.

\(^1\) Annex 2 to the JISC 28 annotated agenda.
## II. Workplan

### A. Core support activities

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>JISC business plan objective</th>
<th>Specific issue</th>
<th>Activities</th>
<th>Expected deliverable</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Appendix 1/ Core support to JI: Assessment of compliance with JI requirements relating to project and entity submissions</td>
<td>New application for accreditation</td>
<td>Consideration of the new applications for accreditation</td>
<td>Recommendations for accreditation of new independent entities</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
| Appendix 1/ Core support to JI: Assessment of compliance with JI requirements relating to project and entity submissions | Continuous monitoring of compliance of the accredited independent entities (AIEs) with the JI accreditation standard | • Consideration of the assessment plans of individual AIEs  
• Consideration of the applications for reaccreditation  
• Consideration of the reports on the regular surveillance assessments  
• Consideration of the reports on the performance assessments of AIEs  
• Consideration of the reports on spot-checks of AIEs | • Recommendations for re-accreditation of AIEs  
• Recommendations for suspension and withdrawal of accreditation of AIEs  
• Notifications on completed assessment activities |
| Appendix 1/ Core support to JI: Assessment of compliance with JI requirements relating to project and entity submissions | Complaints and disputes from and against AIEs                                  | Consideration of any dispute submitted against AIEs and by AIEs against the JI assessment teams (JI-AT) or the secretariat, in accordance with the JI accreditation procedure | Recommendations for consideration by the JISC |
| Appendix 1/ Core support to JI: Assessment of compliance with JI requirements relating to project and entity submissions | Enhanced capacity and consistency of the JI assessment team (JI-AT) experts     | • Evaluation of qualifications, performance monitoring of JI-AT experts  
• Direct interaction with JI-AT leaders | |
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>JISC business plan objective</th>
<th>Specific issue</th>
<th>Activities</th>
<th>Expected deliverable</th>
<th>Status</th>
<th>Planned finish (JISC meeting to consider the output)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| A. Greater efficiency in the continued operation of JI | Analysis and proposals for further strengthening the accreditation system and possible development of guidance | • Consulted on any draft revision of the JI accreditation procedure  
• Consulted on any draft revision of the JI accreditation standard | • Feedback on any draft revision of the JI accreditation procedure  
• Feedback on clarifications to the JI accreditation standard  
• Feedback on any draft revision of the JI accreditation standard | JISC 30  
Continuous  
JISC 30 |  

A. Greater efficiency in the continued operation of JI | Improvement of the JI accreditation process | • Consideration of the guidelines to achieve a consistent decision-making process by the JI-AP | • Guidelines for deciding on JI Accreditation Panel Recommendations | Quarter 3 |
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>JISC business plan objective</th>
<th>Specific issue</th>
<th>Activities</th>
<th>Expected deliverable</th>
<th>Status</th>
<th>Planned finish (JISC meeting to consider the output)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| A. Greater efficiency in the continued operation of JI | Interaction between JI AP and CDM AP for streamlining the accreditation process | Activities will be undertaken in collaboration with the CDM-AP  
- Alignment of the key JI accreditation documents, including JI accreditation procedure and JI accreditation standard with the respective CDM accreditation documents  
- Alignment of the JI accreditation practices with the respective CDM accreditation practices  
- Establishment of a common CDM/JI accreditation roster of experts  
- Consulted on a draft proposal for establishment of a unified accreditation process for JI and CDM | • Feedback on draft revision of the JI accreditation procedure  
• Feedback on draft revision of the JI accreditation standard  
(Note: These deliverables are the same under the item “Improvement of the JI accreditation process” above) | Continuous |
### Revision history of the document

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Document version</th>
<th>Adoption</th>
<th>Revision</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Version 01.0</td>
<td>JISC 28 Annex 1</td>
<td>Initial adoption.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>23 March 2012</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Decision Class:** Operational  
**Document Type:** Information Note  
**Business Function:** Governance