

page 1

# JOINT IMPLEMENTATION PROJECT DESIGN DOCUMENT FORM Version 01 - in effect as of: 15 June 2006

# CONTENTS

- A. General description of the <u>project</u>
- B. <u>Baseline</u>
- C. Duration of the project / crediting period
- D. <u>Monitoring plan</u>
- E. Estimation of greenhouse gas emission reductions
- F. Environmental impacts
- G. <u>Stakeholders</u>' comments

# Annexes

- Annex 1: Contact information on project participants
- Annex 2: <u>Baseline</u> information
- Annex 3: Monitoring plan

page 2

UNFOO

#### SECTION A. General description of the project

#### A.1. Title of the <u>project</u>:

Reconstruction of the OJSC "Nizhniy Tagil Iron and Steel Works" blast furnaces #5 and #6, Russian Federation

Sectoral Scope: (9) Metal production

PDD version: 1.01

Date: 02.09.2009

#### A.2. Description of the <u>project</u>:

OJSC "Nizhniy Tagil Iron and Steel Works" (OJSC "NTMK"), which is a part of "Evraz Group S.A.", is the company with the complete metallurgical cycle. The "NTMK" production of metal products in 2008 amounted to over 4.6 mln tons.

Molten iron, which is produced in the blast furnace shop (BFS) from sinter and pellets, is used as the raw material for steel making at the "NTMK" basic oxygen furnace (BOFS) and open-hearth furnace (OHFS) shops. By the moment of the project realization commencement, five blast furnaces (BF) were operated at the "NTMK" BFS, and furnace #6 was suspended.

The project specifies reconstruction of "NTMK" BF #5 and #6 with the introduction of resource saving technologies of molten iron production. Project realization allowed shutting down BF # 2, 3 and 4 and ensuring the production of molten iron, needed for "NTMK" steelmaking operations, by a more efficient technique with lower fuel consumption.

Reconstruction of BF #6 was commenced in 2002 and completed in 2004. After BF #6 was commissioned, furnace #5 was stopped for reconstruction and commissioned again in 2006.

Each one of the reconstructed BF has the working volume of 2200m<sup>3</sup> and project capacity of 1.8 mln tons of molten iron per annum. The BF complex includes the furnace itself, system of iron-ore raw material charging, stove block, casting yard and the system of blast furnace gas extraction and cleaning.

Table A.1 shows main resource saving technical solutions, implemented in the course of project realization at "NTMK" BF #5 and #6.

Table A.1

#	Unit or section of the blast furnace complex	Measures	Results
1	Blast furnace	Change of furnace line (sectional shape). Introduction of furnace expert control system.	Reduction of coke consumption for molten iron production in BF
2	System of iron-ore raw material charging	Installation of Central Bell Less Top with rotary hopper manufactured by "Paul Wurth"	Reduction of coke consumption for molten iron production in BF
3	Stove block	Installation of the Kalugin stoves	Reduction of coke consumption for molten iron production in BF
4	System of blast furnace gas extraction and cleaning	Installation of top-pressure recovery turbine (TPRT) at BF #6	Use of the BF exit gases' excessive pressure for secondary electricity generation

Key resource saving measures implemented during "NTMK" BF #5 and #6 reconstruction



page 3

UNFOO

The following kinds of carbon-bearing materials, fuel and energy carriers are used at "NTMK" for molten iron production:

- Coking coal;
- Natural gas;
- Electric energy;
- Limestone.

Project realization allowed the reduction of  $CO_2$  emissions into the atmosphere primarily due to the decrease of coke consumption, which is produced in the course of "NTMK" coke-chemical operations from the coking coal and used as fuel in the blast furnaces. The coke consumption at the reconstructed BF #5 and #6 was reduced to 450kg/t of molten iron as compared to the baseline 495kg/t on the average for BF ##1-5.

Realization of this project ensured the reduction of negative environmental impact caused by "NTMK" operations in the city of Nizhniy Tagil due to the introduction of the up-to-date system of BF aspiration system. One should note, that the volume of the BFS contaminants' emissions before the project realization was meeting the requirements of the Russian environmental legislation.

### A.3. Project participants:

<u>Party involved</u>	Legal entity <u>project participant</u> (if applicable)	Please indicate if the <u>Party involved</u> wishes to be considered as <u>project participant</u> (Yes/No)
Party A:	Legal entity A1:	
The Russian Federation	OJSC "Nizhniy Tagil Iron and Steel Works" –	No
(Host Party)	project owner and developer	
Party B:		
The United Kingdom of Great	Camco Carbon Russia Limited	No
Britain and Northern Ireland		

**OJSC "Nizhniy Tagil Iron and Steel Works"** (hereinafter – "NTMK") is the metallurgical complex with the complete cycle of steel production located in the city of Nizhniy Tagil (Sverdlovsk region), the Russian Federation.

In 2008 "NTMK" produced 5.2 mln tons of steel and over 4.6 mln tons of rolled metal products.

"NTMK" primary production chain includes coke-chemical, blast furnace and steel-smelting operations and a series of rolling mills. The general diagram of the production flows of raw materials, fuel and energy carriers is presented in Figure A.1.

The coke-chemical operations (CCO) include two coke shops with six coke furnace batteries for coke production from coking coal. In the coke furnace batteries, the coke gas is extracted from coal, which is later transported to the CCO auxiliary shops for extraction of coke processing by-products. After this, the stripped coke gas is used within the company as fuel.



UNFCCC

#### Joint Implementation Supervisory Committee

page 4





Six BF are installed at the "NTMK" BFS with the following useful volumes: BF  $\#1 - 1242m^3$ ; BF  $\#2 - 1242m^3$ ;

This template shall not be altered. It shall be completed without modifying/adding headings or logo, format or font.



page 5

UNFOO

BF #3 - 1513m<sup>3</sup>; BF #4 - 1513m<sup>3</sup>; BF #5 - 2200m<sup>3</sup> (prior to reconstruction – 1700m<sup>3</sup>); BF #6 - 2200m<sup>3</sup> (prior to reconstruction – 2700m<sup>3</sup>).

In 1995, due to significant drop in demand for the integrated plant products, BF #6 was suspended and commissioned only as a result of this project realization.

During the "NTMK" blast furnace operations (BFO) the vanadium-containing iron-ore raw material is processed and two kinds of molten iron are produced: standard steelmaking molten iron and the natural alloy vanadium molten iron.

Until recently, "NTMK" steelmaking operations included basic oxygen furnace shop (BOFS) and open-hearth furnace shop (OHFS). Starting 1993, the OHF operations at "NTMK" are being gradually replaced with the production of steel in the oxygen converters, as this is less expensive and environmentally friendlier process. Decision on the permanent OHFS liquidation was made in April of 2009.

The converter steel is cast continuously at four continuous casting machines (CCM) of combined type with the total capacity of 4.1 mln tons of cast billet per annum.

The rolling operations include the H-beam shop, rail and structural steel mill, blooming mill, heavy section mill, wheel and tyre mill and ball-rolling mill, the equipment of which provides the manufacture of wide range of rolled metal products. The "NTMK" primary products are railway wheels and rails, products for construction purposes and pipe billet as well as the semi-finished steel products.

The roll mills and the refractory products operations are certified in compliance with DIN EN ISO 9001:2000. The "NTMK" products have multiple Russian and foreign certificates, including the certificate "On the "NTMK" recognition as the manufacturer of metal products (continuously cast slabs) in compliance with the Lloyd Register regulations".

"Evraz Group S. A." (<u>www.evraz.com</u>) is one of the world's largest vertically-integrated metallurgical and mining businesses.

In 2007 the enterprises of "Evraz Group" produces 16.4 mln tons of steel, 12.6 mln tons of molten iron and 15.2 mln tons of rolled metal products.

In addition to "NTMK", "Evraz Group" includes two major Russian steelmaking companies – "West Siberian" (Zapsib) and "Novokuznetsk" (NKMK) metallurgical works as well as the Dnepropetrovsk Iron and Steel Works in Ukraine and plants in Italy and Czech Republic. The company subsidiary "Evraz Inc. NA" unites the metallurgical assets of "Evraz Group" in the Northern America: "Evraz Oregon Steel", "Evraz Claymont Steel" and Ipsco's Canadian plate and pipe businesses. In the South Africa the presence of "Evraz Group" is constituted by "Highveld Steel and Vanadium Corporation", the integrated company involved in the steel and vanadium production. Besides, three cocking plants – "Bagleykoks", "Dneprokoks" and "Dneprodzerzhinsk Coke Chemical Plant" were acquired by "Evraz Group" in Ukraine.

The mining division of "Evraz Group" includes the iron ore mining complexes Evrazruda, Kachkanarsky (KGOK) and Vysokogorsky (VGOK) in Russia and the Sukhaya Balka iron ore mining and processing complex in Ukraine. "Evraz Group" also owns "Yuzhkuzbassugol" Company and 40% of stock of the leading manufacturer of coking coal in Russia – OJSC "Raspadskaya". The presence of own stock of iron ore and coal enables "Evraz Group" to act as the integrated steel producer: as of the beginning of 2009 the mining operations cover the company requirements in terms of iron ore (93%) and coking coal (100%).

"Evraz Group" is also an important player in the world vanadium market. Its vanadium business comprises "Strategic Minerals Corporation" in the United States, "Nikom" in the Czech Republic, and "Highveld Steel and Vanadium Corporation" in South Africa. "Evraz" also owns and operates the Nakhodka commercial sea port in the Far East of the Russian Federation.



page 6

UNFCCC

"Evraz Group S.A." is a public company registered in Luxembourg. Since June 2005, 30.5% of its issued share capital in the form of GDRs is traded on the London Stock Exchange under the stock symbol EVR.

In the territory of the Russian Federation "Evraz Holding", having the authorities of the sole executive body, exercises the operational management of the "Evraz Group" main assets management.

**Camco Carbon Russia Limited is** a 100% subsidiary of Camco International Ltd. Camco International Limited a Jersey based public company listed on AIM in London. Camco International is the world leading carbon asset developer under both Joint Implementation and the Clean Development Mechanism of the Kyoto Protocol. Camco's project portfolio consists of more than 100 projects, generating altogether over 149 MT  $CO_2$  eq. of GHG reductions. Camco operates in Eastern Europe, Africa, China, and Southeast Asia. The company has been actively operating in Russia since 2005.

### A.4. Technical description of the project:

### A.4.1. Location of the project:

OJSC "NTMK" is located in the Ural federal district in the territory of Sverdlovsk region. The company location is presented in Figure A.4.



Figure A.4 "NTMK" location

### A.4.1.1. Host Party(ies):

The Russian Federation

A.4.1.2. Region/State/Province etc.:

page 7

UNFCCC



Figure A.5 Sverdlovsk region on the map

**Sverdlovsk Region** is a constituent of the Russian Federation, a part of the Ural federal district. Its administrative center is the city of Yekaterinburg.

The population of Sverdlovsk Region, as of January 1<sup>st</sup> of 2008, amounted to 4 395 600 people (5<sup>th</sup> place in Russia). The population density is 22.6 people per square km (estimate as of January 1<sup>st</sup>, 2007). The share of the urban population is over 83% (as of January 1<sup>st</sup>, 2006).

Ferrous and nonferrous metallurgy (31% and 19% of the domestic industrial output respectively), uranium enrichment, iron ore processing and machine building industry dominate in the industrial complex structure.

#### A.4.1.3. City/Town/Community etc.:

Nizhniy Tagil is a city in Russia and the administrative center of the Prigorodniy district of Sverdlovsk region, located 150km to the north-west of the city of Yekaterinburg.

The city population is 383.1 thousand people (as of 2005) and the city ranks second in Sverdlovsk region in terms of population headcount.

Time zone: GMT +5:00.

The city is divided into three urban districts – Dzerjinskiy, Leninskiy and Tagilstroevskiy. The main water artery is the Tagil River.

Minerals include ferriferous oxide, copper magnetites, manganese ore, gold, marble and marmorised limestone, diorites, sands and shales.

A.4.1.4. Detail of physical location, including information allowing the unique identification of the <u>project</u> (maximum one page):

"NTMK" is located in the city of Nizhniy Tagil in the Sverdlovsk region of the Russian Federation. Its coordinates are  $57^{\circ}$  55' 04" N,  $60^{\circ}$  00' 32" E.



UNFCCC

Joint Implementation Supervisory Committee

page 8



Figure A.6 The city of Nizhniy Tagil and "NTMK"

# A.4.2. Technology (ies) to be employed, or measures, operations or actions to be implemented by the project:

Blast furnace operations are one of the technological processes of the metallurgical company and are meant for the production of molten iron from iron ore raw material. Molten iron is the alloy iron with carbon with the carbon content of 4.5 - 5.0%, used as raw material in the steelmaking operations.

The "NTMK" BF #5 and #6 reconstruction project includes the replacement of key section of the blast furnace complex with more efficient ones from the resource consumption standpoint. The general operational workflow of the blast furnace and auxiliary shops and subdivisions – suppliers of the BF operations, does not change thereat.

The coke, made from the coal charging material during coke-chemical operations, is used as the fuel for the BF as well as the natural gas. Besides, the blast furnaces should be supplied with the energy carriers required for ensuring the normal molten iron production workflow: oxygen, hot blast furnace blow, water, electric power and steam (Fig. A.2).

UNFCCC



#### Joint Implementation Supervisory Committee

page 9



Figure A.2 General diagram of molten iron production at "NTMK"

Along with the iron ore raw material, coke and limestone are fed into the furnace. The coke is used in the BF as fuel and as reducing agent of ferrous oxides contained in the iron ore raw material. In order to ensure the efficient BF operation, prior to being fed into the furnace, the coke passes through screens, where the small-sized coke (undersized coke) is screened. The small-sized coke is burned at the iron and steel company as fuel.

The limestone is used as flux, reducing the temperature of slag smelting and facilitating the process of slag removal from the furnace.

To ensure the carbon coke combustion, the blast (compressed air heated in the stoves to the temperature of above  $1100^{\circ}$ C) is continuously blown into the lower part of the furnace through the air tuyeres. The BF blast is enriched with oxygen for the intensification of combustion processes. Besides, for reducing coke consumption, natural gas is fed into the furnace through the tuyeres.

In order to prevent the BF brickwork erosion, the coolers are installed into the brickwork with the turnover cooling water circulating in them. The furnace is supplied with power for equipment operation and with steam for technological purposes.

BF gas, exiting the furnace, is the product of incomplete carbon coke combustion, and it is used in the stove and heat exchangers designed for heating the blast and at the enterprise thermal power plant (TPP) for steam generation. A part of the blast furnace gas is burned at the special blast furnace gas bleeder.

Coke is made during the coke-chemical operations by way of baking the mixture of coking coals without air access. During this process, the coking gas is extracted from the coal, and the by-products of coking (coal tar and benzol) are made from this gas. The purified coke gas is used for heating the coke furnace batteries and at the TTP as fuel.

UNFOO

Steam blower, supplying the blast furnace with air blast, uses the energy of steam, generated at the TPP. The mixture of blast furnace, coking and natural gases in burned in the TPP boilers as fuel.

Electric power is used at the air separation unit, generating the oxygen for the blast furnace, for the operations of the pumps, pumping the turnover water for the furnace cooling, at the steam blower and in the course of coke production.

Since the blast furnace is operated under pressure, installation of the top pressure recovery turbine (TPRT) at the furnace is possible, which will use the excessive pressure of the blast furnace gas for secondary power generation.

A.4.3. Brief explanation of how the anthropogenic emissions of greenhouse gases by sources are to be reduced by the proposed JI <u>project</u>, including why the emission reductions would not occur in the absence of the proposed <u>project</u>, taking into account national and/or sectoral policies and circumstances:

The use of coking coal for coke and natural gas production at the BFS causes 93% of the total CO<sub>2</sub> emissions during molten iron production (Fig. A.3).



Figure A.3 The structure of  $CO_2$  emissions during "NTMK" molten iron production broken down by the consumed materials, fuel and energy carriers.

Reduction of  $CO_2$  emissions as a result of "NTMK" BF #5 and #6 reconstruction project realization is conditioned by the introduction of the following resource saving molten iron production technologies at the furnace:

— Change of furnace line (shape), which ensures the more uniform processing of the iron ore raw material with BF gases and, accordingly, higher degree of value-added use of carbon coke chemical energy;

— Introduction of the unique expert system of furnace operations control. This system tracks the alteration of the raw material parameters and furnace operations in the real time mode and adjusts them. This allows ensuring the optimal furnace run from the resource saving standpoint and excluding the influence of the "human factor" on furnace operations;

— Installation of the modern shaftless Kalugin stoves, providing the temperature increase of the blast blown into the furnace, thus reducing the coke consumption;

— Installation of Central Bell Less Top with rotary hopper, manufactured by "Paul Wurth" which allows higher control opportunity over the charging of materials into the furnace for ensuring maximum value-added use of gas thermal and chemical energy in the BF;

— Installation of top-pressure recovery turbine (TPRT) at the blast furnace #6, which uses the excess furnace gas pressure for generating secondary energy.

UNFCCO

The acquired emission reduction cannot be achieved by any other way but through the realization of this Joint Implementation Project. The baseline assumes the preservation of the situation before the project realization with continuation of BF ##1-5 operations and suspension of BF #6.

A series of factors speak in favor of this development of situation along the baseline:

- This scenario represents the usual (business-as-usual) "NTMK" operations under the RF legislation;

- Continuation of BF ##1-5 operations does not require large investments for BF reconstruction;

- This scenario allows ensuring the BF molten iron output with the same quality as in the project scenario.

Realization of the "NTMK BF #5 and #6 reconstruction" project will result in the CO<sub>2</sub> emission reduction in the amount of 1 753 151 tons of CO<sub>2</sub> equivalent during the period of 2008-1012.

	Vears
Length of the crediting period:	5
Year	Estimate of annual emission reductions in tonnes of CO <sub>2</sub> equivalent
2008	342 904
2009	352 530
2010	352 550
2011	352 573
2012	352 595
Total estimated emission reductions over the <u>crediting period</u> (tonnes of CO <sub>2</sub> equivalent)	1 753 151
Annual average of estimated emission reductions over the <u>crediting period</u> (tonnes of $CO_2$ equivalent)	350 630

#### A.4.3.1. Estimated amount of emission reductions over the crediting period:

#### A.5. Project approval by the Parties involved:

According to Russian legislation, the letter of approval will be issued by the Russian Government on the basis of an expert statement issued by the AIE after the project has been determined against the JI criteria and requirements have been set forth on both international and domestic levels.

page 12

UNFCCO

#### SECTION B. <u>Baseline</u>

#### **B.1.** Description and justification of the <u>baseline</u> chosen:

While establishing the baseline and calculating the greenhouse gases emission reductions, the developer offers his own approach without approving it with any of the CDM methodologies, but definitely complying with the Decision 9/CMP.1, Annex C requirements. Everything related to the greenhouse gases emission assessment is sufficiently described and justified.

#### **Baseline scenario**

According to the baseline scenario BF #6 will not be reconstructed and commissioned. Operations of BF #5 will continue without its reconstruction and with preservation of the furnace useful volume of  $1700m^3$ . The other furnaces (##1-4) will also continue their operations according to the baseline scenario.

In order to calculate the baseline BF ##1-5 performance figures, the data on the BF operations in 2001-2003 was used, i.e. the data for the last three years of operations before BF #6 was commissioned. Further on, the project activity significantly influenced the BFS operations. Throughout 2004-2009 BF ##2, 3, 1, 4 were consecutively shut down and BF #5 was reconstructed.

Average value of molten iron production in 2001-2003 at BF ##1-5 was 4.71 mln tons per annum (table B.1).

RES molton iron production in 2001 2002

Table B.1

	2001	2002	2003	Average in 2001-2003	Share in total production volume, %
Blast furnace #1	856 653	856 841	848 637	854 044	18.1%
Blast furnace #2	881 896	864 016	910 345	885 419	18.8%
Blast furnace #3	919 695	912 047	863 907	898 550	19.1%
Blast furnace #4	997 304	1 029 540	1 132 898	1 053 247	22.4%
Blast furnace #5	973 037	1 038 278	1 048 366	1 019 894	21.6%
Total	4 628 585	4 700 722	4 804 153	4 711 153	100.0%

According to "NTMK" engineering department data, the 1<sup>st</sup> category capital repairs will be required for the continuation of baseline BFS operations throughout 2008-2012 for BF #1 and #4. During the 1<sup>st</sup> category capital repairs, the liquid smelting products are completely removed from BF, the entire fire proof lining is replaced and all equipment and constructions are inspected and repaired. The 1<sup>st</sup> category repairs should be carried out once in 14-16 years. However, the repairs' timing can be significantly adjusted thereat depending on the actual condition of BF.

Duration of the 1<sup>st</sup> category capital repairs, according to the "Provisions for the technical maintenance and repair of mechanical equipment of the USSR ferrous metallurgy system enterprises (TMR)" of 1983, amounts to 25-35 days. Thus, the BFS production decline during BF #1 and #4 repairs will be insignificant and will amount to less that 0.1 million tons of molten iron on an annualized basis.

In order to calculate the project emission reduction units, the total BFS baseline molten iron production is accepted as equal to the project production. Baseline distribution of the molten iron production volume among the furnaces is made proportionally to the share of each furnace in the total production volume according to the average data for 2001-2003 (table B.1).

Baseline molten iron production at each of the furnaces during 2008-2012, calculated based upon the data on the total project production volume, is presented in table B.2.

the calculation of natural gas and power consumption at TPP-steam blower, in shop and water supply shop according to baseline scenario are accepted according to the "NTMK" data

Baseline molten iron production volume within the project boundary

- ·						1 1
Limestone consumption	kg/t	54	58	54	53	49
Steam consumption	Gcal/t	0.065	0.055	0.060	0.054	0.055
Blast air consumption	m³/t	1 251	1 348	1 267	1 341	1 327
Carbon content in the concerned of the calculation of national	oking coal a	and limestor	ne, CCO pe	erformance t TPP-stear	indicators a	and the data

Average annual values of the actual performance figures in 2001-2003 were taken as the basis for calculating the "NTMK" BFS baseline consumption of fuel, materials and energy carriers in 2008-2012 (table B.3).

"NTMK" BFS baseline performance figures

#1

4.66

496

101

#2

4.71

510

91

Unit

%, weight

kg/t

m<sup>3</sup>/t

Blast furnace Blast furnace Blast furnace Blast furnace Blast furnace

#3

4.78

495

107

#4

4.66

479

107

Total within the project boundaries	4 807 081	3 447 615	3 447 615	3 447 615	3 447 615	
Blast furnace #5	1 040 661	746 357	746 357	746 357	746 357	
Blast furnace #4	1 074 693	770 765	770 765	770 765	770 765	
Blast furnace #3	916 846	657 557	657 557	657 557	657 557	
Blast furnace #2	903 448	647 948	647 948	647 948	647 948	
Blast furnace #1	871 434	624 988	624 988	624 988	624 988	
Parameter	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	
Basenne moten non production volume within the project boundary						



Parameter

iron

Carbon content in molten iron

Coke consumption

Natural gas consumption

Specific consumption per 1 ton of molten

for 2006-2008 (tables B.4 and B.5).

Table B.2

UNFCCC

Table B.3

4.69

496

119

#5

#### Joint Implementation Supervisory Committee

page 14

UNFOO

"NTMK" CCO performa	ince figures	
Parameter	Unit	Average value for 2006-2008
CCO products yield from coking coal		
Coke	%	74.92%
Benzol	kg/t of coal	8.8
Naphthalene	kg/t of coal	1.9
Mass fraction of carbon in coking coal and by-produc	ts of CCO	
Coking coal	%	60.2%
Benzol	%	89.6%
Naphthalene	%	89.4%
Electricity consumption per 1 ton of coke	kW*hr/t	52.2
Steam consumption per 1 ton of coke	Kcal/t	356 333

#### Table B.4

#### Table B.5

"NTMK" oxygen shop, water supply shop and TPP-steam blower performance indicators

Parameter	Unit	Average value for 2006-2008
Steam consumption for blast air production	Gcal/thou.m. <sup>3</sup>	0.149
Electricity consumption for blast air production	kW*hr/thou.m. <sup>3</sup>	4.59
Electricity consumption for oxygen generation	kW*hr/thou.m. <sup>3</sup>	630.0
Electricity consumption for recycle water generation	kW*hr/thou.m. <sup>3</sup>	257.4
Natural gas consumption for steam generation	thou.m. <sup>3</sup> /Gcal	0.074

Mass fraction of carbon in the limestone that is not established by "NTMK" is accepted according to IPCC Guidelines for National Greenhouse Gas Inventories at 12%.<sup>1</sup>

#### **Project scenario**

Project scenario specifies carrying out the following measures for the reduction of specific consumptions of fuel and energy carriers at "NTMK":

— Reconstruction of "NTMK" BF #6 with the reduction of the furnace useable volume from  $2700m^3$  to  $2200m^3$ ;

— Reconstruction of "NTMK" BF #5 with the increase of the furnace useable volume from  $1700m^3$  to  $2200m^3$ .

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> 2006 IPCC Guidelines for National Greenhouse Gas Inventories. Volume 3: Industrial Processes and Product Use. Chapter 4: Metal Industry Emissions. p. 4.27



page 15

UNFOO

	Teconstitución process					
№	Measures	Effect				
1	Change of furnace line (sectional shape)	Ensuring more uniform processing of the iron ore raw material with the blast furnace gases and, accordingly, higher degree of the value-added use of carbon coke chemical energy.				
2	Introduction of furnace expert control system.	The system monitors changes in the raw material parameters and furnace conditions, ensures effective and steady BF running and excludes the influence of "human factor"				
3	Installation of Central Bell Less Top with rotary hopper manufactured by "Paul Wurth"	Enhancement of control over the charging of materials into the furnace for ensuring maximum value-added use of gas chemical and thermal energy in the blast furnace				
4	Installation of the Kalugin stoves	Temperature increase of the blast blown into the furnace for coke consumption reduction				
5	Installation of top-pressure recovery turbine (TPRT) at BF #6	Use of furnace gas excess pressure for electricity generation				

Key resource saving measures introduced during the OJSC "NTMK" BF #5 and #6 reconstruction process

According to the project scenario, reconstruction of the "NTMK" BF #5 and #6 makes it possible the shut down of BF #2, #3 and #4 that worked with higher fuel consumption and, accordingly, with higher CO<sub>2</sub> emission factor. In fact, the BF were suspended at the following dates:

BF #2 – September of 2005;

BF #3 – September of 2006;

BF #4 – January of 2009;

Besides, in November of 2008, due to the general reduction in the metal project demand, BF #1 was shut down.

The actual performance indexes of reconstructed BF #5 and #6, required for the calculation of project CO<sub>2</sub> emissions and the molten iron production volumes at BF #1 and #4 in 2008, are taken based on the "NTMK" BFS reports: "Fulfillment of the molten iron production plan" and "Consumption, entry and remains of raw materials, fuel and other resources" for 2008 and are presented in table B.7.

Specific consumption of fuel and energy at BF #1 and 4, based on which the volume of the project CO<sub>2</sub> emissions is calculated, is taken as equal to the historical data for 2001-2003.

- - - --

\_ \_ \_

#### Joint Implementation Supervisory Committee

page 16

Table B.7

UNFCCO

Parameter	Unit	Blast furnace #1	Blast furnace #4	Blast furnace #5	Blast furnace №6
Molten iron production	t/year	736 745	866 257	1 797 924	1 649 691
Carbon content in molten iron	%, weight	4.66	4.66	4.78	4.72
Consumption of fuel, materials and energy resources					
Coke consumption	kg/t	496	479	444	445
Natural gas consumption	m³/t	101	107	134	128
Limestone consumption	kg/t	54	53	61	62
Steam consumption	Gcal/t	0.065	0.054	0.042	0.044
Electricity consumption	kW*hr/t	5	5	23	21
Oxygen consumption	m³/t	69	56	73	56
Blast air consumption	m³/t	1 251	1 341	1 166	1 250
Water consumption	m³/t	15	15	14	14
Electricity generation at TPRT-12	kW*hr/t	-	-	-	22.2

Due to the OHFS shutdown in April of 2009, the "NTMK" demand for the blast furnace iron was significantly decreased. Currently, the company management is not planning another commissioning of BF #1 and #4, therefore it is accepted that in the period of 2009-2012 the molten iron production will be carried out at BF #5 and #6.

The ex-ante "NTMK" BF #5 and #6 project performance figures for 2009-2012 are taken from the average annual performance values in 2006-2008.

Table B.8

Parameter	L Init	Blast furnace	Blast furnace
i didineter	Onic	#5	Nº6
Molten iron production	t/year	1 739 357	1 464 722
Carbon content in molten iron	%, weight	4.74	4.75
Consumption of fuel, materials and energy resources			
Coke consumption	kg/t	461	460
Natural gas consumption	m³/t	116	106
Limestone consumption	kg/t	61	63
Steam consumption	Gcal/t	0.036	0.036
Electricity consumption	kW*hr/t	23	21
Oxygen consumption	m³/t	77	43
Blast air consumption	m³/t	1 121	1 295
Water consumption	m <sup>3</sup> /t	16	15
Electricity generation at TPRT-12	kW*hr/t	-	22.2

BF #5 and #6 ex-ante project performance figures (2009-2012)

Carbon content in the coking coal and limestone, CCO performance indicators and the data, needed for the calculation of natural gas and power consumption at TPP-steam blower, in the oxygen and water supply shop according to project scenario correspond to the baseline scenario.



UNFOO

The volume of power, generated by the TPRT-12 installed at blast furnace #6 commissioned in 2007, is planned for the period of 2009-2012 according the actual data of 2008.

Calculation of  $CO_2$  emissions at metallurgical enterprises is made by way of forming the equation of carbon balance during metal manufacture.

The carbon, coming into the project boundary, contained in materials and fuel, is either oxidized during metallurgical processes to the state of carbon dioxide (the products of incomplete combustion are practically fully burnt to  $CO_2$  in compliance with the environmental legislation, which strictly regulates the CO emissions into the atmosphere), or remains in the end products. This allows for deriving the following carbon balance equation within the project boundary:

(B.1)  $C_{material} + C_{fuel} = C_{CO2} + C_{output}$ ,

where  $C_{material}$  – carbon weight in raw materials and consumables delivered into the project boundary, t;

 $C_{fuel}$  – carbon weight in the fuel used in the project boundary, t;

 $C_{CO2}$  – carbon, emitted into the atmosphere in the form of CO<sub>2</sub>, t;

 $C_{output}$  – weight of carbon contained in the products of metallurgical company, leaving the project boundary, t.

Applying the transformation to this equation we have the following: (B.2)  $C_{CO2} = C_{material} + C_{fuel} - C_{output}$ 

Multiplying both parts of this equation by 44/12 (ratio of carbon and carbon dioxide weights) we derive the following ratio for calculating CO<sub>2</sub> emissions into the atmosphere (*E*):

(B.3)  $E = E_{material} + E_{fuel} - 44/12 \cdot C_{output}$ 

where E – total CO<sub>2</sub> emissions into the atmosphere as a result of metal production within the project boundary, t;

 $E_{material} - CO_2$  emissions into the atmosphere due to the consumption of carbon-bearing materials within the project boundary, t;

 $E_{fuel}$  - CO<sub>2</sub> emissions into the atmosphere due to fuel burning within the project boundary, t;  $C_{output}$  – weight of carbon, contained in the company's end products, which leave the project boundary, t.

For practical calculations the same equation is used in the following form: (B.4)  $E = \sum (FR_{fuel} \bullet EF_{fuel}) + 44/12 \bullet \sum (M_{material} \bullet \%C_{material}) - 44/12 \bullet \sum (P \bullet \%C_{product}),$ where  $FR_{fuel}$  – fuel consumption within the project boundary, t (m<sup>3</sup>);

 $EF_{fuel}$  –fuel emission factor, t of CO<sub>2</sub> / t (m<sup>3</sup>);

 $M_{material}$  – consumption of carbon-bearing materials within the project boundary, t;

%*C<sub>material</sub>* - mass fraction of carbon in material, %;

P – manufacture of end products within the project boundary, t;

 $%C_{product}$  – mass fraction of carbon in the end products, %.

During the calculation  $CO_2$  emissions into the atmosphere, due to the BFS and supporting shops operations, a part of flows of materials and fuel can be taken out of the calculation:

- during the calculations by formula (B.4) only the flows, coming into the project boundary and leaving them, are registered, therefore one can take out of the calculation those flows and transformations of materials and fuels that are formed and used within the project boundary (coke, blast furnace gas, coke gas) since they do not influence the total carbon balance of the operations;

- incoming flows of materials with low carbon mass fraction, since their records have practically no influence in the calculation results (pellets and sinter).

During calculations of  $CO_2$  emission reductions due to the realization of this project, the following flows of materials and energy carriers are considered:



page 18

#### Joint Implementation Supervisory Committee

- Flows coming into the project boundary:
  - Coking coal;
  - Natural gas;
  - Electric power (considered during project indirect emissions calculation);
  - Limestone;
- Flows leaving the project boundary:
  - Molten iron;
    - By-products of coal coking.

As is shown by the calculations presented in Section E of this document and based in the formula (B.4), the emission reductions as a result of this project realization in 2008-2012, compared to baseline, will amount to 1.75 mln tons of CO<sub>2</sub> (table B.9).

Table B.9

Parameter	Unit	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	Total for 2008-2012
Project emisions	tons of CO <sub>2</sub> /year	7 283 521	5 114 936	5 113 020	5 110 831	5 108 641	27 730 949
Baseline emissions	tons of CO <sub>2</sub> /year	7 626 424	5 467 466	5 465 570	5 463 403	5 461 236	29 484 100
Emission reduction	tons of CO <sub>2</sub> /year	342 904	352 530	352 550	352 573	352 595	1 753 151

# CO<sub>2</sub> emission reductions as a result of the project realization

# **B.2.** Description of how the anthropogenic emissions of greenhouse gases by sources are reduced below those that would have occurred in the absence of the JI <u>project</u>:

Among the approved methodologies of the CDM there is not a single one that would fit this project, therefore the project developer has applied his own approach, which meets the requirements of the Decision 9/CMP.1, Annex B. For purposes of proving the project additionality alternative analysis, investment analysis and common practice analysis are used.

This section analyses the proposed project additionality, as well as the choice and justification of the baseline scenario.

# Step #1. Identify the probable alternative options to the proposed project activity, which are in agreement with the legislation norms.

The choice of baseline scenario is based on the definition of the most probable project of alternative scenarios among the possible ones for the project participants, which ensures the manufacture of products, comparable in quality with the products, obtained as a result of the Project, and is in agreement with the requirements of the Russian Federation legislation.

The following possible scenarios, alternative to the Project, were identified:

- 1. Preservation of the current situation: continuation of BF #5 operations and BF #6 remains suspended;
- 2. Commissioning of BF #6 and continuation of BF #5 operations without any reconstructions;
- 3. BF #5 reconstruction without BF #6 commissioning;
- 4. BF #6 reconstruction without reconstructing BF #5 and without carbon financing;
- 5. Realization of projects on the nonblast-furnace ironmaking plants construction at "NTMK";
- 6. Project realization without attracting carbon financing.

Given below is the estimate of the proposed scenarios with the purpose of identifying the opportunity for their consideration as the baseline in relation to the Project.

page 19

UNFOO

# 1. Preservation of the current situation: continuation of BF #5 operations and BF #6 remains suspended:

This scenario represents the usual (business-as-usual) continuation of the "NTMK" operations under the Russian legislation. This scenario allows ensuring the production of molten iron, comparable in quality with the molten iron produced as a result of project operations. Besides, this scenario does not require significant investments for the BF reconstruction, and only the 1st category capital repairs at BF ##1, 4 and 5 during the years of 2005-2012 will be needed. According to this scenario, the molten iron production is ensured at the level, which corresponds to the project scenario.

2. Commissioning of BF #6 and continuation of BF #5 operations without any reconstructions:

Since both iron-ore raw material and vanadium raw material, supplied from the Kachkanarskiy and Vysokogorniy mining and processing integrated works, which are a part of the "Evraz Group", are used as raw material at "NTMK" the BF should produce both regular steelmaking molten iron and vanadium molten iron. However, as the experience of BF #6 operations with the volume of 2700m<sup>3</sup> prior to its shutdown showed, during the vanadium molten iron making the furnace was working inefficiently. In particular, according to the USSR Academy of Science Institute of Metallurgy data, during the switching to the vanadium molten iron making, the dynamics of the BF operations was broken and the length of downtimes, related to the melting of tuyeres, was increased (from 0.8% of working time to 4.6%). As a result, BF capacity dropped by 10-15% and the labor intensity increased sharply. Therefore, this scenario cannot be considered as the baseline.

3. BF #5 reconstruction without BF #6 commissioning

This does not provide the "NTMK" steelmaking operations with the required volume of molten iron for the period of BF #5 reconstruction in 2002-2003. Based on the data, presented in table B.10 the maximum total volume of the molten iron production in BF ##1-4 amounts to 4.0 mln tons per annum with the actual demand for molten iron in 2002-2003 of 4.7-4.8 mln tons per annum.

Table B.10

aximum BF ##1-4 molten from output in .	2001-2003, t/ye
Blast furnace	Maximum production in 2001-2008
Blast firnace #1 (2006)	974 174
Blast furnace #2 (2003)	910 345
Blast furnace #3 (2004)	1 013 545
Blast furnace #4 (2003)	1 132 898
Total	4 030 962

Maximum	BF	##1-4	molten	iron	outnut i	in 200	01-2003	t/vear
viaxiiiiuiii	$\mathbf{D}\Gamma$	##1-4	monen	non	ouipui i	$m_{200}$	JI-2003,	, l'ycai

Therefore this option cannot be viewed as the baseline scenario.

4. Realization of projects on the nonblast-furnace ironmaking plants construction at "NTMK":

Construction of industrial plants for production of hot-briquetted iron by Mydrex and HYL technology, which is the raw material for steelmaking in the Electric Furnace Steel-smelting Shops (EFSS), is one of the most environmentally friendly trends of ferrous metallurgy development. However, "NTMK" does not have its own arc-furnace steelsmelting facilities and the company's BOF shop cannot use the hot-briquetted iron as raw material. The construction cost of the EFSS with the capacity of about 1 mln tons of steel per annum is about \$400 mln<sup>1</sup>. Therefore, the switch to steelmaking technology

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> According to OJSC «Pervouralsky Novotrubny Works" (Sverdlovsk Region), which initiated the construction process of such facility. <u>http://www.pntz.ru/espk/index.htm</u>

page 20

UNFOO

according to the chain: plant for hot-briquetted steel production - electric arc furnace cannot be considered as baseline scenario due to high capital expenses.

#### 5. BF #6 reconstruction without reconstructing BF #5 and carbon financing:

This scenario is one of the options of project realization without carbon financing. The possibility of this alternative realization as baseline scenario will be considered further during the investment analysis. This analysis is presented below in Step #2.

#### 6. Project realization without attracting carbon financing:

The possibility of this alternative realization as baseline scenario will be considered further during the investment analysis. This analysis is presented below in Step #2.

#### **Conclusion on step #1:**

The following probable alternatives to the proposed project activity are defined:

- Preservation of the current situation, i.e. continuation of BF #6 conservation and BF #5 operations without reconstruction;
- Reconstruction of BF #6 without reconstruction of #5 and carbon financing; •
- Realization of BF #5 and #6 reconstruction project without carbon financing.

#### Step #2. Investment analysis

During the analysis we will draw a comparison of investment indices of the alternatives, identified in step #1 and evaluate the impact of the additional funds from the Emission Reduction Units' sales on these indices. The project baseline is not considered during the investment analysis since it does not include capital expenditures and is not an investment project.

The investment analysis was performed with the following input data:

Planning horizon: 21 years;

Discount rate: 20% (own capital return rate accepted at "NTMK").

Table B.11

Alternative options' investment analysis								
Scenario	Investments, \$ mln	Discounted payback period, years	IRR, %	NPV, \$ mln				
BF #6 reconstruction without reconstructing BF #5 and carbon financing	125.7	no	19.0%	-4.5				
Project scenario without carbon financing	281.9	no	18.9%	-6.2				
Project scenario with carbon financing	281.9	16.8	20.5%	+2.8				

#### **Conclusion on step #2:**

Identified alternatives to the proposed Project do not meet the profitability requirements and, according to the performed investment analysis, are not paid back within the planning horizon. The project payback within the planning horizon can be ensured only by means of including the additional funds, drawn from the sales of emission reduction units.

page 21

Table B.12

UNFOO

#### **Step #3.Common practice analysis**

By the moment of project realization commencement over 50 blast furnaces, constructed before the USSR disintegration, were operated in the Russian Federation according to the data of statistical digest "Technical and economic performance figures of furnaces and plants of the Russian iron and steel industry companies".

Ferrous metallurgy of Russia in the 90-ies of the XX century was working in the conditions of the systemic crisis of economics, sharp drop of the domestic metal products consumption, imperfect tax, credit and financial systems. This made a very negative impact on the industry production figures.

Russia ferrous industry production figures in 1990-2001								
Products	Production in 2001, mln Production in 1991, mln		Ratio of production in					
	tons	tons	2001 and 1991, %					
Molten iron	47.1	59.4	79.3					
Steel	59.0	89.6	65.8					
Finished rolled	47.1	63 7	72.0					
products	47.1	03.7	13.9					

Insignificant volumes of investments into the iron and steel industry have significantly constrained the process of the technical reconstruction.

Notwithstanding the fact that the wear level of business assets at the industry's enterprises amounted to 50%, the investments into the iron and steel industry in Russia on a 1 ton of steel basis (in comparative figures) in 1996-2000 amounted to 12-14 USD, in the US - about 30 USD and in the European Union countries -25 USD. Largely it was explained by the issue that the debt financing of the projects was in fact not carried out because of the high crediting rate of the Russian banks (100-210% in 1993-1995 and 25-150% in  $1996-2000)^{1}$ .

Ranking first in the world in terms of the employees' headcount (about 700 thousand people), the Russian iron and steel industry ranked only fourth in the steel production (according to the 2000 data: China – 128.5 mln tons, Japan – 106.4 mln tons, US – 101.0 mln tons, Russia – 59.1 mln tons).

The target program titled "Technical re-equipment and development of metallurgy in Russia planned for 1993-2000" was working in the ferrous metallurgy of Russia, which included the most important issues on the enterprises' reconstruction. Its completion level was less than 30% and it was funded mostly at the metallurgical companies' own expenses (the budget funds amounted to 2% only).

Construction of new manufacturing capacities for the production of cast pipe billet at OJSC "ZSMK" and OJSC "NLMK", mill "2000" and BOF shop at OJSC "MMK" was realized in compliance with this program. The activities for reconstruction of blast furnace facilities at the ferrous industry of Russia under this program were not carried out.

Among the primary goals of the current "Strategy of the RF ferrous metallurgy development for 2015" is the increase of steel casting volumes at continuous casting plants and reduction of steel production in open-hearth furnaces. Efficiency increase of blast furnaces' operations is not specified in the list of priority trends of enterprises' reconstruction.

According to the research of investment activity of the Ural Federal District companies, which was done by the "Expert" rating agency, over 100 projects were identified in the regional metallurgy, out of which only the project on the "NTMK" blast furnaces reconstruction can be referred to the projects oriented on the construction or reconstruction of molten iron production facilities<sup>2</sup>.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> M.I. Beskhmelnitsyn. Analytical memo on the condition of iron and steel industry in Russia. Buklketing of the RF Accounting Chamber, #9, 2002. http://www.ach.gov.ru/userfiles/bulletins/11-buleten\_doc\_files-fl-710.pdf

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> "Expert Ural" #38 (255) <u>http://www.expert.ru/printissues/ural/2006/38/investicionnye\_proekty</u>



page 22

UNFCCO

Thus, the project of "NTMK" BF #5 and #6 reconstruction, including the complex introduction of new technologies of molten iron production and installation of modern equipment, is unique for the Russian metallurgical enterprises.

#### **Conclusion on step #3:**

The project of "NTMK" BF #5 and #6 reconstruction is not a common practice for the enterprises of the Russian metallurgical industry.

Thus, the analysis carried out in this section shows that the project scenario is the additional one. The project is not a common practice for the ferrous metallurgy enterprises, has significant barriers for realization and it would probably not be realized in the absence of the additional revenue drawn as a result of the emission reduction units' sales.

#### B.3. Description of how the definition of the project boundary is applied to the project:

The following direct emission sources are included into the project boundary:

- Molten iron production at the "NTMK" BFS;
- Coke production during the "NTMK" CCO;
- Blast and steam generation at the "NTMK" TTP-steam blower;
- Oxygen generation at the "NTMK" air separation plant;
- Water supply shop supplying the BFS with circulation cooling water.

The power grids of the Russian Federation Unified Energy System (RF UES), generating electricity for the emission sources within the project boundary, are considered as the indirect emission sources.



Figure B.2 Emission sources located within the project boundary and recorded indirect emissions



page 23

UNFCCC

# **B.4.** Further <u>baseline</u> information, including the date of <u>baseline</u> setting and the name(s) of the person(s)/entity(ies) setting the <u>baseline</u>:

Date of baseline setting -30/06/2009;

Baseline is developed by the specialists of "Camco Carbon Russia Limited";

- Contact person: Ryumin Oleg;
- E-mail: <u>Russia@camcoglobal.com</u>



page 24

UNFCCC

## SECTION C. Duration of the project / crediting period

# C.1. <u>Starting date of the project</u>:

Project realization starting date: 2002

Project realization starting date: 2552Project commissioning and start-up date: BF #6 – 7<sup>th</sup> of September of 2004; BF #5 – 26<sup>th</sup> of September of 2006.

# C.2. Expected operational lifetime of the project:

21 years

### C.3. Length of the crediting period:

5 years: from 01.01.2008 till 31.12.2012





### SECTION D. Monitoring plan

#### D.1. Description of monitoring plan chosen:

In the presented project  $CO_2$  emissions monitoring plan the emissions due to the molten iron production within the project boundary are considered. Regardless of the point that the year of 2008 was the year of BF #1 and #4 operations shutdown, the monitoring plan considers the chance of their second commissioning in 2009-2012.

Calculation of actual direct project CO<sub>2</sub> emissions is based on the monitoring of molten iron production at BF #1, 4, 5, 6 and the consumed amounts of coke, limestone, natural gas, steam and air blast at BF #5 and 6.

For the calculation of the project consumption of coke, limestone, natural gas, steam and blast air at BF #1 and #4 the following equation is used:

(D.1)  $C_{BFX PJY} = P_{BFXPJY} \bullet SC_{BFX PJ}$ ,

where  $P_{BFXPJY}$  project molten iron production at BF x, calculated during monitoring according to table D.1.1., t/year;

 $SC_{BFXPJ}$  – specific consumption of coke, limestone, natural gas, steam and blast air at BF x, calculated in section B.1 (table B.7), kg (m<sup>3</sup>, Gcal) /t; X – BF number (##1 and 4).

The indirect emissions under this project are the  $CO_2$  emissions at the RF UES power grids during generation of energy, consumed for molten iron production within the project boundary. For determination of the project indirect emissions, the monitoring of electricity, oxygen and recycle water at BF #5 and #6.

For the calculation of the project consumption of electricity, oxygen and recycle water at BF #1 and #4 the following equation is used:

(D.2)  $C_{BFXPJY} = P_{BFXPJY} \bullet SC_{BFXPJ}$ , where  $P_{BFXPJY} -$  project molten iron production at BF *x*, calculated during monitoring according to table D.1.1.1, t/year;  $SC_{BFXPJ}$  - specific consumption of electricity, oxygen and recycle water at BF *x*, calculated in section B.1 (table B.7), MW •hr (m<sup>3</sup>) /t; X - BF number (##1 and 4).

Baseline direct CO<sub>2</sub> emissions are taken from the data on the project molten iron production and specific consumption of coke, limestone, natural gas, steam and air blast calculated according to the baseline scenario in section B.1 of this document.

Baseline indirect emissions are taken from the data on the project molten iron production and specific amounts of the baseline use of electricity, coke, oxygen, water and blast air, established in section B.1 of this document.





Besides, in order to calculate the volume of  $CO_2$  emissions as a result of natural gas consumption within the project boundary for both project and baseline scenarios, the monitoring of the net calorific value of the natural gas, supplied to "NTMK" is carried out.

D.1.1. Option 1 – Monitoring of the emissions in the project scenario and the baseline scenario:

D.1.1.1. Data to be collected in order to monitor emissions from the project, and how these data will be archived:								
ID number (Please use numbers to ease cross- referencing to D.2.)	Data variable	Source of data	Data unit	Measured (m), calculated (c), estimated (e)	Recording frequency	Proportion of data to be monitored	How will the data be archived? (electronic/ paper)	Comment
Blast furnace #1					-			-
P-1. P <sub>BF1PJ Y</sub>	<i>BF #1 molten</i> <i>iron production</i>	BFS operations technical report	ton	<i>(m)</i>	monthly	100%	Electronic	
Blast furnace #4								
<i>P-2. P</i> <sub><i>BF 4 PJ Y</i></sub>	<i>BF #4 molten</i> <i>iron production</i>	BFS operations technical report	ton	<i>(m)</i>	monthly	100%	Electronic	
Blast furnace #5								
P-3. P <sub>BF 5 PJ Y</sub>	BF #5 molten iron production	BFS operations technical report	ton	<i>(m)</i>	monthly	100%	Electronic	
P-4. M <sub>Coke BF 5</sub> <sub>PJ Y</sub>	BF #5 coke consumption	BFS operations technical report	ton	<i>(m)</i>	monthly	100%	Electronic	Aggregate of values from lines in reports "Dry skip coke" and "Dry coke losses"
P-5. M Limestone BF 5 PJ Y	BF #5 limestone consumption	BFS operations technical report	ton	<i>(m)</i>	monthly	100%	Electronic	
P-6. FR <sub>NG BF 5 PJ</sub>	BF #5 natural gas consumption	BFS operations technical report	Thou.m. <sup>3</sup>	<i>(m)</i>	monthly	100%	Electronic	Aggregate of values from lines in reports "Natural gas" and "Natural gas used in the stove"
<i>P</i> -7. <i>C</i> <sub>Steam BF 5</sub> <i>PJY</i>	BF #5 steam consumption	BFS operations technical report	Gcal	(m)	monthly	100%	Electronic	
<i>P-8. C</i> <sub><i>Blast BF 5 PJ</i></sub>	<i>BF #5 blast air consumption</i>	BFS operations technical report	Thou.m. <sup>3</sup>	<i>(m)</i>	monthly	100%	Electronic	

This template shall not be altered. It shall be completed without modifying/adding headings or logo, format or font.





<i>P-9. EC</i> <sub><i>BF 5 PJ Y</i></sub>	<i>BF #5 electricity consumption</i>	BFS operations technical report	Thou.m. <sup>3</sup>	<i>(m)</i>	monthly	100%	Electronic	
P-10. C <sub>Oxygen BF</sub> 5 PJ Y	BF #5 oxygen consumption	BFS operations technical report	Thou.m. <sup>3</sup>	(m)	monthly	100%	Electronic	Aggregate of values from lines in reports "Process oxygen", "High pressure oxygen" and "Oxygen for casthouse work"
<i>P-11.</i> С <sub>Water BF 5</sub> <i>РЈ</i> Ү	<i>BF #5 recycle</i> <i>water</i> <i>consumption</i>	BFS operations technical report	Thou.m. <sup>3</sup>	<i>(m)</i>	monthly	100%	Electronic	
Blast furnace #6								
P-12. P BF 6 PJ Y	<i>BF #6 molten</i> <i>iron production</i>	BFS operations technical report	ton	<i>(m)</i>	monthly	100%	Electronic	
Р-13. М <sub>Соке ВF</sub> 6 РЈ У	BF #6 coke consumption	BFS operations technical report	ton	<i>(m)</i>	monthly	100%	Electronic	Aggregate of values from lines in reports "Dry skip coke" and "Dry coke losses"
P-14. M Limestone BF 6 PJ Y	BF #6 limestone consumption	BFS operations technical report	ton	<i>(m)</i>	monthly	100%	Electronic	
<i>P-15. FR<sub>NG BF 6</sub></i> <sub><i>PJY</i></sub>	BF #6 natural gas consumption	BFS operations technical report	Thou.m. <sup>3</sup>	(m)	monthly	100%	Electronic	Aggregate of values from lines in reports "Natural gas" and "Natural gas used in the stove"
<i>P-16. CSteam BF 6</i> <i>PJY</i>	BF #6 steam consumption	BFS operations technical report	Gcal	<i>(m)</i>	monthly	100%	Electronic	
P-17. C <sub>Blast BF 6</sub>	<i>BF #6 blast air consumption</i>	BFS operations technical report	Thou.m. <sup>3</sup>	<i>(m)</i>	monthly	100%	Electronic	
<i>P-18. EC BF 6 PJ</i>	<i>BF #6 electricity consumption</i>	BFS operations technical report	Thou.m. <sup>3</sup>	(m)	monthly	100%	Electronic	
P-19. C <sub>Oxygen BF</sub> 6 PJ Y	BF #6 oxygen consumption	BFS operations technical report	Thou.m. <sup>3</sup>	(m)	monthly	100%	Electronic	Aggregate of values from lines in reports "Process oxygen", "High pressure oxygen" and "Oxygen for casthouse work"

This template shall not be altered. It shall be completed without modifying/adding headings or logo, format or font.





<i>P-20. C Water BF 6 PJ Y</i>	<i>BF #6 recycle</i> <i>water</i> <i>consumption</i>	BFS operations technical report	Thou.m. <sup>3</sup>	(m)	monthly	100%	Electronic	
<b>Р-21. ЕО</b> <sub>ТРRT</sub> <sub>РЈ Ү</sub>	Electricity generation at BF #6 TPRT	Power grids and substations operations technical report	Thou.m. <sup>3</sup>	<i>(m)</i>	monthly	100%	Electronic	
OJSC "NTMK"	,							
P-22.Q <sub>NG Y</sub>	Net calorific value of the natural gas, supplied to "NTMK"	Passport (Quality certificate) of natural gas from the supplier	GJ/thou.m. <sup>3</sup>	(m)	monthly	100%	Electronic / paper	Average value is identified in the end of the year

#### D.1.1.2. Description of formulae used to estimate project emissions (for each gas, source etc.; emissions in units of CO<sub>2</sub> equivalent):

According to the definition of the project boundary, the calculation of project CO<sub>2</sub> takes into account both direct CO<sub>2</sub> emissions at "NTMK" and indirect emissions at the RF UES power grids:

(D.3)  $PE_{Y} = PE_{Direct Y} + PE_{Indirect Y}$ where  $PE_{Y}$  - total project CO<sub>2</sub> emissions, t/year;  $PE_{Direct Y}$  - direct project CO<sub>2</sub> emissions, t/year;  $PE_{Indirect Y}$  - indirect project CO<sub>2</sub> emissions, t/year.

#### Project direct CO<sub>2</sub> emissions

In order to calculate the direct  $CO_2$  emissions within the project boundary at "NTMK", the carbon balance method is used, which is reviewed in Section B.1 of this document.

Monitoring of carbon entry through the project boundary is ensured by measurements of coke, limestone, natural gas, steam and air blast consumption at BFS. Monitoring of carbon exit through the project boundary is associated with the monitoring of molten iron production and coke consumption. This information is used for calculating the amount of carbon, contained in the molten iron and by-products of coke production (crude benzol and naphthalene).

Net calorific value of natural gas used at "NTMK" is taken from the quality passports (certificates) provided by natural gas suppliers.

The constants, related to the CCO, BFS and TPP-steam blower operations, which are calculated in section B.1 of this document and used for the calculation of actual project  $CO_2$  emissions within the project boundary, are presented in table D.1.





Table D.1

Parameter	Unit	Symbol	Value					
Coke and Chemical by-product Production Operations (CPO)								
Coke yield from coking coal	%	SO Coke Coking coal	74.92					
Coking coal carbon content	%	$\%C_{Coking coal}$	60.2					
Benzol yield from coking coal	kg/t of coal	SO <sub>Naph</sub>	8.8					
Naphthalene yield from coking coal	kg/t of coal	SO Benz	1.9					
Naphthalene carbon content	%	%C <sub>Naph</sub>	89.4					
Benzol carbon content	%	%C Benz	89.6					
Steam consumption per 1 ton of coke	Kcal/t	$SC_{Steam\ Coke}$	356.3					
BFS								
Limestone carbon content	%	%C limestone	12.0					
TPP-steam blower								
Specific natural gas consumption for steam generation at TPP-steam blower	Thou.m <sup>3</sup> /Gcal	$SC_{NG Steam}$	0.074					
Specific steam consumption for air blast production at TPP-steam blower	Gcal/m <sup>3</sup>	SC <sub>Steam blast</sub>	0.149					
Natural gas emission factor	tons of CO <sub>2</sub> /GJ	$EF_{NG}$	0.0561					

Constants used for "NTMK"	project CO <sub>2</sub> emissions	monitoring
---------------------------	-----------------------------------	------------

The project emissions are calculated as the aggregate of CO<sub>2</sub> emissions due to "NTMK" BFS operations.

(D.4)  $PE_{Direct Y} = PE_{BF1Y} + PE_{BF4Y} + PE_{BF5Y} + PE_{BF6Y}$ , where  $PE_{BF1Y}$  - CO<sub>2</sub> emissions due to BF #1 operations, t/year;  $PE_{BF4Y}$  - CO<sub>2</sub> emissions due to BF #4 operations, t/year;  $PE_{BF5Y}$  - CO<sub>2</sub> emissions due to BF #5 operations, t/year;  $PE_{BF6Y}$  - CO<sub>2</sub> emissions due to BF #6 operations, t/year.

Calculation of actual CO<sub>2</sub> emissions due to "NTMK" BFS operations (*PE* <sub>BFXY</sub>) are made by the carbon balance method in the following manner:





(D.5)  $PE_{BFXY} = PE_{Coking Coal BFXY} + PE_{Limestone BFXY} + PE_{NGBFXY} - 44/12 \cdot C_{output BFXPJY}$ ,

where  $PE_{Coking Coal BFXY}$  – project CO<sub>2</sub> emissions due to coking coal consumption for molten iron production at BF x, t/year;

 $PE_{Limestone BFXY}$ - project CO<sub>2</sub> emissions due to limestone consumption at BF x, t/year;

 $PE_{NGBFXY}$  – project CO<sub>2</sub> emissions due to natural gas consumption for molten iron production at BF x, t;

 $C_{output BFXPJY}$  - weight of carbon in the BF x molten iron and by-products of the coke-chemical operations, released during the production of coke required for the BF operations, t/year;

*x* – BF number (#1,4,5,6).

Variables participating in the equation (D.5) are calculated by the following formulae:

(D.6) PE<sub>Coking Coal BF X Y</sub> = 44/12 • (M<sub>Coke BF X PJ Y</sub>/SO<sub>Coke Coking coal</sub> • %C<sub>Coking coal</sub>),
where M<sub>Coke BF X PJ Y</sub> - project BF x coke consumption inclusive of the undersized coke, t/year;
SO<sub>Coke Coking coal</sub> - coke yield from coking coal at "NTMK", taken from table D.1, %;
%C<sub>Coking coal</sub> - carbon content in coking coal, taken from table D.1, %;
x - BF number (#1,4,5,6).

(D.7)  $PE_{Limestone BFXY} = 44/12 \cdot (M_{Limestone BFXPJY} \cdot \%C_{Limestone})$ , where  $M_{Limestone BFXPJY} - BFx$  limestone consumption, t/year;  $\%C_{Limestone}$  - carbon content in limestone, taken from table D.1, %;

*x* – BF number (#1,4,5,6).

(D.8)  $PE_{NG BF X Y} = (FR_{NG BF X PJ Y} + SC_{NG Steam} \bullet (C_{Steam BF X PJ Y} + SC_{Steam Coke} \bullet M_{Coke BF X PJ Y} + SC_{Steam Blast} \bullet C_{Blast BF X PJ})) \bullet Q_{NG Y} \bullet EF_{NG}$ , where  $FR_{NG BF X PJ Y} - BF x$  natural gas consumption, including its consumption on the stoves, thou.m<sup>3</sup>/year;

 $SC_{NG Steam}$  – specific natural gas consumption for steam generation at TPP-steam blower according to table D.1, m<sup>3</sup>/Gcal;

 $C_{Steam BF X PJY}$  – project steam consumption at BF x for technological purposes, Gcal/year;

SC<sub>Steam Coke</sub> – specific steam consumption for coke production, taken from table D.1, Gcal/t;

 $M_{Coke BFX PJY}$  - BF x project coke consumption inclusive of the undersized coke, t/year;

 $SC_{Steam Blast}$  – specific steam consumption for air blast generation taken from table D.1, Gcal /m<sup>3</sup>;

 $C_{Blast BF X PJ Y}$  – BF x project air blast consumption, m<sup>3</sup>/year;

 $Q_{NGY}$  – net calorific value of natural gas used at "NTMK", GJ /thou.m<sup>3</sup>;

 $EF_{NG}$  – natural gas emission factor, tons of CO<sub>2</sub>/GJ;

x - BF number (#1,4,5,6).





(D.9)  $C_{output \ BF X PJ Y} = P_{BF X PJ Y} \cdot \% C_{Iron \ BF X PJ} + M_{coke \ BF X PJ Y} / SO_{Coke \ Coking \ coal} \cdot (SO_{Naph} \cdot \% C_{Naph} + SO_{Benz} \cdot \% C_{Benz})$ , where  $P_{BF X PJ Y}$  - BF x molten iron production, t/year;  $\% C_{Iron \ BF X PJ Y}$  - carbon content in BF x molten iron, %;  $M_{coke \ BF X \ PJ Y}$  - BF x project coke consumption inclusive of the undersized coke, t/year;  $SO_{Coke \ Coking \ coal}$  - coke yield from coking coal at "NTMK", taken from table D.1, %;  $SO_{Naph}$  - specific naphthalene yield per 1 ton of coking coal, taken from table D.1, t/t;  $\% C_{Naph}$  - carbon content in naphthalene, taken from table D.1 data, %;  $SO_{Benz}$  - specific benzol yield per 1 ton of coking coal, taken from table D.1, t/t;  $\% C_{Benz}$  - carbon content in benzol, taken from table D.1 data, %; x - BF number (#1,4,5,6).

#### **Project scenario indirect emissions**

Constants, needed for calculation of the total consumption of electricity, both project and baseline, are established, as is shown in section B.1, based on the actual data on "NTMK" operations in 2006-2008 and are presented in table D.2.

Table D.2

Parameter	Units	Symbol	Value
Electricity consumption per 1 ton of coke	kW*hour/t	SEC <sub>Coke</sub>	52.2
Electricity consumption for oxygen generation	kW*hour/thou.m <sup>3</sup>	SEC <sub>Oxygen</sub>	630.0
Electricity consumption for recycle water consumption	kW*hour/thou.m <sup>3</sup>	SEC <sub>Water</sub>	257.4
Electricity consumption for blast air production	kW*hour/thou.m <sup>3</sup>	SEC Blast	4.59

Constants used during "NTMK" project CO<sub>2</sub> emissions monitoring

(D.10)  $PE_{Indirect Y} = EC_{PJY} \bullet EF_{CO2 grid}$ ,

where  $EC_{PJY}$  - total electricity consumption within the project boundary, MW• hour/year.





 $EF_{CO2 grid}$  – CO<sub>2</sub> emission factors in the Russian Federation energy system, recommended by the Operational Guidelines for Project Design Documents of Joint Implementation Projects, which were calculated by the Ministry of Economic Affairs of the Netherlands in 2004<sup>1</sup>, t CO<sub>2</sub>/GW•hour.

As per the Guidelines, the following emission factors are used in the calculations, recommended for the RF UES.

Table D.3

eogenission ractors during electricity generation in the RF 0105								
Parameter	Unit	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012		
Emission factor for power grids	tons of CO <sub>2</sub> /GW*hr	565	557	550	542	534		

CO<sub>2</sub> emission factors during electricity generation in the RF UES

Total electricity consumption within the project boundary is calculated by the formula:

(D.11)  $EC_{PJY} = EC_{BFPJY} + EC_{CokePJY} + EC_{OxygenPJY} + EC_{WaterPJY} + EC_{BlastPJY} - EO_{TPRTPJY}$ ,

where  $EC_{BF PJY}$  – BF #1,4,5,6 project electricity consumption, MW• hour/year;

*EC*<sub>Coke PJY</sub> - project electricity consumption within the project boundary for coke production, MW• hour/year;

*EC*<sub>Oxygen PJY</sub> – project electricity consumption within the project boundary for oxygen generation, MW• hour/year;

 $EC_{Water PJY}$  – project electricity consumption within the project boundary for the supply of BF with recycle water, MW• hour/year;

 $EC_{Blast PJY}$  – project electricity consumption within the project boundary for blast air generation, MW• hour/year;

*EC*<sub>*TPRT PJ Y</sub> – project electricity* generation at BF #6 TPRT, MW• hour/year.</sub>

Project electricity consumption at the "NTMK" BFS ( $EC_{BF PJY}$ ) is calculated at the total consumption of electricity within the project boundary:

 $(D.12) EC_{BF PJ Y} = EC_{BF 1 PJ Y} + EC_{BF 4 PJ Y} + EC_{BF 5 PJ Y} + EC_{BF 6 PJ Y},$ 

where  $EC_{BF1 PJY}$  – project BF #1 electricity consumption calculated by formula D.2, MW• hour/year;

EC BF 4 PJY - project BF #4 electricity consumption calculated by formula D.2, MW• hour/year;

*EC*<sub>BF5</sub> <sub>PJY</sub> – project BF #5 electricity consumption, MW• hour/year;

 $EC_{BF 6 PJY}$  – project BF #6 electricity consumption, MW• hour/year.

Project electricity consumption for coke production  $(EC_{Coke PJ Y})$  is calculated as follows:

 $(D.13) EC_{Coke PJY} = (M_{Coke BFI PJY} + M_{Coke BF4 PJY} + M_{Coke BF5 PJY} + M_{Coke BF6 PJY}) \bullet SEC_{Coke},$ 

<sup>1</sup> Operational Guidelines for Project Design Documents of Joint Implementation Projects. Volume 1: General guidelines. Version 2.3. Ministry of Economic Affairs of the Netherlands. May 2004. p.43, Table B2





where  $M_{Coke BF 1 PJ Y}$  – project BF #1 coke consumption inclusive of the undersized coke calculated by formula D.2, t/year;  $M_{Coke BF 4 PJ Y}$  – project BF #4 coke consumption inclusive of the undersized coke calculated by formula D.2, t/year;  $M_{Coke BF 5 PJ Y}$  – project BF #5 coke consumption inclusive of the undersized coke, t/year;  $M_{Coke BF 6 PJ Y}$  – project BF #6 coke consumption inclusive of the undersized coke, t/year;  $M_{Coke BF 6 PJ Y}$  – project BF #6 coke consumption inclusive of the undersized coke, t/year;  $SEC_{Coke}$  – specific consumption of electricity for coke production (table D.2), MW• hour/tons of coke.

Project electricity consumption for oxygen generation at the air separation plant  $(EC_{Oxygen PJY})$  is calculated as follows: (D.14)  $EC_{Oxygen PJY} = (C_{Oxygen BF 1 PJY} + C_{Oxygen BF 4 PJY} + C_{Oxygen BF 5 PJY} + C_{Oxygen BF 6 PJY}) \bullet SEC_{Oxygen}$ , where  $C_{Oxygen BF 1 PJY}$  – project BF #1 total oxygen consumption calculated by formula D.2, thou.m<sup>3</sup>/year;  $C_{Oxygen BF 4 PJY}$  – project BF #4 total oxygen consumption calculated by formula D.2, thou.m<sup>3</sup>/year;  $C_{Oxygen BF 5 PJY}$  – project BF #5 total oxygen consumption, thou.m<sup>3</sup>/year;  $C_{Oxygen BF 6 PJY}$  – project BF #6 total oxygen consumption, thou.m<sup>3</sup>/year;  $SEC_{Oxygen}$  – specific electricity consumption for oxygen generation (table D), MW• hour/thou.m<sup>3</sup>.

Power consumption for the BFS supply with recycle water (EC Water BF 5 PJY) is calculated as follows:

(D.15) EC<sub>Water PJ Y</sub> = (C<sub>Water BF 1 PJ Y</sub> + C<sub>Water BF 4 PJ Y</sub> + C<sub>Water BF 5 PJ Y</sub> + C<sub>Water BF 6 PJ Y</sub>) • SEC<sub>Water</sub>,
where C<sub>Water BF 1 PJ Y</sub> - project BF #1 recycle water consumption calculated by formula D.2, thou.m<sup>3</sup>/year;
C<sub>Water BF 5 PJ Y</sub> - project BF #5 recycle water consumption, thou.m<sup>3</sup>/year;
C<sub>Water BF 6 PJ Y</sub> - project BF #6 recycle water consumption, thou.m<sup>3</sup>/year;
SEC<sub>Water</sub> - specific electricity consumption for the BFS recycle water supply (table D), MW• hour/thou.m<sup>3</sup>.

Electricity consumption for the air blast generation ( $EC_{Water PJ Y}$ ) is calculated as follows:

(D.16) EC<sub>Blast PJ Y</sub> = (C<sub>Blast BF 1 PJ Y</sub> + C<sub>Blast BF 4 PJ Y</sub> + C<sub>Blast BF 5 PJ Y</sub> + C<sub>Blast BF 6 PJ Y</sub>) • SEC <sub>Blast,</sub>
where C<sub>Blast BF 1 PJ Y</sub> - project BF #1 air blast consumption calculated by formula D.2, thou.m<sup>3</sup>/year;
C<sub>Blast BF 5 PJ Y</sub> - project BF #5 air blast consumption, thou.m<sup>3</sup>/year;
C<sub>Blast BF 5 PJ Y</sub> - project BF #6 air blast consumption, thou.m<sup>3</sup>/year;
SEC <sub>Blast -</sub> specific electricity consumption for air blast generation (table D.2), MW•hour/thou.m<sup>3</sup>.

This template shall not be altered. It shall be completed without modifying/adding headings or logo, format or font.





D.1.1.3. Relevant data necessary for determining the <u>baseline</u> of anthropogenic emissions of greenhouse gases by sources within the											
project boundary, and how such data will be collected and archived:											
ID number (Please use numbers to ease cross- referencing to	Data variable	Source of data	Data unit	Measured (m), calculated (c), estimated (e)	Recording frequency	Proportion of data to be monitored	How will the data be archived? (electronic/ namer)	Comment			
D.2.							paper)				
P-1. P <sub>BF 1 PJ Y</sub>	<i>BF #1 molten</i> <i>iron production</i>	BFS operations technical report	ton	<i>(m)</i>	monthly	100%	Electronic				
P-2. P <sub>BF 4 PJ Y</sub>	<i>BF #4 molten</i> <i>iron production</i>	BFS operations technical report	ton	<i>(m)</i>	monthly	100%	Electronic				
P-3. P <sub>BF 5 PJ Y</sub>	<i>BF #5 molten</i> <i>iron production</i>	BFS operations technical report	ton	<i>(m)</i>	monthly	100%	Electronic				
P-12. P BF 6 PJY	<i>BF</i> #6 molten iron production	BFS operations technical report	ton	<i>(m)</i>	monthly	100%	Electronic				
Р-22. <i>Q<sub>NG PJ Y</sub></i>	Net calorific value of the natural gas, supplied to "NTMK"	Passport (Quality certificate) of natural gas from the supplier	GJ/thou.m. <sup>3</sup>	(m)	monthly	100%	Electronic / paper	Average value is identified in the end of the year			

### D.1.1.4. Description of formulae used to estimate <u>baseline</u> emissions (for each gas, source etc.; emissions in units of CO<sub>2</sub> equivalent):

According to the project boundary definition, the baseline CO<sub>2</sub> calculation takes into account both direct CO<sub>2</sub> emissions at "NTMK" and indirect emissions at the RF UES power grids;

(D.17)  $BE_Y = BE_{Direct Y} + BE_{Indirect Y}$ where  $BE_Y$  - total baseline CO<sub>2</sub> emissions, t/year;  $BE_{Direct Y}$  - baseline direct CO<sub>2</sub> emissions, t/year;  $BE_{Indirect Y}$  - baseline indirect CO<sub>2</sub> emissions, t/year.



### **Baseline direct CO<sub>2</sub> emissions**



Total baseline molten iron production within the project boundary, as defined in section B.1, is equal to the project production at BF ##1,4,5 and 6. The BF shop baseline production distribution among BF ##1-5 is done proportionally to these furnaces' molten iron production data in 2001-2003 by the following formula:

(D.18)  $P_{BF X BL Y} = (P_{BF I PJY} + P_{BF 4 PJY} + P_{BF 5 PJY} + P_{BF 6 PJY}) \cdot P_{BF X} / (\sum P_{BF 1-5})$ , where  $P_{BF I PJY}$  - BF #1 project molten iron production, t/year;  $P_{BF 4 PJY}$  - BF #4 project molten iron production, t/year;  $P_{BF 5 PJY}$  - BF #5 project molten iron production, t/year;  $P_{BF 6 PJY}$  - BF #6 project molten iron production, t/year;  $P_{BF X}$  - average production for each BF (##1-5) in 2001-2003 according to table B.7, t/year;  $\sum P_{BF 1-5}$  - total molten iron production by BF ##1-5 according to the average data for 2001-2003 (4.711 t/year); x - BF number (##1-5).

Specific baseline consumption of materials, fuel and energy carriers and carbon content in the molten iron of BF ##1-5 is calculated in section B.1 and are presented in table B.3. The constants, related to the coke production operations, BF shop and TPP-steam blower according to baseline and project scenario are presented in table D.1.

Baseline direct emissions are calculated as the aggregate of CO<sub>2</sub> emissions of "NTMK" BF ##1-5:

(D.19)  $BE_{Direct Y} = \sum (BE_{BFXY})$ , where  $BE_{BFXY}$  - CO<sub>2</sub> emissions due to the operations of BF x, t/year; x - BF number (##1-5).

CO<sub>2</sub> emissions due to the operations of each of the BF are calculated as follows:

(D.20)  $BE_{BFXY} = BE_{Coking Coal BFXY} + BE_{Limestone BFXY} + BE_{NGBFXY} - 44/12 \cdot C_{output BFXBLY}$ ,

where BE Coking Coal BFXY - baseline CO<sub>2</sub> emissions due to the consumption of coking coal for molten iron production, t/year;

 $BE_{Limestone BFXY}$  baseline CO<sub>2</sub> emissions due to the limestone consumption by BF x, t/year;

 $BE_{NGBFXY}$  - baseline CO<sub>2</sub> emissions due to due to natural gas consumption for molten iron production at BF x, t/year;

 $C_{output BF X BL Y}$  - weight of carbon in the BF x molten iron and by-products of the coke-chemical operations, released during the production of coke required for the BF operations, t/year;

*x* – BF number (##1-5).

This template shall not be altered. It shall be completed without modifying/adding headings or logo, format or font.





Variables participating in the equation (D.20), are calculated by the following formulae:

(D.21)  $BE_{Coking Coal BFXY} = 44/12 \bullet SC_{coke BFX BL} \bullet P_{BF X BL Y} \bullet \%C_{Coking coal} / SO_{Coke Coking coal}$ where  $SC_{coke BF X BL Y}$  - specific consumption of coke at BF x inclusive of the undersized coke, (table B.3), t/t;  $P_{BF X BLY}$  - baseline molten iron production at BF x, t/year; %C Coking coal – carbon content in coking coal, taken from table D.1 data, %; SO Coke Coking coal - coke yield from coking coal at "NTMK", calculated by table D.1 data, %; x - BF number (##1-5). (D.22)  $BE_{Limestone BFXY} = 44/12 \bullet (SC_{limestone BFXBL} \bullet P_{BFXBLY} \bullet %C_{limestone}),$ where SC limestone BF x BL y – baseline specific limestone consumption at BF x (table B.3), t/t;  $P_{BF X BL Y}$  - baseline molten iron production at BF x, t/year; %*C*<sub>limestone</sub> – carbon content in limestone taken from table D.1 data, %: x - BF number (##1-5).  $(D.23) BE_{NG BF X Y} = (SFR_{NG BF X BL Y} + SC_{NG Steam} \bullet (SC_{Steam BF X BL} + SC_{Steam Coke} \bullet SC_{Coke BF X BL Y} + SC_{Steam Blast} \bullet SC_{Blast BF X BL Y})) \bullet P_{BF X BL Y} \bullet Q_{NG Y} \bullet EF_{NG},$ where  $SFR_{NGBFXBLY}$  - baseline specific consumption of natural gas at BF x (table B.3), thou.m<sup>3</sup>/t;  $SC_{NG Steam}$  – specific consumption of natural gas for steam generation at TPP-steam blower, taken from table D.1 data, m<sup>3</sup>/Gcal;  $SC_{Steam BF X BL Y}$  - specific consumption of steam at BF x for technological purposes calculated in section B.1, Gcal/t;  $SC_{Steam Coke}$  – baseline specific consumption of steam for coke production, taken from table D.1 data, Gcal/t; SC coke BF x BL y – baseline specific consumption of coke at BF x inclusive of the undersized coke (table B.3), t/t;  $SC_{Steam Blast}$  – specific steam consumption for generation of blast air, taken from table D.1 data, Gcal/m<sup>3</sup>;  $SC_{Blast BF X BL Y}$  - baseline specific blast air consumption at BF x (table D.1), m<sup>3</sup>/t;  $P_{BF, X, BL, Y}$  - BF x baseline molten iron production, t/year;  $Q_{NGY}$  – net calorific value of natural gas, used at "NTMK", GJ/thou.m.<sup>3</sup>;  $EF_{NG}$  – natural gas emission factor, tons of CO<sub>2</sub>/GJ;

x - BF number (##1-5).

(D.24)  $C_{output BF X BL Y} = P_{BF X BL Y} \bullet \% C_{Iron BF X BL Y} + SC_{coke BF X BL Y} / SO_{Coke Coking coal} \bullet (SO_{Naph} \bullet \% C_{Naph} + SO_{Benz} \bullet \% C_{Benz})$ , where  $P_{BF X BL Y}$  - baseline molten iron production at BF x, t/year;

 $%C_{Iron BF X BL Y}$  - baseline carbon content in molten iron of BF x (table B.3), %;

SC coke BF X BL Y - baseline specific coke consumption at BF x inclusive of the undersized coke (table B.3), t/t;




SO <sub>Coke Coking coal</sub> – coke yield from coking coal at "NTMK", taken from table D.1 data, %; SO <sub>Naph</sub> – specific naphthalene yield per 1 ton of coking coal, taken from table D.1 data, t/t; %C <sub>Naph</sub> – carbon content in naphthalene, taken from table D.1 data, %; SO <sub>Benz</sub> – specific benzol yield per 1 ton of coking coal, taken from table D.1 data, t/t; %C <sub>Benz</sub> - carbon content in benzol, taken from table D.1 data, %; x – BF number, (##1-5).

#### **Baseline indirect emissions**

Annual baseline  $CO_2$  emissions during electricity generation at the RF power grids, which is used for molten iron production within the project boundary (*BE Indirect Y*), are calculated in the same manner as the project scenario:

(D.25)  $BE_{Indirect Y} = EC_{BL Y} \bullet EF_{CO2 grid}$ ,

where  $EC_{BLY}$  - total electricity consumption within the project boundary, MW• hour/year.

 $EF_{CO2 grid}$  – CO<sub>2</sub> emission factors in the RF energy system, recommended by the Operational Guidelines for Project Design Documents of Joint Implementation Projects, which were calculated by the Ministry of Economic Affairs of the Netherlands in 2004<sup>1</sup>, t CO<sub>2</sub>/ MW•hour.

Total baseline electricity consumption within the project boundary is calculated by the formula:

(D.26)  $EC_{BL Y} = EC_{BF BL Y} + EC_{Coke BL Y} + EC_{Oxygen BL Y} + EC_{Water BL Y} + EC_{Blast BL Y}$ , where  $EC_{BF BL Y} - BF$  baseline electricity consumption within the project boundary, MW• hour/year;  $EC_{Coke BL Y} -$  baseline electricity consumption within the project boundary for coke production, MW• hour/year;  $EC_{Oxygen BL Y} -$  baseline electricity consumption within the project boundary for oxygen generation, MW• hour/year;  $EC_{Water BL Y} -$  baseline electricity consumption within the project boundary for BF supply with recycle water, MW• hour/year;  $EC_{Blast BL Y} -$  baseline electricity consumption within the project boundary for BF supply with recycle water, MW• hour/year;

"NTMK" baseline electricity consumption in the BFS ( $EC_{BF BL Y}$ ) is calculated as follows:

(D.27)  $EC_{BF BL Y} = \sum (P_{BF X BL Y} \bullet SEC_{BF X BL Y}),$ 

where  $P_{BFXBLY} - \overline{BF}x$  baseline molten iron production, calculated by formula D.18, t/year;

SEC BF X BL Y - BF x baseline specific electricity consumption (table B.3), MW• hour/t;

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Operational Guidelines for Project Design Documents of Joint Implementation Projects. Volume 1: General guidelines. Version 2.3. Ministry of Economic Affairs of the Netherlands. May 2004. p.43, Table B2

This template shall not be altered. It shall be completed without modifying/adding headings or logo, format or font.





*x* – BF number (##1-5).

Baseline electricity consumption for coke production  $(EC_{Coke BL Y})$  is calculated as follows:

(D.28)  $EC_{Coke \ BL \ Y} = \sum (P_{BFXBL \ Y} \bullet SC_{Coke \ BFX \ BL \ Y}) \bullet SEC_{Coke}$ , where  $P_{BFXBL \ Y} - BF \ x$  baseline molten iron production, calculated by formula D.18, t/year;  $SC_{Coke \ BFX \ BL \ Y} - BF \ x$  baseline specific coke consumption (table B.3), t/t;  $SEC_{Coke} -$  specific electricity consumption for coke production (table D.2), MW• hour/tons of coke; x - BF number (##1-5).

Baseline electricity consumption for oxygen generation at the air separation plant ( $EC_{Oxygen BL Y}$ ) is calculated as follows:

(D.29)  $EC_{Oxygen \ BL \ Y} = \sum (P_{BFX \ BL \ Y} \bullet SC_{Oxygen \ BFX \ BL}) \bullet SEC_{Oxygen}$ , where  $P_{BFX \ BL \ Y}$  - baseline BF x molten iron production, calculated by formula D.18, t/year;  $SC_{Oxygen \ BFX \ BL \ Y}$  - BF x baseline specific oxygen consumption (table B.3), thou.m.<sup>3</sup>/t;  $SEC_{Oxygen}$  - specific electricity consumption for oxygen generation (table D.2), MW•hour/thou.m<sup>3</sup>; x - BF number (##1-5).

Electricity consumption for the BFS recycle water supply  $(EC_{Water BL Y})$  is calculated as follows:

(D.30)  $EC_{Water BL Y} = \sum (P_{BFX BL Y} \cdot SC_{Water BFX BL}) \cdot SEC_{Water}$ , where  $P_{BFX BL Y} - BF x$  baseline molten iron production, calculated by formula D.18, t/year;  $SC_{Water BFX BL Y} - BF x$  baseline specific water consumption (table B.3), thou.m<sup>3</sup>/t;  $SEC_{Water}$  - specific electricity consumption for the BFS water supply (table D.2), MW• hour/thou.m<sup>3</sup>; x - BF number (##1-5).

Electricity consumption for blast air generation ( $EC_{Blast BL Y}$ ) is calculated as follows:

(D.31)  $EC_{Blast BL Y} = \sum (P_{BF X BL Y} \bullet SC_{Blast BF X BL}) \bullet SEC_{Blast}$ 

where  $P_{BFXBLY}$  – BF x baseline molten iron production, calculated by formula D.18 t/year;  $SC_{Blast BFX BLY}$  – BF x baseline specific air Blast consumption (table B.3), thou.m<sup>3</sup>/t;  $SEC_{Blast}$  – specific electricity consumption for Blast air generation (table D.2), MW• hour/thou. m<sup>3</sup>;

*x* – BF number (##1-5).





#### D. 1.2. Option 2 – Direct monitoring of emission reductions from the project (values should be consistent with those in section E.):

Not applicable.

D.1.2.1. Data to be collected in order to monitor emission reductions from the project, and how these data will be archived:								
ID number (Please use numbers to ease cross- referencing to D.2.)	Data variable	Source of data	Data unit	Measured (m), calculated (c), estimated (e)	Recording frequency	Proportion of data to be monitored	How will the data be archived? (electronic/ paper)	Comment

D.1.2.2. Description of formulae used to calculate emission reductions from the <u>project</u> (for each gas, source etc.; emissions/emission reductions in units of CO<sub>2</sub> equivalent):

Not applicable.

#### D.1.3. Treatment of leakage in the monitoring plan:

Not applicable.

D.1.3.1. If applicable, please describe the data and information that will be collected in order to monitor leakage effects of the project:								
ID number (Please use numbers to ease cross	Data variable	Source of data	Data unit	Measured (m), calculated (c), estimated (e)	Recording frequency	Proportion of data to be monitored	How will the data be archived?	Comment
referencing to D.2.)							paper)	





#### D.1.3.2. Description of formulae used to estimate leakage (for each gas, source etc.; emissions in units of CO<sub>2</sub> equivalent):

Not applicable.

D.1.4. Description of formulae used to estimate emission reductions for the <u>project</u> (for each gas, source etc.; emissions/emission reductions in units of CO<sub>2</sub> equivalent):

Reductions of CO<sub>2</sub> emissions due to the project realization are calculated by the formula:

(D.32)  $ER_{Y} = BE_{Y} - PE_{Y}$ 

where  $ER_{Y}$  – CO<sub>2</sub> emission reductions, t/year;

 $BE_{Y}$  – baseline CO<sub>2</sub> emissions, t/year;

 $PE_{Y}$  - CO<sub>2</sub> emission reduction during the project realization, t/year

D.1.5. Where applicable, in accordance with procedures as required by the <u>host Party</u>, information on the collection and archiving of information on the environmental impacts of the <u>project</u>:

Within "NTMK" structure there is the Environmental Protection Department (EPD). In its operations this department is governed by the active legislation, orders and instructions from the "NTMK" General Director and the regulations of the Service of State Environmental Control of Natural Resources Committee. EPD includes well-trained personnel, does not require additional technical equipment and is well able to facilitate the proper production environmental monitoring of the project.

The EPD exercises control over:

- Emission of contaminants into the atmosphere;
- Quality of waste and technical water;
- Utilization, storage, relocation and burial of production wastes.

Analytical control over the various kinds of environmental impacts due to the BFS operations is exercised in compliance with the existing regulations. Control over the emission of contaminants into the atmosphere is exercised in line with the "Schedule for control over the compliance with the established MPE values".





The specialized EPD laboratory, which holds the accreditation certificate issued by ROSS RU. 0001.512 529 dd. 03.11.2003, exercises the instrumental control by way of collecting and analyzing samples of emitted contaminants directly from the sources. The data is summarized and tabulated in the reports where all the necessary detailed information is reflected, including the information on the areas covered in this project.

The company reports in compliance with the following official annual statistical forms:

- 2-tp (air) Data on the atmosphere air protection, including the information on the amount of the collected and neutralized atmospheric pollutants, detailed emissions of specific contaminants, number of emission sources, measures for reduction of emissions into the atmosphere and emissions from separate groups of contamination sources;
- 2-tp (water management) Data on the water usage, including the information on the water consumption from natural sources, discharge of waste water and content of contaminants in the water, capacity of water treatment facilities etc.;
- 2-tp (wastes) *Data on the generation, use, neutralization, transportation and emplacement of production and consumption wastes,* including the annual balance of the wastes management separately for their types and hazard classes.

D.2. Quality control (	QC) and quality assurance	(QA) procedures undertaken for data monitored:
Data	Uncertainty level of data	Explain QA/QC procedures planned for these data, or why such procedures are not necessary.
(Indicate table and	(high/medium/low)	
ID number)		
$P-1. P_{BF 1 PJ Y}$		
<i>P-2. P</i> <sub><i>BF 4 PJ Y</i></sub>	Low	Molten iron production is measured at the wagon weighbridge VESTO-SD20, AVP-VP-SD. Weighbridge is
P-3. P <sub>BF 5 PJ Y</sub>	LOW	calibrated by employees of "NTMK" Process Automation Shop. Weighbridge is calibrated once in 12 months
P-12. P BF 6 PJ Y		
P-4. M coke BF 5 PJ Y		Communitient of an extension is an extension of the environment of the
P-5. M limestone BF 5 PJ Y	Low	Consumption of materials is measured at the weight feeder DV-10.
P-13. M coke BF 6 PJ Y	LOW	The metering unit is calibrated by employees of NTMK Frocess Automation Shop. Weightinge is calibrated
P-14. M limestone BF 6 PJ Y		
$P-6. FR_{NG BF 5 PJ Y}$		BF #5 consumption of natural gas, steam and blast air is measured by the SITRANS transformers.
P-7. C <sub>Steam BF 5 PJ Y</sub>	Low	The metering devices are calibrated by employees of "NTMK" Process Automation Shop. Calibration frequency is
P-8. C <sub>Blast BF 5 PJ Y</sub>		once in 36 months
$P-15. FR_{NG BF 6 PJ Y}$		BF #6 consumption of natural gas, steam and blast air is measured by the SITRANS transformer.
$P-16. C_{Steam BF 6 PJ Y}$	Low	The metering devices are calibrated by employees of "NTMK" Process Automation Shop. Calibration frequency is
P-17. C <sub>Blast BF 6 PJ Y</sub>		once in 36 months





P-9. EC <sub>BF 5 PJ Y</sub> P-18. EC <sub>BF 6 PJ Y</sub>	Low	Consumption of electricity is measured by electricity meters SAZU-I670M, SR4U-I673MB and SET-4TMO2.2. Meters are calibrated by employees of "NTMK" Process Automation Shop. Calibration frequency of SAZU-I670M and SR4U-I673MB is once in 48 months, SET-4TMO2.2 – once in 120 months.
P-10. C <sub>Oxygen</sub> BF 5 PJ Y P-19. C <sub>Oxygen</sub> BF 6 PJ Y	Low	Oxygen consumption is measured by thermal energy flow meter IM-2300. The metering unit is calibrated by employees of "NTMK" Process Automation Shop. Calibration frequency - once in 36 months
P-11. C <sub>Water</sub> BF 5 PJ Y P-20. C <sub>Water</sub> BF 6 PJ Y	Low	Water consumption at BF #5 and #6 is measured by electromagnetic flow meter OPTIFLUKS and AVV transformer. The metering unit is calibrated by employees of "NTMK" Process Automation Shop. Calibration frequency of OPTIFLUKS electromagnetic flow meter is 48 months, AVV transformer – 26 months.
P-21. EO TPRT PJ Y	Low	PTRT electricity generation is measured by electricity meter SET-4TM #08051487. The meter is calibrated by employees of "NTMK" Process Automation Shop. Calibration frequency – 60 months.
$P-22. Q_{NGY}$	Low	Lower heating values are monthly provided to "NTMK" Chief Power Engineer Office from natural gas supplier

The acquisition procedure and quality of the parameters specified above are regulated by the Quality Management System (QMS), which is implemented at "NTMK" and certified for compliance with the ISO 9001:2000 standard requirements. The QMS, along with the set procedures for the technological processes' monitoring according to the Russian state standards and norms (GOSTs), ensures the acquisition of accurate data on the quality of technology and energy processes under the Project implementation at "NTMK" with low level of uncertainty.

#### D.3. Please describe the operational and management structure that the project operator will apply in implementing the monitoring plan:

The operational and management monitoring system takes into maximum account the existing "NTMK" reporting systems and is presented in figure D.1 below.



#### JOINT IMPLEMENTATION PROJECT DESIGN DOCUMENT FORM - Version 01



#### Joint Implementation Supervisory Committee



Figure D.1. Diagram of CO<sub>2</sub> emissions monitoring system at "NTMK"





Stages of CO<sub>2</sub> emissions monitoring at "NTMK":

- 1. Data on BFS molten iron production and BF #5 and #6 consumption of coke and limestone is registered in the automatic system of the materials' weights registering and saved in the BFS database (database sections on "Molten iron production" and "Charging material infeed").
- 2. Based on the downloads from database, the BFS primary accounting department economist enters data on molten iron production and coke and limestone consumption into the monthly BFS operations technical report.
- 3. Based on the readings of oxygen, blast air and water flowmeters, installed at BF #5 and #6, the Process Automation Shop foremen establish the values of BF #5 and #6 consumption of oxygen, blast air and water per month.
- 4. Based on the readings of natural gas flowmeters, installed at BF #5 and #6, the automatic system of energy carriers' registering ("Monitoring of current activities") establishes the values of BF #5 and #6 natural gas consumption per month.
- 5. Based on the BF #5 and #5 electricity consumption meters and TPRT electricity generation meters readings, the BFS Chief Electrician jointly with the Grids and Substations Shop specialists establishes the values of BF #5 and #6 electricity consumption and TPRT electricity generation per month.
- 6. Based on the data acquired in p. 5, the Grids and Substations Shop specialists prepare monthly technical report on the "NTMK" electricity consumption.
- 7. "NTMK" electricity consumption department audits "NTMK" electricity consumption monthly technical report and submits the data to the Process Automation Shop registering group.
- 8. Based on the data acquired in pp. 3, 4 and 7 the Process Automation Shop registering group establishes the summary data on "NTMK" energy carriers' consumption and submits the data on BF #5 and #6 energy carriers' consumption to the BFS primary accounting department economist.
- 9. BFS primary accounting department economist forms data for drafting monthly BFS operations technical report.
- 10. Chief Power Engineer Office (CPEO) specialists provide the person, responsible for monitoring, with the natural gas quality certificates.
- 11. Based on the data from pp. 2, 9 and 10, the person, responsible for monitoring, fills in the form to prepare the report on the  $CO_2$  emissions monitoring and hands the form over to Camco. The person, responsible for monitoring, ensures the storage of data, needed for the calculation of the emission reduction units, on the electronic and paper media until 2014 in the order, which will be established by the plant "Regulations for the order of  $CO_2$ emissions monitoring at "NTMK".
- 12. Based on the methods, specified in sections D.1.1.2 and D.1.1.4, Camco makes calculation of the emission reduction units and prepares the report on the JI project monitoring.

The template for submitting the initial data for the preparation of the CO<sub>2</sub> emissions report is presented in Annex 3 – "Monitoring plan".

#### D.4. Name of person(s)/entity(ies) establishing the monitoring plan:

Monitoring plan was developed by the specialists of "Camco Carbon Russia Limited":

- Contact person: Ryumin Oleg
- E-mail: Russia@camcoglobal.com



page 45

**UVECO** 

#### SECTION E. Estimation of greenhouse gas emission reductions

#### E.1. Estimated <u>project</u> emissions:

In order to calculate "NTMK" project  $CO_2$  emissions, the carbon balance technique, presented in section B.1 of this document, is applied.

During calculations of project  $CO_2$  emissions, the following flows of materials and energy carriers are considered:

• Flows coming into the project boundary:

- Coking coal;
- Natural gas;
- Electricity (considered during indirect emissions' calculation);
- Limestone;
- Flows leaving the project boundary:
  - Molten iron;
  - By-products of coal coking.

#### **Project direct CO<sub>2</sub> emissions**

As is shown in section B.1 of this document, project molten iron production and consumption of materials, fuel and energy carriers at BF #1,4,5 and 6 are taken from the actual data of furnaces' operations.

BF #5 and #6 performance figures for 2008 are taken from the shop operations' report, and the prediction for 2009-2012 is based on the average BF #5 and #6 performance figures in 2006-2008.

BF #1 and #4 molten iron production in 2008 is taken from the shop operations' report, and the specific consumption of materials, fuel and energy carriers, in compliance with section B.1, is based on the average data of BF operations in 2001-2003.

Data on #1,4,5 and 6 molten iron production and composition and on specific consumption of materials, fuel and energy carriers is presented in table E.1. Data on coke consumption is given inclusive of the undersized coke and natural gas consumption includes the volume of gas consumed for the BF stoves heating.





page 46

Table E.1

UNFCCC

	"NTMK" I	BFS project	performance	e		
Parameter	Unit	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012
Blast furnace #1						
Molten iron production	t/year	736 745	0	0	0	0
Carbon content in molten iron	%, weight	4.66	0	0	0	0
Specific consumption of fuel, materials and energy carriers per 1 ton of molten iron						
Coke consumption	kg/t	496	0	0	0	0
Natural gas consumption	m <sup>3</sup> /t	101	0	0	0	0
Limestone consumption	kg/t	54	0	0	0	0
Steam consumption	Gcal/t	0.065	0	0	0	0
Blast air consumption	m <sup>3</sup> /t	1251	0	0	0	0
Blast furnace #4						
Molten iron production	t/year	866 257	0	0	0	0
Carbon content in molten iron	%, weight	4.66	0	0	0	0
Specific consumption of fuel, materials and energy carriers per 1 ton of molten iron						
Coke consumption	kg/t	479	0	0	0	0
Natural gas consumption	m <sup>3</sup> /t	107	0	0	0	0
Limestone consumption	kg/t	53	0	0	0	0
Steam consumption	Gcal/t	0.054	0	0	0	0
Blast air consumption	m <sup>3</sup> /t	1341	0	0	0	0
Blast furnace #5						
Molten iron production	t/year	1 739 357	1 797 924	1 797 924	1 797 924	1 797 924
Carbon content in molten iron	%, weight	4.74	4.78	4.78	4.78	4.78
Specific consumption of fuel, materials and energy carriers per 1 ton of molten iron						
Coke consumption	kg/t	461	444	444	444	444
Natural gas consumption	m <sup>3</sup> /t	116	134	134	134	134
Limestone consumption	kg/t	61	61	61	61	61
Steam consumption	Gcal/t	0.036	0.042	0.042	0.042	0.042
Blast air consumption	m <sup>3</sup> /t	1121	1166	1166	1166	1166
Blast furnace #6						
Molten iron production	t/year	1 464 722	1 649 691	1 649 691	1 649 691	1 649 691
Carbon content in molten iron	%, weight	4.75	4.72	4.72	4.72	4.72
Specific consumption of fuel, materials and energy carriers per 1 ton of molten iron						
Coke consumption	kg/t	460	445	445	445	445
Natural gas consumption	m <sup>3</sup> /t	106	128	128	128	128
Limestone consumption	kg/t	63	62	62	62	62
Steam consumption	Gcal/t	0.036	0.044	0.044	0.044	0.044
Blast air consumption	m³/t	1 295	1 250	1 250	1 250	1 250

In order to calculate the project gross annual consumption of materials, fuel and energy carriers, listed in table E.1, the following equation is applied:

(E.1) C <sub>PJY</sub> = P<sub>BF1PJY</sub> • SC <sub>BF1PJY</sub> + P<sub>BF4PJY</sub> • SC <sub>BF4PJY</sub> + P<sub>BF5PJY</sub> • SC <sub>BF5PJY</sub> + + P <sub>BF6PJY</sub> • SC <sub>BF6PJY</sub>, where P <sub>BF1PJY</sub> - BF #1 project molten iron production (table E.1), t/year; SC <sub>BF1PJY</sub> - BF #1 specific consumption of fuel, materials and energy carriers (table E.1), kg (m<sup>3</sup>, Gcal)/t; P <sub>BF4PJY</sub> - BF #4 project molten iron production (table E.1), t/year; SC <sub>BF4PJY</sub> - BF #4 specific consumption of fuel, materials and energy carriers (table E.1), kg (m<sup>3</sup>, Gcal)/t; P <sub>BF5PJY</sub> - BF #4 specific consumption of fuel, materials and energy carriers (table E.1), kg (m<sup>3</sup>, Gcal)/t; P <sub>BF5PJY</sub> - BF #5 project molten iron production (table E.1), t/year; SC <sub>BF5PJY</sub> - BF #5 specific consumption of fuel, materials and energy carriers (table E.1), kg (m<sup>3</sup>, Gcal)/t; P <sub>BF6PJY</sub> - BF #5 project molten iron production (table E.1), t/year; (table E.1), kg (m<sup>3</sup>, Gcal)/t; P <sub>BF6PJY</sub> - BF #6 project molten iron production (table E.1), t/year;

 $SC_{BF \, 6 \, PJ \, Y-}$  BF #6 specific consumption of fuel, materials and energy carriers (table E.1), kg (m<sup>3</sup>, Gcal)/t.

Project total molten iron production and gross consumption of fuel, materials and energy carriers are presented in table E.2.

Γ	a	b	le	E.	.2
---	---	---	----	----	----

	BFS project performance						
Parameter	Unit	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	
Total molten iron production	t/year	4 807 081	3 447 615	3 447 615	3 447 615	3 447 615	
Coke consumption	t/year	2 256 267	1 532 990	1 532 990	1 532 990	1 532 990	
Natural gas consumption	thou.m <sup>3</sup> /year	524 415	452 682	452 682	452 682	452 682	
Limestone consumption	t/year	284 320	212 504	212 504	212 504	212 504	
Steam consumption	Gcal/year	209 479	147 549	147 549	147 549	147 549	
Blast ait consumption	thou.m <sup>3</sup> /year	5 929 953	4 157 343	4 157 343	4 157 343	4 157 343	

Project CO<sub>2</sub> emissions due to coking coal consumption are calculated as follows:

(E.2)  $PE_{Coking Coal Y} = 44/12 \bullet M_{Coke PJY}/SO_{Coking Coal Coke} \bullet \%C_{Coking Coal}$ 

where  $M_{Coke PJY}$  - project coke consumption (table E.2), t/year;

*SO* <sub>Coking Coal Coke</sub> – specific yield of coke from coking coal, determined in section B.1 of the project documentation (table B.4), 74.92%;

 $%C_{Coking Coal}$  – mass fraction of carbon in coking coal determined in section B.1 of the project documentation (table B.4), 60.2%.

Calculation results of  $CO_2$  emissions due to coking coal consumption within the project boundary are presented in table E.3.

Table E.3

Parameter	Unit	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012
Coke consumption	t/year	2 256 267	1 532 990	1 532 990	1 532 990	1 532 990
Coking coal consumption	t/year	3 011 568	2 046 169	2 046 169	2 046 169	2 046 169
CO <sub>2</sub> emissions due to coking coal consumption	tons of CO <sub>2</sub> /year	6 647 535	4 516 577	4 516 577	4 516 577	4 516 577

Project CO<sub>2</sub> emissions due to coking coal consumption

Project emissions of CO<sub>2</sub> due to limestone consumption are calculated as follows:



page 48

## (E.3) $PE_{Limestone Y} = 44/12 \bullet M_{Limestone PJY} \bullet \% C_{Limestone}$

where  $M_{Limestone PJY}$  - project annual limestone consumption (table E.2), t/year.

 $%C_{Limestone}$  – mass fraction of carbon in coking coal accepted at 12% based on the data from the 2006 IPCC guidelines for national greenhouse gas inventories<sup>1</sup>.

Calculation results of  $CO_2$  emissions due to BF limestone consumption are presented in table E.4.

Table E.4

Parameter	Unit	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012
Limestone consumption	t/year	284 320	212 504	212 504	212 504	212 504
CO <sub>2</sub> emissions due to limestone consumption	tons of CO₂/year	125 101	93 502	93 502	93 502	93 502

Proi	iect	CO <sub>2</sub>	emissions	due to	limestone	consumpt	tion
110		$\mathbf{v}\mathbf{v}$	viiiiooioiio	auc io	mostome	consump	<b>LUI</b>

In order to calculate  $CO_2$  emissions due to natural gas consumption we shall determine its consumption within the project boundary. Natural gas is used:

- In the blast furnace shop -
  - It is blown into the blast furnaces in order to save the coke for molten iron production,
  - It is fed into the stoves to increase the blast air temperature;
  - At the TPP-steam blower for the generation of steam
    - o used for the BFS process needs;
    - o used in the coke-chemical operations;
    - o used for generation of blast air at the air blowers.

The amount of TPP-steam blower steam consumed within the project boundary ( $C_{Steam PJ Y}$ ) is calculated by the formula:

(E.4)  $C_{Steam PJ} = C_{Steam BF PJ} + SC_{Steam Coke} \cdot M_{Coke PJ} + SC_{Steam Blast} \cdot C_{Blast PJ}$ 

where  $C_{Steam BFPJY}$  – project steam consumption at the BFS (table E.2), Gcal/year;

 $SC_{Steam Coke}$  – specific steam consumption for coke production, accepted according to table B.4 at the level of 356.3 Kcal/t of coke;

 $M_{Coke PJY}$  – project coke consumption in the BFS (table E.2), t/year;

 $SC_{Steam Blast}$  – specific steam consumption for blast air production, determined based on the data from LLC "NTMK-Energo" (table B.4) at 0.149 Gcal/thou.m<sup>3</sup>;

 $C_{Blast PJY}$ -project BFS blast air consumption (table E.2), m<sup>3</sup>/year

Calculation results of steam consumption during blast furnace and coke-chemical operations and at TPP-steam blower within the project boundary are presented in table E.5.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> 2006 IPCC Guidelines for national greenhouse inventories. Volume 3 Industrial Processes and Product Use. Chapter 4 Metal Industry Emissions. p.4.27 table 4.3

page 49

UNFCO

Project steam consumption calculation							
Parameter	Unit	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	
Steam consumption in the BFS	Gcal/year	209 479	147 549	147 549	147 549	147 549	
Coke consumprion	t/year	2 256 267	1 532 990	1 532 990	1 532 990	1 532 990	
Steam consumption in the CCO operations	Gcal/year	803 983	546 255	546 255	546 255	546 255	
Blast air consumption	thou.m <sup>3</sup> /year	5 929 953	4 157 343	4 157 343	4 157 343	4 157 343	
Steam consumption at TPP-steam blower for blast air production	Gcal/year	883 563	619 444	619 444	619 444	619 444	
Total steam consumption within the project boundaries	Gcal/year	1 897 025	1 313 249	1 313 249	1 313 249	1 313 249	

Table E.5

Total consumption of natural gas within the project boundary is calculated by the formula:

(E.5)  $FR_{NG PJ Y} = FR_{NG BF PJ Y} + SC_{NG Steam} \bullet C_{Steam PJ Y}$ 

where  $FR_{NG BF PJY}$  – project natural gas consumption in the BFS (table E.2), m<sup>3</sup>/year;

 $C_{Steam PJY}$  - project steam consumption within the project boundary (table E.5), Gcal/year;  $SC_{NG Steam}$  - specific natural gas consumption for steam production, determined based on the data from LLC "NTMK-Energo" at 74 m<sup>3</sup>/Gcal.

Calculation results of natural gas consumption in the BFS and TPP-steam blower for steam generation are presented in table E.6.

Table E.6

	TIOJECE	natural gas (	Jonsumption	1		
Parameter	Unit	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012
BFS natural gas consumption	thou.m <sup>3</sup> /year	524 415	452 682	452 682	452 682	452 682
TPP-steam blower natural gas consumption for steam generation	thou.m <sup>3</sup> /year	140 380	97 180	97 180	97 180	97 180
Total natural gas consumption within the project boundaries	thou.m <sup>3</sup> /year	664 795	549 862	549 862	549 862	549 862

#### Project natural gas consumption

CO<sub>2</sub> emissions due to natural gas consumption are calculated by the following formula:

(E.6)  $PE_{NG} = FR_{NG PJY} \bullet EF_{NG}$ ,

where  $FR_{NG PJ Y}$  – annual project natural gas consumption within the project boundary, (table E.6), thou.m<sup>3</sup>/year;

 $EF_{NG}$  - natural gas emission factor, tons of CO<sub>2</sub>/thou.m<sup>3</sup>.

Natural gas emission factor is taken from standard emission factors of energy carriers according to 2006 IPCC guidelines for national greenhouse gas inventories<sup>1</sup>. Natural gas net calorific value is accepted according to LLC "NTMK-Energo" average data for 2006-2008.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> 2006 IPCC Guidelines for National Greenhouse Gas Inventories. Volume 2. Energy. Chapter 2 Stationary combustion. p 2.16



page 50

UNFCO

Table E.7

INTIME natural gas enn	Ission factor	
Parameter	Unit	Value
Natural gas lower heating value	GJ/thou.m. <sup>3</sup>	33.23
	tons of CO <sub>2</sub> /GJ	0.0561
Natural gas emission factor	tons of CO <sub>2</sub> /thou.m. <sup>3</sup>	1.86

"NTMK" natural gas emission factor

Calculation results of  $CO_2$  emissions due to natural gas consumption within the project boundary are presented in table E.8.

Table E.8

Project emissions due to the consumption of natural gas within the project boundary							
Parameter	Unit 2008 2009 2010 2011 2012					2012	
Natural gas consumption within the project boundaries	thou.m <sup>3</sup> /year	thou.m <sup>3</sup> /year 664 795 549 862 549 862 549 862 549 8					
CO <sub>2</sub> emissions due to natural gas consumption	tons of CO <sub>2</sub> /year	1 239 129	1 024 902	1 024 902	1 024 902	1 024 902	

Part of the carbon, brought into the project boundary by coking coal, limestone and natural gas, remains in the product – molten iron and by-products of coke-chemical operations (naphthalene and benzol). Weight of carbon, contained in the end products that leave the project boundary is calculated by the following formula:

 (E.7) C output PJ Y = C output Iron PJ Y + C output Coking product PJ Y,
where C output Iron PJ Y - weight of carbon remaining in molten iron, t/year; C output Coking product PJ Y, - weight of carbon remaining in the by-products of coke-chemical operations, t/year.

The components, participating in formula E.7, are calculated in the following manner:

(E.8)  $C_{output Iron PJY} = \sum (P_{BFXPJY} \cdot \%C_{Iron BFXPJY})$ , where  $P_{BFXPJY}$ - BF x project molten iron production (table E.1), t/year; % $C_{Iron BFX PJY}$ - project mass fraction of carbon in BF x molten iron, %; x - BF number (#1,4,5,6).

(E.9)  $C_{output Coking product PJY} = M_{Coke PJY} / SO_{Coke Coking coal} \bullet (SO_{Naph} \bullet %C_{Naph} + SO_{Benz} \bullet %C_{Benz})$ , where  $M_{Coke PJY}$  - project coke consumption (table E.2), t/year;

SO  $_{Coke Coking coal}$  – "NTMK" coke yield from coking coal according to table B.4 data - 74.92%;

SO  $_{Naph}$  – specific naphthalene yield per 1 ton of coking coal according to table B.4 data, t/t;

%C Naph - carbon content in naphthalene determined according to table B.4 data, %;

SO  $_{Benz}$  – specific yield of benzol per 1 ton of coking coal, determined by table B.4 data, t/t;

%C Benz – carbon content in benzol, determined by table B.4 data, %.

Calculations of CO<sub>2</sub> emission reductions by formulae (E.7-9) are presented in tables E.9-11.

page 51

UNECO

Table E.9

Project weight of carbon remaining in the molten from						
Parameter	Unit	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012
Blast furnace #1	t/year	34 357	-	-	-	-
Blast furnace #2	t/year	-	-	-	-	-
Blast furnace #3	t/year	-	-	-	-	-
Blast furnace #4	t/year	40 396	-	-	-	-
Blast furnace #5	t/year	82 446	86 001	86 001	86 001	86 001
Blast furnace #6	t/year	69 574	77 865	77 865	77 865	77 865
Total weight of carbon remaining in molten iron	t/year	226 773	163 866	163 866	163 866	163 866

Project weight of carbon remaining in the molten iron

Table E.10

Project weight of carbon remaining in the by-products of coking

Parameter	Unit	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012
Coking coal consumption	t/year	3 011 568	2 046 169	2 046 169	2 046 169	2 046 169
Coking products yield						
Benzol	t/year	26 401	17 938	17 938	17 938	17 938
Naphthalene	t/year	5 722	3 888	3 888	3 888	3 888
Weight of carbon remaining in benzol	t/year	23 647	16 067	16 067	16 067	16 067
Weight of carbon remaining in naphthalene	t/year	5 117	3 477	3 477	3 477	3 477
Total weight of carbon remaining in the CCO by-products	t/year	28 764	19 543	19 543	19 543	19 543

Table E.11

Project weight of carbon remaining in the products

Parameter	Unit	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012
Weight of carbon remaining in molten iron	t/year	226 773	163 866	163 866	163 866	163 866
Weight of carbon remaining in coking products	t/year	28 764	19 543	19 543	19 543	19 543
Total weight of carbon remaining in coking products	t/year	255 537	183 410	183 410	183 410	183 410

Project CO<sub>2</sub> emissions are calculated in the following way:

(E.10)  $PE_{Direct Y} = PE_{Coking Coal Y} + PE_{Limestone Y} + PE_{NG Y} - 44/12 \bullet C_{output PJ Y}$ ,

where  $PE_{Coking Coal Y}$  – project emissions of CO<sub>2</sub> due to coking coal consumption within the project boundary, t/year;

 $PE_{Limestone Y}$  – project CO<sub>2</sub> emissions due to limestone consumption within the project boundary, t/year;

 $PE_{NG Y}$  - project CO<sub>2</sub> emissions due to natural gas consumption within the project boundary, t/year;

 $C_{output PJY}$  - weight of carbon in the molten iron, produced within the project boundary and in by-products of the coke-chemical operations, released during the production of coke, needed for molten iron production, t/year.

Calculation results of project direct  $CO_2$  emissions within the project boundary are presented in table E.12.



page 52

Table E.12

UNFCCO

Project direct CO <sub>2</sub> emissions						
Parameter	Unit	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012
CO <sub>2</sub> emissions due to coking coal consumption	tons of CO <sub>2</sub> /year	6 647 535	4 516 577	4 516 577	4 516 577	4 516 577
CO <sub>2</sub> emissions due to limestone consumption	tons of CO <sub>2</sub> /year	125 101	93 502	93 502	93 502	93 502
CO <sub>2</sub> emissions due to natural gas consumption	tons of CO <sub>2</sub> /year	1 239 129	1 024 902	1 024 902	1 024 902	1 024 902
Weight of carbon remaining in the project products in tons of CO <sub>2</sub> equivalent	tons of CO <sub>2</sub> /year	-936 970	-672 502	-672 502	-672 502	-672 502
Total direct emissions within the project boundaries	tons of CO <sub>2</sub> /year	7 074 794	4 962 479	4 962 479	4 962 479	4 962 479

#### **Project indirect CO<sub>2</sub> emissions**

The project indirect emissions are emissions of  $CO_2$  at the RF UES power grids during generation of electricity consumed for molten iron production within the project boundary.

Within the project boundary the electricity is consumed for:

- BF electrical equipment operation;
- Coke-chemical operations;
- Air separation plant for oxygen generation;
- Water supply shop for BF supply with recycle water;
- At TTP-steam blower for blast air generation.

The amount of project indirect emissions is decreased by the volume of electricity, generated by BF #6 TPRT.

Project specific consumption of electricity, oxygen and recycle water at BF #1,4,5,6 and electricity generation by TPRT in 2008-2012 are calculated in section B.1 and presented in table E.13.

Tabl	e E	.13
------	-----	-----

BFS project performance indicators							
Parameter	Unit	2008 г.	2009 г.	2010 г.	2011 г.	2012 г.	
Blast furnace #1							
Electricity consumption	kW*hr/t	5.0	-	-	-	-	
Oxygen consumption	m <sup>3</sup> /t	69.0	-	-	-	-	
Recycle water consumption	m³/t	14.7	-	-	-	-	
Blast furnace #4							
Electricity consumption	kW*hr/t	5.0	-	-	-	-	
Oxygen consumption	m <sup>3</sup> /t	55.7	-	-	-	-	
Recycle water consumption	m <sup>3</sup> /t	14.7	-	-	-	-	
Blast furnace #5							
Electricity consumption	kW*hr/t	23.0	23.0	23.0	23.0	23.0	
Oxygen consumption	m <sup>3</sup> /t	77.0	73.3	73.3	73.3	73.3	
Recycle water consumption	m³/t	16.0	13.7	13.7	13.7	13.7	
Blast furnace #6							
Electricity consumption	kW*hr/t	21.0	21.3	21.3	21.3	21.3	
Oxygen consumption	m <sup>3</sup> /t	43.0	56.3	56.3	56.3	56.3	
Recycle water consumption	m <sup>3</sup> /t	15.0	13.7	13.7	13.7	13.7	
Electricity generation at the TPRT	kW*hr/t	22.2	22.2	22.2	22.2	22.2	

This template shall not be altered. It shall be completed without modifying/adding headings or logo, format or font.

page 53

#### Joint Implementation Supervisory Committee

Total annual project consumption of electricity, oxygen and recycle water is calculated by the following equation:

(E.11) C <sub>PJY</sub> = P<sub>BF1PJY</sub> • SC <sub>BF1PJY</sub> + P<sub>BF4PJY</sub> • SC <sub>BF4PJY</sub> + P<sub>BF5PJY</sub> • SC <sub>BF5PJY</sub> + P<sub>BF5PJY</sub> • SC <sub>BF5PJY</sub> + P<sub>BF6PJY</sub> • SC <sub>BF6PJY</sub>, where P <sub>BF1PJY</sub> - BF #1 project molten iron production (table E.1), t/year; SC <sub>BF1PJY</sub> - BF #1 specific consumption of electricity, oxygen and recycle water (table E.13), kW • hour (m<sup>3</sup>) /t; P <sub>BF4PJY</sub> - BF #5 project molten iron production (table E.1), t/year;

 $SC_{BF 4 PJ Y} - BF \#5$  specific consumption of electricity, oxygen and recycle water (table E.13), kW• hour (m<sup>3</sup>) /t;

*P*<sub>BF5PJY</sub>-BF #5 project molten iron production (table E.1), t/year;

SC <sub>BF 5 PJ Y</sub> – BF #5 specific consumption of electricity, oxygen and recycle water (table E.13), kW• hour ( $m^3$ ) /t;

*P*<sub>BF6PJY</sub> – BF #6 project molten iron production (table E.1), t/year;

*SC* <sub>*BF 6 PJ Y -</sub> BF #6* specific consumption of electricity, oxygen and recycle water (table E.13), kW• hour  $(m^3)/t$ ;</sub>

Electricity generation by BF #6 TPRT is calculated by the formula:

(E.12) EO  $_{TPRTPJY} = P_{BF6PJY} \bullet SEO_{TPRTPJY}$ ,

where  $P_{BF 6 PJY}$  – BF #6 project molten iron production (table E.1), t/year;

SEO  $_{TPRT PJ Y}$  - specific generation of electricity by BF #6 TPRT per 1 ton of molten iron (table E.13), kW•hour/t.

Calculation results of annual project consumption of electricity, oxygen and recycle water and electricity generation by TPRT are presented in table E.14.

Table E.14

Annual project consumption of electricity, oxygen and recycle water and project electricity generation by TPRT

Parameter	Unit	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012
Electricity consumption	MW*hr/year	78 779	76 546	76 546	76 546	76 546
Oxygen consumption	thou.m <sup>3</sup> /year	295 971	224 780	224 780	224 780	224 780
Recycle water consumption	thou.m <sup>3</sup> /year	73 311	47 117	47 117	47 117	47 117
Electricity generation at the TPRT-12	MW*hr/year	32 539	36 648	36 648	36 648	36 648

Project electricity consumption for coke production is calculated as follows:

(E.13)  $EC_{Coke PJY} = M_{Coke PJY} \bullet SEC_{Coke}$ ,

where  $M_{Coke PJY}$  – BFS project coke consumption (table E.2), t/year;

SEC  $_{Coke}$  – specific electricity consumption for coke production, established in table B.4 by the CCO specialists at the level of 52.2 kW•hour/ton of coke.

Calculation results of electricity consumption for coke production are presented in table E.15.



page 54

LIVECO

Table E.15

Parameter	Unit	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012
Coke consumption during blast furnace operations	t/year	2 256 267	1 532 990	1 532 990	1 532 990	1 532 990
Electricity consumption during CCO	MW*hr/ton	0.0522	0.0522	0.0522	0.0522	0.0522
Electricity consumption for coke production	MW*hr/year	117 852	80 073	80 073	80 073	80 073

Project electricity consumption for coke production

Project electricity consumption for oxygen generation at the air separation plant is calculated as follows:

(E.14)  $EC_{Oxygen PJ Y} = C_{Oxygen PJ Y} \bullet SEC_{Oxygen}$ 

where  $C_{Oxygen PJY}$  - BFS oxygen consumption according to table E.13 data, thou.m<sup>3</sup>/year;

 $SEC_{Oxygen}$  – specific electricity consumption for oxygen generation calculated in table B.5 according to the "NMTK" oxygen generation data – 630 kW\*hour/thou.m<sup>3</sup>.

Calculation results of electricity consumption for oxygen generation at the air separation plant are presented in table E.16.

Table E.16

Parameter	Unit	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012
BF oxygen consumption	thou.m <sup>3</sup> /year	295 971	224 780	224 780	224 780	224 780
Specific electricity consumption for oxygen generation at the air separation plant	MW*hr/thou.m <sup>3</sup>	0.630	0.630	0.630	0.630	0.630
Electricity consumption for oxygen generation at the air separation plant	MW*hr/year	186 461	141 612	141 612	141 612	141 612

Project electricity consumption for oxygen generation at the air separation plant

Project electricity consumption for the BFS supply recycle water is calculated as follows:

(E.15)  $EC_{Water PJY} = C_{Water PJY} \bullet SEC_{Water}$ ,

where  $C_{Water PJY}$  - BF #5 and #6 water consumption according to table E.13 data, thou.m<sup>3</sup>/year;

SEC  $_{Water}$  – specific electricity consumption for the BFS water supply according to the LLC "NTMK-Energo" data – 257.4 kW•hour/thou.m<sup>3</sup>.

Calculation results of electricity consumption for the BFS recycle water supply are presented in table E.17.

Table E.17

Parameter	Unit	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012
BF recycle water consumption	thou.m <sup>3</sup> /year	73 311	47 117	47 117	47 117	47 117
Specific electricity consumption for BF supply with recycle water	MW*hr/thou.m <sup>3</sup>	0.257	0.257	0.257	0.257	0.257
Electricity consumption for BF supply with recycle water	MW*hr/year	18 873	12 130	12 130	12 130	12 130

Project electricity consumption for BF #5 and #6 recycle water supply

Total project electricity consumption  $(EC_{PJY})$  is calculated as follows:

(E.16)  $EC_{PJ Y} = EC_{BF PJ Y} + EC_{Coke PJ Y} + EC_{Oxygen PJ Y} + EC_{Water PJ Y} - EO_{TPRT PJ Y}$ where  $EC_{BF PJ Y}$  – project electricity consumption at blast furnaces within the project boundary (table E.14), MW• hour/year;



```
page 55
```

 $EC_{Coke PJ Y}$  – project electricity consumption for coke production within the project boundary (table E.15), MW• hour/year;

 $EC_{Oxygen PJY}$  – project electricity consumption for oxygen production within the project boundary (table E.16), MW• hour/year;

 $EC_{Water PJY}$  – project electricity consumption within the project boundary for BF supply with recycle water (table E.17), MW• hour/year;

 $EC_{TPRT PJ Y}$  – project TPRT electricity generation within the project boundary (table E.14), MW• hour/year.

Calculation results of electricity consumption within the project boundary, inclusive of the TPRT electricity generation, are presented in table E.18.

Γ	al	b	le	E.	1	8

Parameter	Unit	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012
BF electricity consumption	MW*hr/year	78 779	76 546	76 546	76 546	76 546
Electricity consumption for coke production	MW*hr/year	117 852	80 073	80 073	80 073	80 073
Electricity consumption for oxygen generation at the air separation plant	MW*hr/year	186 461	141 612	141 612	141 612	141 612
Electricity consumption for BF supply with recycle water	MW*hr/year	18 873	12 130	12 130	12 130	12 130
Electricity generation at the TPRT	MW*hr/year	-32 539	-36 648	-36 648	-36 648	-36 648
Total electricity consumption within the project boundaries minus the electricity generated at the TPRT	MW*hr/year	369 427	273 712	273 712	273 712	273 712

Project electricity consumption

Annual project CO<sub>2</sub> emissions during electricity generation at the RF power grids, consumed for molten iron production within the project boundary ( $PE_{Indirect Y}$ ) is calculated as follows:

(E.17)  $PE_{Indirect Y} = EC_{PJY} \bullet EF_{CO2 grid}$ 

where  $EC_{PJY}$  – total electricity consumption within the project boundary, MW•hour/year.

 $EF_{CO2 \text{ grid}} - \text{CO}_2$  emission factors in the RF energy system, recommended by the Operational Guidelines for Project Design Documents of Joint Implementation Projects, which were calculated by the Ministry of Economic Affairs of the Netherlands in 2004<sup>1</sup>, tons of CO<sub>2</sub>/MW•hour.

Calculation results of  $CO_2$  emissions during generation of electricity, consumed within the project boundary, are presented in table E. 19.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Operational Guidelines for Project Design Documents of Joint Implementation Projects. Volume 1: General guidelines. Version 2.3. Ministry of Economic Affairs of the Netherlands. May 2004. p.43, Table B2



UNFCCC

Table E.19

Project indirect CO <sub>2</sub> emissions								
Parameter	Unit	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012		
Electricity consumption within the project boundaries	MW*hr/year	369 427	273 712	273 712	273 712	273 712		
Power grids' emission factor	tons of CO <sub>2</sub> /MW*hr	0.565	0.557	0.550	0.542	0.534		
Indirect emissions within the project boundaries	tons of CO <sub>2</sub> /year	208 726	152 458	150 542	148 352	146 162		

Total project CO<sub>2</sub> emissions (*PE*  $_{Y}$ ) are calculated by way of summing up of project emissions direct and indirect emissions.

(E.18)  $PE_Y = PE_{Direct Y} + PE_{Indirect Y}$ , where  $PE_{Direct Y}$  - project direct CO<sub>2</sub> emissions, t/year;  $PE_{Indirect Y}$  - project indirect CO<sub>2</sub> emissions, t/year.

Table E.20

Total project CO <sub>2</sub> emissions											
Parameter	Unit	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	Total				
Direct emissions	tons of CO <sub>2</sub> /year	7 074 794	4 962 479	4 962 479	4 962 479	4 962 479	26 924 709				
Indirect emissions	tons of CO <sub>2</sub> /year	208 726	152 458	150 542	148 352	146 162	806 240				
Total	tons of CO₂/year	7 283 521	5 114 936	5 113 020	5 110 831	5 108 641	27 730 949				

#### E.2. Estimated leakage:

No leakages as per the project

#### E.3. The sum of E.1. and E.2.:

Table E.21

Total project CO <sub>2</sub> emissions									
Parameter	Unit	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	Total for 2008-2012		
Total project CO2 emissions	tons of CO <sub>2</sub> /year	7 283 521	5 114 936	5 113 020	5 110 831	5 108 641	27 730 949		

page 57

Table E.22

#### E.4. Estimated <u>baseline</u> emissions:

In order to calculate the "NTMK" both baseline and project  $CO_2$  emissions, the carbon balance method is applied, which is reviewed in section B.1 of this document.

During calculations of baseline CO<sub>2</sub> emissions the same flows of materials and energy carriers as in project scenario are considered:

- Flows coming into the project boundary:
  - Coking coal;
  - Natural gas;
  - Electricity (considered during indirect emissions' calculation);
  - Limestone;
- Flows leaving the project boundary:
  - Molten iron;
  - By-products of coal coking.

As is demonstrated in section B.1 of this document, the production of molten iron, consumption of materials, fuel and energy carriers for BF ##1-5 are taken from the average data of BF operations in 2001-2003.

The aggregate value of BF #1, 4 and 5 project production is used as the ex-ante baseline production value for the calculation of CO<sub>2</sub> emissions. Baseline distribution of the molten iron production volume among the furnaces is made proportionally to the share of each furnace in the total production volume according to the average data for 2001-2003.

Baseline production at each of the BF (BF ##1-5) - ( $P_{BFXBLY}$ ) – is calculated by the formula:

(E.19)  $P_{BFXBLY} = (P_{BF1PJY} + P_{BF4PJY} + P_{BF5PJY} + P_{BF6PJY}) \cdot P_{BFX}/(\sum P_{BF1-5}),$ 

where  $P_{BF1PJY}$  - BF #1 project molten iron production (table E.1), t/year;

 $P_{BF4PJY}$  - BF #4 project molten iron production (table E.1), t/year;

 $P_{BF5PJY}$  - BF #5 project molten iron production (table E.1), t/year;

 $P_{BF6PJY}$  - BF #6 project molten iron production (table E.1), t/year;

 $\sum P_{BF 1-5}$  - total molten iron production by BF ##1-5 according to the average data for 2001-2003 - 4.711 thou.t/year;

 $P_{BFX}$  – production at on of the furnaces (BF ##1-5) according to the average data for 2001-2003 (table E.7), t/year.

Calculation results of baseline molten iron production at BF ##1-5 in 2008-1012 are presented in table E.22.

		me monen m	on production	1	
Parameter	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012
Blast furnace #1	871 434	624 988	624 988	624 988	624 988
Blast furnace #2	903 448	647 948	647 948	647 948	647 948
Blast furnace #3	916 846	657 557	657 557	657 557	657 557
Blast furnace #4	1 074 693	770 765	770 765	770 765	770 765
Blast furnace #5	1 040 661	746 357	746 357	746 357	746 357
Total within the project boundaries	4 807 081	3 447 615	3 447 615	3 447 615	3 447 615

"NTMK" BF ##1-5 baseline molten iron production

```
page 58
```

Table E.23

Data on the molten iron composition and specific consumption of materials, fuel and energy carriers at BF ##1-5, established in section B.1 of this document, are presented in table E.23.

IN I M	NTWK BF ##1-5 baseline performance figures									
Parameter	Unit	Blast furnace #1	Blast furnace #2	Blast furnace #3	Blast furnace #4	Blast furnace #5				
Carbon content in molten iron	%, weight	4.66	4.71	4.78	4.66	4.69				
Specific consumption per 1 ton of molten iron										
Coke consumption	kg/t	496	510	495	479	496				
Natural gas consumption	m³/t	101	91	107	107	119				
Limestone consumption	kg/t	54	58	54	53	49				
Steam consumption	Gcal/t	0.065	0.055	0.060	0.054	0.055				
Blast air consumption	m³/t	1 251	1 348	1 267	1 341	1 327				

For calculation of baseline annual gross consumption of the materials, fuel and energy carriers, listed in table E.23, the following equation is used:

(E.20)  $C_{BL Y} = P_{BF 1 BL Y} \bullet SC_{BF 1 BL Y} + P_{BF 2 BL Y} \bullet SC_{BF 2 BL Y} + P_{BF 3 BL Y} \bullet SC_{BF 3 BL Y} +$  $P_{BF 4 BL Y} \bullet SC_{BF 4 BL Y} + P_{BF 5 BL Y} \bullet SC_{BF 5 BL Y}$ , where  $P_{BF 1 BL Y}$ - BF #1 baseline molten iron production (table E.22), t/year;

SC BF I BL Y - BF #1 baseline specific consumption of materials ant energy carriers per 1 ton of molten iron (table E.23), kg  $(m^3, Gcal)/t$ ;

 $P_{BF2BLY}$  – BF #2 baseline molten iron production (table E.22), t/year;

 $SC_{BF 2 BL Y}$  BF #2 baseline specific consumption of fuel, materials and energy carriers (table E.23), kg (m<sup>3</sup>, Gcal)/t;

 $P_{BF3BLY}$  – BF #3 baseline molten iron consumption (table E.22), t/year;

SC<sub>BF3BLY-</sub> BF #3 baseline specific consumption of fuel, materials and energy carriers per 1 ton of molten iron (table E.23), kg  $(m^3, Gcal)/t$ ;

 $P_{BF4BLY}$  - BF #4 baseline molten iron production, (tablee E.22), t/year;

SC BF 4 BL Y - BF #4 baseline specific consumption of fuel, materials and energy carriers per 1 ton of molten iron (table E.23), kg  $(m^3, Gcal)/t$ ;

 $P_{BF5BLY}$  – BF #5 baseline molten iron production, (table E.22), t/year;

 $SC_{BF5BLY}$  – BF #5 baseline specific consumption of fuel, materials and energy carriers per 1 ton of molten iron (table E.23), kg  $(m^3, Gcal)/t$ .

Calculation results of gross annual baseline consumption of materials, fuel and energy carriers are presented in table E.24.

Table E.24

Parameter	Unit	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012
Total molten iron production	t/year	4 807 081	3 447 615	3 447 615	3 447 615	3 447 615
Coke consumption	t/year	2 378 739	1 706 020	1 706 020	1 706 020	1 706 020
Natural gas consumption	thou.m <sup>3</sup> /year	507 780	364 177	364 177	364 177	364 177
Limestone consumption	t/year	257 857	184 934	184 934	184 934	184 934
Steam consumption	Gcal/year	276 617	198 388	198 388	198 388	198 388
Blast ait consumption	thou.m <sup>3</sup> /year	6 291 779	4 512 433	4 512 433	4 512 433	4 512 433

Baseline fuel materials and energy consumption for molten iron production

Table E.25

Baseline CO<sub>2</sub> emissions due to coking coal consumption are calculated as follows:

(E.21)  $BE_{Coking Coal Y} = 44/12 \cdot M_{Coke BL Y} / SO_{Coke Coking coal} \cdot %C_{Coking Coal}$ , where  $M_{Coke BL Y}$  - baseline coke consumption, (table E.24), t;

SO  $_{Coke Coking coal}$  – specific coke yield from coking coal, calculated in section B.1 of design document (table B.4) – 74.92%;

 $%C_{Coking Coal}$  – mass fraction of carbon in coking coal, established in section B.1 of design document (table B.4) – 60.2%.

CO <sub>2</sub> emissions due to coking coal consumption	tons of CO <sub>2</sub> /year	7 008 368	5 026 367	5 026 367	5 026 367	5 026 367
Coking coal consumption	t/year	3 175 038	2 277 122	2 277 122	2 277 122	2 277 122
Coke consumption	t/year	2 378 739	1 706 020	1 706 020	1 706 020	1 706 020
Parameter	Unit	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012
Baseline $CO_2$ emissions due to coking coal consumption						

Baseline CO<sub>2</sub> emissions due to coking coal consumpti

Baseline CO<sub>2</sub> emissions due to limestone consumption are calculated as follows:

(E.22)  $BE_{Limestone Y} = 44/12 \bullet M_{Limestone BL Y} \bullet \%C_{Limestone,}$ 

where  $M_{Limestone BLY}$ - baseline annual limestone consumption (table E.24), t/year;

 $%C_{Limestone}$  – mass fraction of carbon in coking coal acepted at the level of 12% according to the data from the IPCC Guidelines for National Greenhouse Gases Inventories 2006<sup>1</sup>.

Table E.26

		tono due to i	intestone eo	insumption		
Parameter	Unit	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012
Limestone consumption	t/year	257 857	184 934	184 934	184 934	184 934
CO <sub>2</sub> emissions due to limestone consumption	tons of CO <sub>2</sub> /year	113 457	81 371	81 371	81 371	81 371

CO<sub>2</sub> emissions due to limestone consumption

For calculation of CO<sub>2</sub> emissions due to natural gas consumption we shall establish the baseline consumption of steam ( $C_{Steam BL Y}$ ) and natural gas ( $FR_{NG BL Y}$ ) within the project boundary.

(E.23)  $C_{\text{Steam BL Y}} = C_{\text{Steam BF BL Y}} + SC_{\text{Steam Coke}} \bullet M_{\text{Coke BL Y}} + SC_{\text{Steam Blast}} \bullet C_{\text{Blast BL Y}}$ 

where  $C_{Steam BF BL Y}$  – BFS baseline steam consumption (table E.24), Gcal/year;

 $SC_{Steam Coke}$  – specific steam consumption for coke production amounting to 367 Kcal/ton of coke, according to the CCO specialists' data;

*M*<sub>Coke BL Y -</sub> BFS baseline coke consumption (table E.24), t/year;

 $SC_{Steam Blast}$  – specific steam consumption for blast air generation, accepted according to "NTMK-Energo" data at 0.149 Gcal/thou.m<sup>3</sup>;

 $C_{Blast BL Y}$  – BFS baseline blast air consumption (table E.24), m<sup>3</sup>/year.

Calculations of steam consumption in the BF operations, CCO and at TPP-steam blower are presented in table E.27.



<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> 2006 IPCC Guidelines for national greenhouse inventories. Volume 3 Industrial Processes and Product Use. Chapter 4 Metal Industry Emissions. p.4.27 table 4.3

Baseline steam consumption

#### Joint Implementation Supervisory Committee

page 60

UNECO

Dusenne steam consumption							
Parameter	Unit	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	
Steam consumption in the BFS	Gcal/year	276 617	198 388	198 388	198 388	198 388	
Coke consumprion	t/year	2 378 739	1 706 020	1 706 020	1 706 020	1 706 020	
Steam consumption in the CCO operations	Gcal/year	847 624	607 912	607 912	607 912	607 912	
Blast air consumption	thou.m <sup>3</sup> /year	6 291 779	4 512 433	4 512 433	4 512 433	4 512 433	
Steam consumption at TPP-steam blower for blast air production	Gcal/year	937 475	672 352	672 352	672 352	672 352	
Total steam consumption within the project boundaries	Gcal/year	2 061 716	1 478 653	1 478 653	1 478 653	1 478 653	

Table E.27

Total natural gas consumption within the project boundary is calculated by the formula:

(E.24)  $FR_{NG BL Y} = FR_{NG BF BL Y} + SC_{NG Steam} \bullet C_{Steam BL Y}$ ,

where  $FR_{NG BF BL Y}$  – BFS baseline natural gas consumption (table E.24), m<sup>3</sup>/year;

 $C_{Steam BL Y}$  - baseline steam consumption within the project boundary (table E.24), Gcal/year;

 $SC_{NG Steam}$  – specific natural gas consumption for steam generation at TPP-steam blower, accepted according to "NTMK-Energo" data at 74 m<sup>3</sup>/Gcal.

Calculation results of BFS and TPP-steam blower natural gas consumption for steam generation are presented in table E.28.

Table E.28

Dusenne natural gas consumption								
Parameter	Unit	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012		
BFS natural gas consumption	thou.m <sup>3</sup> /year	507 780	364 177	364 177	364 177	364 177		
TPP-steam blower natural gas consumption for steam generation	thou.m <sup>3</sup> /year	152 567	109 420	109 420	109 420	109 420		
Total natural gas consumption within the project boundaries	thou.m <sup>3</sup> /year	660 347	473 598	473 598	473 598	473 598		

Baseline natural gas consumption

Baseline CO<sub>2</sub> emissions due to natural gas consumption are calculated by the formula:

(E.25)  $BE_{NG Y} = FR_{NG BL Y} \bullet EF_{NG}$ ,

where  $FR_{NG BL Y}$  – annual baseline natural gas consumption within the project boundary, thou.m<sup>3</sup>/year;

 $EF_{NG}$  - natural gas emission factor, established in table E.7 for "NTMK" conditions as 1.86 tons of CO<sub>2</sub>/ thou.m<sup>3</sup>.

Baseline calculation results of  $CO_2$  emissions due to natural gas consumption within the project boundary are presented in table E.29.

Table E.29

Baseline CO<sub>2</sub> emissions due to natural gas consumption within the project boundary

Parameter	Unit	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012
Natural gas consumption within the project boundaries	thou.m <sup>3</sup> /year	660 347	473 598	473 598	473 598	473 598
CO <sub>2</sub> emissions due to natural gas consumption	tons of CO <sub>2</sub> /year	1 230 837	882 750	882 750	882 750	882 750

This template shall not be altered. It shall be completed without modifying/adding headings or logo, format or font.

page 61

#### Joint Implementation Supervisory Committee

Part of the carbon brought into the project boundary by coking coal, limestone and natural gas, remains in the product – molten iron and by-products of CCO (benzol and naphthalene). Weight of carbon, contained in the end products that leave the project boundary, is calculated by the following formula:

(E.26)  $C_{output BL Y} = C_{output Iron BL Y} + C_{output Coking product BL Y}$ where  $C_{output Iron BL Y}$  - weight of carbon remaining in the molten iron in tons, t/vear; Coutput Coking product BLY - weight of carbon remaining in the CCO by-products, t/year. The components of formula E.27 are calculated as follows: (E.27)  $C_{output Iron BLY} = P_{BF 1 BLY} \bullet \% C_{Iron BF 1 BLY} + P_{BF 2 BLY} \bullet \% C_{Iron BF 2 BLY} +$ +  $P_{BF3BLY} \bullet \%C_{Iron BF3BLY} + P_{BF4BLY} \bullet \%C_{Iron BF4BLY} + P_{BF5BLY} \bullet \%C_{Iron BF5BLY}$ where  $P_{BF1BLY}$  – BF #1 baseline molten iron production (table E.22), t/year; %C Iron BF I BLY – baseline mass fraction of carbon in the BF #1 molten iron (table E.23), %;  $P_{BF2BLY}$  – BF #2 baseline molten iron production (table E.22), t/year;  $%C_{Iron BF 2 BL Y}$  – baseline mass fraction of carbon in the BF #2 molten iron (table E.23), %;  $P_{BF3BLY}$  – BF #3 baseline molten iron production (table E.22), t/year; %C Iron BF 3 BL Y – baseline mass fraction of carbon in the BF #3 molten iron (table E.23), %;  $P_{BF4BLY}$  – BF #4 baseline molten iron production (table E.22), t/year; %C Iron BF 4 BL Y – baseline mass fraction of carbon in the BF #4 molten iron (table E.23), %;  $P_{BF5BLY}$  – BF #5 baseline molten iron production (table E.221), t/year; %C Iron BF 5 BL Y – baseline mass fraction of carbon in the BF #5 molten iron (table E.23), %. (E.28)  $C_{output Coking product BL Y} = M_{Coke BL Y} / SO_{Coke Coking coal} \bullet (SO_{Naph} \bullet \%C_{Naph} + SO_{Benz} \bullet \%C_{Benz}),$ where  $M_{Coke BLY}$  - baseline coke consumption (table E.23), t/year; SO Coke Coking coal - "NTMK" coke yield from coking coal established in section B.2 -74,92%; SO <sub>Naph</sub> - specific naphthalene yield per 1 ton of coking coal, according to table B.4 data, t/t; %C Naph - carbon content in naphthalene, established according to table B.4 data, %; SO <sub>Benz</sub> – specific benzol yield per 1 ton of coking coal established according to table B.4 data, t/t;  $%C_{Benz}$  – carbon content in benzol, established according to table B.4 data %.

Calculation results of  $CO_2$  emission reductions by the formulae (E.26-28) are presented in tables E.30-32

Table E.30

Parameter	Unit	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012			
Blast furnace #1	t/year	40 638	29 145	29 145	29 145	29 145			
Blast furnace #2	t/year	42 583	30 540	30 540	30 540	30 540			
Blast furnace #3	t/year	43 795	31 409	31 409	31 409	31 409			
Blast furnace #4	t/year	50 117	35 943	35 943	35 943	35 943			
Blast furnace #5	t/year	48 807	35 004	35 004	35 004	35 004			
Total weight of carbon remaining in molten iron	t/year	225 939	162 042	162 042	162 042	162 042			

Weight of carbon remaining in the BF molten iron

Table E.31

UNFCCO

Baseline weight of carbon remaining in the coking by-products								
Parameter	Unit	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012		
Coking coal consumption	t/year	3 175 038	2 277 122	2 277 122	2 277 122	2 277 122		
Coking products yield								
Benzol	t/year	27 835	19 963	19 963	19 963	19 963		
Naphthalene	t/year	6 033	4 327	4 327	4 327	4 327		
Weight of carbon remaining in benzol	t/year	24 930	17 880	17 880	17 880	17 880		
Weight of carbon remaining in naphthalene	t/year	5 395	3 869	3 869	3 869	3 869		
Total weight of carbon remaining in the CCO by-products	t/year	30 326	21 749	21 749	21 749	21 749		

Table E.32

Baseline weight of carbon remaining in the products

Parameter	Unit	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012
Weight of carbon remaining in molten iron	t/year	225 939	162 042	162 042	162 042	162 042
Weight of carbon remaining in coking products	t/year	30 326	21 749	21 749	21 749	21 749
Total weight of carbon remaining in coking products	t/year	256 264	183 791	183 791	183 791	183 791

Baseline CO<sub>2</sub> emissions are calculated as follows:

(E.29)  $BE_Y = BE_{Coking Coal Y} + BE_{Limestone Y} + BE_{NGY} - 44/12 \bullet C_{output BLY}$ ,

where  $BE_{Coking Coal Y}$  – baseline CO<sub>2</sub> emissions due to coking coal consumption within the project boundary, t/year;

 $BE_{Limestone Y}$  - baseline CO<sub>2</sub> emissions due to limestone consumption within the project boundary, t/year;

 $BE_{NG Y}$  - baseline CO<sub>2</sub> emissions due to natural gas consumption within the project boundary, t/year;

 $C_{output BL Y}$  - weight of carbon in the molten iron produced within the project boundary and by-products of the coke-chemical operations, released during the production of coke needed for molten iron production, t/year.

Calculation results of baseline direct  $CO_2$  emissions within the project boundary are presented in table E.33.



page 63

UNFOO

Parameter	Unit	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012
CO <sub>2</sub> emissions due to coking coal consumption	tons of CO <sub>2</sub> /year	7 008 368	5 026 367	5 026 367	5 026 367	5 026 367
CO <sub>2</sub> emissions due to limestone consumption	tons of CO <sub>2</sub> /year	113 457	81 371	81 371	81 371	81 371
CO <sub>2</sub> emissions due to natural gas consumption	tons of CO <sub>2</sub> /year	1 230 837	882 750	882 750	882 750	882 750
Weight of carbon remaining in the project products in tons of CO <sub>2</sub> equivalent	tons of CO <sub>2</sub> /year	-939 635	-673 902	-673 902	-673 902	-673 902
Total direct emissions within the project boundaries	tons of CO₂/year	7 413 027	5 316 586	5 316 586	5 316 586	5 316 586

Table E.33

#### **Baseline indirect emissions' calculations**

The baseline indirect emissions are the  $CO_2$  emissions at the RF UES power grids during generation of electricity, consumed for molten iron production within the project boundary.

Within the project boundary the electricity is consumed for:

- BF electrical equipment operation;
- Coke-chemical operations;
- Air separation plant for oxygen generation;
- Water supply shop for BF supply with recycle water;
- At TTP-steam blower for blast air generation.

Baseline specific consumption of electricity, oxygen and recycle water at BF ##1-5 in 2008-2012 are presented in table E.34.

Table I	E.34
---------	------

Di #1 5 basenne performance ingures								
Parameter	Unit	Blast furnace #1	Blast furnace #2	Blast furnace #3	Blast furnace #4	Blast furnace #5		
Electricity consumption	kW*hr/t	5.0	5.3	5.7	5.0	5.0		
Oxygen consumption	m³/t	69	63	65	56	93		
Recycle water consumption	m³/t	14.7	14.7	15.0	14.7	14.3		

BF #1-5 baseline performance figures

For the calculation of baseline total consumption of electricity, oxygen and recycle water the following equation is used:

(E.30)  $C_{BL Y} = P_{BF 1 BL Y} \bullet SC_{BF 1 BL Y} + P_{BF 2 BL Y} \bullet SC_{BF 2 BL Y} + P_{BF 3 BL Y} \bullet SC_{BF 3 BL Y} + P_{BF 4 BL Y} \bullet SC_{BF 4 BL Y} + P_{BF 5 BL Y} \bullet SC_{BF 5 BL Y},$ 

where  $P_{BF1BLY}$  – BF #1 baseline molten iron production (table E.22), t/year;

 $SC_{BF \ I \ BL \ Y}$  – BF #1 baseline specific consumption of electricity, oxygen and recycle water per 1 ton of molten iron (table E.34), kW•hour (m<sup>3</sup>)/t;

 $P_{BF2BLY}$  – BF #2 baseline molten iron production (table E.22), t/year;

 $SC_{BF2BLY-}$  BF #2 baseline specific consumption of electricity, oxygen and recycle water per 1 ton of molten iron (table E.34), kW•hour (m<sup>3</sup>)/t;

 $P_{BF3BLY}$  – BF #3 baseline molten iron production (table E.22), t/year;

 $SC_{BF3BLY-}$  BF #3 baseline specific consumption of electricity, oxygen and recycle water per 1 ton of molten iron (table E.34), kW•hour (m<sup>3</sup>)/t;

*P*<sub>BF4BLY</sub> – BF #4 baseline molten iron production (table E.22), t/year;

```
page 64
```

 $SC_{BF 4 BL Y-}$  BF #4 baseline specific consumption of electricity, oxygen and recycle water per 1 ton of molten iron (table E.34), kW•hour (m<sup>3</sup>)/t;

 $P_{BF5BLY}$  – BF #5 baseline molten iron production (table E.22), t/year;

 $SC_{BF5BLY}$  – BF #5 baseline specific consumption of electricity, oxygen and recycle water per 1 ton of molten iron (table E.34), kW•hour (m<sup>3</sup>)/t.

Calculation results by formula E.30 are presented in table E.35.

Table E.35

Total baseline consum	ption of electricity, oxy	gen and recycle water fo	or molten iron production
i otal oaselline consum		gen and ree fore water it	

Parameter	Unit	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012
Electricity consumption	MW*hr/year	24 948	17 892	17 892	17 892	17 892
Oxygen consumption	thou.m <sup>3</sup> /year	333 900	239 471	239 471	239 471	239 471
Recycle water consumption	thou.m <sup>3</sup> /year	70 463	50 535	50 535	50 535	50 535

Baseline electricity consumption for coke production is calculated as follows:

(E.31)  $EC_{Coke BL Y} = M_{Coke BL Y} \bullet SEC_{Coke}$ 

where  $M_{Coke BLY}$  - BF ##1-5 coke consumption according to table E.24, t/year;

*SEC* <sub>*Coke*</sub> – specific consumption of electricity for coke production, established in table B.4 according to CCO specialists' data at 52.2 kW•hour/ton of coke.

Calculation results of electricity consumption for coke production are presented in table E.36. Table E.36

Parameter	Unit	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012
Coke consumption during blast furnace operations	t/year	2 378 739	1 706 020	1 706 020	1 706 020	1 706 020
Electricity consumption during CCO	MW*hr/ton	0.0522	0.0522	0.0522	0.0522	0.0522
Electricity consumption for coke production	MW*hr/year	124 249	89 111	89 111	89 111	89 111

Baseline electricity consumption for coke production

Baseline electricity consumption for oxygen generation at the air separation plant is calculated as follows:

(E.32)  $EC_{Oxygen BL Y} = C_{Oxygen BL Y} \bullet SEC_{Oxygen}$ 

where  $C_{Oxygen BLY}$  – BF ##1-5 oxygen consumption according to table E.35, thou.m<sup>3</sup>/year;

SEC  $_{Oxygen}$  – specific electricity consumption for oxygen generation established in table B.5 according to the oxygen generation data – 630 kW\*hour/ thou.m<sup>3</sup>.

Calculation results of electricity consumption for oxygen generation at the air separation plant are presented in table E.37.



page 65

LIVECO

Т	ab	le	E.	3	7
•	uc.		ш,		

	^					
Parameter	Unit	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012
BF oxygen consumption	thou.m <sup>3</sup> /year	333 900	239 471	239 471	239 471	239 471
Specific electricity consumption for oxygen generation at the air separation plant	MW*hr/thou.m <sup>3</sup>	0.630	0.630	0.630	0.630	0.630
Electricity consumption for oxygen generation at the air separation plant	MW*hr/year	210 357	150 867	150 867	150 867	150 867

Baseline electricity consumption for oxygen generation at the air separation plant

Baseline electricity consumption for BFS recycle water supply is calculated as follows:

(E.33)  $EC_{Water BL Y} = C_{Water BL Y} \bullet SEC_{Water}$ 

where C <sub>Water BL Y</sub>- BF ##1-5 water consumption according to table E.35, thou.m<sup>3</sup>/year;

SEC <sub>Water</sub> – specific electricity consumption for BSF recycle water supply established in table B.5 according to "NTMK-Energo" data – 257.4 kW•hour/thou.m<sup>3</sup>.

Calculation results of electricity consumption for BFS recycle water supply are presented in table E.38.

Table E.38

Baseline BF #5 and #6 electricity consumption for BFS recycle water supply						
Parameter	Unit	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012
BF recycle water consumption	thou.m <sup>3</sup> /year	70 463	50 535	50 535	50 535	50 535
Specific electricity consumption for BF supply with recycle water	MW*hr/thou.m <sup>3</sup>	0.257	0.257	0.257	0.257	0.257
Electricity consumption for BF supply with recycle water	MW*hr/year	18 139	13 009	13 009	13 009	13 009

Total baseline electricity consumption ( $EC_{BLY}$ ) is calculated as follows:

 $(E.34) EC_{BLY} = EC_{BF BLY} + EC_{Water BLY} + EC_{Oxygen BLY} + EC_{Coke BLY},$ 

where  $EC_{BF BL Y}$  – baseline BF electricity consumption within the project boundary (table E. 35), MW• hour/year;

 $EC_{Coke BL Y}$  - baseline BF electricity consumption for coke production within the project boundary (table E.36), MW• hour/year;

 $EC_{Oxygen BL Y}$  – baseline electricity consumption for oxygen generation within the project boundary (table E.37), MW• hour/year;

 $EC_{Water BLY}$  - baseline electricity consumption for the BFS recycle water supply within the project boundary (table E.38), MW• hour/year.

Calculation results of baseline electricity consumption within the project boundary are presented in table E.39.

. .

...

#### Joint Implementation Supervisory Committee

page 66

Table E.39

UNFCO

Parameter	Unit	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012
BF electricity consumption	MW*hr/year	24 948	17 892	17 892	17 892	17 892
Electricity consumption for coke production	MW*hr/year	124 249	89 111	89 111	89 111	89 111
Electricity consumption for oxygen generation at the air separation plant	MW*hr/year	210 357	150 867	150 867	150 867	150 867
Electricity consumption for BF supply with recycle water	MW*hr/year	18 139	13 009	13 009	13 009	13 009
Total electricity consumption within the project boundaries minus the electricity generated at the TPRT	MW*hr/year	377 693	270 880	270 880	270 880	270 880

Indirect project CO<sub>2</sub> emissions during electricity generation at the RF UES power grids, which is consumed for the molten iron production within the project boundary (*BE Indirect Y*), are calculated as follows:

(E.35)  $BE_{Indirect Y} = EC_{BL Y} \bullet EF_{CO2 grid,}$ 

where  $EC_{BLY}$  - total electricity consumption within the project boundary, MW• hour/year.

 $EF_{CO2}$  grid – CO<sub>2</sub> emission factors in the RF energy system, recommended by the Operational Guidelines for Project Design Documents of Joint Implementation Projects, which were calculated by the Ministry of Economic Affairs of the Netherlands in 2004<sup>1</sup>, tons of CO<sub>2</sub>/MW•hour.

Calculation results of baseline indirect  $CO_2$  emissions are presented in table E.40.

Table E.40

Parameter	Unit	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012
Electricity consumption within the project boundaries	MW*hr/year	377 693	270 880	270 880	270 880	270 880
Power grids' emission factor	tons of CO <sub>2</sub> /MW*hr	0.565	0.557	0.550	0.542	0.534
Indirect emissions within the project boundaries	tons of CO <sub>2</sub> /year	213 397	150 880	148 984	146 817	144 650

CO2 emissions during generation of electricity consumed within the project boundary

#### Total baseline CO<sub>2</sub> emissions

Total emissions of CO<sub>2</sub> ( $BE_Y$ ) are calculated by way of summing up the baseline direct and indirect emissions.

(E.36)  $BE_Y = BE_{Direct Y} + BE_{Indirect Y}$ , where  $BE_Y$  - total baseline CO<sub>2</sub> emissions, t/year;  $BE_{Direct Y}$  - baseline direct CO<sub>2</sub> emissions, t/year;  $BE_{Indirect Y}$  - baseline indirect CO<sub>2</sub> emissions, t/year.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Operational Guidelines for Project Design Documents of Joint Implementation Projects. Volume 1: General guidelines. Version 2.3. Ministry of Economic Affairs of the Netherlands. May 2004. p.43, Table B2



UNFCCC

Table E.41

Total baseline CO <sub>2</sub> emissions							
Parameter	Unit	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	Total
Direct emissions	tons of CO <sub>2</sub> /year	7 413 027	5 316 586	5 316 586	5 316 586	5 316 586	28 679 373
Indirect emissions	tons of CO <sub>2</sub> /year	213 397	150 880	148 984	146 817	144 650	804 727
Total	tons of CO <sub>2</sub> /year	7 626 424	5 467 466	5 465 570	5 463 403	5 461 236	29 484 100

#### E.5. Difference between E.4. and E.3. representing the emission reductions of the project:

(E.37)  $ER_{Y} = BE_{Y} - PE_{Y}$ ,

where  $ER_{Y}$  – project reduction of CO<sub>2</sub> emissions, t/year;

*BE*  $_{Y}$  – total baseline CO<sub>2</sub> emissions, t/year;

 $PE_{Y}$  – total project CO<sub>2</sub> emissions, t/year.

#### E.6. Table providing values obtained when applying formulae above:

Table E.42

Calculation results of CO <sub>2</sub> emission reductions						
Year	Estimated <u>project</u> emissions (tonnes of CO <sub>2</sub> equivalent)	Estimated <u>leakage</u> (tonnes of CO <sub>2</sub> equivalent)	Estimated <u>baseline</u> emissions (tonnes of CO <sub>2</sub> equivalent)	Estimated emission reductions (tonnes of CO <sub>2</sub> equivalent)		
2008	7 283 521	0	7 626 424	342 904		
2009	5 114 936	0	5 467 466	352 530		
2010	5 113 020	0	5 465 570	352 550		
2011	5 110 831	0	5 463 403	352 573		
2012	5 108 641	0	5 461 236	352 595		
Total (tones of CO2 equivalent)	27 730 949	0	29 484 100	1 753 151		

page 68

UNFOO

#### **SECTION F. Environmental impacts**

# F.1. Documentation on the analysis of the environmental impacts of the <u>project</u>, including transboundary impacts, in accordance with procedures as determined by the <u>host Party</u>:

Emissions of contaminants into the atmosphere by the blast furnaces, according to the data of the "NTMK" Environmental Protection Department as of prior to the project realization in 2002, are presented in table F.1.

Table F.1

	BF emission	s of contaminants	s into the atmosph	nere for 2002, t/ye	ear
#	Contaminant	BF #2	BF #3	BF #4	BF #5
1	Nitrogen dioxide	17.2293	24.7858	22.5977	26.6285
2	Nitrogen oxide	4.7754	8.2526	6.379	11.0338
3	Hydrogen cyanide	1.4001	1.2961	1.4687	1.6159
4	Sulphurous anhydride	138.5387	143.5282	154.1034	145.1373
5	Carbon oxide	571.8639	1360.0200	998.8597	6131.4401
6	Solid substances	655.3637	721.6591	732.6749	729.3356
	TOTAL:	1389.1711	2259.5418	1916.0834	7045.1912
	Molten iron production, thou.t/year	864	912	1030	1038

BF reconstruction includes the realization of the following actions focused on the reduction of negative environmental impact:

- dust and gas mixture from the bins of the Central Bell Less Top with rotary hopper, after the pressure is balanced, is discharged not into the atmosphere, but into the special drip catcher of gas cleaning unit, where the mixture is cleaned from dust;

- BF cast house chutes are made of highly resistant molded concrete, which allows for significant increase of the chutes' service life period and the arrangement of the chutes' covers. The aspiration system with air cleaning in the electric filter is designed in the chutes' covers;

- installation of the modern shaftless Kalugin stoves ensures the complete avoidance of gas under-combustion and thus the sharp reduction of the  $CO_2$  emissions in the gas ducts and excludes the unorganized emissions into the atmosphere;

- implementation of the up-to-date conveyor belt feeding, inclined chutes, telescopic devices for loading and unloading of materials and industrial vacuum cleaners significantly reduces the forming of dust in the BF charging material feeding circuits;

-dust emissions from the charging material feeding system are fed to the aspiration system with air cleaning in the electric filters.

According to the design documents, after the BF reconstruction the ground level concentrations of gaseous matters and the sprays of solids and their constituents will be significantly lower than the accepted sanitary standards.

Reduction of specific contaminants' emissions into the atmosphere after BF #5 and #6 reconstruction project realization is presented in table F.2.



page 69

UNFCO

Table F.2

			2000 2000		
#	Contaminant	DES 2002	BF #5 and #6 aft	Emission reduction	
#	Contaminant	DI 3, 2002	2007	2008	against 2002, %
1	Nitrogen dioxide	0.024	0.010	0.012	-49%
2	Nitrogen oxide	0.008	0.005	0.006	-29%
3	Hydrogen cyanide	0.002	-	-	-100%
4	Sulphurous anhydride	0.151	0.029	0.031	-80%
5	Carbon oxide	2.358	0.408	0.478	-80%
6	Solid substances	0.739	0.365	0.326	-56%
	Total	3.281	0.816	0.852	-74%

Reduction of BF #5 and #6 specific contaminants' emissions into the atmosphere in 2007 - 2008

The existing recycle water systems allow for accepting the reconstructed BF #5 and #6 water consumption without additional construction of water treatment facilities.

The existing system of wastes collection and disposal ensures the complete disposal of wastes as the secondary iron ore raw material at the regional sinter plants.

# F.2. If environmental impacts are considered significant by the <u>project participants</u> or the <u>host Party</u>, please provide conclusions and all references to supporting documentation of an environmental impact assessment undertaken in accordance with the procedures as required by the <u>host Party</u>:

In compliance with the Russian legislation, the working design of BF #5 and #6 reconstruction includes the section titled "Environmental protection" with the realized project environmental impact assessment. This section was prepared by the "Nikomproekt" design institute (T-69735-II32) for BF #6 reconstruction, and by the LLC 'Metpromproekt" (MPP-01-RP-P3.3) for BF #5 reconstruction project.



page 70

UNFOO

#### SECTION G. <u>Stakeholders</u>' comments

#### G.1. Information on <u>stakeholders</u>' comments on the <u>project</u>, as appropriate:

The established procedures for the JI projects do not require comments from stakeholders. This notwithstanding, the project was presented to local authorities and public community.

In compliance with the Federal Laws of the Russian Federation dd. 10.01.2002, #7-FL "On Environmental Protection" and dd. 23.11.1995, #174-FL "On Ecological Expertise", by the resolution of Mayor of Nizhniy Tagil dd. 11.08.2003 #567 "On the public opinion study regarding the construction of objects by "NTMK" the public hearings were held on the project of BF #6 reconstruction.

The hearings were participated by senior specialists of "NTMK", representatives of the City of Nizhniy Tagil administration, design and city public organizations and the city residents (in total over 100 people).

During the hearings the information was presented on the environmental and social aspects of the BF #6 reconstruction realization project and the answers to the questions were given. The minutes were drafted following the results of the hearings where it was registered that the project was feasible both for "NTMK" and the city.

The public opinion research was done on the BF #5 reconstruction project in compliance with the requirements of the above mentioned federal laws and the resolution of the mayor of Nizhniy Tagil №520 dd. 10.07.2006 "On the research of the public opinion on the "NTMK" capital construction objects".

The public opinion study was carried out by way of publishing the materials on the project in the newspapers "Tagilskiy metallurg" dd. August 9<sup>th</sup> of 2006 (# 93) and "Tagilskiy rabochiy" dd. August 11<sup>th</sup> of 2006 (# 146). In addition to the project information, the publications also specified that in the department of ecology and natural resources' use the phone calls reception was arranged with questions regarding the BF #5 reconstruction project realization.

Following the results of the public opinion study there were no objections to the realization of the project, which is registered in the minutes.



page 71

UNFCCC

#### Annex 1

## CONTACT INFORMATION ON PROJECT PARTICIPANTS

Organisation:	OJSC "NTMK"
Street/P.O.Box:	Metallurgov street
Building:	Bldg. 1
City:	Nizhniy Tagil
State/Region:	Sverdlovsk region
Postal code:	622025
Country:	Russian Federation
Phone:	+7 (3435) 49-60-89
Fax:	+7 (3435) 49-04-27
E-mail:	mikhail.tkachenko@ur.evraz.com
URL:	www.ntmk.ru
Represented by:	
Title:	Deputy Head of Environmental Protection Department
Salutation:	Mr
Last name:	Tkachenko
Middle name:	
First name:	Mikhail
Department:	
Phone (direct):	+7 (3435) 49-72-70
Fax (direct):	+7 (3435) 49-06-94
Mobile:	
Personal e-mail:	mikhail.tkachenko@ur.evraz.com

Organization:	Camco Carbon Russia Limited
Street/P.O.Box:	Green Street
Building:	Channel House
City:	St Helier
State/Region:	Jersey
Postfix/ZIP:	JE2 4UH
Country:	Channel Islands
Telephone:	+44 (0)1534 834 618
FAX:	+44 (0)1534 834 601
E-Mail:	
URL:	www.camcoglobal.com
Primary representative:	
Title:	Managing Director
Salutation:	Mr
Last Name:	Houston
Middle Name:	
First Name:	Arthur
Department:	
Mobile:	
Direct FAX:	+7 495 7212566
Direct tel:	+7 495 7212565
Personal E-Mail:	Project.participant.ru@camcoglobal.com





page 72

Secondary Representative:	
Title:	Carbon Development Director
Salutation:	Ms
Last Name:	Urgel-Esteban
Middle Name:	
First Name:	Beatriz
Department:	
Mobile:	+44 7825173379
Direct FAX:	+44 2071216101
Direct tel:	+44 2071216121
Personal E-Mail:	Project.participant.ru@camcoglobal.com


page 73

UNFCCC

## Annex 2

#### **BASELINE** INFORMATION

#### Baseline molten iron production

Parameter	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012
Blast furnace #1	871 434	624 988	624 988	624 988	624 988
Blast furnace #2	903 448	647 948	647 948	647 948	647 948
Blast furnace #3	916 846	657 557	657 557	657 557	657 557
Blast furnace #4	1 074 693	770 765	770 765	770 765	770 765
Blast furnace #5	1 040 661	746 357	746 357	746 357	746 357
Total within the project boundaries	4 807 081	3 447 615	3 447 615	3 447 615	3 447 615

#### Baseline "NTMK" OHFS performance figures

Parameter	Unit	Blast furnace #1	Blast furnace #2	Blast furnace #3	Blast furnace #4	Blast furnace #5
Carbon content in molten iron	%, weight	4.66	4.71	4.78	4.66	4.69
Specific consumption per 1 ton of molten iron						
Coke consumption	kg/t	496	510	495	479	496
Natural gas consumption	m³/t	101	91	107	107	119
Limestone consumption	kg/t	54	58	54	53	49
Steam consumption	Gcal/t	0.065	0.055	0.060	0.054	0.055
Blast air consumption	m³/t	1 251	1 348	1 267	1 341	1 327

page 74

UNFCCO

## KEY FACTORS INFLUENCING THE EMISSION REDUCTION UNITS' CALCULATION

Data/Parameter	P BF 1 PJY P BF 4 PJY P BF 5 PJY P BF 6 PJY
Data unit	t
Description	Molten iron production at blast furnaces #1,4,5 and 6
Time of determination/monitoring	Monthly
Source of data (to be) used	Blast furnace shop operations technical report
Value of data applied (for ex ante calculations/determinations)	
Justification of the choice of data or description of measurement methods and procedures (to be) applied	Measured on the weighbridge VESTO- SD20, AVP-VP-SD
QA/QC procedures (to be) applied	Weighbridge will be calibrated by the specialists of "NTMK" Process Automation Shop. Calibration frequency – once a year
Any comment	

Data/Parameter	M <sub>Coke</sub> BF 5 PJ Y M <sub>Limestone</sub> BF 5 PJ Y M <sub>Coke</sub> BF 6 PJ Y M <sub>Limestone</sub> BF 6 PJ Y
Data unit	t
Description	BF #5 and #6 coke and dolomite consumption
Time of determination/monitoring	Monthly
Source of data (to be) used	Blast furnace shop operations technical report
Value of data applied (for ex ante calculations/determinations)	
Justification of the choice of data or description of measurement methods and procedures (to be) applied	Measured by the weightfeeder DV-10
QA/QC procedures (to be) applied	Weightfeeder will be calibrated by the specialists of "NTMK" Process Automation Shop. Calibration frequency – once a year
Any comment	

Data/Parameter	FR <sub>NG BF 5</sub> pj y FR <sub>NG BF 6</sub> pj y
----------------	--



page 75

UNFCCC

Data unit	m <sup>3</sup>
Description	BF #5 and #6 natural gas consumption
Time of determination/monitoring	Monthly
Source of data (to be) used	Blast furnace shop operations technical report
Value of data applied (for ex ante calculations/determinations)	
Justification of the choice of data or description of measurement methods and procedures (to be) applied	Measured by SITRANS and ABB transformers
QA/QC procedures (to be) applied	Transformers will be calibrated by the specialists of "NTMK" Process Automation Shop. Calibration frequency – once in 36 months
Any comment	

Data/Parameter	C <sub>Steam</sub> BF 5 PJ Y C <sub>Steam</sub> BF 6 PJ Y
Data unit	Gkal
Description	BF #5 and #6 steam consumption
Time of determination/monitoring	Monthly
Source of data (to be) used	Blast furnace shop operations technical report
Value of data applied (for ex ante calculations/determinations)	
Justification of the choice of data or description of measurement methods and procedures (to be) applied	Measured by SITRANS and ABB transformers
QA/QC procedures (to be) applied	Transformers will be calibrated by the specialists of "NTMK" Process Automation Shop. Calibration frequency – once in 36 months
Any comment	

Data/Parameter	$C_{Blast BF 5 PJ Y} C_{Blast BF 6 PJ Y}$
Data unit	m <sup>3</sup>
Description	BF #5 and #6 blast air consumption
Time of determination/monitoring	Monthly
Source of data (to be) used	Blast furnace shop operations technical

This template shall not be altered. It shall be completed without modifying/adding headings or logo, format or font.



page 76

	report
Value of data applied (for ex ante calculations/determinations)	
Justification of the choice of data or description of measurement methods and procedures (to be) applied	Measured by SITRANS and ABB transformers
QA/QC procedures (to be) applied	Transformers will be calibrated by the specialists of "NTMK" Process Automation Shop. Calibration frequency – once in 36 months
Any comment	

Data/Parameter	SO Coke Coking coal
Data unit	%
Description	Coke yield from coking coal
Time of determination/monitoring	
Source of data (to be) used	CCO Chief Engineer data
Value of data applied (for ex ante calculations/determinations)	74.92
Justification of the choice of data or description of measurement methods and procedures (to be) applied	Values according to 2001-2008 data
QA/QC procedures (to be) applied	
Any comment	

Data/Parameter	% C Coking coal
Data unit	%
Description	Carbon content in coking coal
Time of determination/monitoring	
Source of data (to be) used	CCO Chief Engineer data
Value of data applied (for ex ante calculations/determinations)	60.2
Justification of the choice of data or description of measurement methods and procedures (to be) applied	Average value based on 2006-2008 data
QA/QC procedures (to be) applied	
Any comment	



page 77

Data/Parameter	SO <sub>Naph</sub>	
Data unit	kg/t	
Description	Naphthalene yield from coking coal	
Time of determination/monitoring		
Source of data (to be) used	CCO Chief Engineer data	
Value of data applied (for ex ante calculations/determinations)	1.9	
Justification of the choice of data or description of measurement methods and procedures (to be) applied	Average value based on 2006-2008 data	
QA/QC procedures (to be) applied		
Any comment		
Data/Parameter	SO Benz	
Data unit	kg/t	
Description	Benzol yield from coking coal	
Time of determination/monitoring		

Description	Benzol yield from coking coal
Time of determination/monitoring	
Source of data (to be) used	CCO Chief Engineer data
Value of data applied (for ex ante calculations/determinations)	8.8
Justification of the choice of data or description of measurement methods and procedures (to be) applied	Average value based on 2006-2008 data
QA/QC procedures (to be) applied	
Any comment	

Data/Parameter	%C <sub>Naph</sub>
Data unit	%
Description	Carbon content in naphthalene
Time of determination/monitoring	
Source of data (to be) used	CCO Chief Engineer data
Value of data applied (for ex ante calculations/determinations)	89.4
Justification of the choice of data or description of measurement methods and procedures (to be) applied	Average value based on 2006-2008 data



page 78

QA/QC procedures (to be) applied	
Any comment	
Data/Parameter	%C Benz
Data unit	0⁄0
Description	Carbon content in benzol
Time of determination/monitoring	
Source of data (to be) used	CCO Chief Engineer data
Value of data applied (for ex ante calculations/determinations)	89.6
Justification of the choice of data or description of measurement methods and procedures (to be) applied	Average value based on 2006-2008 data
QA/QC procedures (to be) applied	
Any comment	
Data/Parameter	$SC_{Steam\ Coke}$
Data unit	kcal/t
Description	Steam consumption per 1 ton of coke
Time of determination/monitoring	
Source of data (to be) used	CCO Chief Engineer data
Value of data applied (for ex ante calculations/determinations)	356.3
Justification of the choice of data or	

procedures (to be) applied	Average value based on 2006-2008 data
QA/QC procedures (to be) applied	
Any comment	
Data/Parameter	%C limestone

Data/Parameter	% limestone
Data unit	%
Description	Carbon content in limestone
Time of determination/monitoring	
Source of data (to be) used	2006 IPCC Guidelines for National Greenhouse Gas Inventories. Volume 3. Chapter 4: Metal Industry Emissions. p. 4.27



page 79

Value of data applied (for ex ante calculations/determinations)	12.0
Justification of the choice of data or description of measurement methods and procedures (to be) applied	IPCC recommended parameter value
QA/QC procedures (to be) applied	
Any comment	

Data/Parameter	$SC_{NG \ Steam}$
Data unit	Thou.m <sup>3</sup> /Gcal
Description	Specific natural gas consumption for steam generation at TPP-steam blower
Time of determination/monitoring	
Source of data (to be) used	LLC "NTMK-Energo"
Value of data applied (for ex ante calculations/determinations)	0.074
Justification of the choice of data or description of measurement methods and procedures (to be) applied	Average value based on 2006-2008 data
QA/QC procedures (to be) applied	
Any comment	

Data/Parameter	SC <sub>Steam Blast</sub>
Data unit	Gcal/m <sup>3</sup>
Description	Specific steam consumption for blast air generation at TPP-steam blower
Time of determination/monitoring	
Source of data (to be) used	LCC "NTMK-Energo"
Value of data applied (for ex ante calculations/determinations)	0.149
Justification of the choice of data or description of measurement methods and procedures (to be) applied	Average value based on 2006-2008 data
QA/QC procedures (to be) applied	
Any comment	

Data/Parameter	$EF_{NG}$
Data unit	tons of CO <sub>2</sub> /GJ



page 80

Description	Natural gas emission factor
Time of determination/monitoring	
Source of data (to be) used	2006 IPCC Guidelines for National Greenhouse Gas Inventories. Volume 2. Energy. p 2.16.
Value of data applied (for ex ante calculations/determinations)	0.0561
Justification of the choice of data or description of measurement methods and procedures (to be) applied	IPCC recommended parameter value
QA/QC procedures (to be) applied	
Any comment	

Data/Parameter	EC <sub>BF 5</sub> <sub>PJ Y</sub> EC <sub>BF 6</sub> <sub>PJ Y</sub>
Data unit	MW•hour
Description	BF #5 and #6 electricity consumption
Time of determination/monitoring	Monthly
Source of data (to be) used	BFS operations technical report
Value of data applied (for ex ante calculations/determinations)	
Justification of the choice of data or description of measurement methods and procedures (to be) applied	Measured by the electricity meters SAZU- I670M, SR4U-I673MB, SET-4TMO2.2
QA/QC procedures (to be) applied	Meters will be calibrated by the specialists of "NTMK" Process Automation Shop. Calibration frequency of SAZU-I670M and SR4U-I673MB meters – once in 48 months. Calibration frequency of SET-4TMO2.2 – once in 120 months
Any comment	

Data/Parameter	C Oxygen BF 5 PJ Y C Oxygen BF 6 PJ Y
Data unit	m <sup>3</sup>
Description	BF #5 and #6 oxygen consumption
Time of determination/monitoring	Monthly
Source of data (to be) used	BFS operations technical report



page 81

Value of data applied (for ex ante calculations/determinations)	
Justification of the choice of data or description of measurement methods and procedures (to be) applied	Measured by the heat and energy controller IM-2300
QA/QC procedures (to be) applied	Meter will be calibrated by the specialists of "NTMK" Process Automation Shop. Calibration frequency – once in 36 months
Any comment	

Data/Parameter	C <sub>Water</sub> BF 5 PJ Y C <sub>Water</sub> BF 6 PJ Y
Data unit	m <sup>3</sup>
Description	BF #5 and #6 recycle water consumption
Time of determination/monitoring	Monthly
Source of data (to be) used	BFS operations technical report
Value of data applied (for ex ante calculations/determinations)	
Justification of the choice of data or description of measurement methods and procedures (to be) applied	Measured by OPTIFLUKS flowmeter and AVV transformer
QA/QC procedures (to be) applied	Meters will be calibrated by the specialists of "NTMK" Process Automation Shop. Calibration frequency of OPTIFLUKS electro-magnetic flowmeter is once in 48 months and calibration frequency of AVV transformer is once in 36 months
Any comment	

Data/Parameter	EO <sub>TPRT PJ Y</sub>
Data unit	MW•hour
Description	BF #6 TPRT electricity generation
Time of determination/monitoring	Monthly
Source of data (to be) used	Technical report on the grids and substations shop operations
Value of data applied (for ex ante calculations/determinations)	
Justification of the choice of data or description of measurement methods and procedures (to be) applied	Measured by the electricity meter SET-4TM #08051487
QA/QC procedures (to be) applied	Meter will be calibrated by the specialists of "NTMK" Process Automation Shop. Calibration frequency – once in 60 months



page 82

Any comment	
Data/Parameter	SEC <sub>Coke</sub>
Data unit	kW•hour/t
Description	Electricity consumption per 1 ton of coke
Time of determination/monitoring	
Source of data (to be) used	CCO Chief Engineer data
Value of data applied (for ex ante calculations/determinations)	52.2
Justification of the choice of data or description of measurement methods and procedures (to be) applied	Average value based on 2006-2008 data
QA/QC procedures (to be) applied	
Any comment	

Data/Parameter	SEC Oxygen
Data unit	kW•hour/thou.m <sup>3</sup>
Description	Electricity consumption for oxygen generation
Time of determination/monitoring	
Source of data (to be) used	"NTMK" oxygen production operations data
Value of data applied (for ex ante calculations/determinations)	630.0
Justification of the choice of data or description of measurement methods and procedures (to be) applied	Average value based on 2006-2008 data
QA/QC procedures (to be) applied	
Any comment	

Data/Parameter	SEC Water
Data unit	kW•hour/thou.m <sup>3</sup>
Description	Electricity consumption for recycle water production
Time of determination/monitoring	
Source of data (to be) used	LCC "NTMK-Energo" data
Value of data applied (for ex ante calculations/determinations)	257.4



page 83

Justification of the choice of data or description of measurement methods and procedures (to be) applied	Average value based on 2006-2008 data
QA/QC procedures (to be) applied	
Any comment	

Data/Parameter	SEC Blast
Data unit	kW•hour/thou.m <sup>3</sup>
Description	Electricity consumption for blast air generation
Time of determination/monitoring	
Source of data (to be) used	LCC "NTMK-Energo" data
Value of data applied (for ex ante calculations/determinations)	4.59
Justification of the choice of data or description of measurement methods and procedures (to be) applied	Average value based on 2006-2008 data
QA/QC procedures (to be) applied	
Any comment	

Data/Parameter	SC Coke BF 1 BL Y SCCoke BF 2 BL Y SC Coke BF 3 BL Y SC Coke BF 4 BL Y SC Coke BF 5 BL Y
Data unit	kg/t
Description	BF ##1-5 baseline coke consumption
Time of determination/monitoring	
Source of data (to be) used	BFS operations technical reports for 2001-2003
Value of data applied (for ex ante calculations/determinations)	SC Coke BF 1 BL Y - 496 SC Coke BF 2 BL Y - 510 SC Coke BF 3 BL Y - 495 SC Coke BF 4 BL Y - 479 SC Coke BF 5 BL Y - 496
Justification of the choice of data or description of measurement methods and procedures (to be) applied	Average values of these parameters in 2001- 2003 based on the BFS operations reports
QA/QC procedures (to be) applied	
Any comment	



page 84

Data/Parameter	SC Limestone BF 1 BL Y SC Limestone BF 2 BL Y SC Limestone BF 3 BL Y SC Limestone BF 4 BL Y SC Limestone BF 5 BL Y
Data unit	kg/t
Description	BF ##1-5 baseline dolomite consumption
Time of determination/monitoring	
Source of data (to be) used	BFS operations technical reports for 2001- 2003
Value of data applied (for ex ante calculations/determinations)	SC Limestone BF 1 BL Y - 54 SC Limestone BF 2 BL Y - 58 SC Limestone BF 3 BL Y - 54 SC Limestone BF 4 BL Y - 53 SC Limestone BF 5 BL Y - 49
Justification of the choice of data or description of measurement methods and procedures (to be) applied	Average values of these parameters in 2001-2003 based on the BFS operations reports
QA/QC procedures (to be) applied	
Any comment	

Data/Parameter	SFR <sub>NG BF 1</sub> BL y SFR <sub>NG BF 2</sub> BL y SFR <sub>NG BF 3</sub> BL y SFR <sub>NG BF 4</sub> BL y SFR <sub>NG BF 5</sub> BL y
Data unit	m <sup>3</sup> /t
Description	BF ##1-5 baseline natural gas consumption
Time of determination/monitoring	
Source of data (to be) used	BFS operations technical reports for 2001-2003
Value of data applied (for ex ante calculations/determinations)	SFR <sub>NG BF 1 BL Y</sub> - 101 SFR <sub>NG BF 2 BL Y</sub> - 91 SFR <sub>NG BF 3 BL Y</sub> - 107 SFR <sub>NG BF 4 BL Y</sub> - 107 SFR <sub>NG BF 5 BL Y</sub> - 119
Justification of the choice of data or description of measurement methods and procedures (to be) applied	Average values of these parameters in 2001- 2003 based on the BFS operations reports
QA/QC procedures (to be) applied	
Any comment	



page 85

Data/Parameter	SC Steam BF 1 BL Y SC Steam BF 2 BL Y SC Steam BF 3 BL Y SC Steam BF 4 BL Y SC Steam BF 5 BL Y
Data unit	Gcal/t
Description	BF ##1-5 baseline steam consumption
Time of determination/monitoring	
Source of data (to be) used	BFS operations technical reports for 2001- 2003
Value of data applied (for ex ante calculations/determinations)	$SC_{Steam BF 1 BL Y} = 0.065$ $SC_{Steam BF 2 BL Y} = 0.055$ $SC_{Steam BF 3 BL Y} = 0.060$ $SC_{Steam BF 4 BL Y} = 0.054$ $SC_{Steam BF 5 BL Y} = 0.055$
Justification of the choice of data or description of measurement methods and procedures (to be) applied	Average values of these parameters in 2001-2003 based on the BFS operations reports
QA/QC procedures (to be) applied	
Any comment	

Data/Parameter	SC <sub>Blast</sub> BF 1 BL Y SC <sub>Blast</sub> BF 2 BL Y SC <sub>Blast</sub> BF 3 BL Y SC <sub>Blast</sub> BF 4 BL Y SC <sub>Blast</sub> BF 5 BL Y			
Data unit	m <sup>3</sup> /t			
Description	BF #5 and #6 baseline blast air consumption			
Time of determination/monitoring				
Source of data (to be) used	BFS operations technical reports for 2001-2003			
Value of data applied (for ex ante calculations/determinations)	SC <sub>Blast BF 1</sub> BL y - 1251 SC <sub>Blast BF 2</sub> BL y - 1348 SC <sub>Blast BF 3</sub> BL y - 1267 SC <sub>Blast BF 4</sub> BL y - 1341 SC <sub>Blast BF 5</sub> BL y - 1327			
Justification of the choice of data or description of measurement methods and procedures (to be) applied	Average values of these parameters in 2001- 2003 based on the BFS operations reports			
QA/QC procedures (to be) applied				
Any comment				



page 86

Data/Parameter	SEC BF 1 BL Y SEC BF 2 BL Y SEC BF 3 BL Y SEC BF 4 BL Y SEC BF 5 BL Y		
Data unit	MW•hour/t		
Description	BF ##1-5 baseline electricity consumption		
Time of determination/monitoring			
Source of data (to be) used	BFS operations technical reports for 2001- 2003		
Value of data applied (for ex ante calculations/determinations)	$SEC_{BF 1 BL Y} - 5.0$ $SEC_{BF 2 BL Y} - 5.3$ $SEC_{BF 3 BL Y} - 5.7$ $SEC_{BF 4 BL Y} - 5.0$ $SEC_{BF 5 BL Y} - 5.0$		
Justification of the choice of data or description of measurement methods and procedures (to be) applied	Average values of these parameters in 2001-2003 based on the BFS operations reports		
QA/QC procedures (to be) applied			
Any comment			

Data/Parameter	SC Oxygen BF 1 BL Y SC Oxygen BF 2 BL Y SC Oxygen BF 3 BL Y SC Oxygen BF 4 BL Y SC Oxygen BF 5 BL Y			
Data unit	m³/t			
Description	BF ##1-5 baseline electricity consumption			
Time of determination/monitoring				
Source of data (to be) used	BFS operations technical reports for 2001-2003			
Value of data applied (for ex ante calculations/determinations)	SC <sub>Oxygen BF 1 BL Y</sub> - 69 SC <sub>Oxygen BF 2 BL Y</sub> - 63 SC <sub>Oxygen BF 3 BL Y</sub> - 65 SC <sub>Oxygen BF 4 BL Y</sub> - 56 SC <sub>Oxygen BF 5 BL Y</sub> - 93			
Justification of the choice of data or description of measurement methods and procedures (to be) applied	Average values of these parameters in 2001- 2003 based on the BFS operations reports			
QA/QC procedures (to be) applied				
Any comment				



page 87

Data/Parameter	SC Water BF 1 BL Y SC Water BF 2 BL Y SC Water BF 3 BL Y SC Water BF 4 BL Y SC Water BF 5 BL Y		
Data unit	m <sup>3</sup> /t		
Description	BF ##1-5 baseline recycle water consumption		
Time of determination/monitoring			
Source of data (to be) used	BFS operations technical reports for 2001- 2003		
Value of data applied (for ex ante calculations/determinations)	SC <sub>Water BF 1 BL Y</sub> - 14.7 SC <sub>Water BF 2 BL Y</sub> - 14.7 SC <sub>Water BF 3 BL Y</sub> - 15.0 SC <sub>Water BF 4 BL Y</sub> - 14.7 SC <sub>Water BF 5 BL Y</sub> - 14.3		
Justification of the choice of data or description of measurement methods and procedures (to be) applied	Average values of these parameters in 2001- 2003 based on the BFS operations reports		
QA/QC procedures (to be) applied			
Any comment			

Data/Parameter	$EF_{CO2 grid}$		
Data unit	tons of CO <sub>2</sub> /GW/hour		
Description	Emission factor during power generation in the RF energy system		
Time of determination/monitoring	2004 г.		
Source of data (to be) used	Operational Guidelines for Project Design Documents of Joint Implementation Projects. Volume 1: General guidelines. Version 2.3. Ministry of Economic Affairs of the Netherlands. May 2004. p.43, Table B2		
Value of data applied (for ex ante calculations/determinations)			
Justification of the choice of data or description of measurement methods and procedures (to be) applied	Standard emission factor during power generation in the RF energy system		
QA/QC procedures (to be) applied			
Any comment			

page 88

UNFCCC

## <u>Annex 3</u> MONITORING PLAN

# Initial data reporting form for preparation of CO<sub>2</sub> emissions monitoring report

ID number	Symbol	Data variable	Measuring unit	Value	Comment
P-1.	P <sub>BFIPJY</sub>	<i>BF #1 molten iron production</i>	ton		
<i>P-2</i> .	P <sub>BF4PJY</sub>	<i>BF #4 molten iron production</i>	ton		
P-3.	P <sub>BF5 PJ</sub>	<i>BF #5 molten iron production</i>	ton		
<i>P-4</i> .	M <sub>Coke</sub> BF 5 PJ	BF #5 coke consumption	ton		
<i>P-5</i> .	$M_{\it Limestone BF 5 PJ}$	<i>BF #5 dolomite consumption</i>	ton		
<i>P-6</i> .	FR NG BF 5 PJ	<i>BF #5 natural gas consumption</i>	Thou.m <sup>3</sup>		
<i>P-7</i> .	C <sub>Steam BF 5 PJ</sub>	<i>BF #5 steam consumption</i>	Gcal		
<i>P-8</i> .	C <sub>Blast BF 5 PJ Y</sub>	<i>BF #5 Blast air consumption</i>	Thou.m <sup>3</sup>		
<i>P-9</i> .	EC <sub>BF5 PJ Y</sub>	<i>BF #5 electricity consumption</i>	MW• hour		
<i>P-10</i> .	C <sub>Oxygen BF 5 PJ Y</sub>	BF #5 oxygen consumption	Thou.m <sup>3</sup>		
<i>P-11</i> .	C Water BF 5 PJY	<i>BF #5 recycle water consumption</i>	Thou.m <sup>3</sup>		
<i>P-12</i> .	P <sub>BF6 PJY</sub>	<i>BF #6 molten iron production</i>	ton		
<i>P-13</i> .	M Coke BF 6 PJ Y	<i>BF #6 coke</i> <i>consumption</i>	ton		
<i>P-14</i> .	M Limestone BF 6 PJ Y	<i>BF #6 limestone consumption</i>	ton		
<i>P-15</i> .	FR <sub>NG BF 6 PJ Y</sub>	<i>BF #6 natural gas consumption</i>	Thou.m <sup>3</sup>		
<i>P-16</i> .	C <sub>Steam BF 6 PJ Y</sub>	<i>BF #6 steam consumption</i>	Gcal		
<i>P-17</i> .	C <sub>Blast BF 6 PJ Y</sub>	<i>BF #6 Blast air consumption</i>	Thou.m <sup>3</sup>		
<i>P-18</i> .	EC <sub>BF6 PJY</sub>	<i>BF #6 electricity consumption</i>	MW• hour		
<i>P-19</i> .	C Oxygen BF 6 PJ Y	<i>BF #6 oxygen</i> <i>consumption</i>	Thou.m <sup>3</sup>		
<i>P-20.</i>	$C_{\it Water BF 6 PJ Y}$	<i>BF #6 recycle water consumption</i>	Thou.m <sup>3</sup>		
<i>P-21</i> .	EO TPRT PJY	<i>BF #6 TPRT</i> <i>electricity</i> <i>generation</i>	MW• hour		
<i>P-22</i> .	Q <sub>NG Y</sub>	Net calorific value of natural gas supplied to "NTMK"	GJ/thou.m <sup>3</sup>		