

## ALE DEDODT FORM

	edited independent entity (AIE) submitting form	TÜV Rheinland	(China) Ltd. (	⁻ÜV Rheinland)	
	JI acti				
Refer	ence number and title of JI activity	Energy efficiency measures at the "Public Joint Stock Company Azovstal Iron & Steel Works"  ITL Project ID UA1000223			
Partie	es involved in the JI activity	Ukraine (host Party) Switzerland			
Proje	ct participants	Public Joint Stock Company Azovstal Iron & Steel Works;     Metinvest International SA			
Coord	dinating entity (applicable to Jl PoA only)	N/A			
Туре	of JI activity:  ☑ large-scale   □ small	-scale 🗆 L	ULUCF	□ РоА	
	Emission reductions/Enha	ancements of	removals		
Monit	oring period(s) (DD/MM/YYYY - DD/MM/YYYY)	01/04/2	011 – 30/06/20	)12	
sourc	ed reductions in anthropogenic emissions by es/enhancements of anthropogenic removals iks (tonnes of CO <sub>2</sub> eq.)	1 946 3	86 tonnes of C	O <sub>2</sub> eq.	
	resent verification is the first with regard to activity	⊠Y	es	□ No	
the JI		☑ N/A			
	Verification period (DD/MM/YYYY-DD/MM/YYYY)				
	Reference number of JPAs verified	☑ N/A			
Applicable to JI en	Reference number of JPAs verified  Sampling approach used to verify the PoA	☑ N/A	□ Yes	□ No	
	Reference number of JPAs verified	☑ N/A			

accordance with the Standard for applying the concept of materiality in verifications

TÜV Rheinland (China) Ltd. (TÜV Rheinland) has performed the verification of the emission reductions that have been reported for the "Energy efficiency measures at the "Public Joint Stock Company Azovstal Iron & Steel Works"" (ITL Project ID UA1000223) for the period from the 1st of April 2011 till the 30th of June 2012.

The project participants are responsible for the collection of data in accordance with the monitoring plan and the reporting of GHG emissions reductions from the project.

It is TUV Rheinland's responsibility to express an independent verification opinion - conclusion on the verified amount of emission reductions from the project.

TUV Rheinland has conducted the verification on the basis of the monitoring plan contained in the registered Project Design Document Version 2.5 dated 14th of June 2010 and the Monitoring Report Version 2.0 dated 07 of November 2012.

The verification included the assessment of:

- Project implementation in accordance with the Project Design Document (PDD);
- Compliance with the monitoring plan;
- Calculation of emission reductions and expression of a conclusion with a reasonable level of assurance about whether the reported GHG emission reduction data are accurate and free of material errors, omissions, or misstatements;
- Quality and management of data and verification that reported GHG emission reductions data is sufficiently supported by evidence.

TÜV Rheinland's (China) Ltd. (TÜV Rheinland) verification approach draws on an understanding of the risks associated with reporting of GHG emission data and the controls in place to mitigate these. TUV Rheinland planned and performed the verification by obtaining evidence and other information and explanations that TÜV Rheinland (China) Ltd. (TÜV Rheinland) considers necessary to give reasonable assurance that reported GHG emission reductions are fairly stated, accurate and free of material errors, omissions, or misstatements.

In our opinion the GHG emissions reductions of the "Energy efficiency measures at the "Public Joint Stock Company Azovstal Iron & Steel Works" (ITL Project ID UA1000223) for the period from the 1st of April 2011 till the 30th of June 2012 are fairly stated, accurate and free of material errors, omissions, or misstatements in the Monitoring Report Version 2.0 dated 07 of November 2012.

The GHG emission reductions were calculated correctly on the basis of the monitoring plan contained in the registered Project Design Document Version 2.5 dated 14th of June 2010.

TUV Rheinland Ukraine LLC is able to verify that the emission reductions from the "Energy efficiency measures at the "Public Joint Stock Company Azovstal Iron & Steel Works" (ITL Project ID UA1000223) for the period from the 1st of April 2011 till the 30th of June 2012 amount to 1 946 386 tonnes of  $CO_2$  equivalent.

The <u>project design was revised</u> in the current monitoring period, after the determination has been deemed final	□ Yes ☑ No ☑ Not applicable		☑ No
If yes, please <u>list all revisions</u> to the project design and express a determination opinion (or provide a reference to an attached document containing the required information)			
If project design was revised in the current monitoring period, <u>please confirm</u> that conditions defined in JI guidelines (paragraph 33) are still met	☑ N/A	□ Yes	□ No
If project design was revised in the current monitoring period, <u>please confirm</u> that the changes do not alter the original determination opinion	☑ N/A	□ Yes	□ No
If project design was revised in the current monitoring period, please confirm that:			
(a) Physical location of the project has not changed	☑ N/A	□ Yes	□ No
(b) If emission sources have changed, they are reflected in an updated monitoring plan	☑ N/A	□ Yes	□ No
(c) Baseline scenario has not changed	☑ N/A	□ Yes	□ No
(d) Changes are consistent with JI specific approach or CDM methodology upon which the determination was prepared for the project	☑ N/A	□ Yes	□ No

			Charles and the Control of the Contr			
monito If yes, p under e	onitoring plan was revised in the current oring period olease specify for which technologies and measure each type of JPA the monitoring plan was revised able to JI PoA only)	☑ N/A	□ Yes	□ No		
improminform monite the re	urrent revisions to the monitoring plan ve the accuracy and/or applicability of nation collected, compared to the original oring plan without changing conformity wilevant rules and regulations for establishin oring plans	th ☑ N/A g	□ Yes	□ No		
List of	f documents attached to the verification re	port form				
Please	e attach relevant documents used in the verific	ation process and	mark below a	accordingly		
$\square$	Verification report					
$\square$	Written approvals by the Parties involved	l, if applicable				
$\square$	Other relevant documents:					
	□ Document listing all revisions to the project design, if applicable					
Determination that the revisions to the <u>project design</u> , if applicable, do not alter determination opinion and that the conditions defined by paragraph 33 of the JI guideline met						
	□ Revised monitoring plan, if applicable					
	□ Determination that the revisions to the <u>monitoring plan</u> , if applicable, improve the accuracy and/or applicability of information collected, compared to the original monitoring plan without changing conformity with relevant rules and regulations for establishing monitoring plans					
	□ Sampling plan, if applicable (JI PoA only)					
	☑ Any other documents (please list): Estimation of greenhouse gas emission reductions in Excel format					
	IE herewith declares that undertaking the not constitute a conflict of interest which is			tan AIE		
Opera	ational manager signing for the AIE	Dr. Manfr <b>ed</b> Independent	Brinkmann Entity Opera	Acgredited tional Manager		
Date a	and signature	08/11/2012	M. 1	37 cu		