

## JI VERIFICATION REPORT FORM

(version (to be completed by an AIE requesting the publication of a d	·				
Accredited independent entity (AIE) submitting form	Bureau Veritas Certification Holding SAS				
JI act	ivity				
Reference number and title of JI activity	"Reduction of Methane Emissions at Flanged, Threaded Joints and Shut-down Devices of OJSC "Odesagas" Equipment"				
Coordinating entity (applicable to JI PoA only)	N/a				
Parties involved in the JI activity	Ukraine, Denmark				
Type of JI activity:   ☑ large scale   □ sma	ll scale □ LULUCF □ PoA				
Emission reductions / Enhancements of removals					
Monitoring period(s) (DD/MM/YYYY - DD/MM/YYYY	01/01/2010 — 30/09/2010				
Reference number of JPAs verified (applicable to JI PoA only)	☑ N.A.				
A sampling approach was used to verify the JI Po	N.A. □ Yes □ No				
Verification period (DD/MM/YYYY - DD/MM/YYYY) (applicable to JI PoA only)	☑ N.A.				
Verified reductions in anthropogenic emissions by sources / enhancements of anthropogenic removals by sinks (tonnes of CO <sub>2</sub> equivalent)	467895,66				
The present verification is the first with regard to the JI activity	□ Yes ☑ No				
Statements regarding require	ments and possible changes				
Please confirm that all requirements of Article 6 of further relevant requirements defined by the CMP to paragraph 37 of the JI guidelines are met:  ☑ Yes  No	the Kyoto Protocol, the JI guidelines and or the JISC with regard to verifications pursuant				
The <u>project design was revised</u> after the determination has been deemed final	□ Yes ☑ No				
If yes, please respond to the inquiries belo	w:				
Please <u>list all revisions</u> to the project design (or provide a reference to an attached document containing the required information)	N/a				

Please	exp	ress the determination opinion	N/a			
Please confirm that conditions defined by paragraph 33 of the JI guidelines are still met			□ Yes	□ No		
Please confirm that the changes do not alter the original determination opinion			□ Yes	□ No		
Please	con	firm_that:				
(a) Physical location of the project has not changed			□ Yes	□ No		
(b) If emission sources have changed, they are reflected in an updated monitoring plan			□ Yes	□ No		
(c) Baseline scenario has not changed			□ Yes	□ No		
(d) Changes are consistent with JI specific approach or CDM methodology upon which the determination was prepared for the project			□ Yes	□ No		
The monitoring plan was revised  If yes, please inform for which technologies and measures under each type of JPA the monitoring plan			□ Yes	☑ No		
collect withou rules a monito	ted, out cha and re oring	uments attached to the verification report		□ Yes □ No		
Please	atta	ch relevant documents used in the verification	n process and check	mark below accordingly		
Ø						
		tten approvals by the Parties involved, if a	applicable			
M	<ul> <li>☑ Other documents:</li> <li>□ Document listing all revisions to the project design, if applicable</li> </ul>					
<ul> <li>Document listing all revisions to the project design, if applicable</li> <li>Determination that the revisions to the project design, if applicable, do not alter the original determination opinion and that the conditions defined by paragraph 33 of the JI guidelines are still met</li> </ul>						
		Revised monitoring plan, if applicable				
Determination that the revisions to the monitoring plan, if applicable, improve the accuracy and/or applicability of information collected, compared to the original monitoring plan without changing conformity with the relevant rules and regulations for the establishment of monitoring plans						
	□ Sampling plan, if applicable (JI PoA only)					
	Any other documents (please list): Emission Reductions Calculations in excel spreadsheet version 1.0 dated 01/01/2010					
		rewith declares that undertaking the verifionstitute a conflict of interest which is inc				
Authorized officer signing for the AIE			Flavio Gomes	Hans		
Date and signature			03/10/2010			