

JI VERIFICATION REPORT FORM

(version 03.1) (to be completed by an AIE requesting the publication of a determination pursuant to paragraph 37 of the JI guidelines)				
Accredited independent entity (AIE) submitting for	rm Bureau Veritas Certification Holding SAS			
JI activity				
Reference number and title of JI activity	UA1000022. Revamping and Modernization of the Alchevsk Steel Mill, Ukraine			
Coordinating entity (applicable to Jl PoA only)	N.A.			
Parties involved in the JI activity	Ukraine The Netherlands			
Type of JI activity:	nall scale □ LULUCF □ PoA			
Emission reductions / Enhancements of removals				
Monitoring period(s) (DD/MM/YYYY - DD/MM/YYY	YY) 01/10/2010 – 31/12/2010			
Reference number of JPAs verified (applicable to JI PoA only)	☑ N.A.			
A sampling approach was used to verify the JI Page (applicable to JI PoA only)	oA ☑ N.A. □ Yes □ No			
Verification period (DD/MM/YYYY - DD/MM/YYYY (applicable to JI PoA only)) ☑ N.A.			
Verified reductions in anthropogenic emissions sources / enhancements of anthropogenic removals by sinks (tonnes of CO ₂ equivalent)	181 224 t CO ₂ equivalent			
The present verification is the first with regard to the JI activity	P			
Statements regarding requirements and possible changes				
Please confirm that all requirements of Article 6 further relevant requirements defined by the CMI to paragraph 37 of the JI guidelines are met: Verification opinion Please provide a verification opinion (or a refere documents attached), including a statement regardaccordance with the Standard for applying the confirmation.	P or the JISC with regard to verifications pursuant nce to the verification opinion in one of the arding materiality and level of assurance, in			
The verification opinion is provided in the attached V	rerification Report, version 02 dated 30/05/2011.			

The <u>project design was revised</u> after the determination has been deemed final.	□ Yes		☑ No	
If yes, please <u>list all revisions</u> to the project design and express a determination opinion (or provide a reference to an attached document containing the required information)	☑ N.A.			
If project design was revised, <u>please confirm</u> that conditions defined by paragraph 33 of the Jl guidelines are still met	☑ N.A.	□ Yes	□ No	
If project design was revised, <u>please confirm</u> that the changes do not alter the original determination opinion	☑ N.A.	□ Yes	□ No	
If project design was revised, please confirm that:	The state of the s			
(a) Physical location of the project has not changed	☑ N.A.	□ Yes	□ No	
(b) If emission sources have changed, they are reflected in an updated monitoring plan	☑ N.A.	□ Yes	□ No	
(c) Baseline scenario has not changed (d) Changes are consistent with JI specific	☑ N.A.	□ Yes	□ No	
approach or CDM methodology upon which the determination was prepared for the project	☑ N.A.	□ Yes	□ No	
The monitoring plan was revised	□ Yes		☑ No	
If yes, please inform for which technologies and measures under each type of JPA the monitoring plan was revised (applicable to JI PoA only)				
The revisions to the monitoring plan improve the accuracy and/or applicability of information collected, compared to the original monitoring plan without changing conformity with the relevant rules and regulations for the establishment of monitoring plans	☑ N.A.	□ Yes	□ No	
List of documents attached to the verification report	form			
Please attach relevant documents used in the verification		k mark belo	w accordingly	
 ✓ Verification report □ Written approvals by the Parties involved, if a ☑ Other documents: □ Document listing all revisions to the p □ Determination that the revisions to the original determination opinion and that the JI guidelines are still met □ Revised monitoring plan, if applicable □ Determination that the revisions to the accuracy and/or applicability of inform monitoring plan without changing con regulations for the establishment of m □ Sampling plan, if applicable (JI PoA or Any other documents (please list): Annual JI monitoring report; GHG calculation database-Q4-2010-2" 	roject design, if a project design, if a project design, in the conditions are monitoring plant action collected, informity with the conitoring plans ally)	if applicable defined by p , if applicab compared to relevant rule	oaragraph 33 of ole, improve the o the original es and	
The AIE herewith declares that undertaking the verific does not constitute a conflict of interest which is income.	cation for the JL a ompatible with th	ctivity refer e role of an	red to above	
Authorized officer signing for the AIE	Flavio Gomes	Mari	0	
Date and signature	30/05/2011			