

Call for public input on materiality and changes during project implementation

Ref to the changes during project implementation:

Based on the experience we have with different JI projects I suggest to issue a specific procedure dedicated to the “decision on how to address the changes” occurred during the implementation of an JI Project and maybe also during the lifetime of a JI Project.

The changes occurred could be very substantial or only minor.

It could / should be the responsibility of the DFP of the host country in cooperation with the PPs to decide upon the way to address these changes.

These stakeholders should decide on the need for a re-determination of the project or to address the changes in the verification process (CARs dedicated to the changes occurred).

The decision will be based on several criteria (i.e. magnitude of the “change” or “change’s effect”).

We have had such experience when changes occurred during the implementation of a JI Project led to a Re-Determination of the PDD suggested by the AIE;

Due to the lack of such a “JISC procedure” the decision of the AIE was to go for the Re-Determination (“to be on the safe side”) — even that the DFP and PPs recommended to address these changes along the initial and first verification of ERUs generated by the Project.

The side effect of this decision: **huge delay in the verification process** and also additional costs.

The major problem is the delay generated by missing specific procedure issued by JISC.

Mihai Brasoveanu

Danish Energy Agency

Local Project Coordinator