

JI DETERMINATION REVIEW FORM

(version 02)								
	((by submitting	this form, a Party invol	ed in a JI activity	(throug	h the DFP) or a JISC mem	ber request a review)	
Party involved (DFP) / JISC member submitting the form:								
Re	eference	number ar	nd title of the propos	0211 Utilization of coke gas with electricity generation by two 6 MWe CHP at "ZaporozhCox Plant"				
Ту	pe of JI	activity:	X large scale	□ small sc	ale		□ PoA/JPA	
Background								
1.	the CMP or the JISC with regard to determinations pursuant to paragraph 33 of the JI guidelines have to be met and appropriately dealt with by the accredited independent entity (AIE).							
2.	determin	participants shall submit to an AIE a JI PDD/PoA DD that contains all information needed for the ination of whether the proposed JI activity fulfills the conditions specified in the questions below, as and in paragraph 31 of the JI guidelines.						
3.		AIE shall determine whether the proposed JI activity meets the conditions of paragraph 33 of the JI elines, as specified in the questions below.						
4.	The AIE reasons	E shall make its determination publicly available through the secretariat, together with an explanation of its s, including a summary of comments received and a report of how due account was taken of these, in ance with paragraph 34 of the JI guidelines.						
5.		applicable, the AIE shall take into consideration paragraphs 26-39 of the procedures for programmes of es under the verification procedure under the JISC (JI PoA procedures).						
Request for review								
Please respond to the questions presented below by marking the appropriate check box:								
Yes No								
 ➤ Has the AIE determined appropriately that the proposition X □ • has been approved by the Parties involved liste 				-	DD2			
		 has been approved by the Parties involved listed in the JI PDD/PoA DD? would result in a reduction of anthropogenic emissions by sources or an enhancement 						
		wou of a	nthropogenic remova	on of anthropog als by sinks tha	t is add	litional to any that wou	ild otherwise	
X	X	■ has		line and monitouidelines?	oring pla	an in accordance with	the criteria set out	
X		➤ Has the AIE confirmed that the project participants have submitted documentation on the analysis of the environmental impacts of the proposed JI activity, including transboundary impacts, in accordance with procedures as determined by the host Party, and, if those impacts are considered significant by the project participants or the host Party, have undertaken an environmental impact assessment in accordance with procedures as required by the host Party?						
		➤ Has the AIE made its determination publicly available through the secretariat together with an explanation of its reasons, including a summary of the comments received and a report of how due account was taken on these?						
		➤ Has the coordinating entity of the JI PoA included only JPA(s) that appear to meet all the eligibility requirements defined in the JI PoA DD? (applicable to JI PoA only)						
		> Has the and the	AIE confirmed that to operational and mar	he eligibility cri nagement arrar	teria fo	r inclusion of JPAs ha tts for the implementa (applicable to JI PoA	ve been fulfilled tion of the JI PoA	
	Please describe in detail the reasons for requesting the review including the specific							

Please describe in detail the reasons for requesting the review, including the specific requirements in the JI guidelines that are not fulfilled, and attach supporting documentation, if appropriate.

There are contractions within and between PDD and determination report (DR) regarding the start date of the crediting period. While DR p.33 (A4.3.2.) states a crediting period start date of 01.01.2008 the PDD p.23 & p.5 refer to a crediting period start date of 01.02.2008 (and so does the DR p. 41, C.3.1.) The basis for the annual ER calculations is therefore not consistent.

- It is not clear that the project could not result in an overall increase in production of COG, and hence an increase in fossil fuel emissions, in order to increase steam generation and thereby electricity generation and ERU revenues from the new CHP units.
- It essential that no natural gas or other fossil fuel is mixed with COG in the project, otherwise project emissions would not be zero.
- The amount of COG delivered to Zaporozhstal should be monitored and compared with historical average deliveries to ensure that any decrease in externally delivered COG is not greater than that caused by the difference in steam input and output through the new units.