

PARTY/JISC REVIEW FORM

(version 03)

(by submitting this form, a Party involved in a JI activity (through DFP) or a JISC member requests a review)			
Party involved (DFP) / JISC member submitting the form:			
Reference number and title of the proposed JI activity:			287 - Implementation of energy-efficient lighting system in the Donetsk Region with the use of Kyoto Protocol mechanism: replacement of incandescent lamps with energy-efficient ones at budget financed and social entities in the Torez town (under Track 2)
AIE that performed the determination			TÜV Rheinland Japan Ltd.
Type of JI activity: □ large-scale X small-scale □ LULUCF □ PoA/JPA			
Background			
	The requirements of Article 6 of the Kyoto Protocol, the JI guidelines, and relevant CMP and JISC requirements regarding determinations pursuant to paragraph 33 of the JI guidelines have to be met and appropriately addressed by the accredited independent entity (AIE).		
	Project participants shall submit to an AIE a JI PDD/PoA DD that contains all the information needed for the determination of whether the proposed JI activity fulfills the requirements in paragraph 31 of the JI guidelines.		
	The AIE shall determine whether the proposed JI activity meets the conditions in paragraph 33 of the JI guidelines.		
	The AIE shall make its determination publicly available through the secretariat, together with an explanation of its reasons, including a summary of comments received and a report of how due account was taken of these (paragraph 34 of JI guidelines).		
	Where applicable, the AIE shall take into consideration paragraphs 26–30 of the procedures for programmes of activities under the verification procedure under the JISC (JI PoA procedures, version 1).		
Request for review			
Please respond to the questions presented below by marking the appropriate check box:			
Yes	No No	➤ Has the AIE determined appropriately that	t the proposed II setivity:
X		 has been approved by the Parties invo 	•
	X	 would result in a reduction of anthropo 	ogenic emissions by sources or an enhancement at is additional to any that would otherwise occur?
	X	. •	toring plan in accordance with the criteria set out
X		analysis of the environmental impacts of the impacts, in accordance with procedures as impacts are considered significant by the page 15 of the impacts are considered significant.	rticipants have submitted documentation on the he proposed JI activity, including transboundary is determined by the host Party, and, if those project participants or the host Party, have essment in accordance with procedures as
X			sly available through the secretariat together with summary of the comments received and a report
		Has the coordinating entity of the JI PoA in eligibility requirements defined in the JI Po	ncluded only JPA(s) that appear to meet all the bA DD? (applicable to JI PoA only)
		> Has the AIE confirmed that the eligibility c	riteria for inclusion of JPAs have been fulfilled angements for the implementation of the JI PoA
	Nesses	describe in detail the reasons for requesting	

Please describe in detail the reasons for requesting the review, including the specific JI requirements (e.g. guidelines, guidance, decisions, rules, etc.) that you consider not fulfilled, and attach supporting documentation, if appropriate.

It is claimed by the PP that the state funding for large-scale replacement of ICLs with CFLs in the public sector is not sufficient. However, no documentary evidence of this Investment barrier has been provided and determined by the AIE other than just a statement included in the PDD. Traceable and transparent information showing that the baseline was identified on the basis of conservative assumptions along with documentary evidence to back the claim as well as reasons for non-availability of funding could have been asked for by AIE during determination, in accordance with paragraph 44 (a) of the Guidance on criteria for baseline setting and monitoring ver03.