



**FRAMEWORK CONVENTION ON CLIMATE CHANGE - Secretariat
CONVENTION - CADRE SUR LES CHANGEMENTS CLIMATIQUES -
Secrétariat**

Date: 11 March 2006
Ref: JISC 02

JOINT IMPLEMENTATION SUPERVISORY COMMITTEE

SECOND MEETING

Report

Date of meeting: 8 and 10 - 11 March 2006

Location: Bonn, Germany

Attendance: The names of members and alternate members present at the second meeting are in bold print below. Where only the name of an alternate member is in bold print, the alternate member participated as a member.

Members	<i>Alternate Members</i>
Mr. Olle Björk	<i>Mr. Franzjosef Schafhausen</i>
Mr. Georg Børsting	<i>Mr. Darren Goetze</i>
Mr. Jaime Bravo	<i>Mr. Marcos Castro Rodriguez</i>
Ms. Fatou Gaye	<i>Mr. Vincent Kasulu Seya Makonga</i>
Mr. Maurits Blanson Henkemans	<i>Mr. Hiroki Kudo</i>
Mr. Shailendra Kumar Joshi	<i>Mr. Maosheng Duan</i>
Mr. Derrick Oderson	<i>Ms. Yumiko Crisostomo</i>
Mr. Oleg Pluzhnikov	<i>Mr. Evgeny Sokolov</i>
Ms. Daniela Stoycheva	<i>Ms. Astrida Celmina</i>
Mr. Vlad Trusca	<i>Mr. Matej Gasperic</i>

Quorum (in parenthesis required numbers):

10 (7) members/alternate members acting as members were present of which

6 (4) were from Annex I Parties and

4 (3) were from non-Annex I Parties.

WWW broadcasting: <http://ji.unfccc.int/Sup_Committee/Meetings>.

**Agenda item 1: Membership issues (including disclosure of possible conflict of interest)**

1. The Chair opened the meeting and asserted that the quorum was met. No conflict of interest was identified by any member or alternate member of the Joint Implementation Supervisory Committee (JISC) present at the meeting.
2. The JISC noted that Mr. Goetze, Mr. Pluzhnikov and Mr. Schafhausen were unable to attend the meeting and had provided proper justification for their absence.

Agenda item 2: Adoption of the agenda

3. The JISC adopted the agenda as proposed.

Agenda item 3: Work plan**Agenda sub-item 3 (a): Guidelines for users of the joint implementation project design document (JI PDD) form**

4. At its first meeting, the JISC had agreed on a draft JI PDD form and had requested the secretariat to prepare draft guidelines for users of the JI PDD form for consideration by the JISC at its second meeting. The secretariat presented the draft prepared taking into account inputs by JISC members selected by the JISC at the first meeting.
5. Having amended the draft guidelines in the light of its discussions, the JISC agreed on them as contained in [annex 1](#) to this report.
6. The JISC requested the secretariat to launch a call for public input on the structure and content of the JI PDD form and the respective draft guidelines as well as on the need for development of a separate JI PDD form for LULUCF projects. The call will be announced, inter alia, through the UNFCCC JI and CDM news facilities and will be open for inputs for a 30-day period. The JISC explicitly welcomes inputs on section B of the JI PDD form and from project developers who have used project design documents.
7. The JISC requested the secretariat to prepare, for consideration at the third meeting of the JISC, a compilation of the inputs received. On this basis the secretariat shall also prepare, as appropriate, a revised draft JI PDD form as well as revised draft guidelines for users of the JI PDD form taking into account guidance and comments by Mr. Henkemans and Mr. Sokolov.

Agenda sub-item 3 (b): Development of procedures (regarding publication of documents and reviews)*Procedures on public availability of documents under the verification procedure under the JISC*

8. At its first meeting, the JISC had requested the secretariat to prepare draft procedures on public availability of documents under the verification procedure under the JISC. The secretariat presented the main features of the draft procedures prepared.
9. The JISC amended the draft procedures in the light of its discussions and agreed on the draft document as contained in [annex 2](#) to this report for adoption at a future meeting.
10. Additionally, the JISC requested the secretariat to prepare forms to be used by accredited independent entities (AIEs) when submitting determination or verification reports for publication and to make them available on the UNFCCC JI website.

*Procedures for reviews under the verification procedure under the JISC*

11. At its first meeting, the JISC had requested the secretariat to prepare draft procedures for reviews under the verification procedure under the JISC. The secretariat presented the main features of the draft procedures prepared.
12. The JISC discussed and revised the draft procedures.
13. The JISC decided to continue the discussions, in particular with regard to deadlines and criteria for reviews, at its next meeting.
14. Additionally, the JISC requested the secretariat to prepare, for consideration at its third meeting, draft terms of reference for experts to participate in review teams. The JISC expressed its intention to set up a roster of experts, on which it could optionally draw when establishing review teams.

Agenda sub-item 3 (c): Accreditation of independent entities

15. At its first meeting, the JISC had requested the secretariat to prepare a draft procedure for accrediting independent entities based on the procedure for accrediting operational entities, and options for making use of the CDM panel's expertise.
16. With regard to the institutional arrangement of the accreditation system, the JISC decided to establish its own accreditation panel (JI-AP). The JISC also decided that the panel shall consist of minimum four and maximum six experts, one of which shall be a methodology expert. Two members of the JISC shall act as Chair and Vice-Chair.
17. The JISC agreed on general guidelines for panels/working groups and terms of reference (TORs) for the JI-AP, as contained in [annexes 3 and 4](#), respectively, to this report. The JISC requested the secretariat to make a public call for experts for a period of four weeks. The JISC also requested the secretariat to prepare a short-list of the applicants for consideration by the JISC at its third meeting. The JISC, in order to make use of the CDM experience, expressively invites current and former members of the CDM accreditation panel to apply to this call.
18. The JISC requested the secretariat to further work on the draft accreditation procedure, by taking into consideration the discussion at the second meeting, for consideration by the JISC at its third meeting. The JISC expressed its intention to request the JI-AP to review the draft procedure for accreditation of independent entities and make recommendations to the JISC on it.
19. Additionally, the JISC recalled paragraph 3 of decision 10/CMP.1 enabling DOEs to provisionally act as AIEs and requested the secretariat to establish a link in the section of the UNFCCC JI website dealing with AIEs to the list of DOEs on the UNFCCC CDM website.

Agenda sub-item 3 (d): Guidance on criteria for baseline setting and monitoring (incl. consideration of early mover projects)

20. At its first meeting, the JISC had requested the secretariat to make a call for public input on criteria for baseline setting and monitoring. The JISC considered the inputs received at its second meeting.
21. The JISC agreed that Mr. Björk and Mr. Henkemans shall prepare a draft on general guidance on criteria for baseline setting and monitoring, on the basis of existing guidelines, for consideration by the JISC at its third meeting.
22. Furthermore, the JISC assigned Ms. Gaye, in addition to Mr. Castro, Mr. Sokolov and Mr. Trusca, to draft provisions for small-scale projects under JI supported by the secretariat, for consideration by the JISC at its third meeting.

**Agenda sub-item 3 (e): Management plan for the biennium 2006-2007**

23. The secretariat made a presentation on the draft structure of the management plan, contained in annex 6 to the proposed agenda and annotations.
24. The JISC recommended a number of changes to the structure, as contained in [annex 5](#) to this report. It further requested the secretariat to finalize the structure, in consultation with the Chair.
25. During the discussion, members/alternate members of the JISC suggested that the starting point for the management plan should be the JISC work programme adopted by the JISC at its first meeting, and that it should focus on the urgency and financial implication of each task, and the consequent prioritization/time frame of the tasks, depending on the resources available.
26. The JISC requested the secretariat to prepare a first draft management plan using the agreed structure for consideration by the JISC at its third meeting, taking into account the inputs provided by the JISC during its second session.

Agenda item 4: Other matters

27. The JISC agreed on the provisional agenda of its third meeting as contained in [annex 6](#) to this report.
28. The JISC agreed to hold a questions and answers session during the twenty-fourth session of the Subsidiary Bodies.
29. The JISC expressed its appreciation of UNFCCC technical workshop on joint implementation organised by the secretariat and held in Bonn (Germany) on 9 - 10 March 2006.
30. The JISC met with registered observers for a webcasted questions and answers session.

Agenda item 5: Conclusions of the meeting

31. The Chair concluded the second meeting of the JISC.



Annexes to the report

Guidelines for users of the joint implementation project design document form

Annex 1: Draft guidelines for users of the joint implementation project design document form

Procedures on public availability of documents

Annex 2: Draft procedures on public availability of documents under the verification procedure under the Joint Implementation Supervisory Committee

Accreditation of independent entities

Annex 3: General guidelines for panels and working groups under the Joint Implementation Supervisory Committee

Annex 4: Terms of reference for the establishment of the joint implementation accreditation panel

Joint implementation management plan for the biennium 2006-2007

Annex 5: Draft structure of joint implementation management plan (JI-MAP) for the biennium 2006-2007

Other business

Annex 6: Provisional agenda of the third meeting of the Joint Implementation Supervisory Committee