



JOINT IMPLEMENTATION SUPERVISORY COMMITTEE

Third meeting

Proposed agenda and annotations

Bonn (Germany)
28–29 May 2006¹

CONTENTS

I. PROPOSED AGENDA

II. ANNOTATIONS TO THE PROPOSED AGENDA

Annex 1: Draft joint implementation project design document form

Annex 2: Draft guidelines for users of the joint implementation project design document form

Annex 3: Draft procedures for reviews under the verification procedure under the Joint Implementation Supervisory Committee

Annex 4: Draft procedures for appraisals of determinations under the verification procedure under the Joint Implementation Supervisory Committee

Annex 5: Draft terms of reference for experts appraising determinations or participating in review teams under the verification procedure under the Joint Implementation Supervisory Committee

Annex 6: Draft joint implementation management plan (JI-MAP) 2006–2007

¹ The third meeting of the Joint Implementation Supervisory Committee will be preceded by informal consultations on 27 May 2006.



I. PROPOSED AGENDA

1. Membership issues (including disclosure of possible conflict of interest)
2. Adoption of the agenda
3. Work plan:
 - (a) Joint implementation project design document form (incl. guidelines for users)
 - (b) Procedures for reviews
 - (c) Accreditation of independent entities
 - (d) Guidance on criteria for baseline setting and monitoring
 - (e) Small-scale projects
 - (f) Management plan for the biennium 2006–2007
4. Other matters
5. Conclusion of the meeting



II. ANNOTATIONS TO THE PROPOSED AGENDA

1. Membership issues (including disclosure of possible conflict of interest)

1. **Background:** According to paragraph 14 of the annex of decision 9/CMP.1 on guidelines for the implementation of Article 6 of the Kyoto Protocol (hereinafter referred to as JI guidelines) at least two thirds of the members of the Joint Implementation Supervisory Committee (JISC), representing a majority of members from Parties included in Annex I and a majority of members from Parties not included in Annex I, must be present to constitute a quorum.
2. According to paragraph 10 (b) of the JI guidelines members, including alternate members, of the JISC shall have no pecuniary or financial interest in any aspect of a joint implementation project. Paragraph 2 of rule 9 of the draft rules of procedure of the JISC additionally stipulates that members, including alternate members, of the JISC shall have no pecuniary or financial interest in any accredited independent entity, or any designated operational entity acting provisionally as an accredited independent entity.
3. According to paragraph 11 of the JI guidelines, quoted in paragraph 1 of rule 7 of the draft rules of procedure of the JISC, the JISC may suspend and recommend to the Conference of the Parties serving as the meeting of the Parties to the Kyoto Protocol (COP/MOP) the termination of the membership of a particular member, including an alternate member, for cause including, inter alia, breach of the conflict of interest provisions, or failure to attend two consecutive meetings of the JISC without proper justification.
4. **Action:** The Chair may wish to ascertain whether (a) the members present constitute a quorum, and (b) the absence of any member or alternate member is “without proper justification”.
5. The Chair may wish to request members and alternate members to disclose whether they consider to have any conflict of interest relating to the work of the JISC.
6. The JISC may wish to consider information provided by any member and alternate member, including with respect to any potential conflict of interest, and take action, if appropriate.

2. Adoption of the agenda

7. **Background:** The JISC agreed, at its second meeting, on items to be included in the provisional agenda of its third meeting. In accordance with rule 19 of the draft rules of procedure of the JISC, subsequent additions or changes to the provisional agenda of a meeting may be proposed by any member, or alternate member, and incorporated in the proposed agenda. No suggestions for additions or changes were received by the secretariat. The proposed agenda was transmitted to the JISC on 5 May 2006 and thereafter posted on the UNFCCC JI website.
8. **Action:** The members of the JISC may wish to adopt the proposed agenda of the third meeting.

3. Work plan

(a) Joint implementation project design document form (incl. guidelines for users)

9. **Background:** At its first meeting, the JISC agreed on a draft joint implementation project design document (JI PDD) form as contained in annex 2 to the report of the meeting.



10. At its second meeting, it agreed on draft guidelines for users of the JI PDD form as contained in annex 1 to the report of the meeting and requested the secretariat to launch a call for public input on the structure and content of the draft JI PDD form and the respective draft guidelines as well as on the need for development of a separate JI PDD form for LULUCF projects.

11. At its second meeting, the JISC additionally requested the secretariat to compile the inputs and prepare on this basis, as appropriate, a revised draft JI PDD form as well as revised draft guidelines for users of the JI PDD form taking into account guidance and comments by Mr. Henkemans and Mr. Sokolov.

12. **Action:** The JISC may wish to consider the revised draft JI PDD form (annex 1) and the revised draft guidelines for users of the JI PDD form (annex 2) prepared by the secretariat.

13. On this basis, the JISC may wish to agree on a draft JI PDD form with the understanding that it shall be applied provisionally until the COP/MOP has adopted it in accordance with the JI guidelines. Additionally, it may wish to adopt guidelines for users of the JI PDD form.

(b) Procedures for reviews

14. **Background:** At its second meeting, the JISC considered draft procedures for reviews under the verification procedure under the JISC prepared by the secretariat. It discussed the operationalisation of paragraphs 35 and 39 of the JI guidelines, including the organisation of requests for reviews, forms to be used for requests for reviews and the meeting of tight deadlines. It revised the draft procedures on this basis, but decided to continue the discussions, in particular with regard to deadlines and criteria for reviews, at its next meeting, and requested the secretariat to prepare draft terms of reference for experts to participate in review teams.

15. On this basis the secretariat, in consultation with the Chair of the JISC, revised the draft procedures for reviews (annex 3) and prepared draft procedures for appraisals of determinations (annex 4) as well as draft terms of reference for experts appraising determinations or participating in review teams (annex 5).

16. **Action:** The JISC may wish to consider the documents prepared by the secretariat and adopt them, as appropriate.

(c) Accreditation of independent entities

17. **Background:** In paragraph 2 (b) of decision 10/CMP.1 the COP/MOP requests the JISC to further elaborate, as a priority, standards and procedures for the accreditation of independent entities, consistent with appendix A of the JI guidelines, taking into consideration, as appropriate, the procedures for accrediting operational entities developed by the Executive Board of the clean development mechanism (CDM). Moreover, according to paragraph 3 (c) of the JI guidelines the JISC shall be responsible for the review of standards and procedures for the accreditation of independent entities in appendix A of the JI guidelines, giving consideration to relevant work of the Executive Board of the CDM and, as appropriate, making recommendations to the COP/MOP on revisions to these standards and procedures.

18. At its second meeting, the JISC decided to establish its own accreditation panel (JI-AP). Furthermore, it requested the secretariat to launch a public call for experts to serve on the JI-AP and prepare a short list of the applicants for consideration by the JISC at its third meeting.

19. With regard to the accreditation procedure, the JISC expressed its intention to request the JI-AP to review the draft procedure, which had been prepared by the secretariat and discussed by the JISC at its second meeting, and to make recommendations on it.



20. **Action:** The JISC may wish to discuss the short list of applicants to the public call for experts to serve on the JI-AP, select candidates and request the JI-AP to review the procedure for accrediting independent entities.

(d) Guidance on criteria for baseline setting and monitoring

21. **Background:** In paragraph 2 (f) of decision 10/CMP.1 the COP/MOP requests the JISC to develop, as soon as possible, guidance with regard to appendix B of the JI guidelines, including provisions for small-scale projects as defined in paragraph 6 (c) of decision 17/CP.7, as appropriate.

22. At its first meeting, the JISC had requested the secretariat to make a call for public input on criteria for baseline setting and monitoring. The call was open for inputs from 10 February 2006 - 1 March 2006. The JISC considered the inputs received at its second meeting.

23. At its second meeting, the JISC agreed that Mr. Björk and Mr. Henkemans should prepare a draft on general guidance on criteria for baseline setting and monitoring, on the basis of existing guidelines, for consideration by the JISC at its third meeting.

24. **Action:** The JISC may wish to discuss guidance on criteria for baseline setting and monitoring based on the suggestions by Mr. Björk and Mr. Henkemans.

(e) Small-scale projects

25. **Background:** In paragraph 2 (f) of decision 10/CMP.1 the COP/MOP requests the JISC to develop, as soon as possible, guidance with regard to appendix B of the JI guidelines, including provisions for small-scale projects as defined in paragraph 6 (c) of decision 17/CP.7, as appropriate.

26. At its first and second meetings, the JISC assigned Mr. Castro, Ms. Gaye, Mr. Sokolov and Mr. Trusca to draft provisions for small-scale projects under JI supported by the secretariat, for consideration by the JISC at its third meeting.

27. **Action:** The JISC may wish to discuss provisions for small-scale projects under JI based on the suggestions by Mr. Castro, Ms. Gaye, Mr. Sokolov and Mr. Trusca.

(f) Management plan for the biennium 2006–2007

28. **Background:** According to paragraph 2 (g) of decision 10/CMP.1 the JISC shall develop, as soon as possible, its management plan including a budget plan for the period 2006–2007, and keep it under review, bearing in mind the experience of the Executive Board of the CDM in this area, as appropriate.

29. At its second meeting, the JISC agreed on the structure of the management plan and requested the secretariat to prepare a first draft management plan for consideration by the JISC at its third meeting.

30. **Action:** The JISC may wish to discuss the management plan for the biennium 2006–2007 on the basis of the draft management plan (annex 6) prepared by the secretariat.

4. Other matters

31. The JISC may wish to discuss and agree upon the provisional agenda of the fourth meeting.



5. Conclusion of the meeting

32. The Chair may wish to summarize the meeting and the decisions taken.
33. The JISC may wish to adopt the report of its third meeting.

- - - - -