



FRAMEWORK CONVENTION ON CLIMATE CHANGE - Secretariat
CONVENTION - CADRE SUR LES CHANGEMENTS CLIMATIQUES -
Secrétariat

Date: 29 May 2006
Ref: JISC 03

JOINT IMPLEMENTATION SUPERVISORY COMMITTEE

THIRD MEETING

Report

Date of meeting: 28–29 May 2006

Location: Bonn, Germany

Attendance: The names of members and alternate members present at the third meeting are in bold print below. Where only the name of an alternate member is in bold print, the alternate member participated as a member.

Members	<i>Alternate Members</i>
Mr. Olle Björk	<i>Mr. Franzjosef Schafhausen</i>
Mr. Georg Børsting	<i>Mr. Darren Goetze</i>
Mr. Jaime Bravo	<i>Mr. Marcos Castro Rodriguez</i>
Ms. Fatou Gaye	<i>Mr. Vincent Kasulu Seya Makonga</i>
Mr. Maurits Blanson Henkemans	<i>Mr. Hiroki Kudo</i>
Mr. Shailendra Kumar Joshi	<i>Mr. Maosheng Duan</i>
Mr. Derrick Oderson	<i>Ms. Yumiko Crisostomo</i>
Mr. Oleg Pluzhnikov	<i>Mr. Evgeny Sokolov</i>
Ms. Daniela Stoycheva	<i>Ms. Astrida Celmina</i>
Mr. Vlad Trusca	<i>Mr. Matej Gasperic</i>

Quorum (in parenthesis required numbers):

10 (7) members/alternate members acting as members were present of which

6 (4) were from Annex I Parties and

4 (3) were from non-Annex I Parties.

WWW broadcasting: <http://ji.unfccc.int/Sup_Committee/Meetings>.

**Agenda item 1: Membership issues (including disclosure of possible conflict of interest)**

1. The Chair opened the meeting and asserted that the quorum was met. No conflict of interest was identified by any member or alternate member of the Joint Implementation Supervisory Committee (JISC) present at the meeting.
2. The JISC noted that Ms. Crisostomo was unable to attend the meeting and had provided proper justification for her absence.

Agenda item 2: Adoption of the agenda

3. The JISC adopted the agenda as proposed and decided to have a closed session for the selection of the members of the joint implementation accreditation panel (JI-AP).

Agenda item 3: Work plan**Agenda sub-item 3 (a): Joint implementation project design document form (incl. guidelines for users)**

4. At its first meeting, the JISC agreed on a draft joint implementation project design document (JI PDD) form. At its second meeting, it agreed on draft guidelines for users of the JI PDD form and requested the secretariat to launch a call for public input on the structure and content of the draft JI PDD form and the respective draft guidelines as well as on the need for development of a separate JI PDD form for LULUCF projects. At its second meeting, the JISC additionally requested the secretariat to compile the inputs received and prepare on this basis, as appropriate, a revised draft JI PDD form as well as revised draft guidelines for users of the JI PDD form taking into account guidance and comments by Mr. Henkemans and Mr. Sokolov.
5. The secretariat presented the revised draft JI PDD form and revised draft guidelines for users of the JI PDD form prepared on this basis.
6. The JISC clarified that the end of the crediting period can be after 2012 subject to the approval by the host Party. The status of emission reductions generated by JI projects after the end of the first commitment period may be determined by any relevant agreement under the UNFCCC. The JISC decided to further discuss the issue of baseline in the case of crediting period that extends beyond 2012.
7. The JISC amended the revised draft JI PDD form and agreed on it as contained in [annex 1](#) to this report. The draft JI PDD form shall be applied provisionally until the COP/MOP has adopted it in accordance with the JI guidelines.
8. The JISC decided that the JI PDD form will be in effect as of 15 June 2006 and shall be used for JI projects after this date. Projects with written approvals from Parties in accordance with paragraph 31 (a) of the JI guidelines dated before 15 June 2006 shall use either the JI PDD form or the CDM PDD forms. In the latter case, the AIE selected by the project participants to perform the determination according to paragraph 33 of the JI guidelines shall confirm that the PDD submitted provides all the information covered by the JI PDD form and related JISC guidance.
9. Moreover, the JISC amended the draft guidelines for users of the JI PDD form and agreed on them as contained in [annex 2](#) to this report.
10. The JISC requested the secretariat to prepare a draft PDD form and guidelines for its users for JI land use, land-use change and forestry projects in consultation with Mr. Goetze on the basis of modifying the draft JI PDD form and guidelines for its users agreed on at the third meeting, for consideration at its fourth meeting.

**Agenda sub-item 3 (b): Procedures for reviews**

11. At its second meeting, the JISC considered draft procedures for reviews under the verification procedure under the JISC prepared by the secretariat. It revised the draft procedures and decided to continue the discussions, in particular with regard to deadlines and criteria for reviews, at its next meeting, and requested the secretariat to prepare draft terms of reference for experts to participate in review teams.

12. On this basis the secretariat, in consultation with the Chair of the JISC, revised the draft procedures for reviews and prepared draft procedures for appraisals of determinations as well as draft terms of reference for experts appraising determinations or participating in review teams.

13. The JISC discussed the documents, amended the draft procedures for reviews as well as the draft terms of reference for experts and agreed on them as contained in annex 3 and annex 4, respectively, to this report.

14. The JISC requested the secretariat to launch a public call for experts.

15. The JISC requested the secretariat to prepare a paper with options for appraising determinations and decided to discuss these options at its fourth meeting.

Agenda sub-item 3 (c): Accreditation of independent entities

16. At its second meeting, the JISC decided to establish a JI-AP and requested the secretariat to launch a public call for experts to serve on the JI-AP and to prepare a short list of the applicants for consideration by the JISC at its third meeting.

17. On this basis the secretariat conducted the call during the period 29 March to 27 April 2006, and prepared a shortlist of candidates for consideration by the JISC.

18. Based on this shortlist the JISC selected the following six experts as members of the JI-AP:

- Mr. Shinichi Iioka
- Mr. Ken Beck Lee
- Mr. Vijay Mediratta
- Ms. Maureen Mutasa
- Mr. Takashi Otsubo
- Mr. Satish Rao

19. Furthermore, the JISC elected Mr. Pluzhnikov as the Chair and Ms. Gaye as the Vice-Chair of the JI-AP.

20. The JISC decided to start the accreditation process by the end of 2006. As resources for this process are not yet available, the JISC appealed to Annex I Parties to provide the required financial resources as soon as possible. The Chair of the JI-AP announced that the first meeting of the JI-AP is tentatively scheduled on 15-16 July 2006.

Agenda sub-item 3 (d): Guidance on criteria for baseline setting and monitoring

21. The JISC decided to develop draft guidance on criteria for baseline setting and monitoring for consideration at the fourth meeting of the JISC.



22. The JISC also defined a procedure for the development of draft guidance on criteria for baseline setting and monitoring, which provides for the development of a first draft by Mr. Björk and Mr. Henkemans in consultation with the secretariat, the consideration of comments by other members and alternate members of the JISC and a plan to launch a call for public input on the draft as a working paper. The procedure is attached to the report of this meeting in [annex 5](#).

Agenda sub-item 3 (e): Small-scale projects

23. The JISC requested the secretariat to develop, in consultation with Mr. Castro, Ms. Gaye, Mr. Sokolov and Mr. Trusca, draft provisions for JI small-scale (JI SSC) projects consistent with the provisions for CDM SSC project activities as well as a draft JI PDD form for JI SCC projects for consideration at its fourth meeting.

Agenda sub-item 3 (f): Management plan for the biennium 2006–2007

24. At its second meeting, the JISC agreed on the structure of the management plan and requested the secretariat to prepare a first draft management plan for consideration by the JISC at its third meeting.

25. On this basis the secretariat presented a draft management plan. The JISC agreed on the main contents of the draft management plan and requested the secretariat to update the information therein reflecting the discussion, the agreements made, and the priorities identified at the third meeting of the JISC. The JISC agreed to consider the work programme for 2007 at its fourth meeting. The JISC will consider the revised management plan in view of its adoption at its fourth meeting.

26. The JISC thanked Parties for their contributions to support its activities. It also noted that there is still a substantial gap between the received contributions and the estimated resources required for the biennium 2006-2007. Consequently, it appealed to Annex I Parties to make financial contributions as soon as possible.

27. In relation to the section on fees, the JISC requested Mr. Castro and Mr. Henkemans, supported by the secretariat, to prepare an information paper on fees under the JI Track 2 procedure for consideration by the JISC at its fourth meeting.

Agenda item 4: Other matters

28. The JISC decided to consider at its next meeting the draft forms to be used by accredited independent entities (AIEs) when submitting determination or verification reports for publication, developed by the secretariat as requested by the JISC at its second meeting.

29. The JISC requested the secretariat to prepare its report to COP/MOP 2 and to circulate it to the JISC members/alternate members for their comments in time for its finalisation before 11 August 2006. It also agreed that the addendum to this report will be prepared after the fifth meeting of the JISC, including additional information based on the outcomes of the fourth and fifth meetings of the JISC.

30. The JISC decided to schedule its fourth meeting on 13–15 September 2006, preceded by informal consultations on 12–13 September 2006.¹ Given constraints in organizing the fifth meeting of the JISC as a pre-sessional event to COP/MOP 2 in Nairobi, the JISC agreed to hold the fifth meeting in Bonn. In order to allow suitable travel arrangements it agreed to hold this meeting two days earlier than planned at its first meeting, preferably between 30 October and 1 November 2006.

31. The JISC agreed on the provisional agenda of its fourth meeting as contained in [annex 6](#) to this report.

¹ On 13 September 2006 informal consultations in the morning will be followed by the first part of the meeting of the JISC in the afternoon.



32. The JISC met with registered observers for a webcasted question and answer session.

Agenda item 5: Conclusion of the meeting

33. The Chair concluded the third meeting of the JISC.



Annexes to the report

Joint implementation project design document form (incl. guidelines for users)

Annex 1: Draft joint implementation project design document form

Annex 2: Guidelines for users of the joint implementation project design document form

Documents related to reviews

Annex 3: Procedures for reviews under the verification procedure under the Joint Implementation Supervisory Committee

Annex 4: Terms of reference for experts appraising determinations or participating in review teams under the verification procedure under the Joint Implementation Supervisory Committee

Guidance on criteria for baseline setting and monitoring

Annex 5: Procedure for the development of draft guidance on criteria for baseline setting and monitoring

Other business

Annex 6: Provisional agenda of the fourth meeting of the Joint Implementation Supervisory Committee