



FRAMEWORK CONVENTION ON CLIMATE CHANGE - Secretariat
CONVENTION - CADRE SUR LES CHANGEMENTS CLIMATIQUES -
Secrétariat

Date: 27 October 2006
Ref: JISC 05

JOINT IMPLEMENTATION SUPERVISORY COMMITTEE

FIFTH MEETING

Report

Date of meeting: 26–27 October 2006

Location: Bonn, Germany

Attendance: The names of members and alternate members present at the fifth meeting are in bold print below. Where only the name of an alternate member is in bold print, the alternate member participated as a member.

Members	<i>Alternate Members</i>
Mr. Olle Björk	<i>Mr. Franzjosef Schafhausen</i>
Mr. Georg Börsting	<i>Mr. Darren Goetze</i>
Mr. Jaime Bravo	<i>Mr. Marcos Castro Rodriguez</i>
Ms. Fatou Gaye	<i>Mr. Vincent Kasulu Seya Makonga</i>
Mr. Maurits Blanson Henkemans	<i>Mr. Hiroki Kudo</i>
Mr. Shailendra Kumar Joshi	<i>Mr. Maosheng Duan</i>
Mr. Derrick Oderson	<i>Ms. Yumiko Crisostomo</i>
Mr. Oleg Pluzhnikov	<i>Mr. Evgeny Sokolov</i>
Ms. Daniela Stoycheva	<i>Ms. Astrida Celmina</i>
Mr. Vlad Trusca	<i>Mr. Matej Gasperic</i>

Quorum (in parenthesis required numbers):

10 (7) members/alternate members acting as members were present of which

6 (4) were from Annex I Parties and

4 (3) were from non-Annex I Parties.

WWW broadcasting: <http://ji.unfccc.int/Sup_Committee/Meetings>.

**Agenda item 1: Membership issues (including disclosure of possible conflict of interest)**

1. The Chair opened the meeting and asserted that the quorum was met. No conflict of interest was identified by any member or alternate member of the Joint Implementation Supervisory Committee (JISC) present at the meeting.
2. The JISC noted that Ms. Celmina, Mr. Duan, Mr. Gasperic, Mr. Goetze and Mr. Makonga were unable to attend the meeting and had provided proper justification for their absence.

Agenda item 2: Adoption of the agenda

3. The JISC adopted the agenda as proposed.

Agenda item 3: Launch of the verification procedure under the JISC

4. The JISC confirmed that at least one Party has to be involved in a JI project in addition to the host Party(ies) in accordance with decision 9/CMP.1 and its annex (JI guidelines) and the guidelines for users of the JI project design document (PDD) form.
5. The JISC further clarified that at least one written project approval by a Party involved other than the host Party(ies) has to be provided to the accredited independent entity (AIE), additionally to that (those) of the host Party(ies), and made available to the secretariat by the AIE when submitting the determination report regarding the PDD for publication. The JISC decided to reconsider this issue at its next meeting taking into account the experience gained by that time.
6. Subsequently, the JISC officially launched the verification procedure under the JISC (JI Track 2 procedure). Therefore, from 26 October 2006 AIEs may submit PDDs to the secretariat for publication, according to paragraph 32 of the JI guidelines, for projects to be implemented under the JI Track 2 procedure.
7. The JISC noted that the information from Parties regarding their designated focal points and national guidelines and procedures for approving JI projects, in accordance with paragraphs 20 (a) and (b) of the JI guidelines, required for the submission of determination reports regarding PDDs, has not been provided to the secretariat by a number of Parties (see <http://ji.unfccc.int/JI_Parties>).

Agenda item 4: Work plan**Agenda sub-item 4 (a): Accreditation of independent entities**

8. The JISC took note of the first progress report on the work of the Joint Implementation Accreditation Panel (JI-AP) presented by its Chair, Mr. Oleg Pluzhnikov. The report summarized information relating to the work of the JI-AP, including the status of applications for accreditation and procedural issues agreed on by the JI-AP.
9. The JISC adopted the various forms to be used in the accreditation process, as recommended by the JI-AP and contained in the progress report. In this context, the JISC agreed, based on a request from the Chair of the JI-AP, to delegate its function of adopting any future revisions of these forms to the JI-AP, which shall report on these revisions to the JISC.
10. The JISC, based on a request from the JI-AP, also agreed to delegate its function of establishing and updating the rosters of experts for joint implementation assessment teams to the JI-AP.



11. The JISC, prompted by the JI-AP, considered the necessity of introducing a provision by which applicant independent entities (IEs) from non-Annex I Parties may have the possibility of paying 50% of the non-reimbursable application fee when the entities apply for accreditation, provided that these IEs pay the remaining 50% once they are accredited, and agreed to introduce such a provision for applicant IEs non-Annex I Parties and Annex I Parties with economies in transition, from 1 November 2006.

12. The JISC, based on a request by the Chair of the JI-AP, considered the wish of the JI-AP that the travel of its members, as well as of its Chair and Vice-Chair, should follow the same UN rules and regulations as those applied to UN staff, and decided not to introduce such a practice for the time being.

Agenda sub-item 4 (b): Small-scale projects

13. The JISC, at its fourth meeting, requested the secretariat to prepare, in consultation with Mr. Castro, Ms. Gaye, Mr. Sokolov and Mr. Trusca, draft guidelines for users of the JI small-scale (SSC) PDD form agreed on at the same meeting.

14. The JISC discussed the draft guidelines for users of the JI SSC PDD form and the form for submission of bundled JI SSC projects (F-JI-SSC-BUNDLE) prepared on the basis of this mandate and agreed on them as contained in [annex 1](#) to this document.

15. In this context, the JISC revised the draft JI SSC PDD form (version 01) and the F-JI-SSC-BUNDLE (version 01), solely for editorial reasons, including broken underlines for the terms explained in section “glossary of joint implementation small-scale terms” of the guidelines mentioned in paragraph 14 above. The revised draft JI SSC PDD form (version 01.1), to be applied provisionally until adoption by the Conference of the Parties serving as the meeting of the Parties to the Kyoto Protocol (COP/MOP), is contained in [annex 2](#), the revised F-JI-SSC-BUNDLE in [annex 3](#). The JISC clarified that both versions of the draft JI SSC PDD form and the F-JI-SSC-BUNDLE (version 01 and version 01.1) may be used by project participants.

Agenda item 5: Other matters

Management plan for the biennium 2006-2007

16. At its fourth meeting, the JISC agreed on the joint implementation management plan (JI-MAP) for the biennium 2006–2007. The secretariat presented a revised JI-MAP 2006–2007, which took into account issues regarding the accountability between the JISC, the Executive Secretary of the secretariat and the COP/MOP and updated the budget for 2006–2007.

17. The JISC revised the JI-MAP 2006–2007 to reflect the latest cost estimation, and agreed on it, as contained in [annex 4](#). In this context, the JISC requested the secretariat to continue to review the financial status relating to the activities of the JISC and report on it to the JISC at its next meeting.

18. The JISC considered the introduction of a provision for remuneration of JISC members (including alternate members) and additional improved travel arrangements, especially for the funded members travelling long distances. The JISC decided to draw the attention of the COP/MOP to these matters by mentioning them in the addendum to its report to the COP/MOP.

Provisional agenda of the sixth meeting of the JISC

19. The JISC decided to schedule its sixth meeting on 15–16 February 2007 in Bonn, Germany including one day informal consultations on 12 February 2007.

20. The JISC agreed on the provisional agenda of its sixth meeting as contained in [annex 5](#) to this document.

**Agenda sub-item 5 (a): Collaboration of the JISC with others**

21. The JISC considered modalities of collaboration with stakeholders, and agreed that a priority should be put on the collaboration with AIEs (including designated operational entities acting provisionally as AIEs). In relation to this, Mr. Pluzhnikov, as the Chair of the JI-AP, reported to the JISC that the JI-AP had discussed the modalities of communicating with AIEs at its second meeting and planned to discuss the issue further at its future meetings. The JISC plans to organise a meeting with AIEs as part of the next UNFCCC technical workshop on joint implementation scheduled on 13–14 February 2007.

Agenda sub-item 5 (b): Report to the Conference of the Parties serving as the meeting of the Parties to the Kyoto Protocol

22. The JISC considered the draft addendum to the report of the JISC to the COP/MOP prepared by the secretariat.

23. The JISC agreed on the draft addendum after revising it, *inter alia*, by highlighting the current shortage of approximately USD 2.5 million¹ for the activities relating to the JISC, and urging those Parties who have yet to fulfil their pledges to do so, and further urging all Parties to contribute to the Trust Fund for Supplementary Activities, and by reflecting the revision on the JI-MAP 2006–2007.

24. The JISC requested the secretariat to finalise the addendum, in consultation with the Chair of the JISC, taking into account the results of the fifth meeting of the JISC.

25. The JISC met with registered observers for a webcasted question and answer session.

Agenda item 6: Conclusion of the meeting

26. The Chair concluded the fifth meeting of the JISC.

¹ As of 27 October 2006.



Annexes to the report

Small-scale projects

- Annex 1: Guidelines for users of the joint implementation project design document form for small-scale projects and the form for submission of bundled joint implementation small-scale projects
- Annex 2: Draft joint implementation project design document form for small-scale projects (version 01.1)
- Annex 3: Form for submission of bundled joint implementation small-scale projects (version 01.1)

Management plan for the biennium 2006–2007

- Annex 4: Joint implementation management plan 2006–2007

Other business

- Annex 5: Provisional agenda of the sixth meeting of the Joint Implementation Supervisory Committee