



FRAMEWORK CONVENTION ON CLIMATE CHANGE - Secretariat
CONVENTION - CADRE SUR LES CHANGEMENTS CLIMATIQUES -
Secrétariat

Date: 16 February 2007
Ref: JISC 06

JOINT IMPLEMENTATION SUPERVISORY COMMITTEE

SIXTH MEETING

Report

Date of meeting: 15–16 February 2007

Location: Bonn, Germany

Attendance: The names of members and alternate members present at the sixth meeting are in bold print below. Where only the name of an alternate member is in bold print, the alternate member participated as a member.

Members	<i>Alternate Members</i>
Mr. Olle Björk	<i>Mr. Franzjosef Schafhausen</i>
Mr. Georg Börsting	<i>Mr. Darren Goetze</i>
Mr. Jaime Bravo	<i>Mr. Marcos Castro Rodriguez</i>
Ms. Fatou Gaye	<i>Mr. Vincent Kasulu Seya Makonga</i>
Mr. Maurits Blanson Henkemans	<i>Mr. Hiroki Kudo</i>
Mr. Shailendra Kumar Joshi	<i>Mr. Maosheng Duan</i>
Mr. Derrick Oderson	<i>Ms. Yumiko Crisostomo</i>
Mr. Oleg Pluzhnikov	<i>Mr. Evgeny Sokolov</i>
Ms. Daniela Stoycheva	<i>Ms. Astrida Celmina</i>
Mr. Vlad Trusca	<i>Mr. Matej Gasperic</i>

Quorum (in parenthesis required numbers):

9 (7) members/alternate members acting as members were present of which

5 (4) were from Annex I Parties and

4 (3) were from non-Annex I Parties.

WWW broadcasting: <http://ji.unfccc.int/Sup_Committee/Meetings>.

**Agenda item 1: Membership issues (including disclosure of possible conflict of interest)**

1. In accordance with paragraph 2 of rule 12 of the rules of procedure of the Joint Implementation Supervisory Committee (JISC), the secretary of the JISC presided over the opening of the first meeting of the JISC of the calendar year 2007 and conducted the election of the new Chair and Vice-Chair.
2. The secretary of the JISC opened the meeting and asserted that the quorum was met.
3. No conflict of interest was identified by any member or alternate member of the JISC present at the meeting.
4. The JISC noted that Mr. Børsting, Mr. Castro, Ms. Celmina, Ms. Crisostomo, Mr. Goetze and Mr. Joshi were unable to attend the meeting and had provided proper justification for their absence.

Agenda item 2: Election of Chair and Vice-Chair

5. The secretary of the JISC invited nominations for the election of the Chair of the JISC. Mr. Joshi, having assented in writing, was nominated and the members present and voting unanimously agreed on his election as Chair. The secretary of the JISC next invited nominations for the election of the Vice-Chair of the JISC. Mr. Børsting, having assented in writing, was nominated and the members present and voting unanimously agreed on his election as Vice-Chair.
6. The secretary of the JISC informed the JISC that in accordance with paragraph 2 of rule 13 of the rules of procedure of the JISC, if the elected Chair and Vice-Chair are not able to serve in their respective capacities at a meeting of the JISC, the JISC shall elect a member from among its members present to serve as the Chair for the meeting. In view of this, the secretary of the JISC invited nominations for the election of a Chair for the sixth meeting of the JISC. Mr. Blanson Henkemans was nominated and the members present and voting unanimously agreed on his election.
7. The secretary of the JISC invited Mr. Blanson Henkemans to take up the role of Chair for the sixth meeting of the JISC and preside over the meeting.

Agenda item 3: Adoption of the agenda

8. The JISC adopted the agenda as proposed.

Agenda item 4: Outcome of the second session of the Conference of the Parties serving as the meeting of the Parties to the Kyoto Protocol

9. The Chair provided a summary of the outcome of the second session of the Conference of the Parties serving as the meeting of the Parties to the Kyoto Protocol (COP/MOP). The Chair highlighted that the COP/MOP, in its decisions 2/CMP.2 and 3/CMP.2, inter alia, adopted the rules of procedure of the JISC and the project design document (PDD) forms put before it by the JISC, provided additional guidance on the implementation of Article 6 of the Kyoto Protocol and commended the JISC for its work in its first year of operation, recognizing the considerable progress it had made.

Agenda item 5: Work plan**Agenda sub-item 5 (a): Small-scale projects**

10. The Chair recalled that the COP/MOP had amended, in paragraph 14 of decision 3/CMP.2, the thresholds for JI small-scale (SSC) projects.



11. In line with this amendment, the secretariat had prepared the following revisions of JI SSC related documents adopted by the JISC:
- (a) Draft provisions for JI SSC projects (version 02);
 - (b) Draft guidelines for users of the JI PDD form for SSC projects and the form for submission of bundled JI SSC projects (version 02).
12. The documents referred to in paragraph 11 above were prepared:
- (a) In consultation with the Chair of the JISC;
 - (b) Taking into account inputs by Mr. Castro, Ms. Gaye, Mr. Sokolov and Mr. Trusca, the JISC members (including alternate members) who had already been involved in the development of version 01 of the documents mentioned above; and
 - (c) Considering the treatment of SSC project activities under the clean development mechanism (CDM).
13. On this basis, the JISC discussed and adopted revised:
- (a) Provisions for JI SSC projects as contained in annex 1 to this report;
 - (b) Guidelines for users of the JI PDD form for SSC projects and the form for submission of bundled JI SSC projects as contained in annex 2.

Agenda sub-item 5 (b): Accreditation of independent entities

14. The JISC took note of the second progress report on the work of the Joint Implementation Accreditation Panel (JI-AP) presented by its Chair, Mr. Oleg Pluzhnikov. The report summarized information relating to the work of the JI-AP, including the recommendations of the revision of the JI accreditation procedure and clarifications on procedural issues, as well as the status of applications for accreditation, status of rosters of experts for joint implementation assessment teams and other outcome agreed on by the JI-AP.

15. The JISC reviewed the draft JI accreditation procedure (version 02) and adopted it as recommended by the JI-AP with editorial changes as contained in annex 3. The JISC decided to make the revised JI accreditation procedure effective as of 17 February 2007.

16. The JISC also reviewed four draft clarifications regarding i) the conditions for designated operational entities (DOEs) under the CDM for acting provisionally as accredited independent entities (AIEs); ii) the scopes and steps of witnessing activities; iii) the identification of witnessing opportunities by DOEs acting provisionally as AIEs; and iv) the responsibility of accredited premises of AIEs, and adopted them as recommended by the JI-AP and as contained in annexes 4-7.

17. The JISC considered the measures to facilitate the accreditation process of applicant independent entities (IEs). In this context, the JISC requested the JI-AP to further consider the issue and make a proposal for consideration by the JISC at its seventh meeting, taking particularly into account that the re-accreditation process under the CDM for the same entities is about to take place for many applicant IEs. In addition, the JISC considered the issue raised by the JI-AP regarding the measures to facilitate the accreditation particularly of the function of determination of emission reductions or enhancements of removals to allow the issuance of emission reduction units to occur as early as possible, and requested the JI-AP to further consider the issue and make a proposal at the seventh meeting of the JISC.



18. The JISC took note of the information provided by the Chair of the JI-AP of the resignation of a JI-AP member, Mr. Ken Beck Lee, as of 14 February 2007. The JISC expressed its appreciation for the work done by Mr. Lee. In this context, the JISC noted that the issue of travel arrangements for JI-AP members, as previously expressed by the JI-AP, and agreed to bring this concern to the attention of the COP/MOP for its consideration, in addition to the issue of remuneration for members and alternate members of the JISC that the COP/MOP has already invited the Subsidiary Body for Implementation to consider. In order to filling the vacant position of the JI-AP, the JISC requested the secretariat to open a new call for experts with a particular emphasis on the expertise on methodological issues and propose candidates for the position to the JISC with a view to appointing a new member as soon as possible.

Agenda sub-item 5 (c): Determination reports regarding project design documents

19. The JISC had officially launched the verification procedure under the JISC (JI Track 2 procedure) on 26 October 2006 during its fifth meeting.

20. In this context, the JISC had decided to reconsider, at its sixth meeting, the issue, at which point in time written project approvals by Parties involved have to be submitted.

21. The JISC discussed possible options with regard to the timing of the submission of written project approvals by Parties involved, confirmed that at least one other Party, in addition to the host Party(ies), should be involved in a JI project and clarified that:

- (a) At least the written project approval(s) by the host Party(ies) should be provided to the AIE and made available to the secretariat by the AIE when submitting the determination report regarding the PDD for publication in accordance with paragraph 34 of the annex to decision 9/CMP.1 (JI guidelines);
- (b) At least one written project approval by a Party involved in the JI project, other than the host Party(ies), should be provided to the AIE and made available to the secretariat by the AIE when submitting the first verification report for publication in accordance with paragraph 38 of the JI guidelines, at the latest.

22. In this context, the JISC also requested the secretariat to revise the glossaries of JI (SSC/land use, land-use change and forestry (LULUCF)) terms contained in the relevant guidelines for users of the JI (SSC/LULUCF) PDD forms as indicated in [annex 8](#).

23. At its fourth meeting, the JISC had requested the secretariat to launch a new call for experts on the basis of the terms of reference for experts appraising determinations or participating in review teams under the verification procedure under the JISC in order to increase the number of experts in the roster established and to strengthen the diversity of expertise. The call was open from 15 December 2006 until 26 January 2007.

24. The JISC took note of the applications received in response to the second call for experts, referred to in paragraph 23 above, and agreed, in a closed session, on 10 experts to be included in the roster of experts.

25. The JISC took note of the report of the secretariat regarding submissions of documents under the JI Track 2 procedure. Since the launch of the JI Track 2 procedure, 38 PDDs have been submitted to be made publicly available on the UNFCCC JI website in accordance with paragraph 32 of the JI guidelines. One determination regarding a PDD has been submitted and published in accordance with paragraph 34 of the JI guidelines.



26. The JISC, after considering the experience gained to date, agreed on a clarification regarding the public availability of documents under the JI Track 2 procedure, as contained in [annex 9](#), in which it also requested the secretariat to perform a completeness check before making documents publicly available.

Agenda item 6: Other matters

27. The secretariat presented a draft schedule of meetings of the JISC in 2007 for consideration by the JISC. The JISC agreed on the tentative schedule of its meetings in 2007 as contained in [annex 10](#).

28. The JISC agreed on the provisional agenda of its seventh meeting as contained in [annex 11](#).

Agenda sub-item 6 (a): Review of financial status (including fees and costs under the accreditation process)

29. The Chair informed the JISC that additional financial contributions had been received since the last meeting of the JISC and requested the secretariat to present a summary of the financial status.

30. The secretariat presented a summary of the receipt of contributions and the present financial status. It was noted that, given the receipt of recent contributions, the JISC would be able to hold five meetings in the course of 2007.

31. The JISC also took note of decision 3/CMP.2 of the COP/MOP endorsing the fee structure recommended by the JISC and requesting the JISC to report annually to the COP/MOP on the revenue received from the charging of fees with a view to the COP/MOP reviewing these arrangements. In this regard, the JISC noted that it would consider this subject at its ninth meeting, including the option of preferential treatment of JI SSC projects.

Agenda sub-item 6 (b): Results of the UNFCCC technical workshop on joint implementation

32. The Chair provided a brief summary of the UNFCCC technical workshop on joint implementation that took place on 13-14 February 2007. The JISC discussed the results of the workshop, reflecting on both the substantive issues that had been raised, as well as on how such events could be structured in the future. In this regard, the JISC specifically noted the need to establish a process for further interaction with IEs and designated focal points (DFPs).

33. The JISC commented on a number of substantive issues that arose during the workshop. With regard to certain concerns raised during the workshop, e.g. on national grid factors, the JISC referred to the guidance on criteria for baseline setting and monitoring agreed on by the JISC at its fourth meeting, in particular also to paragraph 25 of this guidance. Referring to the conversion of units for JI LULUCF projects, the JISC noted that this topic is not within the scope of its mandate.

Agenda sub-item 6 (c): Collaboration of the JISC with others

34. The JISC considered modalities of collaboration with stakeholders, and agreed that a priority should be put on the collaboration with IEs (including DOEs acting provisionally as AIEs) and DFPS. The JISC noted that it had organised a meeting with IEs in conjunction with the UNFCCC technical workshop on joint implementation that took place on 13-14 February 2007. The JISC further noted that, in the context of the workshop, the interaction with IEs and DFPS was discussed and that the JISC would be following-up on this matter, including requesting the secretariat to organise such interactions.

35. Furthermore, in relation to this, the Chair of the JI-AP, Mr. Pluzhnikov, reported to the JISC that the JI-AP had discussed the modalities of communicating with IEs and planned to discuss the issue further at its future meetings, specifically taking into account the recent workshop and meeting with IEs.

**Agenda sub-item 6 (d): Criteria for baseline setting and monitoring**

36. The Chair recalled that, in accordance with paragraph 3 (d) of the JI guidelines, the JISC should be responsible for the review and revision of reporting guidelines and criteria for baselines and monitoring in appendix B of the JI guidelines, for consideration by the COP/MOP, giving consideration to relevant work of the Executive Board of the CDM, as appropriate.

37. The JISC decided to consider this issue at its next meetings, as appropriate, on the basis of the increasing practical experience with regard to determinations under the JI Track 2 procedure.

38. The JISC met with registered observers for a webcasted question and answer session.

Agenda item 7: Conclusion of the meeting

39. The Chair concluded the sixth meeting of the JISC.



Annexes to the report

Small-scale projects

- Annex 1: Provisions for joint implementation small-scale projects (version 02)
- Annex 2: Guidelines for users of the joint implementation project design document form for small-scale projects and the form for submission of bundled joint implementation small-scale projects (version 02)

Accreditation of independent entities

- Annex 3: Procedure for accrediting independent entities by the Joint Implementation Supervisory Committee (version 02)
- Annex 4: Clarification regarding conditions for designated operational entities for acting provisionally as accredited independent entities
- Annex 5: Clarification regarding scopes and steps of witnessing activities under the joint implementation accreditation procedure
- Annex 6: Clarification regarding identification of witnessing opportunities by designated operational entities acting provisionally as accredited independent entities
- Annex 7: Clarification regarding responsibility of accredited premises of accredited independent entities

Determination reports regarding project design documents

- Annex 8: Revision of the glossaries of joint implementation (small-scale / land use, land-use change and forestry) terms
- Annex 9: Clarification regarding the public availability of documents under the verification procedure under the Joint Implementation Supervisory Committee

Other business

- Annex 10: Tentative schedule of meetings of the Joint Implementation Supervisory Committee in 2007
- Annex 11: Provisional agenda of the seventh meeting of the Joint Implementation Supervisory Committee