

**TWELFTH PROGRESS REPORT
OF THE
JOINT IMPLEMENTATION ACCREDITATION PANEL (JI-AP)**

CONTENTS

	<i>Page</i>
I. INTRODUCTION.....	2
II. STATUS OF APPLICATIONS FOR ACCREDITATION.....	2
III. RECOMMENDATION FROM THE JI-AP	3
IV. STATUS OF ROSTER OF EXPERTS	3
V. ISSUES UNDER CONSIDERATION.....	3
Annex 1: Status of applications for accreditation	4

I. INTRODUCTION

1. This twelfth progress report covers the period from 16 April 2009 to 26 May 2009. During this period the Joint Implementation Accreditation Panel (JI-AP) held one meeting (JI-AP 17) on 25-26 May 2009.

II. STATUS OF APPLICATIONS FOR ACCREDITATION

2. Since the end of the previous reporting period, there was no new application from an independent entity (IE) for the joint implementation (JI) accreditation process. Therefore, the number of applications since the opening of the process of receiving submissions of applications on 6 October 2006 by the end of the present reporting period remains the same as in the previous reporting period, i.e. 15 applications in total.

3. All of the applications submitted are from IEs that also applied for accreditation under the clean development mechanism (CDM). Of these, 13 IEs may act provisionally as accredited independent entities (AIEs) under JI for at least one function (determination regarding a project design document (PDD) or determination of emission reductions or enhancements of removals) in at least one sectoral scope, in accordance with paragraph 3 of decision 10/CMP.1 and Joint Implementation Supervisory Committee (JISC)'s clarification C-JI-ACCR-01.

4. The JI-AP has already selected members of joint implementation assessment teams (JI-ATs) and agreed on the workplans for all 15 applications in previous reporting periods. Of these, the JI-AP had decided to re-visit the workplan for one application and put on hold the start of JI-AT's assessment work for the application until the applicant IE submits additional documents relating to its application for additional sectoral scopes as reported in the sixth progress report. This applicant IE had not submitted the additional documents by the end of the present reporting period. Consequently, the assessment work is currently underway for 14 applications by respective JI-ATs.

5. Desk reviews have been completed for 14 applications, for all of which the site visit of the on-site assessment has been conducted during previous reporting periods. For the present reporting period, a letter indicating successful completion of the desk review and the on-site assessment (indicative letter) was issued to two applications by the JI-AP in accordance with paragraph 45 of the "Procedure for accrediting independent entities by the Joint Implementation Supervisory Committee (version 04)" (hereinafter referred to as JI accreditation procedure). As a result, an indicative letter has been issued to 13 IEs in total by the end of the present reporting period. For the remaining one application, desk review and/or on-site assessment is expected to be completed, and the JI-AP's consideration on issuing an indicative letter is expected to take place, in the coming months. The details of the applications and the status of processing them are presented in annex 1.

6. By the end of the present reporting period, 18 witnessing opportunities submitted from nine applicant IEs have been accepted by respective JI-AT leaders in accordance with paragraphs 47 of the JI accreditation procedure. Of these, three witnessing opportunities were withdrawn by the IEs, hence currently 15 witnessing activities are in the pipeline, underway or have been completed. Corresponding PDDs or monitoring reports have been published on the UNFCCC website subsequently for all of them.

7. Of the 15 witnessing activities referred to in paragraph 6 above, three had been completed in the previous reporting periods, which subsequently led to the accreditation by the JISC of two IEs by the end of the present reporting period. Two witnessing activities have been completed in the present reporting period, and based on the JI-AT's work, the JI-AP considered the cases and decided to make a recommendation to the JISC on the accreditation of this IE, as referred to in paragraph 8 below.

III. RECOMMENDATION FROM THE JI-AP

8. During the present reporting period, the JI-AP considered the results of two witnessing activities, both of which are regarding the same applicant IE, and came to a conclusion on one of them. The recommendation of the JI-AP on this case has been submitted for the consideration of the JISC under confidentiality in accordance with paragraph 60 of the JI accreditation procedure.

IV. STATUS OF ROSTER OF EXPERTS

9. Since the end of the previous reporting period, the JI-AP added three new experts selected from the applications for the fifth call for experts (25 March - 5 May 2009) to the roster. In the meantime, one expert resigned from the roster. As a result, there are currently 41 experts on the roster.

V. ISSUES UNDER CONSIDERATION

10. Responding to the request by the JISC at its thirteenth meeting, the JI-AP has been considering measures to strengthen the monitoring of performance of AIEs and further improve the JI accreditation process. The measures under consideration are:

- (a) Revision of the JI accreditation procedure;
- (b) Elaboration of JI accreditation requirements;
- (c) Management of JI-AT experts, including the development of on-line training system for JI-AT experts.

- - - - -

Annex 1: Status of applications for accreditation

UNFCCC Ref. No.	Entity name	Sectoral scopes applied	Accreditation steps								
			Completeness check	Preliminary consideration	Workplan	JI-AT establishment	Desk review	On-site assessment	Indicative letter	Witnessing activity	Phased accreditation ¹
JI-E-0001	Det Norske Veritas Certification AS (DNV)	1-15	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	2 opportunities accepted	
JI-E-0002	Japan Quality Assurance Organization (JQA)	1-15	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	1 opportunity accepted	
JI-E-0003	Deloitte Tohmatsu Evaluation and Certification Organization (Deloitte-TECO) ²	1-10, 12-13, 15	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓		
JI-E-0004	Lloyd's Register Quality Assurance Ltd. (LRQA)	1-13	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	1 opportunity accepted	
JI-E-0005	JACO CDM., LTD (JACO)	1-14	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	1 opportunity accepted	
JI-E-0006	Japan Consulting Institute (JCI)	1-5, 8-11, 13	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓		
JI-E-0007	Bureau Veritas Certification Holding SAS (BVC Holding SAS) ³	1-15	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	3 opportunities accepted (1 completed)	
JI-E-0008	TÜV SÜD Industrie Service GmbH (TÜV- SÜD)	1-15	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	3 opportunities accepted (1 completed) (1 withdrawn)	✓ (III, VI)

UNFCCC Ref. No.	Entity name	Sectoral scopes applied	Accreditation steps								
			Completeness check	Preliminary consideration	Workplan	JI-AT establishment	Desk review	On-site assessment	Indicative letter	Witnessing activity	Phased accreditation ¹
JI-E-0009	Spanish Association for Standardisation and Certification (AENOR)	1-15	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓		
JI-E-0010	SGS United Kingdom Ltd. (SGS)	1-15	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	4 opportunities accepted (2 completed) (1 withdrawn)	✓ (II, III)
JI-E-0011	TÜV NORD CERT GmbH (TÜV NORD)	1-15	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	1 opportunity accepted	
JI-E-0012	TÜV Rheinland Japan Ltd. (TÜV Rheinland) ⁴	1-15	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	2 opportunities accepted	
JI-E-0013	Swiss Association for Quality and Management Systems (SQS)	1-15	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓		
JI-E-0014	KPMG Sustainability B.V. (KPMG)	1-4, 13	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	Site visit done			
JI-E-0015	Germanischer Lloyd Certification GmbH (GLC)	1-3, 7, 10, 13	✓	✓	✓ ⁵	✓					

Legend:

✓ = stage completed

1) Accreditation is granted for all sectoral scopes applied for. Roman numbers in brackets indicate the sectoral groups for which witnessing activities have been successful to date. For more details on phasing of accreditation, see section B.2 and annex 4 of the JI accreditation procedure (version04).

2) Formerly named as “Tohmatsu Evaluation and Certification Organization Co., Ltd”.

3) Formerly named as “Bureau Veritas Certification Holding S.A.”.

4) Formerly applied from “TÜV Industrie Service GmbH, TÜV Rheinland Group”.

5) The JI-AP decided to re-visit the workplan once the applicant IE has submitted documents relating to its application for additional sectoral scopes.