

oint Implementation Supervisory Committee



Eighteenth meeting Proposed agenda – Annotations Annex 11

Annex 11

INFORMATION NOTE ON THE PUBLIC INPUTS FOR THE SECOND DRAFT OF PROCEDURES FOR PROGRAMMES OF ACTIVITIES UNDER THE VERIFICATION PROCEDURE UNDER THE JOINT IMPLEMENTATION SUPERVISORY COMMITTEE

A. Background

1. The annex to decision 9/CMP.1 (hereinafter referred to as JI guidelines) sets guidelines for the implementation of Article 6 of the Kyoto Protocol.

2. In paragraph 6 of decision 5/CMP.4, the Conference of the Parties serving as the meeting of the Parties to the Kyoto Protocol requests the Joint Implementation Supervisory Committee (JISC) "to develop, as soon as possible, definitions, forms, guidelines and procedures for projects under programmes of activities implemented under the verification procedure under the Committee, bearing in mind the work of the Executive Board of the clean development mechanism in this area".

3. The JISC, at its fourteenth meeting, requested six of its members/alternate members (Mr. Björk, Mr. Chowdhury, Mr. Fuller, Ms. Galan, Mr. Leguet and Mr. Oderson), with support by the secretariat, to develop guidance on projects under a programme of activities under the verification procedure under the JISC (hereinafter referred to as joint implementation programme of activities - JI PoA).

4. At its sixteenth meeting the JISC considered the draft guidance on JI PoA and requested (Mr. Björk, Mr. Chowdhury, Mr. Fuller, Ms. Gałan, Mr. Geletukha, Mr. Hubenthal, Mr. Leguet and Mr Oderson), supported by the secretariat, to develop a second draft of the procedure and in conjunction with its completion launch a public call on the draft document, and compile the inputs in an information note to be considered by the JISC at its eighteenth meeting.

5. As a result of the public call referred to in paragraph 4 above, three inputs were received by the secretariat.

B. Summary of the inputs

6. The inputs recognized the importance of joint implementation programmes of activities as a significant incentive to JI projects, and support the general structure of the procedure.

7. Inputs were received from the Joint Implementation Action Group (JIAG), the Project Developer Forum (PDF), and from MGM International (MGM). Specific inputs received are summarized in Table 1:





Joint Implementation Supervisory Committee

Eighteenth meeting Proposed agenda – Annotations Annex 11

Table 1. Summary of Inputs				
	<u>Section of the</u> <u>PoA</u>	Inputs	<u>Input</u> Provider	<u>In 3rd Draft</u>
(a)	Definitions	There is no value in requiring "interrelated" measures as that would logically need checking from an AIE and thus increases costs	PDF	
		Several technologies or measures should be allowed under one type	PDF	✓
(b)	General Principles	The starting date should be 2000 to bring JI PoA in line with JI guidelines	JIAG	
		Starting date of 2006 is appropriate	PDF	
		Paragraph 13 - Coordinating entity could be designated as focal point for communications with the JISC	PDF	
(C)	Preparation of JI PoAs	Proposed methods for proving additionality are unnecessarily stricter than for regular JI projects. Therefore remove paragraph 20 (j), sub-paragraph (i)	JIAG	✓
		Need to clarify that additionality can be demonstrate EITHER on the PoA level OR the JPA level. Paragraph 20 (j), sub-paragraph (i) is appropriate for demonstrating additionality	PDF	
(d)	Determination referred to in paragraph 33 of the JI guidelines	There should be an appeals process for negative determinations	PDF	
(e)	Submission of additional JPAs	At least 3 JISC members should be required to request a review	JIAG	
		Remove paragraphs 36-41 (requirements regarding publication and provisions for review of additional JPAs) (JIAG)	JIAG	
(f)	Determination referred to in paragraph 37 of the JI guidelines	Monitoring periods should be allowed to overlap as it will be difficult to coordinate and synchronize all JPAs	JIAG	
		Modify monitoring periods in paragraph 55 to prevent overlapping monitoring periods for the same JPA but otherwise allow overlap in the PoA	MGM	
		AIEs should track monitoring periods on the JPA level and not the PoA level to ensure there are no overlaps	MGM	
		Sampling methods should be described as much as possible in the PoA-DD. To simplify, remove paragraphs 51-55 (use of sample-based approach)	JIAG	
		Square root for sampling is appropriate	PDF	✓

- - - - -