



**FRAMEWORK CONVENTION ON CLIMATE CHANGE - Secretariat  
CONVENTION - CADRE SUR LES CHANGEMENTS CLIMATIQUES -  
Secrétariat**

Date: 23 October 2009  
Ref: JISC 18

**JOINT IMPLEMENTATION SUPERVISORY COMMITTEE**

**EIGHTEENTH MEETING**

**Report**

**Date of meeting:** 22–23 October 2009

**Location:** Bonn, Germany

**Attendance:** The names of members and alternate members of the Joint Implementation Supervisory Committee (JISC) present at the eighteenth meeting are in bold print below. Where only the name of an alternate member is in bold print, the alternate member participated as a member.

<b>Members</b>	<b>Alternate Members</b>
<b>Mr. Olle Björk</b>	<i>Mr. Franzjosef Schafhausen</i>
<b>Mr. Muhammed Quamrul Chowdhury</b>	<b>Mr. Maosheng Duan</b>
<b>Mr. Carlos Fuller</b>	<i>Mr. Javier Andrés Hubenthal</i>
<b>Ms. Fatou Gaye</b>	<i>Mr. Benjamin Longo-Mbenza</i>
<b>Mr. Georgiy Geletukha</b>	<i>Mr. Yoncho Georgiev Pelovski</i>
<b>Mr. Hiroki Kudo</b>	<i>Mr. Anton Beck</i>
<b>Mr. Benoît Leguet</b>	<i>Mr. Evgeny Sokolov</i>
<b>Mr. Derrick Oderson</b>	<i>Ms. Ngedikes Olai Uludong-Polloi</i>
Mr. Oleg Pluzhnikov	<b>Ms. Agnieszka Galan</b>
<i>Vacant</i>	<b>Ms. Irina Voitekhovitch</b>

**Quorum** (in parenthesis required numbers):

**10** (7) members/alternate members acting as members were present of which

**6** (4) were from Annex I Parties and

**4** (3) were from non-Annex I Parties.

**WWW broadcasting:** <[http://ji.unfccc.int/Sup\\_Committee/Meetings](http://ji.unfccc.int/Sup_Committee/Meetings)>.

**Agenda item 1: Membership issues (including disclosure of possible conflict of interest)**

1. The Chair opened the meeting and asserted that the quorum was met. No conflict of interest was identified by any member or alternate member of the JISC present at the meeting, except for Mr. Geletukha, who declared a conflict of interest with respect to the review of a determination regarding a PDD referred to in paragraph 3 below.
2. The JISC noted that Mr. Oleg Pluzhnikov, Ms. Ngedikes Olai Uludong-Polloi and Mr. Franzjosef Schafhausen were unable to attend the meeting, and Mr. Pluzhnikov and Ms. Uludong-Polloi had provided proper justification for their absence.

**Agenda item 2: Adoption of the agenda**

3. With regard to the proposed agenda, the Chair suggested to additionally discuss the following issue under proposed agenda item 3 (b), "Matters relating to determination and verification reports" of the work plan:
  - Review of determination regarding the project design document (PDD) "0077. CMM utilisation on the coal mine Shcheglovskaya-Glubokaya of the State Holding Joint-Stock Company "GOAO Shakhtoupravlenye Donbass"".
4. The JISC adopted the agenda with the change referred to in paragraph 3 above.

**Agenda item 3: Work plan****Agenda sub-item 3 (a): Accreditation of independent entities**

5. The JISC took note of the fourteenth progress report on the work of the Joint Implementation Accreditation Panel (JI-AP) orally presented by its Chair, Ms. Fatou Gaye. The report summarized information relating to the work of the JI-AP, including the status of applications for accreditation, the status of the roster of experts for joint implementation assessment teams (JI-ATs), and other outcomes of the JI-AP.

General guidance and process

6. The JISC took note of other progress made by the JI-AP as well as the measures under consideration by the JI-AP to further improve the joint implementation (JI) accreditation process.

Further schedule

7. The JISC noted that the twentieth meeting of the JI-AP is to take place on 9-10 November 2009.

**Agenda sub-item 3 (b): Matters relating to determination and verification reports**General issues

8. The JISC took note of the report by the secretariat regarding the submission of documents under the verification procedure under the JISC (hereinafter referred to as JI Track 2 procedure). Since the launch of the JI Track 2 procedure on 26 October 2006, 197 PDDs had been submitted and made publicly available on the UNFCCC JI website in accordance with paragraph 32 of the JI guidelines. Fourteen determinations regarding a PDD had been published on the UNFCCC JI website in accordance with paragraph 34 of the JI guidelines, of which:

- (a) Nine determinations had been deemed final in accordance with paragraph 35 of the JI guidelines;



- (b) Three determinations are open for request for review;<sup>1</sup>
- (c) One determination is under review;
- (d) One determination had been rejected.

9. Six monitoring reports had been submitted and made publicly available on the UNFCCC JI website in accordance with paragraph 36 of the JI guidelines. Four verifications had been published on the UNFCCC JI website in accordance with paragraph 38 of the JI guidelines and all of them had been deemed final.<sup>2</sup>

10. The JISC also took note of the report by the secretariat on the status of information from Parties regarding their designated focal points (DFPs) and national guidelines and procedures for approving JI projects, in accordance with paragraph 20 (a) and (b) of the JI guidelines, required for the submission of determinations regarding a PDD. 35 Annex I Parties had informed the secretariat of their DFPS.<sup>3</sup> 29 of these Parties had also submitted their national guidelines and procedures for approving JI projects.<sup>4</sup>

#### Case related issues

11. In accordance with paragraphs 12 and 13 of the procedures for reviews under the verification procedure under the JISC, if a Party involved or three members of the JISC request a review of a determination regarding a PDD, the JISC shall consider the review at its next meeting. At this meeting the JISC shall decide whether the determination is accepted, without conditions or subject to certain conditions, or a more detailed review is required.

12. At least three members of the JISC or a Party involved in the project had requested a review of the determination regarding the PDD for the project “077 CMM utilisation on the coal mine Shcheglovskaya-Glubokaya of the State Holding Joint-Stock Company “GOAO Shakhtoupravlenye Donbass””. Taking into account the initial comments received from the operational entity designated under the clean development mechanism acting provisionally as an accredited IE (Det Norske Veritas Certification AS), the JISC decided to accept the determination subject to certain conditions, as contained in [annex 1](#).

#### **Agenda sub-item 3 (c): Development of a determination and verification manual**

13. The secretariat presented the third draft of a determination and verification manual (DVM) that it had prepared taking into account the priority areas that the JISC agreed to incorporate in the first version of the DVM and in consultation with five JISC members/alternate members (Mr. Beck, Mr. Duan, Mr. Geletukha, Mr. Hubenthal and Mr. Kudo) as requested by the JISC at its seventeenth meeting.

14. The secretariat also presented a summary of inputs from a call for public inputs on the second draft DVM, which was conducted from 20 August until 16 September 2009 based on the request by the JISC at its sixteenth meeting.

<sup>1</sup> According to paragraph 35 of the JI guidelines, a determination regarding a PDD shall be deemed final 45 days after the date on which the determination is made public, unless a Party involved in the project or three of the members of the JISC request a review by the JISC.

<sup>2</sup> According to paragraph 39 of the JI guidelines, a verification shall be deemed final 15 days after the date on which the verification is made public, unless a Party involved in the project or three of the members of the JISC request a review by the JISC.

<sup>3</sup> Australia, Austria, Belarus, Belgium, Bulgaria, Canada, Croatia, Czech Republic, Denmark, Estonia, European Community, Finland, France, Germany, Hungary, Ireland, Italy, Japan, Latvia, Liechtenstein, Lithuania, Luxembourg, Netherlands, New Zealand, Norway, Poland, Portugal, Romania, Russian Federation, Slovenia, Spain, Sweden, Switzerland, Ukraine, United Kingdom.

<sup>4</sup> Australia, Austria, Belarus, Belgium, Bulgaria, Czech Republic, Denmark, Estonia, Finland, France, Germany, Hungary, Ireland, Italy, Japan, Latvia, Liechtenstein, Lithuania, Netherlands, New Zealand, Poland, Portugal, Romania, Russian Federation, Spain, Sweden, Switzerland, Ukraine, United Kingdom.

15. The JISC considered the third draft DVM, taking into account the inputs referred to in paragraph 14 above, and provided guidance on necessary changes to the draft.

16. The Chair requested the secretariat to prepare a fourth draft DVM, in consultation with the same five JISC members/alternate members referred to in paragraph 13 above, taking into account the JISC's consideration on the third draft as referred to in paragraph 15 above. The JISC also requested the secretariat to undertake a legal review of the draft, and agreed on the tentative scope of the review. The JISC further requested the secretariat to consult with the Chair and Vice-Chair to decide the scope of the review, and after the review, submit the reviewed draft to the JISC for its consideration at the next meeting.

### **Agenda sub-item 3 (d): Revisions to Joint Implementation Supervisory Committee documents relevant to determination and verification manual**

17. At its seventeenth meeting, the JISC took note of an analysis prepared by the secretariat regarding possible revisions to existing JISC documents, and agreed on priority areas for document revisions including a grace period for the use of approved CDM methodologies and partial use of approved CDM methodologies under the JI specific approach to be considered in parallel with the development of a DVM. At the same meeting, the JISC requested the secretariat to prepare draft revisions of the existing documents relating to these priority areas.

18. The JISC discussed and revised the language contained in the draft documents that were presented by the secretariat and adopted the following documents, as contained in the indicated annexes:

- (a) Guidance on criteria for baseline setting and monitoring (Version 02) ([annex 2](#))
- (b) Provisions for joint implementation small-scale projects (Version 03) ([annex 3](#))
- (c) Guidelines for users of the joint implementation project design document form (Version 04) ([annex 4](#))
- (d) Guidelines for users of the joint implementation project design document form for small-scale projects and the form for submission of bundled joint implementation small-scale projects (Version 04) ([annex 5](#))
- (e) Guidelines for users of the joint implementation land use, land-use change and forestry project design document form (Version 04) ([annex 6](#))

### **Agenda sub-item 3 (e): Development of definitions, forms, guidelines and procedures for joint implementation programmes of activities**

19. At its sixteenth meeting, the JISC considered public inputs and the inputs from the roundtable consultation held in conjunction with this meeting, and requested the secretariat to prepare a second draft, in consultation with eight JISC members/alternate members (Mr. Björk, Mr. Chowdhury, Mr. Fuller, Ms. Gañan, Mr. Geletukha, Mr. Hubenthal, Mr. Leguet and Mr. Oderson) along with forms, as well as to launch a call for public inputs on the second draft. The call was open from 28 August until 25 September 2009. The JISC, at its seventeenth meeting, requested the secretariat to prepare an information note summarizing the inputs provided on the call for public inputs regarding the second draft of the procedures for programmes of activities under the verification procedure under the Joint Implementation Supervisory Committee (JI PoA procedures).

20. At its seventeenth meeting, the JISC considered the second draft of the JI-PoA procedures along with inputs received during the UNFCCC JI workshop held in Kiev in conjunction with the meeting and requested the secretariat to prepare a third draft of the JI PoA procedures, reflecting inputs received from the second call for public inputs, referred to above. The JISC also requested the secretariat to prepare guidelines for users of the JI-PoA-DD form.



21. The JISC took note of the public inputs received from the call on the second draft of the JI PoA procedures and amended the third draft of the JI PoA procedures presented by the secretariat, and adopted the JI PoA procedures as contained in [annex 7](#).
22. The JISC also agreed on and adopted the following documents relating to the JI PoA procedures, as contained in the indicated annexes:
- (a) Joint implementation programme of activities design document form (JI PoA-DD), which will be applied provisionally until the CMP has adopted it in accordance with the JI guidelines ([annex 8](#));
  - (b) Guidelines for users of the joint implementation programme of activities design document form ([annex 9](#));
  - (c) Glossary of joint implementation terms (Version 02) ([annex 10](#)).

#### **Agenda sub-item 3 (f): Management plan and resources for the work on joint implementation**

23. The secretariat presented the updated financial status for JI activities, including the status of contributions from Parties and the current shortfall of approximately USD 0.96 million in resources budgeted for the biennium 2008–2009. In this regard, the JISC thanked those Parties that had contributed to the funding of the work of the JISC during the current biennium and continued to encourage Parties to make further contributions to ensure that all necessary activities envisaged by the JISC can be carried out in a predictable and sustainable manner. The status of resources and contributions is contained in [annex 11](#).

24. The secretariat orally presented the outline of the joint implementation management plan (JI-MAP) for the biennium 2010-2011 that it was developing. The JISC considered the outline and requested the secretariat to finalize the JI-MAP in consultation with the Chair, to be submitted in conjunction with the annual report of the JISC to the CMP referred to in paragraph 38 below. The JISC also requested the secretariat to distribute the finalized JI-MAP to JISC members and alternate members for information.

#### **Agenda sub-item 3 (g): Revision of the provision for the charging of fees**

25. At its seventeenth meeting, the JISC discussed the draft provision for the charging of fees, in respect of including a fee for processing JI PoAs, and agreed in principle to use a simplified approach that is based on a flat rate of USD 0.10 per tonne of CO<sub>2</sub> equivalent, with a view to further elaborating this approach in conjunction with a broad discussion on fees. The JISC requested the secretariat to prepare options to revise the provision for the charging of fees to be considered at its eighteenth meeting.

26. The JISC considered the draft provisions for the charging of fees and decided to adopt the draft document, reflecting the provisions for JI PoA and a USD 30,000 cap for advance fee payments applicable to all JI projects, as attached in [annex 12](#). The JISC agreed to submit the revised fee structure to the CMP at its fifth session for endorsement, after which time it would enter into force.

#### **Agenda item 4: Other matters**

##### **Agenda sub-item 4 (a): Collaboration of the Joint Implementation Supervisory Committee with others**

27. The JISC invited the Chair of the DOE/AIE coordination forum (Mr. Shigenari Yamamoto, accompanied by Mr. Katsura Fukuda) to the meeting for interaction between the JISC and the forum on JI issues.



28. The Chair of the DOE/AIE coordination forum elaborated the inputs from the forum sent to the JISC for the present meeting for consideration by the JISC, requesting for efficient operation of performance assessment of AIEs.
29. The JISC took note of the input of the DOE/AIE coordination forum and informed the Chair of the forum that the JISC would take into account the proposal in the implementation of the JI accreditation procedure.
30. The Chair of the JISC thanked the Chair of the DOE/AIE coordination forum for his attendance and expressed the usefulness of the inputs from and interaction with the forum.
31. The Chair reminded that the JISC, at its seventeenth meeting, agreed that it would continue to tentatively accept the Joint Implementation Action Group (JIAG)'s proposal to serve as a communication channel for project participants with the JISC and invited the JIAG to interact with the JISC at the present meeting.
32. The JISC invited the Chair of the JIAG, Mr. Lennard de Klerk to the meeting for interaction between the JISC and the group on JI issues, in particular those relevant to the agenda of the present meeting.
33. The Chair of the JIAG elaborated on the inputs that the group had previously provided through the calls for public inputs on the DVM and on the JI PoA procedures. He also provided additional inputs on the draft revisions to existing JI documents that were considered by the JISC at the present meeting.
34. The JISC took note of the input from the Chair of the JIAG and informed him that the JISC would take into account his proposals with respect to the DVM, the JI PoA procedures, and revisions to existing documents approved by the JISC.
35. The Chair of the JISC thanked the Chair of the JIAG for his attendance and expressed the usefulness of the inputs from and interaction with the JIAG.
36. The Chair informed that, based on the request by the JISC at its seventeenth meeting, he communicated with the Project Developers Forum (PDF) with regard to its interest in possibly serving as a communication channel for project participants with the JISC and received a reply from the PDF. In this context, the Chair reminded that the JISC, at its seventeenth meeting, agreed to invite the PDF to interact with the JISC at the present meeting. The Chair further informed that the PDF notified the secretariat that it could not attend the present meeting and requested to postpone the interaction to the next meeting. The JISC agreed to the request.

**Agenda sub-item 4 (b): Annual report of the Joint Implementation Supervisory Committee to the Conference of the Parties serving as the meeting of the Parties to the Kyoto Protocol**

37. The secretariat presented a draft report of the JISC to the CMP prepared by the secretariat based on the elements agreed by the JISC at its seventeenth meeting.
38. The JISC considered the draft report and agreed to submit it, after necessary editorial changes, to the CMP for consideration at its fifth session.

**Agenda sub-item 4 (c): Areas for further guidance to be considered by the Joint Implementation Supervisory Committee**

39. Due to the lack of time, the JISC agreed to postpone this agenda item to the next meeting.



40. The JISC requested the secretariat to prepare an analysis on the impact of all inputs received so far regarding the development of a DVM (i.e. through public calls, UNFCCC JI workshops and roundtable) on existing JISC documents, and present it at the next meeting with a view to starting its consideration on the areas for further changes in JISC documents.

#### **Agenda sub-item 4 (d): Other business**

##### Provisional agenda

41. The JISC agreed on the provisional agenda of its nineteenth meeting, to be held on 3–4 December 2009, as contained in [annex 13](#).

##### *Informal interaction with registered observers*

42. The JISC invited registered observers for a webcasted question-and-answer session.

#### **Agenda item 5: Conclusion of the meeting**

43. The Chair summarized the main conclusions and closed the eighteenth meeting of the JISC.



## Annexes to the report

### Matters relating to determination and verification reports

- Annex 1: Conditions for accepting the determination regarding the project design document: “0077. CMM utilisation on the coal mine Shcheglovskaya-Glubokaya of the state holding joint-stock company “GOAO Shakhtoupravlenye Donbass””

### Revisions to Joint Implementation Supervisory Committee documents relevant to determination and verification manual

- Annex 2: Guidance on criteria for baseline setting and monitoring (Version 02)
- Annex 3: Provisions for joint implementation small-scale projects (Version 03)
- Annex 4: Guidelines for users of the joint implementation project design form (Version 04)
- Annex 5: Guidelines for users of the joint implementation project design document form for small-scale projects and the form for submission of bundled joint implementation small-scale projects (Version 04)
- Annex 6: Guidelines for users of the joint implementation land use, land-use change and forestry project design document form (Version 04)

### Development of definitions, forms, guidelines and procedures for joint implementation programmes of activities

- Annex 7: Procedures for programmes of activities under the verification procedure under the Joint Implementation Supervisory Committee
- Annex 8: Joint implementation programme of activities design document form (JI PoA DD)
- Annex 9: Guidelines for users of the joint implementation programme of activities design document form
- Annex 10: Glossary of joint implementation terms (Version 02)

### Management plan and resources for the work on joint implementation

- Annex 11: Status of resources and contributions

### Revision of the provision for the charging of fees

- Annex 12: Draft provisions for the charging of fees to cover administrative costs relating to the activities of the Joint Implementation Supervisory Committee (Version 03)

### Other Matters

- Annex 13: Provisional agenda of the nineteenth meeting of the Joint Implementation Supervisory Committee

-----