



FRAMEWORK CONVENTION ON CLIMATE CHANGE - Secretariat  
CONVENTION - CADRE SUR LES CHANGEMENTS CLIMATIQUES -  
Secrétariat

Date: 23 October 2010  
Ref: JISC 23

JOINT IMPLEMENTATION SUPERVISORY COMMITTEE

TWENTY-THIRD MEETING

Report

(Version 01.1)\*

**Date of meeting:** 21–23 October 2010

**Location:** Bonn, Germany

**Attendance:** The names of members and alternate members of the Joint Implementation Supervisory Committee (JISC) present at the twenty-third meeting are in bold print below. Where only the name of an alternate member is in bold print, the alternate member participated as a member.

<b>Members</b>	<b><i>Alternate Members</i></b>
<b>Mr. Muhammed Quamrul Chowdhury</b>	<b><i>Mr. Hussein Badarin</i></b>
<b>Mr. Carlos Fuller</b>	<b><i>Ms. Carola Borja</i></b>
<b>Ms. Fatou Gaye</b>	<b><i>Mr. Benjamin Longo-Mbenza</i></b>
<b>Ms. Agnieszka Galan</b>	<b><i>Mr. Oleg Pluzhnikov</i></b>
<b>Mr. Georgiy Geletukha</b>	<b><i>Mr. Yoncho Georgiev Pelovski</i></b>
<b>Mr. Hiroki Kudo</b>	<b><i>Mr. Anton Beck</i></b>
<b>Mr. Benoît Leguet</b>	<b><i>Mr. Evgeny Sokolov</i></b>
<b>Ms. Miriana Roman</b>	<b><i>Ms. Irina Voïtekhovitch</i></b>
<b>Mr. Wolfgang Seidel</b>	<b><i>Mr. Olle Björk</i></b>
<b>Mr. Andrew Yatilman</b>	<b><i>Mr. Derrick Oderson</i></b>

**Quorum** (in parenthesis required numbers):

**10** (7) members/alternate members acting as members were present of which

**6** (4) were from Annex I Parties and

**4** (3) were from non-Annex I Parties.

**WWW broadcasting:** <[http://ji.unfccc.int/Sup\\_Committee/Meetings](http://ji.unfccc.int/Sup_Committee/Meetings)>.

\* This version was issued to reflect editorial changes, including the correction of the report date and a modification in paragraph 27.

**Agenda item 1: Membership issues (including disclosure of possible conflict of interest)**

1. The Chair of the Joint Implementation Supervisory Committee (hereinafter referred to as the JISC) opened the meeting and asserted that the quorum was met. Members or alternate members of the JISC stated whether they had a conflict of interest relating to any item on the meeting agenda.
2. The JISC noted that the secretariat was informed that Mr. Benjamin Longo-Mbenza, Mr. Yoncho Georgiev Pelovski, Mr. Olle Björk, and Mr. Andrew Yatilman were unable to attend the meeting and had provided proper justification for their absence.
3. The JISC welcomed the new alternate member Mr. Hussein Badarin who was nominated following the “Rules of procedure of the Joint Implementation Supervisory Committee”. Mr. Badarin assumes the seat for a non-Annex I member that had remained vacant at the fifth session of the Conference of the Parties serving as the meeting of the Parties to the Kyoto Protocol (CMP).

**Agenda item 2: Adoption of the agenda**

4. With regard to the proposed agenda, the JISC agreed to move the agenda item “Matters relating to determination and verification reports” from agenda item 4 “Other matters” to agenda item 3 “Work plan”.
5. The JISC adopted the agenda of the meeting with the change referred to in paragraph 4 above.

**Agenda item 3: Work plan****Agenda sub-item 3 (a): Accreditation of independent entities**

6. The JISC considered applicants for the Joint Implementation Accreditation Panel (JI-AP) members, received in response to the call for experts, opened from 30 July 2010 until 30 August 2010, in order to fill the positions of three outgoing members of the JI-AP whose terms would have expired on 28 September 2010 at the earliest.
7. The JISC selected the following experts as members of the JI-AP for a two-year term:
  - (a) Mr. Edwin Aalders;
  - (b) Mr. Venkataraman Balakrishnan; and
  - (c) Ms. Anikó Pogány.
8. Considering the on-going work of the JI-AP and the cancellation of the planned JI-AP meetings since the last JISC meeting due to the financial constraints, the JISC agreed to request the outgoing panel members to attend the next panel meeting, and the new members' two-year term to start after the next panel meeting.
9. The JISC expressed its appreciation for the work done by the outgoing JI-AP members, Mr. Dmytro Paderno and Mr. Arek Sinanian.
10. The JISC requested the secretariat to prepare an analysis on how to streamline the JI accreditation process, including proposals on how to build on synergies and lessons from other accreditation processes.

**Agenda sub-item 3 (b): Matters relating to determination and verification reports**

11. In accordance with paragraphs 12 and 13 of the “Procedures for reviews under the verification procedure under the JISC”, if a Party involved or three members of the JISC request a review of a



determination in accordance with paragraph 33 of the JI guidelines regarding a project design document (PDD), the JISC shall consider the review at its next meeting. In accordance with the same procedures, the JISC shall consider whether the determination should be accepted, without conditions or subject to certain conditions, or whether a more detailed review should be required.

12. At least three members of the JISC or a Party involved in the project had requested a review of the determination regarding the PDD for the project “0211. Utilization of coke gas with electricity generation by two 6 MWe CHP at “ZaporozhCox Plant””. Taking into account the initial comments received from the accredited independent entity (AIE), Bureau Veritas Certification Holding SAS, and from the project participants, JSC “ZaporozhCox Plant” and Global Carbon BV, the JISC agreed to accept the determination subject to certain conditions, as contained in [annex 1](#) to this report.

#### **Agenda sub-item 3 (c): Annual report of the JISC to the CMP**

13. The JISC agreed on its annual report to the CMP for consideration at its sixth session, which covers the period 24 October 2009 – 23 October 2010, and requested the secretariat to finalize the report in consultation with the Chair of the JISC to incorporate the outcome of the meeting. Once the report is processed through the United Nations official editors, it will be posted on the UNFCCC and UNFCCC JI websites accordingly.

14. Following the request from the CMP at its fifth session, the JISC took note of an update on the assessment process in the verification procedure and possible improvements to it. The JISC requested the secretariat to prepare a summary of the JISC appraisals and expert inputs to be available on the JISC extranet and send a notification to JISC members and alternates in case at least one of the appraisals or inputs have a negative recommendation, with a view to implementing this in early 2011. The JISC also agreed to consider further improvements of the current assessment process during 2011.

#### **Agenda sub-item 3 (d): Consideration of experiences with the JI verification procedure under the JISC with a view to making improvements for the future operation of JI**

15. The JISC adopted the “Report on experience with the verification procedure under the Joint Implementation Supervisory Committee and possible improvements in the future operation of the joint implementation”, as contained in [annex 2](#) to this report. This document will be included as an annex to the JISC's annual report to the CMP at its sixth session.

#### **Agenda sub-item 3 (e): Report to the CMP on a financial and budget projection up to 2012**

16. The JISC considered an update on the financial status and a budget projection up to 2012, including an analysis when and under which conditions the JISC will become self-financing, as contained in [annex 2](#) to this report mentioned above. This document will be included as an annex to the JISC's annual report to the CMP at its sixth session.

#### **Agenda sub-item 3 (f): Management plan and resources for the work on joint implementation**

17. The JISC considered the updated financial status for the work on JI, including the status of contributions from Parties so far for the biennium 2010–2011, as contained in [annex 3](#) to this report. In this regard, the JISC thanked those Parties that had contributed to the funding of the work of the JISC to date. At the same time, the JISC also noted that there was still a significant short fall from the budget presented in the joint implementation management plan (JI-MAP) for 2010–2011. For this reason, the JISC urged Parties to make further contributions for this biennium to ensure that all necessary activities envisaged by the JISC can be carried out in a predictable and sustainable manner.

18. The JISC considered the revision of the JI-MAP for the biennium 2010–2011 and agreed to continue its deliberations at its next meeting. For this purpose, the JISC requested the secretariat to



prepare a draft revised management plan including a contingency plan, which would strictly prioritize JISC activities, taking into account the latest financial situation as well as the financial projection up to 2012 referred to in paragraph 16 above.

19. The JISC further requested the secretariat to prepare a draft JISC work plan up to 2013 when most verifications relating to the first commitment period to the Kyoto Protocol would be concluded, taking into account the proposed actions included in the report on experience with JI referred to in paragraph 15 above as well as any mandate from the CMP to be given at its sixth session.

#### **Agenda item 4: Other matters**

##### **Agenda sub-item 4 (a): Collaboration of the Joint Implementation Supervisory Committee with stakeholders**

20. The JISC invited the Chair of the DOE/AIE coordination forum, Mr. Flávio Gomes, to the meeting for interaction between the JISC and the forum on JI issues.

21. The JISC took note of the input of the DOE/AIE coordination forum on the following:

- (a) The input requested by the JISC at its last meeting on the JI accreditation standard; and
- (b) The report on experience with the verification procedure under the JISC.

22. The Chair of the JISC thanked Mr. Flávio Gomes for his attendance and expressed the usefulness of the inputs from and interaction with the forum.

23. The JISC invited the representative of the Joint Implementation Action Group (JIAG), Mr. Lennard de Klerk, to the meeting for interaction between the JISC and the group on JI issues.

24. The representative of the JIAG elaborated the inputs that the group had provided prior to the meeting on the draft report on experience with the verification procedure under the JISC. The JISC took note of the inputs from the JIAG and agreed to consider the inputs, as appropriate.

25. The Chair of the JISC thanked Mr. Lennard de Klerk for his attendance and expressed the usefulness of the inputs and interaction.

26. The JISC took note that the representative of the Project Developer Forum (PD Forum) was unable to attend the meeting for interaction between the JISC and the PD Forum.

27. The JISC invited registered observers for a webcasted question-and-answer session. Observers expressed their appreciation regarding the report on experience with the verification procedure under the JISC. Observers also invited the JISC to hold a meeting with designated focal points to discuss transparency issues under Track 1. Observers also raised concerns regarding the possible increase in fees for Track 2 which may lead project participants to choosing Track 1 instead of Track 2.

##### **Agenda sub-item 4 (b): Other business**

###### Calendar of meetings for 2010–2011

28. The JISC agreed to the tentative calendar of meetings for the biennium 2010–2011, as contained in annex 4 to this report.

###### Provisional agenda

29. The JISC agreed on the provisional agenda of its twenty-fourth meeting to be held on 23–25 February 2011, as contained in annex 5 to this report.



30. The JISC thanked all outgoing members of the JISC for their dedication during their term of office.

**Agenda item 5: Conclusion of the meeting**

31. The Chair summarized the main conclusions and closed the twenty-third meeting of the JISC.



### Annexes to the report

#### Matters relating to determination and verification reports

- Annex 1: Conditions for accepting the determination regarding the project design document “0211. Utilization of coke gas with electricity generation by two 6 MWe CHP at “ZaporozhCox Plant””

#### Consideration of experiences with the JI verification procedure under the JISC with a view to making improvements for the future operation of JI

- Annex 2: Report on experiences with the verification procedure under the Joint Implementation Supervisory Committee and possible improvements in the future operation of the joint implementation

#### Management plan and resources for the work on joint implementation

- Annex 3: Status of resources and contributions

#### Other matters

- Annex 4: Tentative calendar of meetings of the Joint Implementation Supervisory Committee and the Joint Implementation Accreditation Panel in 2011
- Annex 5: Provisional agenda of the twenty-fourth meeting of the Joint Implementation Supervisory Committee

-----