

**JOINT IMPLEMENTATION SUPERVISORY COMMITTEE****PROPOSED AGENDA AND ANNOTATIONS***

Twenty-fourth meeting

Version 01.1

UNFCCC
Bonn, Germany
23–25 March 2011**CONTENTS****I. PROPOSED AGENDA****II. ANNOTATIONS TO THE PROPOSED AGENDA**

- Annex 1 - Measures to streamline and further improve joint implementation accreditation process and functioning
- Annex 2 - Draft workplan for the Joint Implementation Supervisory Committee for 2011-2013
- Annex 3 - Draft joint implementation management plan 2011
- Annex 4 - Draft provisions for the charging of fees to cover administrative costs relating to the activities of the Joint Implementation Supervisory Committee and its supporting structures
- Annex 5 - Information note on fees to cover administrative costs relating to activities of the Joint Implementation Supervisory Committee and its supporting structures

* This revised document (Version 01.1) includes editorial changes to correct references to the titles of the annexes.



I. PROPOSED AGENDA

1. Membership issues (including election of the Chair and Vice-Chair and disclosure of possible conflict of interest)
2. Adoption of the agenda
3. Work plan:
 - (a) Accreditation of operational entities
 - (b) Matters relating to determination and verification reports
4. Management plan and resources for the work of the JISC
5. Other matters
6. Conclusion of the meeting

II. ANNOTATIONS TO THE PROPOSED AGENDA

1. Membership issues (including election of the Chair and Vice-Chair and disclosure of possible conflict of interest)

1. ► **Action:** The JISC may wish to (a) welcome new members and alternates; (b) conduct the election of its Chair and Vice-Chair from among the members for the year 2011; and (c) take note of their oaths of service.

Background: In accordance with paragraph 5 of the JI guidelines, the Conference of the Parties serving as the meeting of the Parties to the Kyoto Protocol (CMP), at its sixth session, elected the following members and alternate members of the JISC for a term of two years:

- (i) Mr. Mikhailo Chyzenko (member, first term) and Ms. Milya Dimitrova (alternate member, first term) from Parties included in Annex I that are undergoing the process of transition to a market economy;
- (ii) Ms. Irina Voitekhovitch (member, first term) and Ms. Miriana Roman (alternate member, first term) from Parties included in Annex I that are undergoing the process of transition to a market economy;
- (iii) Mr. Denis Lansana (member, first term) and Mr. Evans Njewa (alternate member, first term) from Parties not included in Annex I;
- (iv) Mr. Benoît Leguet (member, second term) and Mr. Anton Beck (alternate member, second term) from Parties included in Annex I;
- (v) Mr. Evgeny Sokolov (member, first term) and Mr. Hiroki Kudo (alternate member, second term) from Parties included in Annex I.

At the first meeting of each calendar year, i.e. at this twenty-fourth meeting, the JISC shall elect a Chair and a Vice-Chair from among its members. Rule 12 of the “Rules of procedure of the JISC” stipulates that the secretary of the JISC shall preside over the opening of the first JISC meeting of a calendar year and conduct the election of the Chair and Vice-Chair. The secretary of the JISC shall ascertain whether (a) the members present constitute a quorum, and (b) the absence of any member or alternate member is “without proper justification”.

According to rule 10 of the “Rules of procedure of the JISC”, members and alternate members shall take a written oath of service before assuming their duties. The secretariat has received the written oaths of service from all newly elected members and alternate members.

At this twenty-fourth meeting, the secretary of the JISC shall also assume, at the beginning of the meeting, the function of the Chair to request members and alternates to disclose whether they consider having any conflict of interest relating to the work of the JISC.

Selection of Chair and Vice-Chair of the Joint Implementation Accreditation Panel

2. ► **Action:** The JISC may wish to consider the issue of appointment of the Chair and Vice-Chair of the Joint Implementation Accreditation Panel (JI-AP) for the current year.

Background: According to paragraph 4 of the “General guidelines for panels and working groups under the Joint Implementation Supervisory Committee”, the JISC shall appoint the Chair and Vice-Chair of a panel/working group, with one being a member/alternate member from a Party included in Annex I and the other being from a Party not included in Annex I. Paragraph 5 of the same document further states that “only JISC members shall act as Chairs and Vice-Chairs of panels”.

2. Adoption of the agenda

3. ► **Action:** The JISC may wish to adopt the proposed agenda of the twenty-fourth meeting.

Background: The JISC agreed, at its twenty-third meeting, on items to be included in the provisional agenda of its twenty-fourth meeting. In accordance with rule 19 of the “Rules of procedure of the JISC”, subsequent additions or changes to the provisional agenda of a meeting may be proposed to the secretariat by any member, or alternate member, and incorporated in the proposed agenda. No suggestions for additions or changes were received by the secretariat before the relevant deadline. The proposed agenda was transmitted to the JISC on 2 March 2011 and thereafter posted on the UNFCCC JI website.

3. Work plan

(a) Accreditation of independent entities

4. ► **Action:** The JISC may wish to take note of the report of twenty-fourth meeting of the JI-AP and an oral report by its Vice-Chair, Ms. Agnieszka Gałan, on the work of the panel.

Background: The JI-AP held its twenty-fourth meeting on 27–28 January 2011 in Bonn, Germany.

General guidance

5. ► **Action:** The JISC may wish to consider “Measures to streamline and further improve joint implementation accreditation process and functioning”, including proposals on how to build on synergies and lessons from other accreditation processes, as contained in annex 1 to these annotations. The secretariat will also provide an update on the past agreements and requests by the JISC regarding the accreditation process.

Background: Based on the recommendation by the JISC in its experience report (JISC 23, annex 2), the CMP, at its sixth session, requested the JISC to streamline the JI accreditation process, by building on synergies with and lessons learned from other accreditation processes.

(b) Matters relating to determination and verification reports

Case specific

6. ► **Action:** The JISC may wish to consider and discuss the status of (a) projects submitted under the JI Track 2 procedure as well as (b) information provided by Parties in accordance with paragraph 20 of the JI guidelines, and (c) information about the external experts.

Background: At its fifth meeting, the JISC officially launched the verification procedure under the JISC (hereinafter referred to as JI Track 2 procedure) on 26 October 2006. Since the launch of the procedure, the information regarding Parties’ designated focal points (DFPs) and national guidelines and procedures for approving JI projects, in accordance with paragraph 20 (a) and (b) of the JI guidelines, required for the submission of determination reports regarding project design documents (PDDs), has not been provided to the secretariat by some Parties.

General guidance

7. ► **Action:** The JISC may wish to consider to open a call for experts appraising determinations or participating in review teams under the verification procedure.

Background: The JISC has established a roster of experts on which it may draw with regard to appraisals of determinations and verifications and when setting up review teams. The secretariat is responsible to launch a call for experts by posting, on the UNFCCC JI website, an invitation to experts fulfilling the competence requirements to submit their applications.

8. ► **Action:** The JISC may wish to consider areas and means for improvements to the verification procedure under the JISC and agree on further steps.

Background: Based on the recommendation by the JISC in its experience report (JISC 23, annex 2), the CMP, at its sixth session, requested the JISC to implement the action areas informed in this report, in particular, further improving the verification procedure under the Committee, by enhancing the clarity of its documents, setting time limits in the joint implementation project cycle, making use of electronic decision making, in particular in relation to reviews, and encouraging and supporting project-based innovative methodological approaches.

4. Management plan and resources for the work on joint implementation

9. ► **Action:** The JISC may wish to consider the “Draft workplan for the Joint Implementation Supervisory Committee for 2011-2013”, as contained in annex 2 to these annotations.

Background: The JISC, at its twenty-third meeting, requested the secretariat to prepare a draft JISC work plan up to 2013, when most verifications relating to the first commitment period to the Kyoto Protocol would be concluded, taking into account the proposed actions included in the “Report on experience with the verification procedure under the Joint Implementation Supervisory Committee and possible improvements in the future operation of the joint implementation” (JISC 23, annex 2), adopted at the twenty-third meeting of the JISC, as well as the mandates given by the CMP at its sixth session.

10. ► **Action:** The JISC may wish to consider the “Draft joint implementation management plan 2011” (JI-MAP) for 2011, as contained in annex 3 to these annotations.

Background: The JISC, at its twenty-third meeting, considered the revision of the JI-MAP for the biennium 2010–2011 and agreed to continue its deliberations at its next meeting. For this purpose, the JISC requested the secretariat to prepare a draft revised JI-MAP including a contingency plan, which would strictly prioritize JISC activities, taking into account the latest financial situation as well as the financial projection up to 2012.

5. Other matters**(a) Guidance by CMP**

11. ► **Action:** The JISC may wish to consider the CMP decision 4/CMP.6 “Guidance on the implementation of Article 6 of the Kyoto Protocol” and take action, as appropriate. The decision adopted by the CMP is available on the UNFCCC JI website at
<http://unfccc.int/files/meetings/cop_16/conference_documents/application/pdf/20101204_cop16_cmp_ji.pdf>.

Background: The CMP, at its sixth session, took note of the annual report (2009–2010) of the JISC and adopted its decision 4/CMP.6 “Guidance on the implementation of Article 6 of the Kyoto Protocol”.

12. ► **Action:** The JISC may wish to consider the request by the CMP relating to recommendations regarding options for building on the approach embodied in joint implementation and to agree on further steps.

Background: The CMP, at its sixth session, decided to initiate the first review of the joint implementation guidelines, in accordance with decision 9/CMP.1, at its seventh session, and requested the JISC to make recommendations to that session on options for building on the approach embodied in joint implementation, taking into account, inter alia, the JI experience report and ongoing work being carried out by the Ad Hoc Working Group on Further Commitments for Annex I Parties under the Kyoto Protocol (AWG-KP), with a view to considering the recommendations of the JISC as part of the first review of the joint implementation guidelines.

13. ► **Action:** The JISC may wish to consider the “Draft provisions for the charging of fees to cover administrative costs relating to the activities of the Joint Implementation Supervisory Committee and its supporting structures” and an “Information note on fees to cover administrative costs relating to activities of the Joint Implementation Supervisory Committee and its supporting structures”, as contained in annex 4 and annex 5, respectively.

Background: The CMP, at its sixth session, requested the JISC to finalize the provisions for charging the fees for activities under the Track 1 at its first meeting in 2011, on the basis of an estimate of the administrative costs relating to these activities.

(b) Collaboration of the Joint Implementation Supervisory Committee with others

14. ► **Action:** The JISC may wish to consider how to enhance its interaction with DFPs, project participants and independent entities (IEs).

Background: In accordance with paragraphs 19 (b) of decision 4/CMP.6 and 16 (a) and (b) of decision 3/CMP.5, the CMP encouraged the JISC to enhance its interaction with these third parties.

(c) Applicability of joint implementation rules and guidelines to published project design documents under the verification procedure

15. ► **Action:** The JISC may wish to consider options for improvements of the assessment process in the verification procedure.

Background: Following the request from the CMP at its fifth session, the JISC, at its twenty-third meeting, took note of an update on the assessment process in the verification procedure and possible improvements to it and agreed to consider further improvements of the current assessment process during 2011.

(d) Relations with stakeholders, intergovernmental and non-governmental organizations

16. ► **Action:** The JISC may wish to consider written inputs from the DOE/AIE Coordination Forum, if any, invite the Chair of the forum to the meeting and interact with the Chair, as appropriate.

Background: The JISC, at its tenth meeting, decided to recognize the DOE/AIE Coordination Forum as a communication channel between the JISC and AIEs and applicant IEs. The JISC also invited written inputs from the forum for discussion under an agenda item dedicated to interactions between the JISC and the forum.

17. ► **Action:** The JISC may wish to consider any inputs received from the Joint Implementation Action Group (JIAG) and the Project Developer Forum (PD Forum), and interact with their representatives, as appropriate.

Background: The JISC, at its nineteenth meeting, decided to recognize the JIAG and the PD Forum as communication channels between the JISC and project participants. The JISC also invited both the JIAG and the PD Forum to send a representative each as an observer to every meeting of the JISC, in order to interact with the JISC.

18. ► **Action:** The JISC may wish to (a) agree to avail itself for informal briefings with registered observers in the afternoon of the last day of the meeting; and (b) invite members and alternates to share information on events in which they participated.

Background: The CMP, through paragraph 5 (d) of decision 10/CMP.1, encouraged the JISC to collaborate with observers to its meetings through regular question-and-answer sessions held in this context. Since its establishment, the JISC met with observers for a webcasted question-and-answer session at each meeting.

(e) **Other business**

19. ► **Action:** The JISC may wish to consider the tentative calendar of meetings of the JISC for the year 2011.

Background: In accordance with rule 16 of the “Rules of procedure of the JISC”, at the first JISC meeting of each calendar year, the Chair shall propose for the approval of the Committee a schedule of meetings for that calendar year

6. Conclusion of the meeting

20. **Action:** The JISC may wish to agree to the report of the twenty-fourth meeting.

Background: The Chair will summarize the meeting and adopt the report, including references to any decisions taken.

- - - - -