

**NINETEENTH PROGRESS REPORT
OF THE
JOINT IMPLEMENTATION ACCREDITATION PANEL (JI-AP)**

CONTENTS

	<i>Page</i>
I. INTRODUCTION.....	2
II. STATUS OF APPLICATIONS FOR ACCREDITATION.....	2
III. RECOMMENDATION FROM THE JI-AP	2
V. STATUS OF ROSTER OF EXPERTS	2
VI. OTHER ISSUES UNDER CONSIDERATION	3
VI. NEXT MEETING OF THE JI-AP	3
Annex 1: Status of applications for accreditation	4

I. INTRODUCTION

1. This nineteenth progress report covers the period from 21 May 2010 to 28 January 2011. During this period the Joint Implementation Accreditation Panel (JI-AP) held one meeting (JI-AP 24), on 27-28 January 2011.

II. STATUS OF APPLICATIONS FOR ACCREDITATION

2. Since the end of the previous reporting period, there was no new application from independent entities (IEs) for the joint implementation (JI) accreditation process. On the other hand, one accredited independent entity (AIE) withdrew its accreditation in the present reporting period. Consequently, the number of applications under consideration by the JI-AP since the opening of the process of receiving submissions of applications on 6 October 2006 by the end of the present reporting period became 14 applications in total.

3. Of the 14 applications under consideration, the JI-AP had already selected members of joint implementation assessment teams (JI-ATs) and agreed on the workplans for all applications in former reporting periods. Of these, the JI-AP had previously decided to put on hold the start of the JI-AT's assessment work for one application until the applicant IE submits additional documents relating to its application for additional sectoral scopes as reported in the sixth progress report. This applicant IE had not submitted the additional documents by the end of the present reporting period. Consequently, the assessment work has started for 13 applications under consideration by respective JI-ATs.

4. Desk review and on-site assessment has been successfully completed for the 13 applications under consideration during former reporting periods. As a result, a letter indicating successful completion of desk review and on-site assessment (indicative letter) has been issued by the JI-AP to the 13 IEs under consideration in accordance with paragraph 68 of the "Procedure for accrediting independent entities by the Joint Implementation Supervisory Committee (version 06)" (hereinafter referred to as JI accreditation procedure). For the present reporting period, no desk review or on-site assessment has been conducted by the JI-AT for the remaining one applicant IEs due to the reason described in paragraph 3 above. The details of the applications and the status of processing them are presented in annex 1.

5. By the end of the present reporting period, 22 witnessing opportunities submitted from nine IEs under consideration and one AIE that withdrew its accreditation have been accepted by respective JI-AT leaders, in accordance with paragraph 75 of the JI accreditation procedure. Of these, six witnessing opportunities were withdrawn by the IEs under consideration and one AIE that withdrew its accreditation, all of them in former reporting periods, hence 16 witnessing activities were in the pipeline, underway or completed. Corresponding PDDs or monitoring reports have been published on the UNFCCC website subsequently.

6. Of these 16 witnessing activities, five have been completed in former reporting periods, which subsequently led to the accreditation by the JISC of four IEs, including one AIE that subsequently withdrew its accreditation as referred to in paragraph 2 above, by the end of the present reporting period. Three witnessing activities were initiated in the present reporting period and were underway at the end of the period. Therefore, there is no new recommendation from the JI-AP to the JISC on accreditation of an IE.s

III. RECOMMENDATION FROM THE JI-AP

7. During the present reporting period, the JI-AP did not prepare any recommendation on individual assessment cases, nor on policy matters relating to accreditation.

IV. STATUS OF ROSTER OF EXPERTS

8. During the present reporting period, no experts were added to or withdrawn from the roster. Consequently, the number of experts on the roster is 38 in total.

V. OTHER ISSUES UNDER CONSIDERATION

9. The JI-AP has provided inputs to an analysis prepared by the secretariat on how to streamline the JI accreditation process, including proposals on how to build on synergies and lessons learned from other accreditation processes.

10. The JI-AP requested the secretariat take the comments expressed during and after its meeting into consideration in the next revision of the paper, prior to its submission to the JISC.

VI. NEXT MEETING OF THE JI-AP

11. The next JI-AP meeting (JI-AP 25) will be held on 12-13 May 2011.

- - - - -

Annex 1: Status of applications for accreditation

UNFCCC Ref. No.	Entity name	Sectoral scopes applied	Accreditation steps								
			Completeness check	Preliminary consideration	Workplan	JI-AT establishment	Desk review	On-site assessment	Indicative letter	Witnessing activity	Accreditation ¹
JI-E-0001	Det Norske Veritas Certification AS (DNV)	1-15	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	2 opportunities accepted 1 activity completed	✓ (III)
JI-E-0002	Japan Quality Assurance Organization (JQA)	1-15	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	1 opportunity accepted (1 withdrawn)	
JI-E-0003	Deloitte Tohmatsu Evaluation and Certification Organization (Deloitte-TECO) ²	1-10, 12-13, 15	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓		
JI-E-0004	Lloyd's Register Quality Assurance Ltd. (LRQA)	1-13	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	1 opportunity accepted	
JI-E-0005	JACO CDM., LTD (JACO)	1-14	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	1 opportunity accepted	
JI-E-0006	Japan Consulting Institute (JCI)	1-5, 8-11, 13	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓		
JI-E-0007	Bureau Veritas Certification Holding SAS (BVC Holding SAS) ³	1-15	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	5 opportunities accepted (2 withdrawn) 1 activity completed	✓ (II)

UNFCCC Ref. No.	Entity name	Sectoral scopes applied	Accreditation steps								
			Completeness check	Preliminary consideration	Workplan	JI-AT establishment	Desk review	On-site assessment	Indicative letter	Witnessing activity	Accreditation ¹
JI-E-0008	TÜV SÜD Industrie Service GmbH (TÜV- SÜD)	1-15	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	4 opportunities accepted (2 withdrawn) 1 activity completed	✓ (III, VI)
JI-E-0009	Spanish Association for Standardisation and Certification (AENOR)	1-15	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	1 opportunity accepted 1 activity on-going	
JI-E-0010	SGS United Kingdom Ltd. (SGS)	1-15	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	4 opportunities accepted (1 withdrawn) 2 activities completed	✓ (II, III) Accreditation withdrawn upon request of the entity
JI-E-0011	TÜV NORD CERT GmbH (TÜV NORD)	1-15	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	1 opportunity accepted 1 activity on-going	
JI-E-0012	TÜV Rheinland Japan Ltd. (TÜV Rheinland) ⁴	1-15	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	2 opportunities accepted 1 activity on-going	
JI-E-0013	Swiss Association for Quality and Management Systems (SQS)	1-15	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓		
JI-E-0014	KPMG Advisory N.V. (KPMG) ⁶	1-4, 13	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓		
JI-E-0015	Germanischer Lloyd Certification GmbH (GLC)	1-3, 7, 10, 13	✓	✓	✓ ⁵	✓					

Legend:

✓ = stage completed

- 1) Accreditation is granted for all sectoral scopes applied for. Roman numbers in brackets indicate the sectoral groups for which witnessing activities have been successful to date. For more details on how accreditation is granted, confirmed or suspended with regard to sectoral groups, see section B.3 and annex 2 of the JI accreditation procedure (version 05).
- 2) Formerly named as “Tohmatsu Evaluation and Certification Organization Co., Ltd”.
- 3) Formerly named as “Bureau Veritas Certification Holding S.A.”.
- 4) Formerly applied from “TÜV Industrie Service GmbH, TÜV Rheinland Group”.
- 5) The JI-AP decided to re-visit the workplan once the applicant IE has submitted documents relating to its application for additional sectoral scopes.
- 6) Formerly named as “KPMG Sustainability B.V.”