



FRAMEWORK CONVENTION ON CLIMATE CHANGE - Secretariat  
CONVENTION - CADRE SUR LES CHANGEMENTS CLIMATIQUES -  
Secrétariat

Date: 25 March 2011  
Ref: JISC 24

JOINT IMPLEMENTATION SUPERVISORY COMMITTEE

TWENTY-FOURTH MEETING

Report Version 01.1\*

**Date of meeting:** 23–25 March 2011

**Location:** Bonn, Germany

**Attendance:** The names of members and alternate members of the Joint Implementation Supervisory Committee (JISC) present at the twenty-fourth meeting are in bold print below. Where only the name of an alternate member is in bold print, the alternate member participated as a member.

<b>Members</b>	<b>Alternates</b>
<b>Mr. Muhammed Quamrul Chowdhury</b>	<i>Mr. Momin Agha</i>
<b>Mr. Mykhailo Chyzenko</b>	<i>Ms. Milya Dimitrova</i>
<b>Mr. Carlos Fuller</b>	<i>Ms. Carola Borja</i>
<b>Ms. Agnieszka Galan</b>	<i>Mr. Oleg Pluzhnikov</i>
<b>Mr. Denis Lansana</b>	<i>Mr. Evans Njewa</i>
<b>Mr. Benoit Leguet</b>	<i>Mr. Anton Beck</i>
<b>Mr. Wolfgang Seidel</b>	<i>Mr. Olle Björk</i>
<b>Mr. Evgeny Sokolov</b>	<i>Mr. Hiroki Kudo<sup>1</sup></i>
<b>Ms. Irina Voitechovitch</b>	<i>Ms. Miriana Roman</i>
Mr. Andrew Yatilman	<i>Mr. Derrick Oderson</i>

**Quorum** (in parenthesis required numbers):

**10** (7) members/alternate members acting as members were present of which

**6** (4) were from Annex I Parties and

**4** (3) were from non-Annex I Parties.

**WWW broadcasting:** <[http://ji.unfccc.int/Sup\\_Committee/Meetings](http://ji.unfccc.int/Sup_Committee/Meetings)>.

\* This revised document (version 01.1) includes an editorial change that corrects the reference to Action 6 in paragraph 16.

**Agenda item 1: Membership issues (including disclosure of possible conflict of interest)**

1. The Joint Implementation Supervisory Committee (hereinafter referred to as the JISC) welcomed new members and alternate members and elected Mr. Muhammed Quamrul Chowdhury and Mr. Wolfgang Seidel, as Chair and Vice-Chair of the JISC, respectively, until the first meeting of the JISC in 2012.
2. The JISC expressed deep appreciation to the outgoing Chair, Mr. Benoît Leguet, and Vice-Chair, Mr. Muhammed Quamrul Chowdhury, for their excellent leadership during the fifth year of the JISC's operations.
3. The Chair of the JISC opened the meeting and asserted that the quorum was met.
4. The JISC took note of the resignation of Mr. Hussein Badarin, as an alternate member of the JISC and the appointment of Mr. Momin Agha for this vacant seat, in accordance with rule 8 of the Rules of procedure of the JISC.
5. The JISC noted that the secretariat was informed that Mr. Momin Agha, Mr. Hiroki Kudo, Mr. Oleg Pluzhnikov, Mr. Andrew Yatilman were unable to attend the meeting and had provided proper justification for their absence.
6. Members or alternate members of the JISC stated whether they had a conflict of interest relating to any item on the meeting agenda.

**Selection of the Chair and Vice-chair of the Joint Implementation Accreditation Panel**

7. The JISC appointed Mr. Benoît Leguet and Mr. Carlos Fuller to serve as the Chair and Vice-Chair of the Joint Implementation Accreditation Panel (JI-AP), respectively. The JISC expressed deep appreciation to Ms. Fatou Gaye and Ms. Agnieszka Gałan, as the outgoing Chair and Vice-Chair of the JI-AP, for their dedication and excellent support to the panel.

**Agenda item 2: Adoption of the agenda**

8. The JISC adopted the agenda of the meeting.

**Agenda item 3: Work plan****Agenda sub-item 3 (a): Accreditation of independent entities**

9. The JISC took note of the progress report on the work of the twenty-fourth meeting of the JI-AP, and the oral report by, at that time, Vice-Chair of the JI-AP, Ms. Agnieszka Gałan. The report summarized information relating to the work of the panel, including the status of applications for accreditation, the status of the roster of experts for joint implementation assessment teams (JI-ATs) and other outcomes of the JI-AP.

**General guidance**

10. The JISC considered the document related to measures to streamline and further improve the JI accreditation process and functioning prepared by the secretariat in consultation with the JI-AP. Of the options proposed in the document, the JISC agreed on Option 2 with additional elements of Option 3 that are easily implementable. The JISC requested the JI-AP, with support of the secretariat, to revise the relevant regulatory documents accordingly for consideration at its next meeting. The JISC also agreed to discuss areas for improvement in the accreditation process based on the experience so far. In this context, the JISC requested the secretariat to seek views of the Executive Board of the clean development mechanism (CDM) on possible coordination of the JI and CDM accreditation processes as proposed in



Option 3. The JISC also, requested the secretariat to explore the feasibility and the benefits of Option 4 at future meetings with a view to possibly making recommendation to the CMP in this regard.

11. The JISC selected Mr. Francesco Tubiello as a new member of the JI-AP for a two-year term, following the withdrawal of one of the JI-AP members selected at the twenty-third meeting of the JISC, Mr. Edwin Aalders. For the selection, the JISC re-considered same shortlist prepared by the secretariat based on the applications for the JI-AP received in response to the call for experts opened between 30 July 2010 and 30 August 2010.

### **Agenda sub-item 3 (b): Matters relating to determination and verification reports**

#### Case specific

12. The JISC took note of the report regarding the submission of documents under the verification procedure under the JISC (hereinafter referred to as JI Track 2 procedure). Since the launch of the JI Track 2 procedure, 246 project design documents (PDDs) and one programme of activity design document (PoA DD) had been submitted and made publicly available on the UNFCCC JI website, in accordance with paragraph 32 of the annex to decision 9/CMP.1 (JI guidelines). 30 determinations regarding a PDD had been published on the UNFCCC JI website in accordance with paragraph 34 of the JI guidelines, of which:

(a) Twenty-nine determinations had been deemed final in accordance with paragraph 35 of the JI guidelines;

(b) One determination had been rejected.

13. The JISC also took note that 35 verifications had been published on the UNFCCC JI website in accordance with paragraph 38 of the JI guidelines, 33 of which have been deemed final in accordance with paragraph 39 of the JI guidelines and two verifications are open for requests for review.

14. The JISC took note of the report on the status of information from Parties regarding their designated focal points (DFPs) and national guidelines and procedures for approving JI projects, in accordance with paragraph 20 (a) and (b) of the JI guidelines, required for the submission of determinations regarding a PDD. 35 Annex I Parties had informed the secretariat of their DFPS.<sup>1</sup> Twenty-nine of these Parties had also submitted their national guidelines and procedures for approving JI projects.<sup>2</sup>

#### General guidance

15. The JISC agreed to open a call for experts appraising determinations or participating in review teams under the verification procedure, starting on **1 April 2011** and ending on **15 May 2011**. The JISC requested the secretariat to provide a detailed assessment of the applicant experts, in order to select the experts and with a view to include them in the JI roster of experts after the twenty-fifth meeting of the JISC.

16. The JISC considered areas and means for improvements to the verification procedure under the JISC, based on proposed Actions 6–9 in the JISC work plan 2011–2013 referred to in paragraph 17 below and agreed to prioritize the actions in the following order: Action 6, Action 7, Action 8 and Action 9. With regard to Action 6, the JISC also agreed to revise the “Guidance on criteria for baseline

<sup>1</sup> Australia, Austria, Belarus, Belgium, Bulgaria, Canada, Croatia, Czech Republic, Denmark, Estonia, European Community, Finland, France, Germany, Hungary, Ireland, Italy, Japan, Latvia, Liechtenstein, Lithuania, Luxembourg, Netherlands, New Zealand, Norway, Poland, Portugal, Romania, Russian Federation, Slovenia, Spain, Sweden, Switzerland, Ukraine, United Kingdom.

<sup>2</sup> Australia, Austria, Belarus, Belgium, Bulgaria, Czech Republic, Denmark, Estonia, Finland, France, Germany, Hungary, Ireland, Italy, Japan, Latvia, Liechtenstein, Lithuania, Netherlands, New Zealand, Poland, Portugal, Romania, Russian Federation, Spain, Sweden, Switzerland, Ukraine, United Kingdom.



setting and monitoring” by incorporating the three items in Action 6 (e) and requested the secretariat to prepare draft revised guidance for consideration by the JISC at its next meeting.

#### **Agenda item 4: Management plan and resources for the work on joint implementation**

17. The JISC agreed on its work plan for 2011-2013, as contained in annex 1 to this report, after prioritizing actions taking into account the agreement made on the improvements to the verification procedure under the JISC as referred to in paragraph 16 above and of the resource situation presented in the joint implementation management plan (JI-MAP), as referred to in paragraph 18 below.

18. The JISC agreed on the JI-MAP for the year 2011, as contained in [annex 2](#) to this report, taking into account the latest financial situation as well as the financial projection up to 2012.

#### **Agenda item 5: Other matters**

##### **Agenda sub-item 5 (a): Guidance by CMP**

19. The JISC welcomed the decision 4/CMP.6 “Guidance on the implementation of Article 6 of the Kyoto Protocol” and the requests by the CMP to the JISC, which were taken into account and reflected in the JISC work plan for 2011-2013 referred to in paragraph 17 above.

20. The JISC considered the request by the CMP regarding making recommendations on options for building on the approaches embodied in JI as a part of the CMP's first review of the JI guidelines, and agreed on the steps to prepare the recommendations as follows:

(a) The secretariat, in consultation with a group of JISC members and alternate members, composed of Mr. Beck, Ms. Borja, Ms. Gañan, Mr. Leguet, Mr. Njewa, Mr. Sokolov and Ms. Voitekhovitch, will prepare a first draft of the recommendations;

(b) The JISC to conduct stakeholder consultations (through the JI roundtable discussion and call for public inputs);

(c) The JISC considers the first draft at its twenty-fifth meeting;

(d) The JISC finalizes the recommendations at its twenty-sixth or twenty-seventh meeting and submit them to the CMP.

21. The JISC revised the "Provisions for charging of fees to cover administrative costs relating to the activities of the Joint Implementation Supervisory Committee and its supporting structures", as contained in [annex 3](#) to this report, which introduces provisions on fees for activities under the Track 1 procedure following the request by the CMP at its sixth session. The JISC confirmed to make the revised provisions effective from 1 March 2011 in accordance with the decision 4/CMP.6. The JISC requested the secretariat to communicate the changes to DFPs and update the Track 1 web based interface and JI information system accordingly.

##### **Agenda sub-item 5 (b): Collaboration of the Joint Implementation Supervisory Committee with others**

22. The JISC considered the options on how to enhance its interaction with DFPs, project participants and independent entities, and agreed to establish a DFP Forum. The JISC requested the secretariat to develop a work programme with structure and ways to implement the forum to be considered by the JISC at its next meeting. The JISC further requested the secretariat to consult with available DFPs during the sessions of the Subsidiary Bodies in Bonn in June.

**Agenda sub-item 5 (c): Applicability of joint implementation rules and guidelines to published project design documents under the verification procedure**

23. The JISC considered options for improvements of the assessment process associated with determinations in accordance with paragraph 33 of the JI guidelines and requested the secretariat to prepare a summary of the information in the decision support materials pertaining to determinations, i.e. inputs by experts and JISC appraisals, and make it available to the JISC. The JISC agreed to consider the possibility to remove the requirement to have two JISC members/alternate members providing an appraisal and requested the secretariat to revise relevant procedures with a view to consider them at its next meeting.

**Agenda sub-item 5 (d): Relations with stakeholders, intergovernmental and non-governmental organizations**

24. The JISC invited the Chair of the DOE/AIE Coordination Forum, Mr. Martin Enderlin, to the meeting for interaction between the JISC and the forum on JI issues.

25. The JISC took note of the input of the DOE/AIE Coordination Forum on the following:

- (a) Draft JISC work plan for 2011–2013 and draft JI-MAP for 2011; and
- (b) Short term measures to streamline the accreditation process.

26. The Chair of the JISC thanked Mr. Enderlin for his attendance and expressed the usefulness of the inputs. On behalf of the JISC, the Chair also expressed deep appreciation to Mr. Flávio Gomes as the outgoing Chair of the DOE/AIE Coordination Forum for his efforts in expanding the interaction between the JISC and the forum.

27. The JISC invited the representative of the Joint Implementation Action Group (JIAG), Mr. Lennard de Klerk, to the meeting for interaction between the JISC and the group on JI issues.

28. The representative of the JIAG elaborated the inputs on the outcomes of the CMP 6, on streamlining the accreditation process, on the work plan for the JISC, and on the fee options for projects under Track 1. The JISC took note of the inputs from the JIAG and agreed to consider the inputs, as appropriate.

29. The Chair of the JISC thanked Mr. Lennard de Klerk for his attendance and expressed the usefulness of the inputs and interaction.

30. The JISC invited registered observers for a webcasted question-and-answer session. Observers expressed their appreciation regarding the priority set by the JISC in its work plan for 2011–2013.

**Agenda sub-item 5 (e): Other business**Calendar of meetings for 2011

31. The JISC agreed to the tentative calendar of meetings of the JISC and the JI-AP for 2011, as contained in [annex 4](#) to this report. The JISC took note that this calendar may be revised once clear dates for the UNFCCC sessions (AWGs) will be set for the second half of 2011.

Provisional agenda

32. The JISC agreed to the provisional agenda of its twenty-fifth meeting to be held on 21–22 June 2011, as contained in [annex 5](#) to this report. This meeting will be preceded by the JI roundtable.



**Agenda item 6: Conclusion of the meeting**

33. The Chair summarized the main conclusions and closed the twenty-fourth meeting of the JISC.



### **Annexes to the report**

#### Management plan and resources for the work on joint implementation

Annex 1: Work plan for the Joint Implementation Supervisory Committee for 2011–2013 (Version 01)

Annex 2: Joint implementation management plan for 2011 (Version 02)

#### Other matters

Annex 3: Provisions for charging of fees to cover administrative costs relating to the activities of the Joint Implementation Supervisory Committee and its supporting structures (Version 04)

Annex 4: Tentative calendar of meetings of the Joint Implementation Supervisory Committee and the Joint Implementation Accreditation Panel in 2011 (Version 02)

Annex 5: Provisional agenda of the twenty-fifth meeting of the Joint Implementation Supervisory Committee

-----