



Twenty-fifth meeting Proposed agenda - Annotations

JOINT IMPLEMENTATION SUPERVISORY COMMITTEE

PROPOSED AGENDA AND ANNOTATIONS

Twenty-fifth meeting

UNFCCC Bonn, Germany 21–22 June 2011

CONTENTS

I. PROPOSED AGENDA

II. ANNOTATIONS TO THE PROPOSED AGENDA

- Annex 1 Draft procedures on public availability of documents under the verification procedure under the Joint Implementation Committee
- Annex 2 Draft procedures for reviews under the verification procedure under the Joint Implementation Supervisory Committee
- Annex 3 Draft procedures for appraisals of determinations under the verification procedure under the Joint Implementation Supervisory Committee
- Annex 4 Draft guidance on criteria for baseline setting and monitoring
- Annex 5 Information note on the revision to the "Guidance on criteria for baseline setting and monitoring"
- Annex 6 Draft recommendations on options for building on the approach embodied in joint implementation
- Annex 7 Draft terms of reference of the Designated Focal Point Forum
- Annex 8 Draft Joint Implementation Supervisory Committee communication and outreach work plan





Twenty-fifth meeting Proposed agenda - Annotations

I. PROPOSED AGENDA

- 1. Adoption of the agenda
- 2. Membership issues (including disclosure of possible conflict of interest)
- 3. Work plan:
 - (a) Accreditation of operational entities
 - (b) Matters relating to determination and verification reports
 - (c) Management plan and resources for the work on joint implementation
- 4. Other matters
- 5. Conclusion of the meeting



Twenty-fifth meeting Proposed agenda - Annotations

II. ANNOTATIONS TO THE PROPOSED AGENDA

1. Adoption of the agenda

1. Action: The Chair of the Joint Implementation Supervisory Committee (JISC) shall ascertain whether: (a) the members present constitute a quorum; and (b) the absence of any member or alternate member is "without proper justification".

Background: According to paragraph 14 of the annex to decision 9/CMP.1 on guidelines for the implementation of Article 6 of the Kyoto Protocol (hereinafter referred to as JI guidelines), at least two thirds of the members of the JISC, representing a majority of members from Parties included in Annex I and a majority of members from Parties not included in Annex I, must be present to constitute a quorum.

2. Action: The JISC may wish to <u>adopt</u> the proposed agenda of the twenty-fifth meeting.

Background: At its last meeting, the JISC agreed on items to be included in the provisional agenda of its twenty-fifth meeting. The proposed agenda was transmitted to the JISC on 31 May 2011 and thereafter posted on the UNFCCC JI website.

2. <u>Membership issues (including disclosure of possible conflict of interest)</u>

3. \blacktriangleright *Action*: The Chair shall request the members and alternate members of the JISC to <u>disclose</u> whether they consider to have any conflict of interest relating to the work of the JISC.

Background: According to paragraph 10 (b) of the JI guidelines, quoted in paragraph 2 of rule 9 of the Rules of procedures of the JISC, members, including alternate members, of the JISC shall have no pecuniary or financial interest in any aspect of a joint implementation (JI) project.

According to paragraph 11 of the JI guidelines, quoted in paragraph 1 of rule 7 of the Rules of procedure of the JISC, the JISC may suspend and recommend to the Conference of the Parties serving as the meeting of the Parties to the Kyoto Protocol (CMP) the termination of the membership of a particular member, including an alternate member, for cause including, inter alia, breach of the conflict of interest provisions, breach of the confidentiality provisions or failure to attend two consecutive meetings of the JISC without proper justification.

3. Work plan

(a) <u>Accreditation of independent entities</u>

4. \blacktriangleright *Action*: The JISC may wish to <u>take note</u> of the report of twenty-fifth meeting of the JI Accreditation Panel (JI-AP) and an oral report by its Vice-Chair, Mr. Carlos Fueller on the work of the panel.

Background: The JI-AP held its twenty-fifth meeting on 26–27 May 2011 in Bonn, Germany.

General guidance

5. ► *Action*: The JISC may wish to <u>consider</u> update on the inputs received by DOE/AIE Forum on the JI accreditation standard.

Background: The JISC, at its twenty-second meeting, requested the DOE/AIE Coordination Forum to provide inputs on the functions listed in paragraph 39 of the JI accreditation standard (version 01) on various issues. The JISC also requested the JI-AP to analyze the inputs provided by the forum and report back to the JISC at a future meeting.



Twenty-fifth meeting Proposed agenda - Annotations

6. • Action: The JISC may wish to <u>consider</u> an update on the revision of JI accreditation process.

Background: The JISC, at its twenty-fourth meeting, requested the JI-AP, with support of the secretariat, to revise the relevant regulatory documents accordingly for consideration at its next meeting. The JISC also agreed to discuss areas for improvement in the accreditation process based on the experience so far. In this context, the JISC requested the secretariat to seek views of the Executive Board of the clean development mechanism (CDM) on possible coordination of the JI and CDM accreditation processes.

(b) <u>Matters relating to determination and verification reports</u>

Case specific

7. \blacktriangleright *Action*: The JISC may wish to <u>consider and discuss</u> the status of (a) projects submitted under the JI Track 2 procedure as well as (b) information provided by Parties in accordance with paragraph 20 of the JI guidelines, and (c) information about the external experts.

Background: At its fifth meeting, the JISC officially launched the verification procedure under the JISC (hereinafter referred to as JI Track 2 procedure) on 26 October 2006. Since the launch of the procedure, the information regarding Parties' designated focal points (DFPs) and national guidelines and procedures for approving JI projects, in accordance with paragraph 20 (a) and (b) of the JI guidelines, required for the submission of determination reports regarding project design documents (PDDs), has not been provided to the secretariat by some Parties.

General guidance

8. Action: The JISC may wish to <u>consider</u> the revised drafts of the "Procedures on public availability of documents under the verification porcedure under the Joint Implementation Committee" and the "Procedures for reivews under the verification porcedure under the Joint Implementation Supervisory Committee", as contained in annexes 1 and 2 to these annotations.

Background: The JISC, through its JI-MAP 2011, decided to consider setting time limits for each phase of the JI project cycle. The JISC also considered to use electronic decision-making in review of determinations.

9. \blacktriangleright *Action*: The JISC may wish to <u>consider</u> an update on the implementation of an online interface with AIEs.

Background: The JISC, at its twenty-second meeting, requested the secretariat to implement an online interface with the AIEs to gather information regarding the reasons for gaps between submission of the project design document (PDD) and determination using the same fashion as the one of the CDM Executive Board.

10. \blacktriangleright *Action*: The JISC may wish to <u>select</u> applicant experts appraising determinations or participating in review teams under the verification procedure for the JI roster of experts.

Background: The JISC, after its twenty-fourth meeting, opened a call for experts appraising determinations or participating in review teams under the verification procedure and requested the secretariat to provide a detailed assessment of the applicant experts, in order to select the experts and with a view to include them in the JI roster of experts after the twenty-fifth meeting of the JISC.

11. \blacktriangleright *Action*: The JISC may wish to <u>consider</u> the draft revised "Procedures for appraisals of determinations under the verification procedure under the Joint Implementation Supervisory Committee", as contained in annex 3 to these annotations.



Twenty-fifth meeting Proposed agenda - Annotations

Background: The JISC, at its twenty-fourth meeting, agreed to consider the possibility to remove the requirement to have two JISC members/alternate members providing an appraisal and requested the secretariat to revise relevant procedure.

12. \blacktriangleright *Action*: The JISC may wish to <u>consider</u> draft revised "Guidance on criteria for baseline setting and monitoring" as well as an information note regarding the proposed revision, as contained in annex 4 and 5, respectively, to these annotations.

Background: The JISC, at its twenty-fourth meeting, considered areas and means for improvements to the verification procedure under the JISC and requested the secretariat to prepare draft revised guidance.

(c) <u>Management plan and resources for the work on joint implementation</u>

► Action: The JISC may wish to <u>consider</u> any matters associated with the JI-MAP, as necessary, and provide further guidance on its possible revision. In this context, the JISC as well may wish to take note of the status of resources and contributions.

Background: According to paragraph 4 of decision 3/CMP.2, the JISC shall keep the JI-MAP under review and make adjustments as necessary to continue ensuring the efficient, cost-effective and transparent functioning of the JISC and related activities on JI.

4. Other matters

(a) <u>Guidance by CMP</u>

13. \blacktriangleright *Action*: The JISC may wish to <u>consider</u> draft recommendations on options for building on the approach embodied in JI, as contained in annex 6 to these annotations.

Background: The JISC, at its twenty-fourth meeting, considered the request by the CMP regarding making recommendations on options for building on the approaches embodied in JI as a part of the CMP's first review of the JI guidelines, and agreed on the steps to prepare the recommendations.

(b) <u>Collaboration of the Joint Implementation Supervisory Committee with others</u>

14. ► *Action*: The JISC may wish to <u>consider</u> the draft terms of reference of the designated focal point Forum (DFP Forum), as contained in annex 7 to these annotations.

Background: The JISC, at its twenty-fourth meeting, established a DFP Forum and requested the secretariat to develop a work programme with structure and ways to implement the forum.

(c) <u>Relations with stakeholders, intergovernmental and non-governmental organizations</u>

15. ► *Action*: The JISC may wish to <u>consider</u> the draft JISC communication and outreach work plan, as contained in annex 8 to these annotations.

Background: The JISC, at its twenty-fourth meeting, agreed, on its JI management plan (JI-MAP) for 2011 to continue to enhance its outreach activity with a communication strategy.

16. ► *Action*: The JISC may wish to <u>consider</u> written inputs from the DOE/AIE Coordination Forum, if any, invite the chair of the forum to the meeting and interact with the Chair, as appropriate.

Background: The JISC, at its tenth meeting, decided to recognize the DOE/AIE Coordination Forum as a communication channel between the JISC and AIEs and applicant IEs. The JISC also invited written inputs from the forum for discussion under an agenda item dedicated to interactions between the JISC and the forum.



INFCC

17. ► *Action*: The JISC may wish to <u>consider</u> any inputs received from the Joint Implementation Action Group (JIAG) and the Project Developer Forum (PD Forum), and interact with their representatives, as appropriate.

Background: The JISC, at its nineteenth meeting, decided to recognize the JIAG and the PD Forum as communication channels between the JISC and project participants. The JISC also invited both the JIAG and the PD Forum to send a representative each as an observer to every meeting of the JISC, in order to interact with the JISC.

18. \blacktriangleright *Action*: The JISC may wish to (a) agree to <u>avail itself for informal briefings</u> with registered observers in the afternoon of the last day of the meeting; and (b) invite members and alternates to <u>share information</u> on events in which they participated.

Background: The CMP, through paragraph 5 (d) of decision 10/CMP.1, encouraged the JISC to collaborate with observers to its meetings through regular question-and-answer sessions held in this context. Since its establishment, the JISC met with observers for a webcasted question-and-answer session at each meeting.

(d) <u>Other business</u>

19. \blacktriangleright *Action*: The JISC may wish to <u>consider</u> its tentative calendar of meetings for 2011 and agree on any changes to tit, as appropriate.

Background: The JISC, at its twenty-fourth meeting, agreed, on its tentative calendar of meetings for 2011 with a view to revise it once dates are set for the UNFCCC sessions (AWGs) during the second half of 2011.

5. <u>Conclusion of the meeting</u>

20. Action: The JISC may wish to <u>agree</u> to the report of the twenty-fifth meeting.

Background: The Chair will summarize the meeting and adopt the report, including references to any decisions taken.

- - - - -