



FRAMEWORK CONVENTION ON CLIMATE CHANGE - Secretariat  
CONVENTION - CADRE SUR LES CHANGEMENTS CLIMATIQUES -  
Secrétariat

Date: 14 September 2011  
Ref: JISC 26

JOINT IMPLEMENTATION SUPERVISORY COMMITTEE

TWENTY-SIXTH MEETING

Report

**Date of meeting:** 13–14 September 2011

**Location:** Bonn, Germany

**Attendance:** The names of members and alternate members of the Joint Implementation Supervisory Committee (JISC) present at the meeting are in bold print below. Where only the name of an alternate member is in bold print, the alternate member participated as a member.

<b>Members</b>	<b>Alternates</b>
<b>Mr. Muhammed Quamrul Chowdhury</b>	<i>Mr. Momin Agha</i>
<b>Mr. Mykhailo Chyzhenko</b>	<i>Ms. Milya Dimitrova</i>
<b>Mr. Carlos Fuller</b>	<i>Ms. Carola Borja</i>
<b>Ms. Agnieszka Galan</b>	<i>Mr. Oleg Pluzhnikov</i>
Mr. Denis Lansana	<i>Mr. Evans Njewa</i>
<b>Mr. Benoit Leguet</b>	<i>Mr. Anton Beck</i>
<b>Mr. Wolfgang Seidel</b>	<i>Mr. Olle Björk</i>
<b>Mr. Evgeny Sokolov</b>	<i>Mr. Hiroki Kudo</i>
<b>Ms. Irina Voitekhovitch</b>	<i>Ms. Miriana Roman</i>
Mr. Andrew Yatilman	<i>Mr. Derrick Oderson</i>

**Quorum** (in parenthesis required numbers):

**10** (7) members/alternate members acting as members were present of which

**6** (4) were from Annex I Parties and

**4** (3) were from non-Annex I Parties.

**WWW broadcasting:** <[http://ji.unfccc.int/Sup\\_Committee/Meetings](http://ji.unfccc.int/Sup_Committee/Meetings)>.

**Agenda item 1: Adoption of the agenda**

1. Mr. Muhammed Quamrul Chowdhury, the Chair of the Joint Implementation Supervisory Committee (hereinafter referred to as the JISC), opened the meeting and asserted that the quorum requirement was met.
2. The JISC adopted the agenda of the meeting.

**Agenda item 2: Membership issues (including disclosure of possible conflict of interest)**

3. The JISC noted that the secretariat was informed that Mr. Momin Agha, Mr. Anton Beck, Mr. Denis Lansana, Mr. Oleg Pluzhnikov, and Mr. Andrew Yatilman were unable to attend the meeting and had provided proper justification for their absence.
4. Members or alternate members of the JISC stated whether they had a conflict of interest relating to any item on the meeting agenda.

**Agenda item 3: Work plan****Agenda sub-item 3 (a): Accreditation of independent entities**

5. The JISC took note of the progress report on the work of the twenty-sixth meeting of the Joint Implementation Accreditation Panel (JI-AP), and the oral report by its Chair, Mr. Benoît Leguet. The report summarized information relating to the work of the panel, including the status of applications for accreditation, the status of the roster of experts for JI assessment teams (JI-ATs), the work on streamlining of the accreditation process and other issues under consideration by the JI-AP.

Case specific

6. The JISC considered the recommendation of the JI-AP on the accreditation of an independent entity (IE) based on one witnessing activity, and agreed to accredit JI-E-0012 “TÜV Rheinland Japan Ltd.” (TÜV Rheinland), for the determination and verification functions in sectoral scopes 1–15.
7. The JISC took note of the notifications of withdrawal from accreditation of the following IEs:
  - (a) JI-E-0003 “Deloitte Tohmatsu Evaluation and Certification Organization” (Deloitte-TECO);
  - (b) JI-E-0006 “Japan Consulting Institute” (JCI).

General guidance

8. The JISC adopted the revised “Procedure for accrediting independent entities by the Joint Implementation Supervisory Committee” (JI accreditation procedure), as contained in [annex 1](#) to this report. The revision further streamlines the JI accreditation process and aligns its main steps with those of the CDM accreditation process, replacing initial and ex post witnessing activities with performance assessments to be conducted after accreditation is granted. The revision also introduces the complaints procedure to be used by stakeholders and IEs.
9. The JISC also agreed that the last version (Version 6) of the JI accreditation procedure shall continue to apply for the focused assessments for the IEs accredited as of 1 August 2011, in accordance with the transitional measures, for accrediting IEs that have been issued an indicative letter, which was agreed by the JISC at its twenty-fifth meeting.
10. The JISC requested the JI-AP to consider the issue of assessment costs incurred to IEs in the future review of the JI accreditation procedure, taking into consideration the concerns raised by the Chair



of the DOE/AIE Coordination Forum during its interaction with the JISC at this meeting, as referred to in paragraph 25 below.

#### Further schedule

11. The JISC noted that the twenty-seventh meeting of the JI-AP will be held on 18–19 October 2011 in Bonn, Germany.

### **Agenda sub-item 3 (b): Matters relating to determination and verification reports**

#### Case-specific

12. The JISC took note of the report regarding the submission of documents under the verification procedure under the JISC (hereinafter referred to as JI Track 2 procedure). Since the launch of the JI Track 2 procedure, 259 project design documents (PDDs) and one programme of activity design document (PoA-DD) have been submitted and made publicly available on the UNFCCC JI website, in accordance with paragraph 32 of the annex to decision 9/CMP.1 (JI guidelines). A total of 34 determinations regarding a PDD have been published on the UNFCCC JI website in accordance with paragraph 34 of the JI guidelines, of which:

- (a) 32 determinations have been deemed final in accordance with paragraph 35 of the JI guidelines;
- (b) One determination is open for requests for review by the JISC;
- (c) One determination has been rejected.

13. The JISC also took note that 51 verifications have been published on the UNFCCC JI website in accordance with paragraph 38 of the JI guidelines. All of them have been deemed final in accordance with paragraph 39 of the JI guidelines.

14. The JISC took note of the report on the status of information from Parties regarding their designated focal points (DFPs) and national guidelines and procedures for approving JI projects, in accordance with paragraph 20 (a) and (b) of the JI guidelines. A total of 35 Annex I Parties had informed the secretariat of their DFPs.<sup>1</sup> Of these Parties, 30 had also submitted their national guidelines and procedures for approving JI projects.<sup>2</sup>

15. The JISC further noted that 284 JI Track 1 projects have been published on the UNFCCC JI website, of which, 280 have received unique project identifiers and have been submitted to the International Transaction Log (ITL).

#### General guidance

16. The JISC considered options to revise the “Terms of reference for experts appraising determinations or participating in review teams under the verification procedure under the JISC” and agreed that the terms should remain unchanged for the time being.

17. The JISC agreed on the revision of the “Guidance on criteria for baseline setting and monitoring”, as contained in [annex 2](#) to this report. The JISC also requested the secretariat to prepare

<sup>1</sup> Australia, Austria, Belarus, Belgium, Bulgaria, Canada, Croatia, Czech Republic, Denmark, Estonia, European Community, Finland, France, Germany, Hungary, Ireland, Italy, Japan, Latvia, Liechtenstein, Lithuania, Luxembourg, Netherlands, New Zealand, Norway, Poland, Portugal, Romania, Russian Federation, Slovenia, Spain, Sweden, Switzerland, Ukraine, United Kingdom.

<sup>2</sup> Australia, Austria, Belarus, Belgium, Bulgaria, Czech Republic, Denmark, Estonia, Finland, France, Germany, Hungary, Ireland, Italy, Japan, Latvia, Liechtenstein, Lithuania, Luxembourg, Netherlands, New Zealand, Poland, Portugal, Romania, Russian Federation, Spain, Sweden, Switzerland, Ukraine, United Kingdom.



draft guidelines on how the issue of prior consideration of the incentives from the JI should be addressed, for consideration by the JISC at a future meeting.

### **Agenda sub-item 3 (c): Management plan and resources for the work on joint implementation**

18. The JISC took note of the status of resources and contributions from 1 January 2011 until 31 August 2011, in particular the operating income of USD 1,015,188 as at 31 August 2011 and the projected surplus of USD 515,000. It also noted income of USD 453,841 from Track 1 projects and USD 824,438 from Track 2 projects, during the same period. The status of resources and contributions is contained in [annex 3](#) to this report.

19. The JISC took note of the trend of the submissions of JI Track 1 and Track 2 projects against the JI-MAP projections and an update on the forecast for 2011-2012, implications for 2013, and the financial impact of the project submissions trend.

### **Agenda item 4: Other matters**

#### **Agenda sub-item 4 (a): Guidance by CMP**

20. The JISC agreed on recommendations on options for building on the approach embodied in JI as a part of the CMP's first review of the JI guidelines, as contained in [annex 4](#) to this report.

21. Considering the request from the last meeting, the JISC took note of a comparative analysis of national guidelines and procedures for approving JI projects under JI Track 1 and Track 2, including the key differences between the tracks, and decided to include the outcomes of this analysis in the JISC annual report to the CMP.

22. The JISC agreed on its annual report to the CMP for consideration at its seventh session, which covers the period from 24 October 2010 to 14 September 2011, and requested the secretariat to finalize the report in consultation with the Chair of the JISC to incorporate the outcome of the meeting. Once the report is processed through the United Nations official editors, it will be posted on the UNFCCC and UNFCCC JI websites accordingly.

23. Following the request from the CMP at its sixth session, the JISC considered options to revise the level and structure of its fees, and agreed to recommend to the CMP not to change the current fee levels and structure while noting the possibility of revisiting the need for fee adjustments at its next session. In this context, the JISC has also invited the CMP to maintain the mandate given to the JISC at the last meeting regarding the possibility of recommending the reviewing and revising of the level and structure of the fees in the future.

#### **Agenda sub-item 4 (b): Relations with stakeholders, intergovernmental and non-governmental organizations**

24. The JISC took note of a summary of the outcome of the JI Technical Workshop held on 12 September 2011 in Bonn, Germany. The aim of the workshop was to consult on the draft documents that were to be discussed by the JISC at this meeting. Several proposals for improvement of the documents were received. The JISC welcomed the fruitful inputs provided by the stakeholders. The JISC also noted that few DFPs attended the meeting and expressed its encouragement to DFPs to participate in JI events in the future.

25. The JISC invited the Chair of the DOE/AIE Coordination Forum, Mr. Martin Enderlin, to the meeting for interaction between the JISC and the forum on JI issues.

26. The JISC took note of the input of the DOE/AIE Coordination Forum on the following:

- (a) Prior consideration in JI and the request for clarification by Bureau Veritas Certification;



- (b) Guidance on criteria for baseline setting and monitoring;
- (c) Recommendation on options for building on the approach embodied in JI;
- (d) JISC annual report to the CMP;
- (e) Recommendation on amendments to the structure to cover administrative costs relating to activities of JISC;
- (f) JI-AP reports.

27. The Chair of the forum elaborated the inputs in particular regarding the draft documents and recommendations to the CMP and particularly the JI-AP report to the JISC including some suggestions for making the accreditation process less costly for AIEs.

28. The JISC members, after the presentation by the Co-chair of the DOE/AIE Coordination Forum, asked for clarifications and requested the co-chair to propose solutions to some of the issues and concerns he raised.

29. The Chair of the JISC thanked Mr. Enderlin for his attendance and noted the usefulness of the inputs and the importance that the JISC gives to such interaction with the DOE/AIE Coordination Forum.

30. The JISC invited the representative of the Joint Implementation Action Group (JIAG), Mr. Lennard de Klerk, to the meeting for interaction between the JISC and the group on JI issues.

31. The representative of the JIAG elaborated on the latest developments in the JI market, such as the suspension of Romania's eligibility to participate in the mechanisms, the possible similar suspension of Ukraine, and the new developments in Russia. The JIAG also welcomed the availability of more AIEs in the market due to the streamlining of the JI accreditation process. Mr. de Klerk also gave input to items in the agenda for this meeting, in particular regarding draft documents on criteria for baseline setting and monitoring with some recommendations. He also expressed the JIAG's support of the draft recommendation on options for building on the approach embodied in JI and the draft annual report of the JISC to CMP 7 and requested JISC to keep the existing fee structure. Mr. de Klerk also expressed concerns on communication with the JISC and requested improvements.

32. The Chair of the JISC thanked Mr. Lennard de Klerk for his attendance and noted the usefulness of the inputs and interaction.

33. The JISC invited registered observers for a webcast question-and-answer session. Observers noted that the input from the JI technical workshop was well taken into account during the JISC meeting. They have also expressed their concerns about the continuing lack of clarity on the future of JI post 2012, which is having a negative impact on the market. JISC members interacted with observers, in particular by asking about the outreach activities that stakeholders are undertaking to promote JI.

34. The Chair of the JISC thanked the observers for their attendance and expressed appreciation for the inputs received and interaction.

#### **Agenda item 5: Other business**

##### Request for clarification

35. The JISC took note of the request for clarification submitted by Bureau Veritas Certification and answered it in accordance with the "Procedures for requests for clarification under the verification procedure under the Joint Implementation Supervisory Committee". The clarification will be made publicly available on the UNFCCC JI website under <http://ji.unfccc.int/Ref/Guida/reqClarifications.html>.



36. The JISC also requested the secretariat to include a presentation during the next JISC meeting about changing tracks (from Track 1 to Track 2) in order to provide more clarity to all stakeholders that may be interested in such a change.

#### Provisional agenda

37. The JISC agreed to the provisional agenda of its twenty-seventh meeting to be held on 24–25 November in Durban, South Africa, as contained in [annex 5](#) to this report.

#### **Agenda item 6: Conclusion of the meeting**

38. The Chair summarized the main conclusions and closed the twenty-sixth meeting of the JISC.



## Annexes to the report

### Accreditation of independent entities

Annex 1 - Procedure for accrediting independent entities by the Joint Implementation Supervisory Committee (Version 07)

### Matters relating to determination and verification reports

Annex 2 - Guidance on criteria for baseline setting and monitoring (Version 03)

### Management plan and resources for the work on joint implementation

Annex 3 - Status of resources and contributions

### Other matters

Annex 4 - Recommendations on options for building on the approaches embodied in JI as a part of the CMP's first review of the JI guidelines

Annex 5 - Provisional agenda of twenty-seventh meeting of the Joint Implementation Supervisory Committee

-----