



FRAMEWORK CONVENTION ON CLIMATE CHANGE - Secretariat  
CONVENTION - CADRE SUR LES CHANGEMENTS CLIMATIQUES - Secrétariat

Date: 23 March 2012  
Ref: JISC 28

JOINT IMPLEMENTATION SUPERVISORY COMMITTEE

TWENTY-EIGHTH MEETING

Report

**Date of meeting:** 22–23 March 2012

**Location:** Bonn, Germany

**Attendance:** The names of members and alternate members of the Joint Implementation Supervisory Committee (JISC) present at the meeting are in bold print below. Where only the name of an alternate member is in bold print, the alternate member participated as a member.

<b>Members</b>	<i>Alternate Members</i>
<b>Mr. Muhammed Quamrul Chowdhury</b> <sup>1</sup>	<i>Mr. Momin Agha</i> <sup>1</sup>
<b>Mr. Mykhailo Chyzhenko</b>	<i>Ms. Milya Dimitrova</i>
<b>Ms. Carola Borja</b>	<b>Mr. Carlos Fuller</b>
<b>Mr. Piotr Dombrowicki</b>	<b>Mr. Oleg Pluzhnikov</b>
<b>Mr. Denis Lansana</b>	<b>Mr. Evans Njewa</b>
<b>Mr. Benoît Leguet</b>	<b>Mr. Anton Beck</b>
<b>Mr. Wolfgang Seidel</b>	<b>Ms. Gertraud Wollansky</b>
<b>Mr. Evgeny Sokolov</b>	<b>Mr. Hiroki Kudo</b>
<b>Ms. Irina Voitekhovitch</b>	<b>Ms. Miriana Roman</b>
<b>Mr. Derrick Oderson</b>	<b>Mr. Andrew Yatilman</b>

<sup>1</sup> Nominations by the CMP at its seventh session were not received; therefore the current member and alternate member, Mr. Muhammed Quamrul Chowdhury and Mr. Momin Agha, will remain in office until the successors are nominated by the respective constituency.

**Quorum** (in parenthesis required numbers):

**10** (7) members/alternate members acting as members were present of which

**6** (4) were from Annex I Parties and

**4** (3) were from non-Annex I Parties.

**WWW broadcasting:** <[http://ji.unfccc.int/Sup\\_Committee/Meetings](http://ji.unfccc.int/Sup_Committee/Meetings)>.

**Agenda item 1: Membership issues (including disclosure of possible conflict of interest)**

1. The Secretary of the Joint Implementation Supervisory Committee (hereinafter referred to as the JISC) welcomed new members and alternate members and asserted that the quorum was met.
2. The JISC noted that the secretariat was informed that Mr. Momin Agha, Ms. Milya Dimitrova and Mr. Andrew Yatilman were unable to attend the meeting.
3. The JISC elected Mr. Wolfgang Seidel and Ms. Carola Borja as Chair and Vice-Chair of the JISC, respectively, until the first meeting of the JISC in 2013.
4. The JISC expressed deep appreciation to the outgoing Chair, Mr. Muhammed Quamrul Chowdhury, and Vice-Chair, Mr. Wolfgang Seidel, for their excellent leadership during the sixth year of the JISC's operations.
5. Members or alternate members of the JISC stated whether they had a conflict of interest relating to any item on the meeting agenda.

***Selection of the Chair and Vice-Chair of the Joint Implementation Accreditation Panel***

6. The JISC appointed Mr. Derrick Oderson and Mr. Benoît Leguet to serve as the Chair and Vice-Chair, respectively, of the Joint Implementation Accreditation Panel (JI-AP). The JISC expressed deep appreciation to Mr. Benoît Leguet and Mr. Carlos Fuller, as the outgoing Chair and Vice-Chair of the JI-AP, for their dedication and excellent support to the panel.

**Agenda item 2: Adoption of the agenda**

7. The JISC adopted the agenda of the meeting.

**Agenda item 3: Workplan****Agenda sub-item 3 (a): Accreditation of independent entities**

8. The JISC took note of the progress report on the work of the twenty-seventh meeting of the JI-AP, and an oral report by the outgoing Chair of the JI-AP, Mr. Benoît Leguet. The report summarized information relating to the work of the panel, including the status of applications and developments with respect to accreditation assessments, and other accreditation-related issues. The Chair of the JI-AP also informed the JISC about the joint session of the JI-AP and the clean development mechanism (CDM) Accreditation Panel with a view to streamlining and aligning the accreditation procedures.

***Case-specific***

9. The JISC took note of the notification of withdrawal of application for accreditation of the following applicant independent entity:

- (a) JI-E-0015 "Germanischer Lloyd Certification GmbH" (GLC).

***General guidance***

10. The JISC adopted the workplan of the JI-AP for 2012, as contained in annex 1 to this report.

**Agenda sub-item 3 (b): Matters relating to determination and verification reports**

11. The JISC took note of the report regarding the submission of documents under the verification procedure under the JISC (hereinafter referred to as JI Track 2 procedure). Since the launch of the JI Track 2 procedure, 319 project design documents (PDDs) and one programme of activities design document (PoA-DD) have been submitted and made publicly available on the UNFCCC JI website, in



accordance with paragraph 32 of the annex to decision 9/CMP.1 (JI guidelines). A total of 42 determinations regarding a PDD have been published on the UNFCCC JI website in accordance with paragraph 34 of the JI guidelines, of which 41 have been deemed final in accordance with paragraph 35 of the JI guidelines and one determination has been rejected.

12. The JISC also took note that 67 verifications have been published on the UNFCCC JI website in accordance with paragraph 38 of the JI guidelines, 66 of which have been deemed final in accordance with paragraph 39 of the JI guidelines.

13. The JISC took note of the report on the status of information from Parties regarding their designated focal points (DFPs) and national guidelines and procedures for approving JI projects, in accordance with paragraph 20 (a) and (b) of the JI guidelines. A total of 36 Annex I Parties had informed the secretariat of their DFPs.<sup>1</sup> Of these Parties, 31 had also submitted their national guidelines and procedures for approving JI projects.<sup>2</sup>

14. The JISC further noted that 316 JI Track 1 projects have been published on the UNFCCC JI website, of which 306 have received unique project identifiers and have been submitted to the International Transaction Log (ITL).

15. The JISC considered an update on the information provided by the accredited independent entities (AIEs) on the status of their determination activities regarding PDDs and decided to revisit the idea of having the online interface once the new technical system is implemented by the secretariat. The JISC further requested the secretariat to continue exploring alternative methods of gathering the required information.

16. Based on the mandate from the Conference of the Parties serving as the meeting of the Parties to the Kyoto Protocol (CMP) at its seventh session, the JISC considered a proposal for revising the provisions for the charging of fees to cover administrative costs relating to the activities of the JISC and its support structures. It requested the secretariat to draft revised provisions, taking into account the inputs given by the JISC, including adjustments to charge fees for switching from Track 1 to Track 2 and vice versa, for consideration by the JISC at its next meeting. The JISC also requested the secretariat to provide an analysis at that meeting on the potential financial implications of the revision.

### **Agenda sub-item 3 (c): Management plan and resources for the work on joint implementation**

17. The JISC adopted its business plan for 2012–2013 and the JI management plan for 2012, as contained in [annex 2](#) and [annex 3](#) to this report.

18. The JISC took note of the report on the status of resources. It was noted that the total income generated up to 31 December 2011 amounted to USD 3,641,165, which includes the carry-over from 2010 of USD 1,098,582. The JISC further noted that the fees for the period from 1 January to 31 December 2011 were also provided, indicating an income of USD 1,019,735 from Track 1 fees and USD 1,191,210 from Track 2. The JISC further noted that the total expenditure amounted to USD 1,346,305, which includes USD 758,281 in staff costs.

<sup>1</sup> Australia, Austria, Belarus, Belgium, Bulgaria, Canada, Croatia, Czech Republic, Denmark, Estonia, European Community, Finland, France, Germany, Hungary, Ireland, Italy, Japan, Latvia, Liechtenstein, Lithuania, Luxembourg, Netherlands, New Zealand, Norway, Poland, Portugal, Romania, Russian Federation, Slovakia, Slovenia, Spain, Sweden, Switzerland, Ukraine, United Kingdom.

<sup>2</sup> Australia, Austria, Belarus, Belgium, Bulgaria, Czech Republic, Denmark, Estonia, Finland, France, Germany, Hungary, Ireland, Italy, Japan, Latvia, Liechtenstein, Lithuania, Luxembourg, Netherlands, New Zealand, Poland, Portugal, Romania, Russian Federation, Slovakia, Spain, Sweden, Switzerland, Ukraine, United Kingdom.

**Agenda item 4: Other matters****Agenda sub-item 4 (a): Guidance by the CMP**

19. The JISC took note of the decision 11/CMP.7 and the requests from the seventh session of the CMP to the JISC.

20. Based on the mandate from the CMP at its seventh session, the JISC initiated its discussions on the key attributes and transitional measures relating to possible changes in the JI guidelines, to be recommended by the JISC to the CMP at its eighth session as part of its first review of the JI guidelines. The JISC agreed to further provide a draft revision of the JI guidelines to the CMP in order to illustrate the implementation of the key attributes and transitional measures. The JISC requested the secretariat in collaboration with a group of members (Mr. Beck, Mr. Chyzenko, Mr. Dombrowicki, Mr. Kudo, Mr. Lansana, Mr. Leguet, Mr. Pluzhnikov, Mr. Sokolov, Ms. Wollansky, and Ms. Voitekhovitch) to prepare draft materials for consideration by the JISC at its twenty-ninth and thirtieth meetings.

**Agenda sub-item 4 (b): Relations with stakeholders, intergovernmental and non-governmental organizations**

21. The JISC took note that the informal meeting of the DFP Forum took place on 28 November 2011 in Durban, South Africa. The objective of this meeting was to inform the DFPs about the terms of reference of the forum that were agreed by the JISC and to discuss the workplan of the forum for 2012 by defining priority areas for its work. The JISC took note that the forum has agreed to appoint the Chair and Vice-Chair electronically in order to facilitate its work. The JISC further noted that the DFP Forum will consider issues related to both tracks, the future of JI and governance matters.

22. The JISC took note of the input of the DOE/AIE Coordination Forum on the following:

(a) Resource limitations of AIEs and designated operational entities (DOEs), particularly on JI-related work;

(b) Request for clarification on the focused assessment carried out within the accreditation process.

23. The Chair of the DOE/AIE Coordination Forum elaborated this particular input on the focused assessment carried out within the JI accreditation procedure and its link with the defined timelines. The JISC, at its twenty-fifth meeting, adopted transitional measures for accrediting applicant independent entities that have been issued an indicative letter under the previous version of the JI accreditation procedure. Paragraph 4 of annex 1 to the report of the twenty-fifth meeting of the JISC provided an opportunity for such entities to either continue with ongoing witnessing activities or to terminate these witnessing activities, be accredited as of 1 August 2011 and be subject to an additional focused assessment. The JISC clarified that the entities, including those entities that are also accredited under the CDM, that decided to subject themselves to an additional focused assessment received full JI accreditation as of 1 August 2011. The JISC clarified that while there is a defined timeline for these assessments, this timeline is not linked in any way to meetings of the CMP.

24. The Chair of the JISC asked the DOE/AIE Coordination Forum Chair to provide some clarification, thanked him for his interaction and noted the usefulness of the inputs and the importance that the JISC places on such interaction with the DOE/AIE Coordination Forum.

25. The JISC took note of the input of the JI Action Group (JIAG) on the following:

(a) Developments in the JI market;

(b) Durban and JI post-2012;



(c) JISC priorities for 2012, including first review of the JI guidelines and the review of the fee structure to avoid double payment for projects switching tracks.

26. The representative of the JIAG, Mr. Lennard de Klerk elaborated mainly on whether ERUs can be used for compliance in the second commitment period. The representative of the JIAG also urged the JISC to increase its number of meetings in 2012 in order to ensure that it can complete all its work.

27. The Chair of the JISC thanked Mr. de Klerk for his interaction and noted the usefulness of the inputs.

28. The JISC met with registered observers for an informal interaction on the last day of the meeting and agreed to continue with such meetings in the afternoon of the last day of its future meetings, unless otherwise indicated. These meetings are available on webcast.

29. Observers congratulated the Chair and Vice-Chair on their election and the JISC for the constructive meeting. In addition, observers highlighted the following issues:

- (a) Enhancing the interaction with observers during the meeting;
- (b) The challenging year ahead for the JISC with the revision of the JI guidelines;
- (c) Looking forward to giving inputs to the revised structure of fees;
- (d) Recommendation to hold a meeting in early 2013 to provide a follow-up on the JI guidelines.

30. The Chair of the JISC thanked the observers for their attendance and expressed appreciation for the inputs they provided and their interaction.

#### **Agenda sub-item 4 (c): Other business**

31. The JISC took note of the draft communication and outreach workplan and agreed to consider it again at its next meeting with a view to having a communication and outreach strategy.

32. The JISC considered an update on recent developments and prospects in the carbon market and related governmental policies and requested the secretariat to continue providing this analysis of market and policy developments on a regular basis.

33. For transparency purposes, the JISC agreed to make its code of conduct, as contained in [annex 4](#) to this report, publicly available on the UNFCCC JI website.

34. The JISC agreed to the calendar of meetings of the JISC for 2012, as contained in [annex 5](#) to this report, and to keep it under review.

35. The JISC agreed to the provisional agenda of its twenty-ninth meeting, to be held in Bonn, Germany, on 28–30 May 2012, as contained in [annex 6](#) to this report.

#### **Agenda item 5: Conclusion of the meeting**

36. The Chair summarized the main conclusions and closed the twenty-eighth meeting of the JISC.



## Annexes to the report

### Accreditation of independent entities

Annex 1: Workplan of the Joint Implementation Accreditation Panel 2012 (version 01.0)

### Management plan and resources for the work on joint implementation

Annex 2: Business plan for the Joint Implementation Supervisory Committee for 2012–2013 (version 01.0)

Annex 3: Joint implementation management plan 2012 (version 01.0)

### Other business

Annex 4: Joint Implementation Supervisory Committee code of conduct (version 01.1)

Annex 5: Tentative calendar of meetings of the Joint Implementation Supervisory Committee and the Joint Implementation Accreditation Panel in 2012 (version 02.0)

Annex 6: Provisional agenda of the twenty-ninth meeting of the Joint Implementation Supervisory Committee

- - - - -