



FRAMEWORK CONVENTION ON CLIMATE CHANGE - Secretariat
CONVENTION - CADRE SUR LES CHANGEMENTS CLIMATIQUES - Secrétariat

Date: 30 May 2012
Ref: JISC 29

JOINT IMPLEMENTATION SUPERVISORY COMMITTEE

TWENTY-NINTH MEETING

Report Version 01.1*

Date of meeting: 28–30 May 2012

Location: Bonn, Germany

Attendance: The names of members and alternate members of the Joint Implementation Supervisory Committee (JISC) present at the meeting are in bold print below. Where only the name of an alternate member is in bold print, the alternate member participated as a member.

Members	<i>Alternate Members</i>
Mr. Chebet Maikut	<i>Mr. Momin Agha¹</i>
Mr. Mykhailo Chyzhenko	<i>Ms. Milya Dimitrova</i>
Ms. Carola Borja	Mr. Carlos Fuller
Mr. Piotr Dombrowicki	<i>Mr. Oleg Pluzhnikov</i>
Mr. Denis Lansana	Mr. Evans Njewa
Mr. Benoît Leguet	<i>Mr. Anton Beck</i>
Mr. Wolfgang Seidel	Ms. Gertraud Wollansky
Mr. Evgeny Sokolov	Mr. Hiroki Kudo
Ms. Irina Voitekhovitch	Ms. Miriana Roman
Mr. Derrick Oderson	<i>Mr. Andrew Yatilman</i>

¹ Nomination by the CMP at its seventh session was not received; therefore the current alternate member, Mr. Momin Agha, will remain in office until the successor is nominated by the respective constituency.

Quorum (in parenthesis required numbers):

10 (7) members/alternate members acting as members were present of which

6 (4) were from Annex I Parties and

4 (3) were from non-Annex I Parties.

WWW broadcasting: <http://ji.unfccc.int/Sup_Committee/Meetings>.

* This revised document (version 01.1) includes an editorial change on a figure of paragraph 14.

**Agenda item 1: Adoption of the agenda**

1. Mr. Wolfgang Seidel, the Chair of the Joint Implementation Supervisory Committee (hereinafter referred to as the JISC) opened the meeting and asserted that the quorum requirement was met.
2. The JISC noted that the secretariat was informed that Mr. Momin Agha, Mr. Anton Beck, Ms. Milya Dimitrova, Mr. Denis Lansana, Mr. Oleg Pluzhnikov, and Mr. Andrew Yatilman were unable to attend the meeting. Members and alternate members have provided proper justification.
3. The JISC welcomed its new member, Mr. Chebet Maikut, who was nominated for the non-Annex I seat, replacing Mr. Muhammed Quamrul Chowdhury.
4. On behalf of the JISC, the Chair expressed deep appreciation to Mr. Chowdhury for his dedication and excellent support to the JISC.
5. The JISC adopted the agenda of the meeting.

Agenda item 2: Membership issues (including disclosure of possible conflict of interest)

6. Members and alternate members of the JISC stated whether they had conflicts of interest relating to any item on the meeting agenda.

Agenda item 3: Workplan**Agenda sub-item 3 (a): Accreditation of independent entities**

7. The JISC considered the list of candidates to replace the outgoing members of the Joint Implementation Accreditation Panel (JI-AP), received in response to the call for experts.
8. The JISC agreed to appoint Mr. Abderrahmane Naas, Ms. Anastasia Northland and Mr. Takashi Otsubo as members of the JI-AP for a one-year term. The term of service commences on the first day of the 28th meeting of the JI-AP and ends immediately before the first meeting of the panel after the selection of new members by the JISC in 2013. The JISC expressed its deep appreciation to the outgoing member, Mr. Pierre Boileau, for his contribution to the work of the JI-AP.
9. The JISC requested the secretariat to revise the "General guidelines for panels and working groups under the Joint Implementation Supervisory Committee" and the "Terms of reference for the establishment of the Joint Implementation Accreditation Panel" to include the new period of one year for the term of members of the JI-AP.
10. The JISC took note that the 28th meeting of the JI-AP was postponed to August 2012 due to the status of ongoing accreditation assessment activities and for reasons of cost-effectiveness.

Agenda sub-item 3 (b): Matters relating to determination and verification reports

11. The JISC took note of the report regarding the submission of documents under the verification procedure under the JISC (hereinafter referred to as the JI Track 2 procedure). Since the launch of the JI Track 2 procedure, 320 project design documents (PDDs) and one programme of activities design document (PoA-DD) have been submitted and made publicly available on the UNFCCC JI website, in accordance with paragraph 32 of the annex to decision 9/CMP.1 (JI guidelines). A total of 49 determinations regarding PDDs have been published on the UNFCCC JI website in accordance with paragraph 34 of the JI guidelines, of which 41 have been deemed final in accordance with paragraph 35 of the JI guidelines, one has been rejected, six are under review and one determination is open for request for review.



12. The JISC also took note that 72 verifications have been published on the UNFCCC JI website in accordance with paragraph 38 of the JI guidelines, 69 of which have been deemed final in accordance with paragraph 39 of the JI guidelines.

13. The JISC took note of the report on the status of information from Parties regarding their designated focal points (DFPs) and national guidelines and procedures for approving JI projects, in accordance with paragraph 20 (a) and (b) of the JI guidelines. A total of 37 Annex I Parties had informed the secretariat of their DFPs.² Of these Parties, 31 had also submitted their national guidelines and procedures for approving JI projects.³

14. The JISC further noted that 351 JI Track 1 projects have been published on the UNFCCC JI website, of which 329 have received unique project identifiers and have been submitted to the International Transaction Log (ITL).

15. The JISC adopted revised provisions for the charging of fees, as contained in annex 1 to this report, in accordance with the mandate from the Conference of the Parties serving as the meeting of the Parties to the Kyoto Protocol (CMP) at its seventh session, to ensure that fees paid for a project under the Track 1 procedure can be offset against fees to be paid under the Track 2 procedure and vice versa.

Case-related issues

16. In accordance with paragraphs 12 and 13 of the procedures for reviews under the verification procedure under the JISC, at least three members of the JISC or a Party involved in the project requested a review of the determinations regarding the PDDs for the following projects:

(a) 0285 "Implementation of energy-efficient lighting system in the Donetsk Region with the use of Kyoto Protocol mechanism: replacement of incandescent lamps with energy-efficient ones at budget financed and social entities in the Yenakiive town (under Track 2)";

(b) 0286 "Implementation of energy-efficient lighting system in the Donetsk Region with the use of Kyoto Protocol mechanism: replacement of incandescent lamps with energy-efficient ones at budget financed and social entities in the Slovyansk town (under Track 2)";

(c) 0287 "Implementation of energy-efficient lighting system in the Donetsk Region with the use of Kyoto Protocol mechanism: replacement of incandescent lamps with energy-efficient ones at budget financed and social entities in the Torez town (under Track 2)";

(d) 0288 "Implementation of energy-efficient lighting system in the Donetsk Region with the use of Kyoto Protocol mechanism: replacement of incandescent lamps with energy-efficient ones at budget financed and social entities in the Kramatorsk town (under Track 2)";

(e) 0289 "Implementation of energy-efficient lighting system in the Donetsk Region with the use of Kyoto Protocol mechanism: replacement of incandescent lamps with energy-efficient ones at budget financed and social entities in the Artemivsk town (under Track 2)";

(f) 0290 "Implementation of energy-efficient lighting system in the Donetsk Region with the use of Kyoto Protocol mechanism: replacement of incandescent lamps with energy-efficient ones at budget financed and social entities in the Gorlivka town (under Track 2)".

² Australia, Austria, Belarus, Belgium, Bulgaria, Canada, Croatia, Czech Republic, Denmark, Estonia, European Community, Finland, France, Germany, Greece, Hungary, Ireland, Italy, Japan, Latvia, Liechtenstein, Lithuania, Luxembourg, Netherlands, New Zealand, Norway, Poland, Portugal, Romania, Russian Federation, Slovakia, Slovenia, Spain, Sweden, Switzerland, Ukraine, United Kingdom.

³ Australia, Austria, Belarus, Belgium, Bulgaria, Czech Republic, Denmark, Estonia, Finland, France, Germany, Hungary, Ireland, Italy, Japan, Latvia, Liechtenstein, Lithuania, Luxembourg, Netherlands, New Zealand, Poland, Portugal, Romania, Russian Federation, Slovakia, Spain, Sweden, Switzerland, Ukraine, United Kingdom.



17. Taking into account the initial comments received from the accredited independent entity (AIE), TÜV Rheinland Japan Ltd., and the project participant, the JISC decided to accept the determinations referred to in paragraph 16 above, in accordance with paragraph 13(b) of the procedures mentioned above, subject to the AIE and the project participants submitting a revised PDD, determination report, and relevant supporting documentation that reflect the following corrections:

(a) Further substantiation of the selection of the baseline by including the information submitted in the response to the request for review to clarify whether the Ordinance of the Cabinet of Ministers of Ukraine No. 1337-r is legally binding and if it contains specific obligations concerning the installation of compact fluorescent light bulbs (CFLs) in public buildings;

(b) Further substantiation of the investment barrier for the proposed project by including the information and supporting evidence submitted in response to the request for the review on this matter;

(c) Include the penetration rate of CFLs in public buildings as an annually monitored parameter in the monitoring plan and explain how this parameter will affect the resulting emission reductions and the baseline for the project, including updated calculations of emissions reductions, if applicable.

Agenda sub-item 3 (c): Management plan and resources for the work on joint implementation

18. The JISC took note of the report on the status of resources as reflected in annex 2 of the annotated agenda of the twenty-ninth meeting of the JISC. It was noted that the total income generated up to 30 April 2012 amounted to USD 5,057,866, which includes the carry-over from 2011 of USD 3,641,165. The JISC further noted that the fees for the period from 1 January to 30 April 2012 were also provided, indicating an income of USD 302,965 from Track 1 fees and USD 984,832 from Track 2. The JISC further noted that the total expenditure amounted to USD 616,105, and that the balance amounted to USD 4,441,761 as at 30 April 2012.

Agenda item 4: Other matters

Agenda sub-item 4 (a): Guidance by the CMP

19. The JISC took note of a summary of the eight submissions on the revision of the JI guidelines made by Parties, intergovernmental organizations and admitted observer organizations, as invited by decision 11/CMP.7 and received by the secretariat by 16 April 2012. The JISC also took note that a synthesis report will be made publicly available by 31 July 2012.

20. The JISC also considered a concept note on the review of the JI guidelines and requested the secretariat and the small group of JISC members, nominated at the last meeting, to provide a draft of the revised JI guidelines, taking into account the inputs given by the JISC, for consideration by the JISC at its thirtieth meeting. The JISC agreed that an interim draft of the revised JI guidelines is to be made available for consultation purposes, including a call for public inputs, and the meetings of the DFP Forum and the JI technical workshop planned to take place prior to the thirtieth meeting of the JISC.

21. The JISC considered issues concerning the operation of JI projects during the transition from the first to the second commitment period of the Kyoto Protocol. The JISC noted that wider issues of this transition are currently under the consideration of the Subsidiary Body for Scientific and Technological Advice and will be the subject of a technical paper to be prepared by the secretariat by September 2012. The JISC requested the secretariat to present information on these wider issues at its thirtieth meeting.

22. The JISC further requested the secretariat, taking into account feedback received at this meeting, to identify areas requiring further regulatory documents from the JISC to clarify the operation of JI projects during the transition from the first to the second commitment period, and to prepare draft regulatory documents for consideration by the JISC at its thirtieth meeting. The JISC noted in this



context that this is likely to include procedures on the renewal of crediting periods to extend beyond 2012.

Agenda sub-item 4 (b): Relations with stakeholders, intergovernmental and non-governmental organizations

23. The JISC took note that the Designated Focal Point Forum (DFP Forum) meeting scheduled for 26 May 2012 had been cancelled due to limited registrations. An informal meeting of the forum took place instead on 24 May 2012 with the participation of 14 DFPs. The main discussion during the informal meeting was the review of the JI guidelines. The JISC noted that the DFPs agreed that the key topic for the next DFP Forum will be the review of JI guidelines and that the DFP Forum meeting should be scheduled to allow sufficient time for the JISC to take into account the DFPs' inputs before the final recommendation of the JI guidelines.

24. The JISC took note that the DOE/AIE Coordination Forum has not sent inputs to this JISC meeting.

25. The JISC took note of the input of the JI Action Group (JIAG) on the following:

- (a) Developments in the JI market;
- (b) Feedback on the draft provisions for the charging of fees;
- (c) Providing clarity for the operation of JI after the first commitment period of the Kyoto Protocol, including in relation to the first review of the JI guidelines.

26. The Chair of the JISC thanked Mr. de Klerk for his interaction and noted the usefulness of the inputs.

27. The JISC met with registered observers for an informal interaction on the last day of the meeting and agreed to continue with such meetings in the afternoon of the last day of its future meetings, unless otherwise indicated. These meetings are available on webcast.

28. The observers asked for clarifications on the role of the new governing body and how different it would be from the current JISC. The JISC clarified that the new proposed governing body would have a wider mandate and oversight of the whole JI mechanism rather than the current oversight only over Track 2. The observers welcomed that the JISC has taken into account their input during its deliberations. They also highlighted the importance of the transitional measures. The JISC encouraged stakeholders to provide input with regard to this issue.

29. The Chair of the JISC thanked the observers for their attendance and expressed appreciation for the inputs they provided and their interaction.

Agenda sub-item 4 (c): Other business

30. The JISC discussed matters and strategy related to its media engagement, communication and outreach.

31. The JISC considered an update on recent developments and prospects in the carbon market and related governmental policies, including with regard to supply and demand, prices, domestic trading systems and new mechanisms. The JISC requested the secretariat to continue providing reports and analysis of the carbon market and policy developments and raise issues requiring consideration by the JISC.

32. The JISC met with representatives of the panel on the Clean Development Mechanism (CDM) Policy Dialogue and shared experience on the implementation of the JI and views on the development and potential future use of the market based mechanisms.



33. The JISC agreed to the provisional agenda of its thirtieth meeting, to be held in Bonn, Germany, on 26–28 September 2012, as contained in annex 2 to this report.

Agenda item 5: Conclusion of the meeting

34. The Chair summarized the main conclusions and closed the twenty-ninth meeting of the JISC.

Annexes to the report

Matters relating to determination and verification reports

Annex 1: Provisions for the charging of fees to cover administrative costs relating to the activities of the Joint Implementation Supervisory Committee and its supporting structures (version 05.0)

Other business

Annex 2: Provisional agenda of the thirtieth meeting of the Joint Implementation Supervisory Committee (version 01.0)

- - - - -