

**JI-AP29**

## Meeting report

---

# JI Accreditation Panel twenty-ninth meeting

Version 01.0

Date of meeting: 21 to 22 August 2013

Place of meeting: Bonn, Germany



**United Nations**  
Framework Convention on  
Climate Change

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

## Page

<b>AGENDA ITEM 1.</b>	<b>AGENDA AND MEETING ORGANIZATION .....</b>	<b>3</b>
Agenda item 1.1.	Opening.....	3
Agenda item 1.2.	Adoption of the agenda.....	3
<b>AGENDA ITEM 2.</b>	<b>GOVERNANCE AND MANAGEMENT MATTERS .....</b>	<b>3</b>
Agenda item 2.1.	Membership issues .....	3
Agenda item 2.2.	Planning issues .....	3
Agenda item 2.3.	Performance management .....	3
Agenda item 2.4.	Matters related to the Panel.....	4
<b>AGENDA ITEM 3.</b>	<b>RULINGS (CASE SPECIFIC MATTERS) .....</b>	<b>4</b>
<b>AGENDA ITEM 4.</b>	<b>REGULATORY MATTERS .....</b>	<b>4</b>
Agenda item 4.1.	Standards/tools .....	4
<b>AGENDA ITEM 5.</b>	<b>OTHER MATTERS .....</b>	<b>4</b>
<b>AGENDA ITEM 6.</b>	<b>CONCLUSION OF THE MEETING .....</b>	<b>4</b>

## **Agenda item 1. Agenda and meeting organization**

### **Agenda item 1.1. Opening**

1. Mr. Wolfgang Seidel, the Chair of the Joint Implementation Accreditation Panel (hereinafter referred to as the JI-AP), opened the meeting.
2. The table below represents the attendance of members at the meeting.

**Table 1. Attendance**

<b>Chair and Vice-Chair</b>	<b>Members</b>
Mr. Wolfgang Seidel (Chair)	Mr. Takashi Otsubo
Mr. Chebet Maikut (Vice-Chair)	Ms. Anikó Pogány
	Mr. Venkataraman Balakrishnan
	Ms. Anastasia Northland*

\* Ms. Anastasia Northland attended the meeting through electronic means.

3. The JI-AP noted that Mr. Abderrahmane Naas and Mr. Francesco Tubiello were unable to attend the meeting and provided proper justification for their absence.

### **Agenda item 1.2. Adoption of the agenda**

4. The JI-AP adopted the agenda of the meeting.

## **Agenda item 2. Governance and management matters**

### **Agenda item 2.1. Membership issues**

5. The JI-AP considered information provided by the members with respect to any potential conflict of interest.

### **Agenda item 2.2. Planning issues**

6. The JI-AP took note of the JI-AP 2013 workplan adopted at the thirty-first meeting of the Joint Implementation Supervisory Committee (JISC).

### **Agenda item 2.3. Performance management**

7. The JI-AP took note of a report on the implementation of accredited independent entity (AIE) assessment plans.
8. The JI-AP took note of a report on performance of the joint implementation (JI) assessment team leaders and members and agreed on the qualification and use of individual experts.

#### **Agenda item 2.4. Matters related to the Panel**

9. In the context of the joint meeting with the Clean Development Mechanism Accreditation Panel (CDM-AP), the JI-AP took note of a presentation by the JI-AP Chair on the outcomes of the thirty-first and thirty-second meetings of the JISC.
10. In the context of the joint meeting with the CDM-AP, the JI-AP took note of a presentation by the CDM-AP Chair on the recent developments in the clean development mechanism (CDM) accreditation process.
11. In the context of the joint meeting with the CDM-AP, the JI-AP took note of a presentation by the secretariat on the recent CDM round table.
12. The JI-AP took note of a report from the secretariat on electronic decisions conducted since the last JI-AP meeting.

#### **Agenda item 3. Rulings (case-specific matters)**

13. The JI-AP considered three additional focused on-site assessment cases. Recommendations on the three cases will be submitted to the JISC under confidentiality.
14. The JI-AP considered a regular surveillance assessment. A notification will be submitted to the JISC under confidentiality.
15. The JI-AP considered a notification of change. No notification will be submitted to the JISC at this time.
16. The JI-AP considered a complaint against an AIE. A recommendation will be submitted to the JISC under confidentiality.

#### **Agenda item 4. Regulatory matters**

##### **Agenda item 4.1. Standards/tools**

17. The JI-AP considered a draft concept note on options for the strategic direction of the JI accreditation system and provided its input to the secretariat.

#### **Agenda item 5. Other matters**

18. The JI-AP, in accordance with its workplan for 2013, conducted a joint session with the CDM-AP. The accreditation panels discussed on-going developments in the CDM and JI accreditation processes, and provided comments to the secretariat on the revision of regulatory documents, including a concept note on options for the strategic direction of the JI accreditation system, the draft revised CDM accreditation standard, the revised CDM accreditation procedure, and the revised CDM procedure to monitor performance of the designated operational entities (DOEs).

#### **Agenda item 6. Conclusion of the meeting**

19. The JI-AP approved the internal and external reports of the 29<sup>th</sup> meeting.

20. The JI-AP closed the meeting and thanked all panel members and the secretariat for their dedication and excellent work.

- - - - -

#### **Document information**

<i>Version</i>	<i>Date</i>	<i>Description</i>
01.0	22 August 2013	JI-AP 29 meeting report. Initial adoption.
Decision Class: Operational Document Type: Meeting report Business Function: Governance Keywords: JI-AP, reporting procedures		