JI Verification



AIE REPORT FORM

(version 04)

(to be completed by an AIE requesting the publication of a determination pursuant to paragraph 37 of the JI guidelines)

Accredited independent entity (AIE) submitting form TÜV Rheinland (China) Ltd. (TÜV Rheinland)

		JI acti	vity				
Reference number and title of JI activity Parties involved in the JI activity			«Utilization of Coal Mine Methane at the SE "Makeevugol" ITL Project ID UA1000523				
			• Ukraine (host Party) • Estonia				
Proje	ct participants	SE "Makiyivvuhillya"OÜ "Biotehnoloogia"					
Coor	dinating entity (applicable to JI PoA	only)	N/A				
Туре	of JI activity: 🗹 large-scale	□ small	-scale		CF	D PoA	
	Emission reducti		ancemer	nts of ren	novals		
Moni	Emission reducti toring period(s) (DD/MM/YYYY - DD/			nts of ren 1/01/2008 -	12	012	
Verifi sourc		/MM/YYYY) issions by	0		- 30/09/2		
Verifi sourd by sin	toring period(s) (DD/MM/YYYY - DD/ ed reductions in anthropogenic emi ces/enhancements of anthropogenic	/MM/YYYY) issions by c removals	0	1/01/2008 -	- 30/09/2		
Verifi sourc by sin The p the J	toring period(s) (DD/MM/YYYY - DD/ ed reductions in anthropogenic emi ces/enhancements of anthropogenic nks (tonnes of CO ₂ eq.) present verification is the first with r activity	/MM/YYYY) issions by c removals regard to	0	1/01/2008 - 343 523 to ☑ Yes	- 30/09/2	CO₂ eq.	
Verifi sourd by sin	toring period(s) (DD/MM/YYYY - DD/ ed reductions in anthropogenic emi ces/enhancements of anthropogenic nks (tonnes of CO ₂ eq.) present verification is the first with r activity	/MM/YYYY) issions by c removals regard to D/MM/YYYY)	0	1/01/2008 - 343 523 to ☑ Yes	- 30/09/2	CO₂ eq.	

Statements regarding requirements and possible changes

Please <u>confirm that all requirements</u> of Article 6 of the Kyoto Protocol, the JI guidelines and further relevant requirements defined by the CMP or the JISC with regard to verifications pursuant to paragraph 37 of the JI guidelines <u>are met</u>:

☑ Yes

□ No

Verification opinion

Please provide a verification opinion (or a reference to the verification opinion in one of the documents attached), including a statement regarding materiality and level of assurance, in accordance with the Standard for applying the concept of materiality in verifications

TÜV Rheinland (China) Ltd. (TÜV Rheinland) has performed the verification of the emission reductions that have been reported for the "Utilization of Coal Mine Methane at the SE "Makeevugol" (ITL Project ID UA1000523) for the period from the 1st of January 2008 till the 30th of September 2012.

The project participants are responsible for the collection of data in accordance with the monitoring plan and the reporting of GHG emissions reductions from the project.

It is TUV Rheinland's responsibility to express an independent verification opinion - conclusion on the verified amount of emission reductions from the project.

TUV Rheinland has conducted the verification on the basis of the monitoring plan contained in the registered Project Design Document Version 2.0 dated 20 of September 2012 and the Monitoring Report Version 2.0 dated 2nd of November 2012.

The verification included the assessment of:

- Project implementation in accordance with the Project Design Document (PDD);
- Compliance with the monitoring plan;

• Calculation of emission reductions and expression of a conclusion with a reasonable level of assurance about whether the reported GHG emission reduction data are accurate and free of material errors, omissions, or misstatements;

• Quality and management of data and verification that reported GHG emission reductions data is sufficiently supported by evidence.

TÜV Rheinland's (China) Ltd. (TÜV Rheinland) verification approach draws on an understanding of the risks associated with reporting of GHG emission data and the controls in place to mitigate these. TUV Rheinland planned and performed the verification by obtaining evidence and other information and explanations that TÜV Rheinland (China) Ltd. (TÜV Rheinland) considers necessary to give reasonable assurance that reported GHG emission reductions are fairly stated, accurate and free of material errors, omissions, or misstatements.

In our opinion the GHG emissions reductions of the "Utilization of Coal Mine Methane at the SE "Makeevugol" (ITL Project ID UA1000523) for the period from the 1st of January 2008 till the 30th of September 2012 are fairly stated, accurate and free of material errors, omissions, or misstatements in the Monitoring Report Version 2.0 dated 2nd of November 2011.

The GHG emission reductions were calculated correctly on the basis of the monitoring plan contained in the registered Project Design Document Version 2.0 dated 20 of September 2012.

TUV Rheinland Ukraine LLC is able to verify that the emission reductions from the "Utilization of Coal Mine Methane at the SE "Makeevugol" (ITL Project ID UA1000523) for the period from the 1st of January 2008 till the 30th of September 2012 amount to 1 343 523 tonnes of CO₂ equivalent.

The <u>project design was revised</u> in the current monitoring period, after the determination has been deemed final	□ Yes		团 No	
If yes, please <u>list all revisions</u> to the project design and express a determination opinion (or provide a reference to an attached document containing the required information)	☑ Not ap			
If project design was revised in the current monitoring period, <u>please confirm</u> that conditions defined in JI guidelines (paragraph 33) are still met	⊠ N/A	□ Yes	□ No	
If project design was revised in the current monitoring period, <u>please confirm</u> that the changes do not alter the original determination opinion	⊠ N/A	□ Yes	□ No	
If project design was revised in the current monitoring period, <u>please confirm</u> that:				
(a) Physical location of the project has not changed	⊠ N/A	□ Yes	□ No	
(b) If emission sources have changed, they are reflected in an updated monitoring plan	⊠ N/A	□ Yes	□ No	
(c) Baseline scenario has not changed	⊠ N/A	□ Yes	□ No	
(d) Changes are consistent with JI specific approach or CDM methodology upon which the determination was prepared for the project	⊠ N/A	□ Yes	□ No	
The <u>monitoring plan was revised</u> in the current monitoring period If yes, please specify for which technologies and measures under each type of JPA the monitoring plan was revised (applicable to JI PoA only)	⊠ N/A	□ Yes	□ No	

impro inforn monit the re	urrent <u>revisions to the monitoring plan</u> ove the accuracy and/or applicability of nation collected, compared to the original coring plan without changing conformity with levant rules and regulations for establishing coring plans	⊠ N/A	□ Yes	□ No				
List of	f documents attached to the verification report	form						
Please	e attach relevant documents used in the verification	process and m	ark below acc	ordingly				
☑	Verification report							
V	Written approvals by the Parties involved, if a	pplicable						
V	Other relevant documents:							
	Document listing all revisions to the project design, if applicable							
	Determination that the revisions to the <u>project design</u> , if applicable, do not alter the original determination opinion and that the conditions defined by paragraph 33 of the JI guidelines are still met							
	Revised monitoring plan, if applicable							
	□ Determination that the revisions to the <u>monitoring plan</u> , if applicable, improve the accuracy and/or applicability of information collected, compared to the original monitoring plan without changing conformity with relevant rules and regulations for establishing monitoring plans							
	□ Sampling plan, if applicable (<i>JI PoA only</i>)							
	☑ Any other documents (please list): Estimation of greenhouse gas emission reductions in Excel format							
The All	E herewith declares that undertaking the verific not constitute a conflict of interest which is inco	ation for the JI mpatible with	activity refer	red to above				
		Dr. Manfréd Br ndependent En	hintan hul acco	redited				
Date ar	nd signature	15/01/2013	M- 1240	llo				