



# JI DETERMINATION REPORT FORM

(version 02)

(to be completed by an AIE requesting the publication of a determination pursuant to paragraph 33 of the JI guidelines)

**Accredited independent entity (AIE) submitting form** TÜV SÜD

## Proposed JI activity

**Reference number and title of proposed JI activity** Mockiai Wind Power Joint Implementation Project

**Host Party(ies)** Lithuania

**Parties involved in the JI activity** Netherlands

**Coordinating entity (applicable to JI PoA only)** N/A

**Project participants** UAB Iverneta Sv Ignoto 1, Vilnius, LT 01120, Lithuania  
Stichting Carbon Finance (SCF), Netherlands

**Type of JI activity:**     large scale     **X small scale**     LULUCF     PoA

**Brief description of the JI activity**    The project consists of construction of a 12 MW, grid-connected, renewable energy wind power park at Mockiai village in the district of Silute, Lithuania (the "Project"). Thus the proposed project falls under Type I of Small Scale Activities, according to which the energy is generated from renewable sources and the installed capacity is smaller than 15 MW.

## Determination report

**Please confirm that all requirements of Article 6 of the Kyoto Protocol, the JI guidelines and further relevant requirements defined by the CMP or the JISC with regard to determinations pursuant to paragraph 33 of the JI guidelines are met:**

- X Yes**
- No**

### General information on determination

Please describe:

- The scope of the determination process, including all documentation that has been reviewed and list the names of persons interviewed during the determination process, as applicable;
- The AIE's determination team, including a list of all persons involved in the determination process and a description of the functions assumed.

### **Determination Scope:**

The determination scope is defined as an independent and objective review of the project design document (PDD), the project's baseline study and monitoring plan and other relevant documents. The information in these documents is reviewed against Kyoto Protocol requirements, national guidelines and further referring to relevant sections of JI guidelines for JI Track 2 and associated interpretations as background information. TÜV SÜD has employed a risk-based approach in the determination, focusing on the identification of significant risks for project implementation and the generation of emission reductions.

### **Interviewed persons:**

Tadas Navickas	UAB Iverneta, project developer
Julius Mikalauskas	4Energia, project manager
Hannu Lamp	Consultant
Virgilius Pozingis	Silute Municipality, Mayor

### **AIE's determination team**

Robert Mitterwallner TÜV SÜD, GHG auditor, project manager

<p>Madis Maddison freelancer, GHG auditor          Georgios Agrafiotis, TÜV SÜD, GHG Auditor</p> <p><b>Documentation that has been reviewed:</b> see annex 2 of determination report</p>
<p><b>Description of determination process</b></p> <p>Please briefly describe and refer to:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>➤ The review of the JI PDD/PoA DD and additional documentation attached to it;</li> <li>➤ The assessment against JI requirements, e.g. by using a determination protocol;</li> <li>➤ The report of findings by the AIE, including the use of different types of findings (e.g. corrective action requests, clarifications or observations etc.).</li> </ul> <p><b>Statements or assessments should be included in section "Conclusions, final comments and determination opinion".</b></p>
<p>A first version of the PDD was submitted to the AIE in March 2009. The first PDD version submitted by the PP and additional background documents related to the project design and baseline were reviewed to verify the correctness, credibility and interpretation of the presented information, furthermore a cross check between information provided and information from other sources (if available) have been done as initial step of the determination process. A complete list of all documents and proofs reviewed is attached as annex 2 to this report. The on-site visit, the desk review comments and review of additional documents led to changes in the PDD, resulting in final version of the PDD v1.6.</p>
<p><b>Comments received from Parties, stakeholders and UNFCCC accredited observers</b></p> <p>Please:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>➤ Summarise the comments received pursuant to paragraph 32 of the JI guidelines; and</li> <li>➤ Provide a report of how due account was taken of these.</li> </ul>
<p>A first version of the PDD was submitted to the AIE in March 2009 which was uploaded for the GSP. No comments have been received.</p>
<p><b>Conclusions, final comments and determination opinion</b></p> <p>Please provide:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>➤ Conclusions describing how each of the requirements of Article 6 of the Kyoto Protocol and the JI guidelines and further relevant requirements defined by the CMP or the JISC, in particular those referred to in paragraph 33 of the JI guidelines, have been met, including assessments and findings (e.g. corrective action requests, clarifications or observations) related to each requirement, and statements on whether all issues raised have been addressed to the AIE's satisfaction;</li> <li>➤ Final comments and a determination opinion.</li> </ul>
<p>TÜV SÜD has performed a determination of the proposed JI Track 2 project activity "Mockiai Wind Power Park". The determination was performed on the basis of all valid and relevant JI Track 2 criteria.</p> <p>The review of the project design documentation and the subsequent follow-up interviews have provided TÜV SÜD with sufficient evidence to determine the fulfilment of stated criteria. In our opinion, the project meets all relevant requirements for the JI Track 2. Hence TÜV SÜD will recommend the project for approval by the JISC.</p> <p>An analysis as provided by the applied CDM methodology demonstrates that the proposed project activity is not a likely baseline scenario. Emission reductions attributable to the project are, hence, additional to any that would occur in the absence of the project activity. Given that the project is implemented as designed, the project is likely to achieve the estimated amount of emission reductions as specified within the final PDD version.</p> <p>The determination is based on the information made available to us and the engagement conditions detailed in this report. The determination has been performed following the DVM requirements. The only purpose of this report is its use during the registration process as part of the JI project cycle. Hence, TÜV SÜD cannot be held liable by any party for decisions made or not made based on the determination opinion, which will go beyond that purpose.</p>
<p><b>List of documents attached to the determination report form</b></p> <p>Please attach relevant documents used in the determination process and check mark below accordingly</p>
<p><b>X PDD/PoA DD of the proposed JI activity</b></p> <p><b>X Determination report</b></p> <p><b>X Written approvals by the Parties involved, listed in the JI PDD/PoA DD</b></p> <p><b>X Other relevant documents:</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li><b>X Determination protocol</b></li> <li><b>X List of persons interviewed</b></li> <li><b>X Any other documents (please list):</b></li> </ul> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>Exemplary CHP cash-flow 2008 updated prices.xls</b></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>Mockiai financial projection</b></p>

ERs calculations

The AIE herewith declares that undertaking the determination for the proposed JI activity referred to above does not constitute a conflict of interest which is incompatible with the role of an AIE

Authorized officer signing for the AIE

Romy Welzel

Date and signature

30/05/2011

