## JI Verification



## **AIE REPORT FORM**

(version 04)

(tobe completed by an AIE requesting the publication of adetermination pursuant to paragraph 37 of the JI guidelines)

Accredited independent entity (AIE) submitting form Bureau Veritas Certification Holding SAS

JI activity				
Reference number and title of JI activity		"Reduction of methane leaks on the gas equipment of the gas distribution points and on the gas armature, flanged, threaded joints of the gas distribution pipelines of PJSC "Volyngas"		
Parties involved in the JI activity		- Ukraine - Switzerland		
Project participants		<ul> <li>PJSC "Volyngas"</li> <li>CEP Carbon Emissions Partners S.A.</li> </ul>		
Coordinating entity (applicable to JI PoAonly)		N/a		
Туре о	of JI activity:	scale □ LULUCF □PoA		
Emission reductions/Enhancements of removals				
Monitoring period(s) (DD/MM/YYYY - DD/MM/YYYY)		01/01/2008 – 30/06/2012		
Verified reductions in anthropogenic emissions by sources/enhancements of anthropogenic removals by sinks (tonnes of $CO_2$ eq.)		2 134 726		
The present verification is the first with regard to the JI activity		⊡Yes		
Applicable to JI PoA only	Verification period(DD/MM/YYYY-DD/MM/YYYY)	<b>☑</b> N.A.		
	Reference number of JPAs verified	⊠N.A.		
	Sampling approach used to verify the PoA	☑N.A. □Yes □ No		
Statements regarding requirements and possible changes				
Please <u>confirm that all requirements</u> of Article 6 of the Kyoto Protocol, the JI guidelines and further relevant requirements defined by the CMP or the JISC with regard to verifications pursuant toparagraph 37 of the JI guidelines <u>are met</u> : ☑Yes □No				
Verification opinion				
Please provide a verification opinion (or a reference to the verification opinion in one of the documents attached), including a statement regarding materiality and level of assurance, in accordance with the Standard for applying the concept of materiality in verifications				
The verification opinion is provided in the attached Verification Report, ver. 02, as of 27/09/2012				
monite	oject design was revised in the current pring period, after the determination has deemed final	⊡Yes ⊠No		

If yes, please <u>list all revisions</u> to the project design and express a determination opinion (or provide a reference to an attached document containing the required information)	⊠n.a.			
If project design was revised in the current monitoring period, <u>please confirm</u> that conditions defined in JI guidelines (paragraph 33) are still met	⊠N.A. ⊡Yes	s 🗆 No		
If project design was revised in the current monitoring period, <u>please confirm</u> that the changes do not alter the original determination opinion	⊠N.A. ⊡Yes	s 🗆 No		
If project design was revised in the current monitoring period, <u>please confirm</u> that:	⊠N.A. □Yes	s 🗆 No		
(a) Physical location of the project has not changed				
(b) If emission sources have changed, they are reflected in an updated monitoring plan	⊠N.A. ⊡Yes	s 🗆 No		
(c) Baseline scenario has not changed	⊠N.A. ⊡Yes	s 🗆 No		
(d) Changes are consistent with JI specific approach or CDM methodology upon which the determination was prepared for the project	⊠N.A. ⊡Yes	s 🗆 No		
The <u>monitoring plan was revised in the current</u> monitoring period	⊡Yes ⊠N	0		
If yes, please specify for which technologies and measures under each type of JPA the monitoring plan was revised (applicable to JI PoA only)				
The current <u>revisions to the monitoring plan</u> improve the accuracy and/or applicability of information collected, compared to the original monitoring plan without changing conformity with the relevant rules and regulations for establishing monitoring plans				
List of documents attached to the verification report Please attach relevant documents used in the verificatio		below accordingly		
☑Verification report		57		
□Written approvals by the Parties involved, if applicable				
<ul> <li>Other relevant documents:</li> <li>Document listing all revisions to the project design, if applicable</li> <li>Determination that the revisions to the <u>project design</u>, if applicable, do not alter the original determination opinion and that the conditions defined by paragraph 33 of the JI guidelines are still met</li> </ul>				
<ul> <li>Revised monitoring plan, if applicable</li> <li>Determination that the revisions to the monitoring plan, if applicable, improve the accuracy and/or applicability of information collected, compared to the original monitoring plan without changing conformity with relevant rules and regulations for establishing monitoring plans</li> <li>Sampling plan, if applicable (<i>JI PoA only</i>)</li> <li>MAny other documents (please list):</li> </ul>				
<ul> <li>Annex 1 "Registry of gas distribution points and gas fittings included into the project boundary of the JI project "Reduction of methane leaks on the gas equipment of the gas distribution points and on the gas armature, flanged, threaded joints of the gas distribution pipelines of PJSC "Volyngas"</li> </ul>				
<ul> <li>Annex 2 "Registry of repairs of gas distribution points and gas fittings included into the project boundary of the JI project "Reduction of methane leaks on the gas equipment of the gas distribution points and on the gas armature, flanged, threaded joints of the gas distribution pipelines of PJSC "Volyngas" and "Registry of locations of GDN components included into the project boundary, under the pressure"</li> </ul>				
The AIE herewith declares that undertaking the verification for the JI activity referred to above does not constitute a conflict of interest which is incompatible with the role of an AIE				
Operational manager signing for the AIE	Under A	eritas Certification		
Date and signature	27/09/2012	HAL I		