



Page1

# JOINT IMPLEMENTATION PROJECT DESIGN DOCUMENT FORM (JI PDD) Version 01 – in effect as of: 15 June 2006

#### CONTENTS

- A. General description of the <u>project.</u>
- B. <u>Baseline</u>
- C. Duration of the project / crediting period
- D. <u>Monitoring plan</u>
- E. Estimation of greenhouse gas emission reductions
- F. Environmental impacts
- G. <u>Stakeholder's</u> comments

#### Annexes

- Annex 1: Contact information on project participants
- Annex 2: <u>Baseline</u> information
- Annex 3: Monitoring plan



Page2

#### SECTION A. General description of the project.

#### A.1. Title of the <u>project</u>:

"Reduction of greenhouse gases emissions by gasification of Odesa region" Sectoral scope: Sector 3 – "Energy demand" PDD Version: 02 Date August 5, 2011

# A.2. Description of the <u>project</u>

The main goal of the project is reduction of greenhouse gas emissions by changing the structure of fuel consumption in industrial, municipal, administrative and private sectors of Odesa region by replacing solid and liquid fuels with natural gas. The project envisages construction and expansion of gas distribution systems (GDS) of Odesa region, which will also improve the energy efficiency of thermal power generation due to the transition of existing thermal power plants to natural gas, and installation of individual heating and hot water supply systems characterized by better efficiency compared to centralized systems. The project initiated by OJSC "Odesagas" will result in the reduction of greenhouse gas emissions into the atmosphere and will improve the environmental situation in the region.

OJSC "Odesagas" is an organization that brings together gas facilities of 26 districts of Odesa region and the city of Odesa, and ensures transportation and supply of natural gas to industrial and household consumers. One of the main objectives of the "Odesagas" enterprise is uninterrupted and safe gas supply to Odesa region consumers, as well as the implementation of advanced solutions for the economical use of natural gas. For the implementation of the above, special attention is paid to the improvement of quality of servicing of gas supply systems, their timely overhaul, gas pipelines protection from electrochemical corrosion and other damage. The Company uses modern robust technology of well-known national and foreign producers in order to ensure stable and safe operation of the gas supply system, maintain the desired working gas pressure.

However, the structure of existing tariffs for gas transportation regulated by the state does not take into consideration amortization and investment needs of gas distribution companies. This hinders the flow of sufficient funds for the purposes of repair, modernization and development of gas networks, procurement of appropriate technological equipment and components.

Therefore the most plausible *baseline scenario*, which the company may follow, is to continue operating the existing systems of transportation and preparation of energy carrier as well as heating systems that will result in the use by the consumers of less ecological fuel (fuel oil, coal, diesel oil), which will generate a significant amount of greenhouse gases (GHG) when burned. In addition, the continued operation of obsolete equipment (most of which was produced in the USSR) and, consequently, low efficiency of transportation system and of energy consumption system will lead to excessive use of fossil fuel that would nourish the harmful effects of atmospheric pollution by GHG emissions.

*The project scenario* involves expansion of the territorial gas supply system, which includes construction and reconstruction of:

- Gas distribution networks (GDN);
- Gas control points (GCP), including cabinet-type gas control points (CGCP).





Page3

The project envisages modernization of the fuel consumption system of Odesa region through the implementation of measures for transition of thermal units to natural gas and transferring the consumers from centralized to individual heating and hot water supply systems, which, in turn, will lead to the use of more efficient and environmentally friendly fossil fuel (natural gas), improvement of the quality of heating and hot water supply services, reduction of thermal energy consumption through increased efficiency of individual systems in comparison with centralized ones.

In general, the project activity is aimed at:

- Ensuring the supply of gaseous fuels (gasification) to end users through the construction and reconstruction of gas distribution network;
- Replacement of solid and liquid fuels and electricity with natural gas;
- Increase in heat energy efficiency;
- Reduction of greenhouse gases under the Joint Implementation Mechanism (JI).

Project implementation will be carried out within three main sectors of Odesa region: industrial, social and administrative. Nowadays, natural gas consumption does not enjoy strong demand in Odesa region. First of all, this is due to the lack of an extensive gas distribution network that would meet gas demand of consumers of industrial, social (household) and administrative sectors.

#### Industrial sector

Industry of Odesa region plays a significant role in the structure of economic complex of Ukraine and southern economic region. In the region there are companies producing petroleum products, machinery construction plants, repair and installation of machinery companies, metallurgy and metal processing, chemical and petrochemical, food processing, light industry and other industries companies.

#### Social (household) sector

The total number of inhabitants of Odesa region at the beginning of the project (in 2003) was 2mln.448.2 thousand persons, according to the State Statistics Committee of Ukraine<sup>1</sup>. Prior to 2003, gasification of region's population was carried out slowly, with connection of a small number of subscribers to the gas distribution network. Thus, the vast majority of Odesa region population are prospective consumers of natural gas.

#### Administrative sector

Administrative sector of Odesa region plays an important role in the development of the region and provision of necessary resources. Together with the other two sectors, the administrative sector is also an important potential consumer of natural gas.

First of all, the gasification project provides for the construction of the main pipeline system for gasification of consumers of industrial and energy sectors. The project further provides for gasification of consumers in household, administrative and commercial sectors and a gradual transition of households to gas fuel.

For gasification of new territories, new gas distribution networks will be developed and built. This will expand the national gas distribution network.

# The history of the project activity

On 17.06.2003 in the meeting of the Management Board of OJSC "Odesagas" the decision to launch the Joint Implementation Project was adopted.

In September 2003 the OJSC Odesa gas started implementing measures for the gasification of Odesa region.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>http://stat6.stat.lviv.ua/Mult/Dialog/statfile1\_c\_files/pasport1.htm?51



On 17.06.2011 the agreement between VEMA S.A. and OJSC "Odesagas" on the elaboration of the Joint Implementation project documentation for the reduction of greenhouse gases by means of Odesa region gasification was signed.

On 24.06.2011 supporting materials relating to the project on reduction of anthropogenic emissions of greenhouse gases were submitted to the State Environmental Investment Agency.

On 26.07.2011 The State Environmental Investment Agency issued a Letter of Endorsement of the Joint Implementation Project "Reduction of greenhouse gases emissions by gasification of Odesa region".

# A.3. Project participants:

		Please indicate if the Party involved	
Party involved	Legal name of the project participant	wishes to be considered as project	
		<u>participant</u>	
		<u>(Yes/No)</u>	
Ukraine	• OISC "Odesagas"	No	
(Host Party)	• Office Odesagas	110	
Switzerland	• VEMA S.A.	No	

# A.4. Technical description of the project.

#### A.4.1. Location of the <u>project</u>:

The project is located in Odesa region in the southern part of Ukraine (Fig. 1).



#### Fig. 1. Location of Odesa region on the map of Ukraine

Page4

UNFCCC





Page5

#### A.4.1.1. Host Party(ies):

The project is located in Ukraine.

Ukraine is an Eastern European country that ratified the Kyoto Protocol to the UN Framework Convention on Climate Change on February 4, 2004<sup>2</sup>. It is listed in the Annex B of the Kyoto Protocol to the UN Framework Convention on Climate Change <sup>3</sup>.

# A.4.1.2. Region/State/Province etc.:

The project is located in the territory of Odesa region.

A.4.1.3. City/Town/Community etc.:

The JI project encompasses cities and villages of Odesa region.

A.4.1.4. Detail of physical location, including information allowing the unique identification of the <u>project</u> (maximum one page):

The JI project is being implemented in the territory of Odesa city and Odesa region  $(30.736522EL, 46.456624 NL^4$  are the coorditanes of OJSC "Odesagas" office). Geografical localization of the project is shown in the figure 2.



Fig. 2. Administrative and territorial division of Odesa region.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> <u>http://zakon.rada.gov.ua/cgi-bin/laws/main.cgi?nreg=1430-15</u>

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> <u>http://zakon.rada.gov.ua/cgi-bin/laws/main.cgi?page=1&nreg=995\_801</u>

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> <u>http://api.yandex.ru/maps/tools/getlonglat/</u>



# A.4.2. Technology (ies) to be employed, or measures, operations or actions to be implemented by the project:

The project "Reduction of greenhouse gases emissions by gasification of Odesa region" provides for the construction of new and expansion of existing gas distribution systems (GDS), consisting of organizationally and technologically connected facilities, designed to transport natural gas directly from pipelines to individual consumers. GDS will be developed taking into account the type of the gas source, its properties and the degree of purification, the size of the already gasified territory and its building system features, population density, number and nature of industrial and municipal enterprises. Depending on the above factors GDS, which will differ by the method of gas delivery from main pipelines, the type of equipment and facilities of gas distribution networks, communications and remote control systems, will be built. Construction and expansion of GDS involves the construction of new gas distribution networks (GDN) and gas control points (GCP) using modern equipment and technology.

Gas pipelines are the main GDN element. They are classified by the gas pressure, by the purpose of use and by the material (steel, plastic). Depending on the maximum pressure of the transported gas, pipelines are divided into: high pressure gas pipelines of category I - operating gas pressure is above 0.6 MPa (6 kgf/cm<sup>2</sup>), high pressure gas pipelines of category II - operating gas pressure is 0.3 MPa ( $3 \text{ kgf/cm}^2$ ) - 0.6 MPa (6 kgf/cm<sup>2</sup>), medium pressure gas pipelines - operating gas pressure is 0.1 MPa (1kgf/cm<sup>2</sup>) - 0.3 MPa (3 kg/cm<sup>2</sup>), low pressure gas pipelines - operating gas pressure is up to 0.1 MPa  $(1 \text{kgf/cm}^2)$ , inclusive.

Low-pressure gas pipelines are used to transport gas to residential and public buildings, catering companies, as well as to the boiler rooms and consumer services companies. Individual consumers and small heating boiler houses are also connected to low-pressure gas pipelines. Medium and high pressure gas pipelines are used to supply urban distribution networks of low and medium pressure through GCP. They are also designed to supply gas through GCP and local gas control units (GCU) to the pipelines of industrial and utilities companies.

Municipal high-pressure gas pipelines (Fig. 3) are the main arteries that feed big cities. They may be executed as a dead-end schemes, where consumers get gas from only one side, or as circular schemes, when consumers get gas from both sides of a closed circle. They deliver gas through GCP to the medium and high pressure networks, as well as to large industrial companies, manufacturing processes of which require gas pressure of above 0.6 MPa.

For the construction of gas pipelines of high, medium and low pressure it is planned to use:

Straight seam steel welded pipes that are produced by means of electric welding of a direct joint in parallel to the axis of the tube. These tubes shall be used for the construction of pipelines with operating pressure of up to 1.6 MPa. The project provides for the use of pipes of national producers, made of steel according to the standards SSTU 380-94 and SSTU 1050-88. Typical appearance of a gas pipeline with the use of pumps of such type is shown in the figure 3.

Page6



UNFCCC



Page7



Fig. 3. Low-pressure gas pipeline that was built under the project "Reduction of greenhouse gases emissions by gasification of Odesa region" (Odesa city)

Polyethylene pipes of domestic production, in particular produced by the "Polimerbud" LLC <sup>5</sup> (Fig. 4). They are designed to supply flammable gas used as feedstock and fuel for industrial and communal purposes, and may be used for construction and repair of gas supply networks. Pipes are produced according to SSTU B V.2.7-73-98 "Polyethylene pipes for supplying combustible gases" <sup>6</sup>made of polyethylene of the PE 80 and PE 100 class, standard dimension ratio SDR 17,6 and SDR 11, the nominal diameters from 20 to 400 mm. Colour of pipes is black with yellow marking stripes.



Fig. 4. Appearance of polyethylene gas pipes of PE type, produced by LLC "Polimerbud"

Detailed specifications of pipes manufactured by LLC "Polimerbud" as well as of connecting elements for polymer pipes can be found on the manufacturer's web-site <sup>7,8</sup>.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> <u>http://www.polimerbud.com.ua/index\_ukr.html</u>

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>6</sup> <u>http://www.info-build.com.ua/normativ/detail.php?ID=46127</u>

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>7</sup> <u>http://www.polimerbud.com.ua/gas\_polyethylene\_pipes\_ukr.html</u>

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>8</sup> <u>http://www.polimerbud.com.ua/soedinenie\_ukr.html</u>



The choice of polyethylene pipes for the expansion of GDS is explained with a number of their operational and technical advantages, namely:

- Resistance to galvanic corrosion, electrical corrosion, to the impact of stray currents;
- Do not require cathodic protection and waterproofing;
- Chemical resistance to aggressive substances;
- High elasticity, ductility and tensile strength;
- Smooth inner surface (minimum flow resistance);
- High connection integrity thanks to the polyfusion;
- Simplicity and ease of installation (no need to use sophisticated excavating equipment);
- Relatively low cost of the pipelines construction;
- Significant guaranteed lifetime (50 years).

Technologies of gas pipelines laying, which will be applied in the project:

- underground pipe-laying in trenches and impassable channels (in the soil or in constructional structures of buildings). Access to the pipes during operation is possible only after opening the relevant structures;
- Trenchless construction of underground communications using horizontal directional drilling;
- Overground laying of gas pipelines that may be situated on the ground or above ground at such a level so as not to impede traffic. Overground laying is used on country roads when crossing ravines, rivers, railways and other structures.

The GDN network elements are gas fittings (latches, valves, cork taps). Latches with rising stems and non rising stems are used. The first ones are used for overground installations, the second ones - for underground. Valves are used in cases where high pressure loss can be neglected, for example on impulse lines. Cork taps have a much lower hydraulic resistance than the valves. They are distinguished by the conical stoppers tightening, and could be nongland and gland, and by the method of pipes joining – coupler-joined and flanged. The project envisages the use of gas fittings of European manufacturers Pietro Fiorentini (www.fiorentini.com/), DKG-EAST RT (www.DKGEast.hu).



Fig. 5. Appearance of gas fittings installed under the project "Reduction of greenhouse gases emissions by gasification of Odesa region" (Kotovsk city)

The project envisages installation of gas metering equipment produced by SSPE "Electronmash"<sup>9</sup> and Pietro Fiorentini (<u>www.fiorentini.com/</u>). Meters LIS-1, LIS-1C and LIS-1SK are produced in sizes G2,5, and

Page8

UNFCCC

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>9</sup> <u>http://elektronmash.kiev.ua/gazovi lichilniki.html</u>





Page9

G2,5/G4 and comply with the approved type No. UA-MI/1-1160-2005 (registered in the State register of metering devices admitted to application in Ukraine, under No. U1598-05), as well as with the requirements of SSTU 3336-96, TU U 3.88-14312789.018-2001. The appearance of meters and their main specifications are shown in Figure 6 and Table 1.





Fig. 6. Appearance of the meters produced by SSPE "Electronmash".

Deremotors	Specified values for meter sizes		
Farameters	G2,5	G2,5/G4	
1. The range of volume flow, m <sup>3</sup> /year:-	4.0	60	
maximum (Q max)	ч.0	0.0	
- nominal (Q nom)	2.5	4.0	
- minimum (Q min)	0.0	04	
2. Price of the smallest units digit of the	0.0	01	
measuring indicator, m <sup>3</sup>	0.001		
3. Digit capacity of the measuring indicator, $m^3$	99999.999		
4. Nominal diameter of sleeves, mm, according	.g 25		
to SSTU 2485-94	25		
5. Thread of the connecting sleeves, according	G1	-B	
to SSTU 6357-81	01-0		
6. The maximum overpressure, kPa	2	5	
7. Dimensions, mm, up to (length x width x	158x108x123	LIS-1, LIS-1S	
height)	158 x 108 x 1	156 LIS-1SK	
8. Weight, kg, not more than	1.	0	
9. Internal DC power supply voltage, V	from 3.	0 to 3.6	

Meters of the specified type have an internal battery with voltage of 3.6 V, with a decrease to 3.0 V of which the indicator shows a warning signal that the power source has to be replaced with outputting of the appropriate electronic signal pulse on the conversion signal module (CSM). In this case meters do not stop functioning for the next 5-6 months, taking into account the fact that battery life should not be less than the term of periodic inspection of the meter.

The advantages of such meters are as follows:

- Levitation-impulse mode of functioning, when there is no friction of parts surfaces. Consequently, the meter can be used in regions with gas containing oil-paraffin fractions, which adversely affect other types of gas meters;



Page10

UNFCCC

- serial digital interface, which is used for calibration and verification of meters of the computerized automated installations;
- Outputting of the warning signal that the battery has to be replaced before the meters stop functioning;
- protection from unauthorized impact of magnetic field.

For the remote metering of gas it is planned to install an automated gas metering system (AGMS) produced by SSPE "Electronmash". Automated gas metering system is designed to cover one or more buildings with a total number of subscribers up to 512 for each of the buildings.

AGMS for each building has the following structure (fig.7):

- Apartment meters that are connected directly to the conversion signal module;
- CSM modules, each of which can be connected to 16 gas meters;
- Supermodules for signal conversion (SMSC), each of which can be connected to 32 CSM;
- Radio-frequency transceiver (for AGMS-1) or GSM modem (for AGMS-2) which is connected to the output of the SMPS and which represents the information output of the building;
- Computer (dispatch server), intended for collecting and processing data on gas.



Fig. 7.Scheme of the automated gas metering system

It is planned to buy all necessary equipment for the project from leading Ukrainian and European companies on a tender basis. During the project activity replacement of installed equipment is not planned (except for unscheduled emergency repair works) because its warranty period does not exceed the lifetime of the project.

# The project provides for construction of new gas control points, gas control units.

Gas control points (GCP) and gas control units (GCU) are designed to reduce incoming gas pressure to a specified output (working) one and to maintain it at constant level regardless of changes in input pressure and gas consumption rate. Fluctuations in gas pressure at the outlet of the GCP/GCU may be within 10% of



UNFCCC

working pressure. Cleaning of gas from impurities, control of input and output gas pressure as well as gas temperature, metering of gas flow is also done in the GCP/GCU.

Depending on the gas pressure at the input, the GCP/GCU are divided into medium (0.1 - 0.3 MPa) and high (0.3 - 1.2 MPa) pressure units.

Gas control points are either located in separate buildings or embedded in the one-story industrial buildings or they can be located in cabinets on outside refractory walls on separately standing supports (Cabinet-type GCP).

Gas control units are located in gasified buildings directly in boiler rooms or shops where the gas consuming units are placed, or in adjacent buildings. Technological schemes of GCP and GCE are similar (fig. 8).





Three lines can be distinguished in the GCP: the main, bypass (6) and operating. On the main line the gas equipment is located in the following sequence: Shutoff device at the inlet (latch 8); blowoff gas pipeline (5); the filter for gas cleaning from possible impurities (9); safety-shutoff valve (SSV) (10) that automatically shuts off the gas supply with an increase or decrease of gas pressure in the operating line beyond established limits; the gas pressure regulator (11) which reduces the gas pressure in the operating line and automatically maintains it at a specified level regardless of the consumption of gas by consumers; locking device (latch 12) at the outlet of the main line.

On the bypass line there is a blowoff gas pipeline (5) and two locking devices (latches 2), one of which is used for the manual adjustment of gas pressure in the working line during repair works at the main disconnected line.

On the working pressure line (operating line) the safety-reclaimer valve (1) is installed. It serves to discharge gas through a discharge candle into the atmosphere when the gas pressure in the working line increases above the established limits.

The following test equipment is installed in the GCP: thermometers for measuring gas and air temperature in the GCP room, gas meter (7) (gas meter, throttling flow meter), pressure gauges (3) to measure the incoming gas pressure, pressure in the working line, pressure at the inlet and outlet of the gas filter. The project envisages the use of fittings for GCP, GCE of European manufacturers Pietro Fiorentini (www.fiorentini.com/), DKG-EAST RT (www.DKGEast.hu).





The project envisages construction of GCP, which are operated automatically and without permanent maintenance staff.

The project provides for the introduction of gas control points produced by LLC "Promgaz", specifications of which are indicated at the link of the official manufacturer's web-site <sup>10</sup>, and their appearance is shown on Fig.9, 10.



Fig. 9. Appearance of the block-type GCP produced by the LLC "Promgaz" installed under the project "Reduction of greenhouse gases emissions by gasification of Odesa region" (Illichivsk city)



*Fig. 10. Appearance of the cabinet-type GCP produced by the LLC "Promgaz" installed under the project "Reduction of greenhouse gases emissions by gasification of Odesa region" (urban community Taturino)* 

The project provides for the construction of cathodic protection stations. Cathodic protection is a modern and effective electrochemical mean of anti-corrosion protection of the GDN. The method is based on the dependence of the corrosion rate from the electrode potential of metal, the potential of which is deliberately shifted from the region of active dissolution. This leads to slowing down of the process of corrosion of metal structures. Shifting of the potential is achieved by connection of the negative pole of external current source to the protected building that serves as the cathode.

The project provides for the construction of cathodic protection stations produced by "Elkon"<sup>11</sup>. The cathodic protection station packaging (fig. 11) ensures:

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>10</sup> <u>http://www.promgaz.com.ua/equipment/grp/</u>



- Maintenance of a given load current when changing the network voltage within the range of 170V 250V interruption of load current;
- automatic maintenance of a given protective potential;
- Recording of time when there is a specified potential at the facility, which is protected,;
- Protection against overloads and short circuits in the load circuit;
- Overvoltage protection during storms;
- Shutting off of the station when the supply voltage decreases below 170V with automatic switch to the operating mode when the voltage is increasing;
- Automatic switching to the operating mode after the complete disappearance and the subsequent appearance of the supply voltage.



*Fig. 11. Appearance of a cathodic protection station "Elkon" and schematic diagram of cathodic protection.* 1 – gas pipeline, 2 - anode electrode, 3 - cathodic protection station.

Cathodic protection stations operate on the basis of the electric transducer (PEKZ).

PEKZ (Fig. 12) is designed for anti-corrosion protection of external surfaces of underground metal constructions of various purposes, in particular: pipelines and reservoirs made of carbon and low-alloy steel, main heating-,oil-,gas pipelines and their pipe bends; compressor pipelines, gas control points and pumping stations.

UNFCCC





Page14



Fig.12. Electric cathodic protection transducer PEKZ, produced by "Elkon".

The major milestones of the implementation of the project "Reduction of greenhouse gases emissions by gasification of Odesa region" are given in table 2.

Table 2. Annual project activities implementation schedule under the project in 2003-2012

Phase description			Year of implementation	
Construction of gas distribution networks (GDN);				
Steel welded	High pressure,	Medium	Low pressure, km	
pipes	km	pressure, km		
	52.17	18.77	115.31	2003*
	68.8	59.84	157.098	2004
	41.53	51.29	80.25	2005
	43.69	4.121	131.955	2006
	34.55	30.94	80.068	2007
	16.68	0.157	4.71	2008
	16.38	41.13	61.03	2009
	70.9	28.16	69.88	2010
	80.23	90.58	120.16	2011
	89.1	151.84	170.12	2012
Polyethylene	13.04	6.31	1.7	2003*
pipes	10.15	32.75	3.7	2004
	6.07	19.31	9.18	2005
	19.55	18.79	64.13	2006
	63.54	32.31	40.49	2007
	17.36	16.61	11.26	2008





Page15

	61.99	25.17	31.79	2009
	10.38	105.25	70.44	2010
	141.23	185.33	109.09	2011
	192.84	265.41	147.74	2012
Installation of g	gas meters			
Meters	Individuals, units			
		7855		
		6822		2004
		4522		2005
		5666		2006
		7797		2007
		3422		2008
		5673		2009
		2190		2010
	7099			2011
	9048			2012
Construction of	fgas control points (cabin	et-type gas con	trol points), gas control	units
GCP, CTGCP	High pressure, units	Medium	pressure, units	
	5		14	2003*
	11		18	2004
	9	17		2005
	16 15   21 21   26 19		15	2006
			21	2007
			26 19	
	17		15	2009
	43	56		2010
	46		69	2011
	67 84		84	2012

\* Implementation of the project measures started in September 2003.

A.4.3. Brief explanation of how the anthropogenic emissions of greenhouse gases are to be reduced by the proposed JI <u>project</u>, including why the emission reductions would not occur in the absence of the proposed project, taking into account national and / or sectoral policies and circumstances:

Project activities provide for construction and expansion of gas distribution systems of Odesa region. In the baseline scenario heat-generating installations of end-consumers will continue to run on solid and liquid fuels and electricity. Such energy resources are characterized by high factor of greenhouse gas emissions in the stationary combustion. The project implementation will promote the transition from solid, liquid fuels to more sustainable fuel - natural gas, which will lead to significant reductions in greenhouse gas emissions. The project also provides for emissions reductions resulting from replacement of electricity, used for heating and hot water supply purposes, with natural gas.





Page16

#### **Joint Implementation Supervisory Committee**

Increase in energy efficiency of thermal plants after gasification will promote decrease in energy consumption, leading to a reduction in greenhouse gas emissions. In particular, significant reduction of emissions will be achieved through increased energy efficiency in households, due to high efficiency of individual heating and hot water supply systems.

#### A.4.3.1. Estimated amount of emission reductions over the crediting period:

*Table 3. Estimated amount of emission reductions for the period preceding the first commitment period (2004-2007)* 

	Years
Length of the crediting period	4
Vaar	Estimate of annual emission reductions in
1 cai	tonnes of CO <sub>2</sub> equivalent
2004	823090
2005	849289
2006	1013783
2007	890005
Total estimated emission reductions over the	
crediting period	3576167
(tonnes of CO <sub>2</sub> equivalent)	
Annual average of estimated emission reductions	
over the crediting period	894042
(tonnes of CO <sub>2</sub> equivalent)	

Table 4. Estimated amount of emission reductions over the first commitment period (2008-2012)

	Years
Length of the crediting period	5
Vaar	Estimate of annual emission reductions
i cai	in tonnes of CO <sub>2</sub> equivalent
2008	938473
2009	914790
2010	982014
2011	982014
2012	982014
Total estimated emission reductions over the	
crediting period	4799305
(tonnes of CO <sub>2</sub> equivalent)	
Annual average of estimated emission reduction over	
the crediting period	959861
(tonnes of CO <sub>2</sub> equivalent)	



Page17

*Table 5. Estimated amount of emission reductions for the period following the first commitment period (2012-2020)* 

	Years
Length of the crediting period	8
Vaar	Estimate of annual emission reductions
I ear	in tonnes of CO <sub>2</sub> equivalent
2013	982014
2014	982014
2015	982014
2016	982014
2017	982014
2018	982014
2019	982014
2020	982014
Total estimated emission reductions over the	
crediting period	7856112
(tonnes of CO <sub>2</sub> equivalent)	
Annual average of estimated emission reduction over	
the <u>crediting period</u>	982014
(tonnes of $CO_2$ equivalent)	

Detailed information about emission reductions calculation can be found in Accompanying document 1.18 (Excel file).

Description of formulas used for preliminary estimation of number of emission reductions units is given in Section D and in the Accompanying document 1.18.

# A.5. Project approval by the Parties involved:

The JI project "Reduction of greenhouse gases emissions by gasification of Odesa region" was endorsed by the State Environmental Investment Agency of Ukraine, which is confirmed by the Letter of Endorsement  $N_{\rm P}$  1949/23/7 dated 26/07/2011

Upon determination of the project, the PDD and the Determination report will be presented at the State Environmental Investment Agency of Ukraine in order to obtain a Letter of Approval.



Page18

UNFCCC

# SECTION B. <u>Baseline</u>

#### B.1. Description and justification of the <u>baseline</u> chosen:

The proposed project uses a specific approach for the determination of JI projects based on approved methodology ACM0009 «Consolidated baseline and monitoring methodology for fuel switching from coal or petroleum fuel to natural gas - Version 3.2».<sup>12</sup>

**Dynamic baseline** was selected according to the Guidance on criteria for baseline setting and monitoring, Version  $02^{13}$ ). According to the Guidelines for users of the Joint Implementation Project Design Document Form, Version 04, a stepwise approach is used to describe and justify the baseline chosen:

#### Step 1. Identification and description of the selected approach for the baseline setting.

In the project documentation in order to describe and justify the baseline chosen a specific approach based on the Joint Implementation requirements in accordance with paragraph 9 (a) of the JI Guidance on criteria for baseline setting and monitoring, Version 02, was used.

The baseline is determined by the selection of the most plausible scenario from a list and by the description of plausible future scenarios based on conservative assumptions.

The following steps were used to determine the most plausible baseline scenario:

1. Identification of possible alternatives that could be a baseline scenario

2. Justification of exclusion from consideration of alternatives with a low probability from technical and / or economic point of view.

In the process of baseline setting and justification of additionality (Section B.2.) the following key factors were taken into account:

- Government's policies and applicable law in the energy sector;
- Economic situation in the energy sector in Ukraine and forecasted demand for fossil fuels;
- Technical aspects of management and operation of energy supply systems;
- Availability of capital, including investment barriers that are typical for OJSC "Odesagas";
- The local availability of technology / equipment;
- Price and availability of fuel.

#### Step 2. Application of the selected approach

Selection of the plausible baseline scenario is based on assessment of alternative options for transportation of fossil fuels to end users that potentially could have taken place as of the beginning of the project implementation (2003).

The following alternatives were analysed:

Alternative 1.1: Continuation of existing practice, without the JI project.

*Alternative 1.2:* The project activities without the use of the Joint Implementation mechanism. Below is a detailed analysis of each alternative.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>12</sup>http://cdm.unfccc.int/methodologies/DB/2CRBYLJO5JWC9YHBSWJQWYIH2LLGMJ

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>13</sup><u>http://ji.unfccc.int/Ref/Documents/Baseline\_setting\_and\_monitoring.pdf</u>

# Alternative 1.1

Continuation of existing practice with minimum repairs, while having the general deterioration of gas supply systems.

# State of the fuel and energy sector (energy supply systems) in Ukraine.

In the baseline period the state and development trends of energy supply systems in Ukraine were quite unsatisfactory. This was due to flawed principle of pricing for the provision of services, which failed to provide development of subjects of the system, as well as the inflow of investments into the sector (lack of cost-effective modernization of equipment).

Under the existing market model for the supply of fossil fuels, the effective competition among producers and suppliers of fuel could not be achieved, neither did the fuel pricing, which would stimulate providers to improve efficiency and increase investment in energy sector. Neither existing market mechanisms, nor administrative measures did provide the necessary modernization of existing transportation systems. The situation becomes particularly critical given the growth of the need for fossil fuel in the near future, the lack of which represents a threat to safe operation of local heating and hot water supply systems, electricity generation systems, and other consumers of fossil fuels. Inadequate tariff policy also leads to an increase in accounts payable of the suppliers of fossil fuels that result in their bankruptcy or non-transparent privatization. State investment programs in most cases are directed at the administrative and organizational implementations<sup>14</sup>. In addition, there are no conditions for contributing to the inflow of investments both from domestic and foreign investors.

This alternative is the most plausible baseline scenario because:

- It allows for transporting fossil fuels with existing facilities;
- It does not require investment in new equipment.

Accordingly, *Alternative 1.1* can be considered as the most plausible baseline.

# Alternative 1.2

The project activities without the use of Joint Implementation mechanism.

The main obstacle that hinders the implementation of this scenario is an investment barrier, because it requires attracting significant additional funds. Such investment is characterized by a significant payback period and high investment risks.

This alternative can not be considered as the most plausible baseline scenario, as the main obstacle to its implementation is the lack of investment in new manufacturing equipment and high investment risks.

# Analysis of the alternatives described above shows that the most plausible one is Alternative 1.1.

Results of the performed investment analysis in Section B2 show that *Alternative 1.2* can not be considered as the most plausible one from a financial point of view. Detailed information relating to analysis of investment barriers is provided in Section B2.

The results of analysis performed in accordance with the "Tools for demonstration and assessment of additionality" (Version 05.2) in section B2 show that the project scenario is additional.

# Baseline scenario description

The baseline scenario provides for the continuation of existing practice with minimum repairs, while having the overall deterioration of fossil fuels supply systems. In the absence of the proposed project less sustainable fossil fuel will still be used with significant losses during its transportation and storage. This is a common practice in Ukraine.





<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>14</sup><u>http://www.ukrenergo.energy.gov.ua/ukrenergo/control/uk/publish/archive?&cat\_id=33495&stind=1</u>



UNFCCC

#### Joint Implementation Supervisory Committee

Determination of GHG emissions in the baseline scenario will be performed using a specific approach for joint implementation projects for each year when monitoring of the project activity will take place, in such a way so that to adjust volume of fossil fuel substituted with gas. This will allow for calculation of the volume of greenhouse gas emissions for each project year, in the absence of the project activity.

Detailed information about the algorithm of baseline emissions calculation of baseline parameters is provided in Section D.1. and Annex 2.

Data/Parameter	$V_{gas,PP}^{y}$
Unit of measurement	Ths m <sup>3</sup>
Description	Total quantity of natural gas combusted in period "y" by an
	individual
Periodicity of	Monthly
determination/monitoring	
Source of data (to be) used	Gas meters
Value of data applied	Subject to periodical monitoring
(for ex ante	
calculations/determinations)	
Justification of the choice of	Measurements will be performed by gas meters
data or description of	
measurement methods and	
procedures (to be) applied	
QA/QC procedures (to be)	Equipment is calibrated and verified according to the quality
applied	management procedures, the law of Ukraine "On metrology and
	metrological activities".
Any comment	A cubic meter, reduced to standard conditions ( $T = 20$ degrees, C, P
	= 101.325 kPa (760 mm. of mercury) and humidity is equal to zero)
	is taken as the unit of account of gas supplied to individuals and
	legal entities. Data about the amount of gas consumption by
	subscribers is the basic Data allowing for calculation of GHG
	emissions for each year in the project scenario; information will be
	archived in paper and electronic form

Key information for baseline determination is stated in the tables given below.

Data/Parameter	$V_{gas,LE}^y$
Unit of measurement	Ths m <sup>3</sup>
Description	Total quantity of natural gas combusted in period "y" by a legal
	entity, in the project scenario
Periodicity of	Monthly
determination/monitoring	
Source of data (to be) used	Gas meters
Value of data applied	Subject to periodical monitoring
(for ex ante	
calculations/determinations)	
Justification of the choice of	Measurements will be performed by gas meters



data or description of	
measurement methods and	
procedures (to be) applied	
QA/QC procedures (to be)	Equipment is calibrated and verified according to the quality
applied	management procedures, the law of Ukraine "On metrology and
	metrological activities".
Any comment	A cubic meter, reduced to standard conditions ( $T = 20$ degrees, C, P
	= 101.325 kPa (760 mm. of mercury) and humidity is equal to zero)
	is taken as the unit of account of gas supplied to individuals and
	legal entities. Data about the amount of gas consumption by
	subscribers is the basic Data allowing for calculation of GHG
	emissions for each year in the project scenario; information will be
	archived in paper and electronic form

Data/Parameter	NCV <sup>y</sup> <sub>fuel</sub>			
Unit of measurement	TJ/ ths t			
Description	Net calorific valu	ue of fossil fuel of	of "fuel" type. (F	uel of «fuel» type
	means coal, woo	d, fuel oil, diesel	oil)	
Periodicity of	Annually			
determination/monitoring				
Source of data (to be) used	The national in	nventory report	of anthropoger	nic emissions by
	sources and rem	ovals by sinks o	f greenhouse gas	ses in Ukraine for
	1990-2009. Tabl	e P.2.24. <sup>15</sup> Data	on the type of f	fossil fuel used by
	the consumer before the gasification are provided by district			
	administrations and village councils.			
Value of data applied (for ex ante calculations/determinations)	Coal (for the population), TJ/ ths t 21.7	Fuel oil (for heat generation), TJ /ths m <sup>3</sup> 39.8	Diesel oil, TJ /ths m <sup>3</sup> 42.5	
Justification of the choice of data or description of measurement methods and procedures (to be) applied	The parameter is used according to the approved CDM methodology ACM0009 and "Guidance on criteria for baseline setting and monitoring». Net calorific value of natural gas that is based on officially approved national data will be used. Data on the type of fossil fuel used by the consumer before the gasification are provided by district administrations and village councils.			
QA/QC procedures (to be) applied	Officially approved national data that are actual at the moment of the monitoring report preparation will be used.			
Any comment	Data allowing for calculation of GHG emissions in the baseline scenario; information will be archived in paper and electronic form.			

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>15</sup> <u>http://www.neia.gov.ua/nature/doccatalog/document?id=124939</u>





procedures (to be) applied

Data/Parameter	NCV <sup>y</sup> <sub>gas</sub>				
Unit of measurement	TJ/ mlnm <sup>3</sup>				
Description	Net calorific value of natural gas				
Periodicity of	Annually				
determination/monitoring					
Source of data (to be) used	The national in	The national inventory report of anthropogenic emissions by			
	sources and rem	ovals by sinks	of greenhouse	gases in Ukraine for	
	1990-2009. Table P.2.24. <sup>16</sup>				
Value of data applied	33.9				
(for ex ante					
calculations/determinations)					
Justification of the choice of	The parameter	is used ac	cording to th	e approved CDM	
data or description of	methodology AG	CM0009 and	"Guidance on	criteria for baseline	
measurement methods and	setting and mon	itoring». Net c	alorific value c	of natural gas that is	
procedures (to be) applied	based on official	ly approved nat	tional data will b	be used.	
QA/QC procedures (to be)	Officially approved national data that are actual at the moment of				
applied	the monitoring report preparation will be used.				
Any comment	According to principle of conservatism minimal calorific value of				
	gas is used.				
	;				
Data/Parameter	$k^{c}_{{\scriptscriptstyle fuel}}$				
Unit of measurement	tC/TJ				
Description	Carbon emission	factor when c	ombusting fossi	il fuel of "fuel" type.	
	(Fuel of «fuel» ty	ype means coal	, wood, fuel oil,	diesel oil)	
Periodicity of	Annually				
determination/monitoring					
Source of data (to be) used	The national in	nventory repor	t of anthropo	genic emissions by	
	sources and reme	ovals by sinks	of greenhouse	gases in Ukraine for	
	1990-2009. Table	e P.2.26. <sup>17</sup>			
Value of data applied		Fuel oil (for			
(for ex ante	Coal (for the	heat	Diesel oil		
calculations/determinations)	population)	generation)			
	25.3	21.1	20.2		
Justification of the choice of	According to "	'Guidance on	criteria for b	aseline setting and	
data or description of	monitoring»			-	
measurement methods and	-				

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>16</sup><u>http://unfccc.int/files/national\_reports/annex\_i\_ghg\_inventories/national\_inventories\_submissions/application/zip/ukr-2011-nir-08jun.zip</u>

 $<sup>^{17} \</sup>underline{http://unfccc.int/files/national\_reports/annex\_i\_ghg\_inventories/national\_inventories\_submissions/application/zip/ukr-2011-nir-08jun.zip$ 





QA/QC procedures (to be)	N/A
applied	
Any comment	Data allowing for calculation of GHG emissions in the baseline
	scenario; information will be archived in paper and electronic form

Data/Parameter	$k^o_{fuel}$				
Unit of measurement	Relative units	Relative units			
Description	Carbon oxidatio	on factor when c	ombusting fossi	l fuel of "fuel" type	
Periodicity of	Annually				
determination/monitoring					
Source of data (to be) used	The national i	inventory repor	t of anthropog	genic emissions by	
	sources and ren	novals by sinks	of greenhouse	gases in Ukraine for	
	1990-2009. Tab	le P.2.27. <sup>18</sup>			
Value of data applied	Coal (for the	Fuel oil (for			
(for ex ante	population)	heat	Diesel oil		
calculations/determinations)	population)	generation)			
	0.98	0.99	0.99		
Justification of the choice of	Carbon oxidation	on factor when	combusting for	ossil fuel is used to	
data or description of	determine the	carbon dioxid	e emission fac	ctor by default for	
measurement methods and	stationary comb	oustion of fossil	fuels in Ukraine	. The data source for	
procedures (to be) applied	this parameter	is the National	inventory repo	ort of anthropogenic	
	emissions by so	ources and remo	vals by sinks of	greenhouse gases in	
	Ukraine, based	on approved nat	ional data.		
QA/QC procedures (to be)	Officially appro	oved national da	ta that are actu	al at the moment of	
applied	the monitoring report preparation will be used.				
Any comment	Data allowing	for calculation	of GHG emiss	ions in the baseline	
	scenario; inform	nation will be are	chived in paper	and electronic form	

Data/Parameter	k <sub>7,fuel</sub>
Unit of measurement	kWh/GJ
Description	Specific saving of electric energy in the course of heat carrier
	transportation to end consumer with account of losses in electrical
	grids
Periodicity of	Once at the beginning of the project
determination/monitoring	
Source of data (to be) used	Report «Determination of change of specific energy data of heat
	supply system in the course of gasification" developed by
	"UKRENERGOPROM-2" as of June 24, 2011. Also this report
	received a positive review from the "Institute of Engineering
	Thermophysics, NAS of Ukraine." The report is provided to the

 $<sup>\</sup>label{eq:linear} {}^{18} \underline{\mbox{http://unfccc.int/files/national\_reports/annex\_i\_ghg\_inventories/national\_inventories\_submissions/application/zip/ukr-2011-nir-08jun.zip$ 





	State Environmental Investment Agency as a separate
	accompanying document.
Value of data applied	2.016
(for ex ante	
calculations/determinations)	
Justification of the choice of	This applies only to individuals who were previously connected to
data or description of	central heating system. This factor was determined by analyzing the
measurement methods and	actual performance characteristics of technological equipment
procedures (to be) applied	located in different regions of Ukraine (Odesa, Donetsk, Kyiv
	regions). The use of the factor is possible throughout Ukraine.
	The specified value (2.016) applies only if consumers switch from
	centralized heating systems, which ran on fossil fuel of "fuel" type,
	to gasified centralized or individual systems. Otherwise, the value
	of 0 is used.
QA/QC procedures (to be)	N/A
applied	
Any comment	Data allowing for calculation of GHG emissions in the baseline
	scenario; information will be archived in paper and electronic form

Data/Parameter	$k^{b}_{1, prepfuel}$			
Unit of measurement	Relative units			
Description	Average efficiency factor that takes into account energy loss in the			
	course of energy carrier prep	aration in the bas	seline scenario	
Periodicity of	Once			
determination/monitoring				
Source of data (to be) used	Report «Determination of change of specific energy data of heat			
	supply system in the course of gasification". The report is provided			
	to the State Environmental Investment Agency as a separate			
	accompanying document.			
Value of data applied	Basic source of heat	Baseline fuel		
calculations/determinations)	Basic source of heat	Fuel oil	Coal	
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Central system	0.965	0.965	
	Individual system	1	1	
Justification of the choice of	This applies in case of transf	er of individual	and central heat sup	pply
data or description of	systems to gas. This factor v	was determined b	by analyzing the ac	ctual
measurement methods and	performance characteristics	of technological	equipment located	d in
procedures (to be) applied	different regions of Ukraine	e (Odesa, Donet	sk, Kyiv regions).	The
	use of the factor is possible the	hroughout Ukraii	ne.	
	The specified values apply in case of transfer of individual or			
	central heat supply systems to gas. Otherwise, the value of 1 is used.			
QA/QC procedures (to be)	N/A			
applied				





Any comment	Data allowing for calculation of GHG emissions in the baseline
	scenario; information will be archived in paper and electronic form

Data/Parameter	$k_{1,prepfuel}^{p}$			
Unit of measurement	Relative units			
Description	Average efficiency factor that takes into account energy loss in the			
	course of energy carrier prepa	aration, in the project scenario		
Periodicity of	Once			
determination/monitoring				
Source of data (to be) used	Report «Determination of cl	hange of specific energy data of h	neat	
	supply system in the course of gasification". The report is provided			
	to the State Environmental Investment Agency as a separate			
	accompanying document.			
Value of data applied		Project fuel		
(for ex ante calculations/determinations)	Project source of heat	Natural gas		
	Central system	0.98		
	Individual system	1		
Justification of the choice of	This applies in case of transfe	er of individual and central heat sup	ply	
data or description of	systems to gas. This factor w	vas determined by analyzing the act	tual	
measurement methods and	performance characteristics	of technological equipment located	l in	
procedures (to be) applied	different regions of Ukraine	e (Odesa, Donetsk, Kyiv regions).	The	
	use of the factor is possible th	rroughout Ukraine.		
	The specified values apply	in case of transfer of individual	or	
	central heat supply systems to	p gas. Otherwise, the value of 1 is us	sed.	
QA/QC procedures (to be)	N/A			
applied				
Any comment	Data allowing for calculation	on of GHG emissions in the basel	line	
	scenario; information will be archived in paper and electronic form			

Data/Parameter	$k_{3,ef}^b$		
Unit of measurement	Relative units		
Description	Average efficiency factor of	of boiler equipment that takes i	into
	account efficiency of therm	nal generating units in the based	line
	scenario		
Periodicity of	Once at the beginning of the p	project	
determination/monitoring			
Source of data (to be) used	Report «Determination of ch	nange of specific energy data of h	neat
	supply system in the course of	of gasification". The report is provide	ded
	to the State Environmental	l Investment Agency as a separ	rate
	accompanying document.		
Value of data applied	Basic source of heat	Baseline fuel	
(for ex ante	L I		





calculations/determinations)		Fuel oil	Coal	
	Central system	0.79	0.76	
	Individual system	-	0.74	
Justification of the choice of	This applies in case of transf	fer of individual	and central heat sup	oply
data or description of	systems to gas. This factor v	was determined I	by analyzing the ac	tual
measurement methods and	performance characteristics of technological equipment located i			
procedures (to be) applied	different regions of Ukraine (Odesa, Donetsk, Kyiv regions). Usin			
	of the factor is possible throu	ighout Ukraine.		
	The specified values apply	in case of tra	nsfer of individua	l or
	central heat supply systems t	o gas. Otherwise	e, the value of 1 is u	sed.
QA/QC procedures (to be)	N/A			
applied				
		C CHC	• • • .1 1	1.
Any comment	Data allowing for calculation	on of GHG em	issions in the base	line
	scenario; information will be	archived in pape	er and electronic for	rm

Data/Parameter	$k_{3,ef}^p$			
Unit of measurement	Relative units			
Description	Average efficiency factor of boiler equipment that takes into			
	account efficiency of ther	mal generating units in the project		
	scenario			
Periodicity of	Once at the beginning of the	project		
determination/monitoring				
Source of data (to be) used	Report «Determination of c	hange of specific energy data of heat		
	supply system in the course	of gasification". The report is provided		
	to the State Environmental Investment Agency as a separate			
	accompanying document.			
Value of data applied	Project source of heat	Project fuel		
(for ex ante calculations/determinations)	Project source of heat	Natural gas		
······	Central system	0.92		
	Individual system	0.92		
Justification of the choice of	This applies in case of transf	er of individual and central heat supply		
data or description of	systems to gas. This factor v	was determined by analyzing the actual		
measurement methods and	performance characteristics	of technological equipment located in		
procedures (to be) applied	different regions of Ukraine	e (Odesa, Donetsk, Kyiv regions). The		
	use of the factor is possible the	hroughout Ukraine.		
	The specified values apply	in case of transfer of individual or		
	central heat supply systems to	o gas. Otherwise, the value of 1 is used.		
QA/QC procedures (to be)	N/A			
applied				
Any commont	Data allowing for coloulativ	on of GHG amissions in the baseling		
Any comment	Data allowing for calculation of GHG emissions in the baseline			
	scenario; information will be	archived in paper and electronic form		





Data/Parameter	$k^b_{4,pipes}$				
Unit of measurement	Relative units				
Description	Average efficiency factor that takes into account heat energy losses				
	in the course of heat carrier transportation to the end consumer, in				in
	the baseline scenario				
Periodicity of	Once				
determination/monitoring					
Source of data (to be) used	Report «Determination of c	hange of s	pecific energ	y data of he	eat
	supply system in the course	of gasificat	ion". The rep	ort is provid	led
	to the State Environmenta	l Investme	ent Agency	as a separa	ate
	accompanying document.				
Value of data applied	Pagia source of heat		Baseline fuel		
(for ex ante calculations/determinations)	Basic source of heat	Fuel oil	Wood	Coal	
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Central system	0.844	0.844	0.844	
	Individual system	0.844	0.844	0.844	
Justification of the choice of data or description of measurement methods and procedures (to be) applied QA/QC procedures (to be) applied	This applies in case of transfer of individual and central heat supply systems to gas. This factor was determined by analyzing the actual performance characteristics of technological equipment located in different regions of Ukraine (Odesa, Donetsk, Kyiv regions).The use of the factor is possible throughout Ukraine. The specified values apply in case of transfer of individual or central heat supply systems to gas. Otherwise, the value of 1 is used. N/A			oly ual in The or ed.	
Any comment	Data allowing for calculation of GHG emissions in the baseline scenario; information will be archived in paper and electronic form				

Data/Parameter	$k_{4,pipes}^p$			
Unit of measurement	Relative units			
Description	Average efficiency factor that takes into account heat energy losses			
	in the course of heat carrier transportation to the end consumer, in			
	the project scenario			
Periodicity of	Once			
determination/monitoring				
Source of data (to be) used	Report «Determination of c	hange of specific energy data of heat		
	supply system in the course of gasification". The report is provided			
	to the State Environmenta	l Investment Agency as a separate		
	accompanying document.			
Value of data applied	During	Project fuel		
(for ex ante calculations/determinations)	Project source of heat	Natural gas		





	Central system	0.844	
	Individual system	1	
Justification of the choice of	This applies in case of transf	er of individual and central heat su	pply
data or description of	systems to gas. This factor v	was determined by analyzing the ad	ctual
measurement methods and	performance characteristics	of technological equipment locate	d in
procedures (to be) applied	different regions of Ukraine (Odesa, Donetsk, Kyiv regions). Th		
	use of the factor is possible the	hroughout Ukraine.	
	The specified values apply	in case of transfer of individua	l or
	central heat supply systems to	o gas. Otherwise, the value of 1 is u	sed.
QA/QC procedures (to be)	N/A		
applied			
Any comment	Data allowing for calculation	on of GHG emissions in the base	eline
	scenario; information will be	archived in paper and electronic fo	rm

Data/I al ameter CEF	y elec
Data unit tCO <sub>2</sub>	/MWh
Description GHG	emission factor when electricity consumption is reduced
Time of Annu	ally
determination/monitoring	
Source of data (to be) used Carbo docum "Ope Imple (ERU - Car docum consu of ne - Car the (here speci - Car order carbo - Car	on dioxide emission factors for 2004-2005 are taken from the ment issued by the Ministry of economy of Netherland erational Guidelines for Project Design Documents of Joint ementation Projects Volume 1: General guidelines" JPT) <sup>19</sup> bon dioxide emission factors for 2006-2007 are taken from the ment "Carbon dioxide emission factors (for energy umption according to the methodology "Ukraine - Assessment w calculation of CEF", approved by TUV SUD 17.08.2007) <sup>20</sup> ; bon dioxide emission factors for 2008 are taken from Order of National Environmental Investment Agency of Ukraine inafter - NEIAU) $\mathbb{N}$ 62 of 15.04.2011 "On approval of fic carbon dioxide emission factors for 2009 are taken from the r of NEIAU # 63 of 15.04.2011 "On approval of specific on dioxide emission factors for 2010 are taken from the r of NEIAU # 43 of 28.03.2011. "On approval of specific on dioxide emission factors for 2010 are taken from the r of NEIAU # 43 of 28.03.2011. "On approval of specific on dioxide emission factors for 2010 are taken from the r of NEIAU # 43 of 28.03.2011. "On approval of specific on dioxide emission factors for 2010 are taken from the r of NEIAU # 43 of 28.03.2011. "On approval of specific on dioxide emission factors for 2010 are taken from the r of NEIAU # 43 of 28.03.2011."

 $<sup>^{19}</sup> http://ji.unfccc.int/CallForInputs/BaselineSettingMonitoring/ERUPT/index.html \\$ 

 $<sup>^{20}</sup> http://ji.unfccc.int/UserManagement/FileStorage/46JW2KL36KM0GEMI0PHDTQF6DVI514$ 

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>21</sup>http://www.neia.gov.ua/nature/doccatalog/document?id=127171

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>22</sup>http://www.neia.gov.ua/nature/doccatalog/document?id=127172





# Joint Implementation Supervisory Committee

Page29

	- Carbon dioxide emission factors for 2011 are taken from the						
	Order of NEIAU # 75 of 12.05.2011. "On approval of specific						
	carbon di	carbon dioxide emission factors in 2011" <sup>24</sup> ;					
Value of data applied	2004	2005	2006-	2000	2000	2010	2011
(for ex ante	2004	2005	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011
calculations/determinations)	0.916	0.896	0.896	1.082	1.096	1.093	1.090
Justification of the choice of	When developing the joint implementation project national GHG						
data or description of	emission factors are used, in case of their absence factors for 2004-						
measurement methods and	2005 are taken from ERUPT, factors for 2006-2007 are taken from						
procedures (to be) applied	the document "Carbon dioxide emission factor" approved TUV						
	SUD						
QA/QC procedures (to be)	Only officially approved factors are used for calculations.						
Applied							
Any comment	Research	es don't ta	ake into co	nsideratio	n product	ion of ene	ergy by
	nuclear p	ower plan	ts				

Data/Parameter	$CEF_{gas,unit}^{y}$				
Data unit	t CO <sub>2</sub> -eq/ m <sup>3</sup>	t CO <sub>2</sub> -eq/ $m^3$			
Description	Reduced GHO	G emission fac	ctor in the cour	rse of natur	al gas
	transportation	to end consumer	S		
Time of	Once at the beg	ginning of the pr	ojecty		
determination/monitoring					
Source of data (to be) used	Official data of	f the Ministry of	f Fuel and Energy	of Ukraine a	and the
	national invent	ory report of and	thropogenic emiss	sions by sourc	ces and
	removals by sin	nks of greenhous	se gases in Ukrair	the for $1990-20$	009.
	Detailed calcu	lation and the	reference to the	source of d	ata are
	Appendix 3	ie accompanyin	ig document 1.1	8 Excel II	lie and
Value of data applied	Annex 5.				
(for ex ante	Reduced GHG emission factor in the course of				
calculations/determinations)	transport	tation of $1 \text{ m}^3$ of	gas, $CEF_{gas}^{unit}$ , t (	$CO_2/m^3$	
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	2003	2004	2005	2006	
	0.000072	0.000071	0.000094	0.00007	
	2007	2008	2009	2010	
	0.000066	0.000073	0.000057	0.000055	
Justification of the choice of	See Annex 3				
data or description of					
measurement methods and					
procedures (to be) applied					
QA/QC procedures (to be)	Calculations as	re based on the	officially approv	ved national of	data of
Applied	the Ministry of Fuel and Energy of Ukraine and the national				
	inventory rep	ort of anthrop	ogenic emission	s by source	es and
	removals by sin	nks of greenhous	se gases in Ukrair	ne.	

<sup>23</sup>http://www.neia.gov.ua/nature/doccatalog/document?id=126006

<sup>24</sup>http://www.neia.gov.ua/nature/doccatalog/document?id=127498





Page30

#### Joint Implementation Supervisory Committee

Any comment

N/A

# **B.2.** Description of how the anthropogenic emissions of greenhouse gases by sources are reduced below those that would have occurred in the absence of the JI <u>project</u>:

Anthropogenic emissions of greenhouse gases in the project scenario will be decreased due to complex modernization of fossil fuel supply by introduction of technologies proposed in the project activity and described above, which include:

- Gas-distribution networks (GDN);
- Gas control points (GCP);
- Cabinet-type gas-control points (CGCP);
- Gas fittings;

# Additionality of the project

The additionality of the project activity is demonstrated and assessed with using the "Tool for the demonstration and assessment of additionality"<sup>25</sup> (Version 05.2). This manual was elaborated in original for CDM projects, but it may be also applied to JI projects.

# Step 1. Identification of alternatives to the project activity and their consistency with current laws and regulations

# Sub-step 1a. Define alternatives to the project activity

There are two alternative variants of this project (which have already been discussed in Section B.1). *Alternative 1.1:* continuation of existing situation, without JI project implementation. *Alternative 1.2:* project activity without application of Joint Implementation mechanism.

# Outcome of sub-step 1a. Two realistic alternative scenarios to the project activity are identified

# Sub-step 1b. Consistency with mandatory laws and regulations

*Alternative 1.1*: Continuation of current practice of exploitation of the existing capacities of OJSC "Odesagas" is the most realistic and credible alternative to the Project implementation, since this variant is associated with minimal costs for OJSC "Odesagas."

According to the Law of Ukraine "On principles of the natural gas market functioning"<sup>26</sup> Article 8, OJSC "Odesagas" and the competent authorities of the government and local self-government shall:

- Ensure efficient use of natural, human and financial resources;

- Ensure the participation in the development and approval of plans of prospective development of pipelines on corresponding territory;

- Promote the development of gas distribution networks;

- Ensure the participation in formation and approval of the list of enterprises, which in the period of seasonal fall of temperature shall be converted to work with reserve fuel;

- Ensure compliance with the legislation of Ukraine in the field of environmental protection. Article 13. Gas transmission enterprise:

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>25</sup> <u>http://cdm.unfccc.int/methodologies/PAmethodologies/tools/am-tool-01-v5.2.pdf</u>

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>26</sup><u>http://zakon1.rada.gov.ua/cgi-bin/laws/main.cgi?nreg=2467-17</u>



UNFCCC

- is obliged to ensure equal access to its networks for all suppliers and consumers of natural gas;
- during the transportation of natural gas is obliged to comply with the requirements for transportation of gas, set regulations and regulatory documents;
- has the right to transit natural gas through Ukraine, implementation of such activity can not restrict the scope of the enterprise as a participant of the natural gas market.

The current Ukrainian system of tariff establishment for natural gas does not include an investment component for the development of gas distribution networks. According to the Law "On principles of the natural gas market functioning OJSC "Odesagas" is not obliged and unmotivated to build new gas distribution systems at its own expense.

*Alternative 1.2:* Until now OJSC "Odesagas" has not performed any measures for gasification. Moreover, OJSC "Odesagas" does not have any incentives or funds for implementation of the measures provided for by the Project in the absence of its support by the mechanisms established in article 6 of the Kyoto Protocol to the UN Framework Convention On Climate Change (step 1.2, step 2 and step 3 below). OJSC "Odesagas" does not have any financial incentives to cover such costs for implementation of this Project or similar measures represented in this project, except for possible proceeds received under the mechanism established by article 6 of the Kyoto Protocol to the UN Framework Convention On Climate Convention On Climate Change.

Construction, reconstruction and modernization without the use of JI mechanism shall be consistent with mandatory laws and regulations; detailed information on analysis of consistency with the law was made for *Alternative 1.1*, which is similar in context of consistency with mandatory laws and regulations to *Alternative 1.2*.

**Outcome of sub-step 1b.** Under such circumstances one may say that all scenarios are consistent with current laws and regulatory acts.

# Therefore Step 1. is satisfied.

According to the document "Tool for the demonstration and assessment of additionality (Version 05.2)<sup>27</sup> subsequent justification of additionality shall be performed by means of investment analysis.

# Step 2 - Investment Analysis.

The main purpose of investment analysis is to determine whether the proposed project:

(a) is not the most economically or financially attractive, or

(b) is not economically or financial feasible without income from sale of ERUs related to the JI project.

# Sub-step 2a - Determination of appropriate analysis method.

There are three methods used for investment analysis: a simple cost analysis, comparative investment analysis and a benchmark analysis. If the project activities and alternatives identified in Step 1 do not receive other financial or economic benefits other than income related to JI, then apply a simple cost analysis (Variant I). Otherwise, use the comparative investment analysis (Variant II) or a benchmark analysis (variant III).

Guidelines for additionality make it possible to perform comparative investment analysis, which compares corresponding financial indices for the most realistic and reasonable investment alternatives (Variant II), or a benchmark analysis (Variant III). For this project it is appropriate to apply analysis using Variation III, under the instructions of guidelines for additionality.

# Sub-step 2b-Banchmark analysis.

The proposed project "Reduction of greenhouse gases emissions by gasification of Odesa region" will be implemented by the project participant, OJSC "Odesagas." The approach recommended in cl.6 (a) of

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>27</sup><u>http://cdm.unfccc.int/methodologies/PAmethodologies/tools/am-tool-01-v5.2.pdf</u>



# UNFECC

#### **Joint Implementation Supervisory Committee**

Guidelines for additionality provided for using of a discount rate that is determined by considering the average cost of capital (WACC). WACC is calculated as a weighted average cost of own and debt capital. Since detailed information on the structure of financing, capital structure is taken in the form of 50% of own and 50% of debt capital. In accordance with Section 18 of "Guidelines on the assessment of investment analysis ver.05"<sup>28</sup> cost of own capital is calculated as the sum of risk-free rate (3%), the risk premium on investment in own capital (6.5%) and country risk (6.75%)<sup>29</sup>. Thus the cost of own capital is 16.25%. The cost of own capital is estimated at the average cost of credit in foreign currency at the beginning of 2003 according to the NBU, which was 11.75%. Nominal discount rate (WACC) is equal to 14% respectively. And real discount rate (IRR benchmark) is adjusted by inflation index for the eurozone (2.1%)<sup>30</sup> because the calculations infinancial model are carried out in euros, and is equal to 11.66%<sup>31</sup>.

If the proposed project (not implemented as a JI project) has a less favorable rate, i.e. lower internal rate of return (IRR), than the total limit level, the project may not be financially attractive.

# Sub-step 2c - Calculation and comparison of financial indicators.

Financial analysis refers to the time of making investment decisions. The following assumptions were used based on information provided by the company.

The project requires investment of approximately UAH 526 mln or EUR 57 million (According to the NBU's rate)<sup>32</sup>;

1. The project duration is 18 years (minimal term of the equipment operation);

2. The residual value is calculated as the result of multiplication of unused resource for initial expenses. Analysis of cash flow takes into account the cash outflow connected with investments and operating costs<sup>33</sup> and cash inflow associated with the receipt of revenues from providing of services by the enterprise.

Financial Indicators of the project are given below in Table 5.

Earnings from	Cash	p (discount	NPV (ths	IRR	Residual value
gas supply	flow	rate)	EURO)	(%)	(ths EURO)
without VAT	(thsEUR				
(thsEURO)	0)				
47 783.53	32 484.89	11.66%	-6242.902369	6.8%	42343.82082

Table5. Financial indicators of the project

The source of prices for the service of gas distribution and supply provided by "Odesagas" (2.32 UAH/ths m<sup>3</sup>) is the information provided by the company and NERC of Ukraine Decree N 983 dated 04.09.2002, Kyiv "On

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>28</sup><u>http://cdm.unfccc.int/Reference/Guidclarif/reg/reg\_guid03.pdf</u>

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>29</sup>http://pages.stern.nyu.edu/~adamodar/pc/archives/ctryprem03.xls

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>30</sup><u>http://www.finfacts.ie/inflation.htm</u>

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>31</sup>Accompanying document 3

<sup>32</sup>http://www.bank.gov.ua/Statist/ses.htm

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>33</sup> Accompanying document 2



UNFCCC

approval of the method of calculating tariffs for the transportation and supply of natural gas for gas supply and gasification companies"<sup>34</sup>.

When analyzing the cash flow the IRR is 6.8% that is below the established limit level of IRR which is 11.66%. As a result NPV is negative. Therefore the project can not be considered as financially attractive.

# Sub-step 2d: Sensitivity analysis

The sensitivity analysis is conducted to confirm whether the conclusions on the financial / economic attractiveness are enough stable at different substantiated variants of baseline terms' change. The next two key factors were considered in sensitivity analysis: investment and operational costs and tariff for natural gas transportation. According to the guidelines for additionality (paragraph 17) a sensitivity analysis should be made for key indicators in the range of variation  $\pm 10\%$ .

Sensitivity to changes of investment and			
operational costs	-10%	0%	+10%
Capital and other costs related to the project			
(ths EURO)	51878.2102	57642.45577	63406.70135
NPV	-4135.853691	-6242.902369	-8349.951048
IRR	8%	6.8%	5.8%
Sensitivity to changes of tarifffor natural gas			
transportation	-10%	0%	+10%
Profit for gas supply (ths EURO)	43005.17347	47783.52607	52561.87868
NPV	-7725.660811	-6242.902369	-4760.143928
IRR	5.7%	6.8%	7.9%

Sensitivity analysis was used to assess the sensitivity of the project to changes that may occur during the project implementation and operation. Analysis of changes of tariff for natural gas transportation in the range of -10% and +10% demonstrated that the IRR varies within 5.7% - 7.9%. Analysis of investment and operational costs in the range of -10% and +10% demonstrated that the IRR varies within 8% - 5.8%. Costs considered within the project are high, and their increase will result in a negative NPV. However in case of expected price of the investment and the income from the sale of ERUs the project is viable and will bring enough profit even in case of credit financing of the project and it should make a profit even if the above changes in price of investment take place.

**Outcome of Step 2:** sensitivity analysis consistently supports (for a realistic range of assumptions) the conclusion that the project is unlikely to be financially / economically attractive.

# Step 3: Barrier Analysis

According to the Guidelines of additionality the barrier analysis was not conducted.

# **Step 4: Common practice analysis**

# Sub-step 4a. Analysis of other activities similar to the proposed project activity

Analysis of other activity similar to that suggested in the Project demonstrated absence of similar projects in Ukraine.

Existing practice for exploitation of existing capacities represented in the variant of the baseline selected for this Project is the common one for Ukraine. Due to the current practice all connections to the gas distribution

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>34</sup><u>http://zakon.nau.ua/doc/?code=v0983227-02</u>



UNFCCC

networks shall be borne by the end users, and the companies engaged in gas supply do not have any incentive to implement new gas supply systems.

**Outcome of sub-step 4a:** Since there is no similar project in Ukraine, there is no need to conduct analysis of similar project activity.

According to «Tool for the demonstration and assessment of additionality»<sup>35</sup> (Version 05.2) all steps are satisfied but there are still some obstacles.

One of them is additional costs for JI project implementation in:

- Gas-distribution networks (GDN);
- Gas control points (GCP);
- Cabinet-type gas-control points (CGCP);
- Gas fittings;

Barrier associated with the structure of existing tariffs for natural gas supply that are regulated by the state and do not include depreciation and investment needs of the suppliers of natural gas. This situation leads to a constant shortage of funds and the inability to timely implementation of major repairs, ensuring of equipment operation, investment in modernization and infrastructure development.

We conclude that all of the above may prejudice the introduction of the proposed project as well as other alternatives - Partial project activity (implementation of not-all project equipment) without application of Joint Implementation mechanism.

However, one of the alternatives is a continuation of "business as usual." Since the obstacles identified above are directly related to investment in modernization of fossil fuel supply system, OJSC "Odesagas" has no obstacles to further exploitation of networks at the same level. Therefore identified obstacles can not prejudice the introduction of at least one alternative scenario - continuation of "business as usual." **Conclusion** 

Based on the above analysis we can conclude that the project is additional.

# **B.3.** Description of how the definition of the <u>project boundary</u> is applied to the <u>project</u>:

Transportation of fossil fuels to the end consumers is associated with emissions and leakages of the following GHG:

1. GHG emissions due to combustion of fossil fuels by individuals, which include:

1.1. GHG emissions due to fossil fuel use for boiler-houses' technological needs (fuel oil heating, drying of moulded coal, wood etc);

1.2. indirect GHG emissions when electricity is used by equipment of boiler-houses for heat carrier supply to the end consumers;

2. GHG emissions due to fossil fuels combustion by legal entities, which include:

2.1. indirect GHG emissions due to electricity consumption by pumping equipment of boilers for heating system and HWS needs;

2.2. GHG emissions due to fossil fuel use for boiler-houses' technological needs (fuel oil heating, drying of moulded coal, wood etc);

2.3. indirect GHG emissions when electricity is used by equipment of boiler-houses for heat carrier supply to the end consumers;

3. GHG emissions due to leakage of methane at technological equipment and end-users place;

4. GHG emissions due to combustion of gas fuel by gas turbine units during transportation of natural gas to end consumers;

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>35</sup><u>http://cdm.unfccc.int/methodologies/PAmethodologies/tools/am-tool-01-v5.2.pdf</u>





5.GHG leakages due to petrol combustion by automobile transport during transportation of fuel oil and coal to end consumers.

Table 6 demonstrates the overview of GHG emission sources in the baseline scenario boundary for the project.

Table 6. An overview of all sources of emissions in the baseline scenario

Source	Cas	Included /	Substantiation /	
Source	Gas	Excluded	explanation	
	В	aseline emissions		
GHG emissions due to fossil fuel combustion by individuals	CO <sub>2</sub>	Included	GHG emissions due to fossil fuel combustion by end consumers. The baseline scenario provides for use of less environmentally friendly fuel (coal, fuel oil, diesel oil). The following emissions will be also taken into account: emissions due to use of fuel for technologically necessary processes of fuel oil heating, drying of moulded coal, etc.; emissions due to fossil fuel transportation to the end consumers that use it as energy carrier for hot water preparation	
GHG emissions due to fossil fuel combustion by legal entities	CO2	Included	GHG emissions due to fossil fuel combustion by end consumers. The baseline scenario provides for use of less environmentally friendly fuel (coal, fuel oil, diesel oil). The following emissions will be also taken into account: emissions due to use of fuel for technologically necessary processes of fuel oil heating, drying of moulded coal, etc.; GHG emissions, related to the generation of the quantity of electric energy, which will be substituted as a result of project implementation (electricity losses by network and feeding pumps in the process of heat carrier transportation to the end consumer);	



Page36

Table 7 demonstrates the overview of GHG emission sources in the project scenario boundary.Table 7. An overview of all sources of emissions in the project scenario

Source	Cas	Included /	Substantiation /		
Source	Gas	Excluded	explanation		
Project emissions					
GHG emissions due to natural gas combustion by individuals	CO <sub>2</sub>	Included	GHG emissions from fossil fuel combustion by end consumers. The project scenario provides for use of more environmentally friendly fuel (natural gas).		
GHG emissions due to natural gas combustion by legal entities	$CO_2$	Included	GHG emissions from fossil fuel combustion by end consumers. The project scenario provides for use of more environmentally friendly fuel (natural gas).		
GHG emissions due to methane leakage at technological equipment and end consumers place	$CO_2$	Included	GHG emissions due to combustion of gas by gas turbine units during transportation of natural gas to end consumers		
GHG emissions when transporting gas by gas transportation networks	CH4	Included	Emissions from methane leakage at technological equipment (fittings nodes, joints, etc.)		

Table 8 demonstrates the overview of possible leakage sources in the project and baseline scenarios.Table 8 An overview of leakage sources in the project and baseline scenarios

Source	Cas	Included /	Substantiation /
Source	Gas	Excluded	explanation
		Leakages	
GHG leakages from oil and coal extraction activities, petrol combustion by transport during transportation of fuel oil and coal to end consumer	CO <sub>2</sub>	Excluded	Leakages are not under control of the developer; in case of the project implementation they will be completely absent. Are excluded conservatively.


Page37

**B.4.** Further <u>baseline</u> information, including the date of <u>baseline</u> setting and the name(s) of the person(s)/entity(ies) setting the <u>baseline</u>:

Baseline formation date: 14.07.2011

The baseline has been determined by VEMA S.A., project's developer, and its owner OJSC "Odesagas", supplier of the project.

OJSC "Odesagas": Odesa, Ukraine . GerasymenkoVitaliy Oleksandrovych, Director. Telephone: +38(050)316 53 17 Fax:+38 (0482)723-75-94 e-mail:<u>Info@odgaz.Odesa.ua</u> Website: http://www.odgaz.Odesa.ua Gas supplying and gasification enterprise OJSC "Odesagas" is the project participant (Annex 1).

VEMAS.A.: Geneva, Switzerland. Fabian Knodel, Director. Telephone: (044)-594-48-10 Fax: (044)-594-48-19 e-mail:<u>info@vemacarbon.com</u> Website: www.vemacarbon.com VEMAS.A. is the project participant (Annex 1).





Page38

### SECTION C. Duration of the project / Crediting period

### C.1. Starting date of the project:

The starting date of the project is deemed to be 17/06/2003 when the meetings of the management board of OJSC "Odesagas" made a decision on JI project development.

### C.2. Expected operational lifetime of the project:

Real average life-cycle of new equipment is estimated to be 30 years 0 months.

### C.3. Length of the crediting period:

Starting date of the crediting period: 01/01/2004.

The length of the crediting period is 17 years and 0 months (a total of 204 months) including:

- 4 years and 0 months (01/01/2004 - 12/31/2007) during the period preceding the first commitment period under the Kyoto Protocol;

- 5 years and 0 months (01/01/2008 - 12/31/2012) during the first commitment period;

- 8 years and 0 months (01/01/2013 - 31/12/2020) after the first commitment period.

Extension of the crediting period beyond 2012 is subject to approval by the host Party.



Page39

### **Joint Implementation Supervisory Committee**

## SECTION D. <u>Monitoring plan</u>

### D.1. Description of monitoring plan chosen:

The proposed project uses a specific approach to JI projects based on approved methodology ACM0009 «Consolidated baseline and monitoring methodology for fuel switching from coal or petroleum fuel to natural gas- Version 3.2»<sup>36</sup> and "Guidance on criteria for baseline determination and monitoring" (Version 2) of the Joint Implementation Supervisory Committee - JISC<sup>37</sup>, which meets the requirements specified in Regulation 9 / CMP.1., Annex 1, "Criteria for baseline setting and monitoring." Monitoring plan is designed for accurate and clear measurement and calculation of greenhouse gas emissions and is conducted according to practices established at OJSC "Odesagas" for measurement of supplied and consumed natural gas. Project monitoring does not require changes in existing system of accounting and data collection. All relevant data are calculated and recorded and stored within two years after transfer of the last emission reduction units generated by the project. The monitoring plan includes measures (measurements, maintenance, registration and calibration), which should be taken to satisfy the requirements of the chosen methodology of monitoring and guarantee the possibility of inspection of calculation on GHG emission reduction. The main stages of the monitoring plan are described

below.

Data and	parameters not monitored throughout the	whole crediting period, but of	determined only once.	which are available at the stage o	f PDD development:
					The second

$GWP_{CH4}$	Global warming potential
k <sub>7, fuel</sub>	Specific saving of electric energy in the course of heat carrier transportation to end consumer with account of losses in electrical grids, kWh/GJ
$k^{b}_{1, prepfuel}$	Average efficiency factor that takes into account energy losses in the course of energy carrier preparation in the baseline scenario, relative units
$k_{1,prepfuel}^{p}$	Average efficiency factor that takes into account energy losses in the course of energy carrier preparation in the project scenario, relative units
$k^{b}_{3,ef}$	Average efficiency factor of boiler equipment that takes into account efficiency of thermal generating units in the baseline scenario, relative units
$k_{3,ef}^p$	Average efficiency factor of boiler equipment that takes into account efficiency of thermal generating units in the project scenario, relative units
$k^b_{4, pipes}$	Average efficiency factor that takes into account total losses in the heat supply networks in the baseline scenario, relative units

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>36</sup>http://cdm.unfccc.int/methodologies/DB/2CRBYLJO5JWC9YHBSWJQWYIH2LLGMJ

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>37</sup>http://ji.unfccc.int/Ref/Documents/Baseline setting and monitoring.pdf





Page40

$k_{4, pipes}^p$	Average efficiency factor that takes into account total losses in the heat supply networks in the project scenario, relative units
------------------	--

Data and parameters that are not controlled during the crediting period but are identified only once and are not available at the PDD development stage: none

Data and parameters controlled during the whole crediting period:

$V_{gas,PP}^{y}$	Total quantity of natural gas combusted in period "y" by individual, m <sup>3</sup>
$V_{p,gas,LE}^{y}$	Quantity of natural gas combusted in period "y" by legal entity, m <sup>3</sup>
$L_{p,los,1}^{y}$	Length of gas distribution systems constructed within the project, km
<i>NCV</i> <sup>y</sup> <sub>gas</sub>	Net calorific value of natural gas, TJ/ ths m <sup>3</sup>
$CEF_{elec}^{y}$	GHG emission factor when electricity consumption is reduced, tCO <sub>2</sub> e/MWh
NCV <sup>y</sup> <sub>fuel</sub>	Net calorific value of fossil fuel consumed of "fuel" type (Fuel of "fuel" type means coal, wood, fuel oil or diesel oil)
$k_{p,gas}^c$	Carbon emission factor when combusting natural gas (t/TJ)
$k^o_{p,gas}$	Carbon oxidation factor when combusting natural gas, relative units
$k_{fuel}^{c}$	Carbon emission factor when combusting fossil fuel of "fuel" type (Fuel of "fuel" type means coal, wood, fuel oil or diesel oil)
$k^{o}_{fuel}$	Carbon oxidation factor when combusting fossil fuel of "fuel" type
$EF_{CH_4,p,los,2}^y$	On default methane emission factor at technological gas equipment at end consumers place, t CH <sub>4</sub> eq/PJ
$EF_{CH_4,p,los,1}^{y}$	On default methane emission factor in the course of natural gas transportation and distribution, t CH <sub>4</sub> eq /ths km
$CEF_{gas,unit}^{y}$	Reduced GHG emission factor in the course of natural gas transportation to the end consumers (t CO <sub>2</sub> eq/m <sup>3</sup> )





## **D.1.1.** Variant 1 – Monitoring of emissions in project and baseline scenarios:

# **D.1.1.1.** Data to be collected in order to monitor emissions from the <u>project</u>, and how these data will be collected:

Data/Parameter	$V_{gas,PP}^{y}$
Unit of measurement	Ths m <sup>3</sup>
Description	Total quantity of natural gas combusted in period "y" by an individual
Periodicity of	Monthly
determination/monitoring	
Source of data (to be) used	Gas meters
Value of data applied	Subject to periodic monitoring
(for ex ante	
calculations/determinations)	
Justification of the choice of	Measurements will be performed by gas meters
data or description of	
measurement methods and	
procedures (to be) applied	
QA/QC procedures (to be)	Equipment is calibrated and verified according to the quality
applied	management procedures, the law of Ukraine "On metrology and
· · ·	metrological activities "
Any comment	A cubic meter, reduced to standard conditions ( $T = 20$ degrees, C, P
	= 101.325 kPa (760 mm. of mercury) and humidity is equal to zero)
	is taken as the unit of account of gas supplied to individuals and
	legal entities. Data about the amount of gas consumption by
	subscribers is the basic Data allowing for calculation of GHG
	emissions for each year in the project scenario; information will be
	archived in paper and electronic form

Data/Parameter	$V_{gas,LE}^y$
Unit of measurement	ths m <sup>3</sup>
Description	Total quantity of natural gas combusted in period "y" by a legal



entity Periodicity of Monthly determination/monitoring Source of data (to be) used Gas meters Subject to periodic monitoring Value of data applied (for ex ante calculations/determinations) Measurements will be performed by gas meters Justification of the choice of data or description of measurement methods and procedures (to be) applied QA/QC procedures (to be) Equipment is calibrated and verified according to the quality management procedures, the law of Ukraine "On metrology and applied metrological activities " A cubic meter, reduced to standard conditions (T = 20 degrees, C, P Any comment = 101.325 kPa (760 mm. of mercury) and humidity is equal to zero) is taken as the unit of account of gas supplied to individuals and legal entities. Data about the amount of gas consumption by subscribers is the basic Data allowing for calculation of GHG emissions for each year in the project scenario; information will be archived in paper and electronic form

Data/Parameter	$NCV_{gas}^{y}$
Unit of measurement	TJ/mln m <sup>3</sup>
Description	Net calorific value of natural gas
Periodicity of	Annually
determination/monitoring	
Source of data (to be) used	The national inventory report of anthropogenic emissions by
	sources and removals by sinks of greenhouse gases in Ukraine for
	1990-2009. Table P.2.24 <sup>38</sup>

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>38</sup>http://unfccc.int/files/national reports/annex i ghg inventories/national inventories submissions/application/zip/ukr-2011-nir-08jun.zip







Value of data applied	Subject to periodic monitoring
(for ex ante	
calculations/determinations)	
Justification of the choice of	The parameter is used according to the approved CDM
data or description of	methodology ACM0009 and "Guidance on criteria for baseline
measurement methods and	setting and monitoring». Net calorific value of natural gas that is
procedures (to be) applied	based on officially approved national data will be used.
QA/QC procedures (to be)	Officially approved national data that are actual at the moment of
applied	the monitoring report preparation will be used.
Any comment	Minimal value of gas calorific value is used according to the
	principles of conservatism

Data/Parameter	$L_{p,los,1}^{y}$
Unit of measurement	km
Description	Length of gas distribution systems constructed within the project
Periodicity of	Monthly
determination/monitoring	
Source of data (to be) used	Commissioning of gas distribution networks certificate
Value of data applied	Subject to periodic monitoring
(for ex ante	
calculations/determinations)	
Justification of the choice of	Monitoring of the length of constructed gas distribution systems
data or description of	will be carried out by responsible people on the basis of
measurement methods and	commissioning certificates for each monitoring period
procedures (to be) applied	
QA/QC procedures (to be)	See Section D.2. below
applied	
Any comment	Data allowing for calculation of GHG emissions in the project
	scenario; information will be archived in paper and electronic form





Data/Parameter	$k_{p,gas}^c$
Unit of measurement	t C/TJ
Description	Carbon emission factor when combusting natural gas
Periodicity of	Annually
determination/monitoring	
Source of data (to be) used	The national inventory report of anthropogenic emissions by
	sources and removals by sinks of greenhouse gases in Ukraine for
	1990-2009. Table P.2.26 <sup>39</sup>
Value of data applied	Subject to periodic monitoring
(for ex ante	
calculations/determinations)	
Justification of the choice of	Carbon emission factor when combusting natural gas is used to
data or description of	determine the carbon dioxide emission factor by default for
measurement methods and	stationary combustion of natural gas in Ukraine. The data source for
procedures (to be) applied	this parameter is the National inventory report of anthropogenic
	emissions by sources and removals by sinks of greenhouse gases in
	Ukraine, based on approved national data.
QA/QC procedures (to be)	Officially approved national data that are actual at the moment of
applied	the monitoring report preparation will be used.
Any comment	Data allowing for calculation of GHG emissions in the baseline
	scenario; information will be archived in paper and electronic form

Data/Parameter	$k_{p,gas}^o$
Unit of measurement	Relative units
Description	Carbon oxidation factor when combusting natural gas
Periodicity of	Annually
determination/monitoring	
Source of data (to be) used	The national inventory report of anthropogenic emissions by
	sources and removals by sinks of greenhouse gases in Ukraine for

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>39</sup><u>http://unfccc.int/files/national\_reports/annex\_i\_ghg\_inventories/national\_inventories\_submissions/application/zip/ukr-2011-nir-08jun.zip</u>

Page44





	1990-2009. Table P.2.27 <sup>40</sup>
Value of data applied	Subject to periodic monitoring
(for ex ante	
calculations/determinations)	
Justification of the choice of	Carbon oxidation factor when combusting natural gas is used to
data or description of	determine the carbon dioxide emission factor by default for
measurement methods and	stationary combustion of natural gas in Ukraine. The data source for
procedures (to be) applied	this parameter is the National inventory report of anthropogenic
	emissions by sources and removals by sinks of greenhouse gases in
	Ukraine, based on approved national data.
QA/QC procedures (to be)	Officially approved national data that are actual at the moment of
applied	the monitoring report preparation will be used.
Any comment	Data allowing for calculation of GHG emissions in the baseline
	scenario; information will be archived in paper and electronic form

Data/Parameter	$EF^{y}_{CH_4,p,los,1}$	
Unit of measurement	t CH <sub>4</sub> -e/ths km	
Description	On default methane emission factor in the course of natural gas	
	transportation and distribution	
Periodicity of	Annually	
determination/monitoring		
Source of data (to be) used	The national inventory report of anthropogenic emissions by	
	sources and removals by sinks of greenhouse gases in Ukraine for	
	1990-2009. Table 1.V.2	
Value of data applied	Subject to periodic monitoring	
(for ex ante		
calculations/determinations)		
Justification of the choice of	On default methane emission factor in the course of natural gas	

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>40</sup>http://unfccc.int/files/national reports/annex i ghg inventories/national inventories submissions/application/zip/ukr-2011-nir-08jun.zip





data or description of<br/>measurement methods and<br/>procedures (to be) appliedtransportation and distribution is used for determining of GHG<br/>emissions from methane leakage at technological equipment. The<br/>data source for this parameter is the National inventory report of<br/>anthropogenic emissions by sources and removals by sinks of<br/>greenhouse gases in Ukraine, based on approved national data.QA/QC procedures (to be)<br/>appliedOfficially approved national data that are actual at the moment of<br/>the monitoring report preparation will be used.Any commentData allowing for calculation of GHG emissions in the baseline<br/>scenario; information will be archived in paper and electronic form

Data/Parameter	$EF^{y}_{CH_4,p,los,2}$	
Unit of measurement	t CH <sub>4</sub> e/PJ	
Description	On default methane emission factor at technological gas equipment	
	at end consumers place	
Periodicity of	Annually	
determination/monitoring		
Source of data (to be) used	The national inventory report of anthropogenic emissions by	
	sources and removals by sinks of greenhouse gases in Ukraine for	
	1990-2009. Table 1.V.2	
Value of data applied	Subject to periodic monitoring	
(for ex ante		
calculations/determinations)		
Justification of the choice of	On default methane emission factor at technological gas equipment	
data or description of	and at end consumers place is used for determining of GHG	
measurement methods and	emissions from methane leakage at technological equipment at end	
procedures (to be) applied	consumer place. The data source for this parameter is the National	
	inventory report of anthropogenic emissions by sources and	
	removals by sinks of greenhouse gases in Ukraine, based on	
	approved national data.	
QA/QC procedures (to be)	Officially approved national data that are actual at the moment of	
applied	the monitoring report preparation will be used.	

Page46

UNFCCC





Any comment	Data allowing for calculation of GHG emissions in the baseline
	scenario; information will be archived in paper and electronic form

Data/Parameter	$CEF_{gas,unit}^{y}$
Unit of measurement	$tCO_2e/m^3$
Description	Reduced GHG emission factor in the course of natural gas
	transportation to the end consumers
Periodicity of	Once at the beginning of the project
determination/monitoring	
Source of data (to be) used	Official data of the Ministry of Fuel and Energy of Ukraine and the
	National inventory report of anthropogenic emissions by sources
	and removals by sinks of greenhouse gases in Ukraine.
	Detailed calculation and the references to data sources are provided
	in the accompanying document 1.18 Excel file and Annex 3.
Value of data applied	N/A
(for ex ante	
calculations/determinations)	
Justification of the choice of	See Annex 3
data or description of	
measurement methods and	
procedures (to be) applied	
QA/QC procedures (to be)	Calculations are based on officially approved data of the Ministry of
applied	Fuel and Energy of Ukraine and the National inventory report of
**	anthropogenic emissions by sources and removals by sinks of
	greenhouse gases in Ukraine
Any comment	N/A

Data/Parameter	GWP <sub>CH4</sub>
Unit of measurement	t CO <sub>2</sub> e / t CH <sub>4</sub>
Description	Global warming potential for methane
Periodicity of	Once at the beginning of the project
determination/monitoring	







Page48

Source of data (to be) used	According to data approved by the IPCC
Value of data applied	21
(for ex ante	
calculations/determinations)	
Justification of the choice of	Global warming potential for methane is determined according to
data or description of	the decision 2/CP.3 and provided in IPCC Guidelines
measurement methods and	
procedures (to be) applied	
QA/QC procedures (to be)	The value is used for the first commitment period and may
applied	subsequently be revised in accordance with Article 5 of the Kyoto
	Protocol.
Any comment	

Data/Parameter	$CEF_{elec}^{y}$
Data unit	tCO <sub>2</sub> /MWh
Description	GHG emission factor when electricity consumption is reduced
Time of	Annually
determination/monitoring	
Source of data (to be) used	Carbon dioxide emission factors for 2004-2005 are taken from the
	document issued by the Ministry of economy of Netherland
	"Operational Guidelines for Project Design Documents of Joint
	Implementation Projects Volume 1: General guidelines" (ERUPT) <sup>41</sup>
	- Carbon dioxide emission factors for 2006-2007 are taken from the
	document "Carbon dioxide emission factors (for energy consumption
	according to the methodology "Ukraine - Assessment of new
	calculation of CEF", approved by TUV SUD 17.08.2007) <sup>42</sup> ;
	- Carbon dioxide emission factors for 2008 are taken from Order of
	the National Environmental Investment Agency of Ukraine

 $<sup>^{41}</sup> http://ji.unfccc.int/CallForInputs/BaselineSettingMonitoring/ERUPT/index.html$ 

 $<sup>^{42}</sup> http://ji.unfccc.int/UserManagement/FileStorage/46JW2KL36KM0GEMI0PHDTQF6DVI514$ 



Page49

UNFCCC

	(hereinaft	er - NEIA	AU) № 62	of 15.04.2	011 "On a	approval c	of specific
	carbon di	oxide emi	ssion facto	rs in 2008	43";		
	- Carbon	dioxide e	mission fa	ctors for 2	009 are ta	ken from	the Order
	of NEIA	U # 63 (	of 15.04.2	011 "On	approval	of specif	ic carbon
	dioxide er	mission fa	actors in 20	09" <sup>44</sup> ;			
	- Carbon	dioxide e	mission fa	ctors for 2	010 are ta	ken from	the Order
	of NEIA	U # 43 d	of 28.03.2	011. "On	approval	of specif	ic carbon
	dioxide er	mission fa	actors in 20	10" <sup>45</sup> ;			
	- Carbon	dioxide e	mission fa	ctors for 2	011 are ta	ken from	the Order
	of NEIA	U # 75 d	of 12.05.2	011. "On	approval	of specif	ic carbon
	dioxide er	mission fa	actors in 20	$11''^{46};$			
Value of data applied	2004	2005	2006-	2008	2000	2010	2011
Value of data applied (for ex ante	2004	2005	2006- 2007	2008	2009	2010	2011
Value of data applied (for ex ante calculations/determinations)	<b>2004</b> 0.916	<b>2005</b> 0.896	<b>2006-</b> <b>2007</b> 0.896	<b>2008</b> 1.082	<b>2009</b> 1.096	<b>2010</b> 1.093	<b>2011</b> 1.090
Value of data applied (for ex ante calculations/determinations) Justification of the choice of	<b>2004</b> 0.916 When de	<b>2005</b> 0.896 veloping	2006- 2007 0.896 the joint	2008 1.082 implemen	<b>2009</b> 1.096 tation pro	<b>2010</b> 1.093 ject natio	<b>2011</b> 1.090 nal GHG
Value of data applied (for ex ante calculations/determinations) Justification of the choice of data or description of	<b>2004</b> 0.916 When de emission	2005 0.896 veloping factors ar	2006- 2007 0.896 the joint re used, in	<b>2008</b> 1.082 implemen case of th	2009 1.096 tation pro- eir absence	2010 1.093 ject natio re factors	<b>2011</b> 1.090 nal GHG for 2004-
Value of data applied (for ex ante calculations/determinations) Justification of the choice of data or description of measurement methods and	<b>2004</b> 0.916 When de emission 2005 are	2005 0.896 veloping factors ar taken fro	2006- 2007 0.896 the joint re used, in m ERUPT	2008 1.082 implemen case of th , factors f	<b>2009</b> 1.096 tation pro eir absenc or 2006-2	2010 1.093 ject natio ce factors 007 are ta	<b>2011</b> 1.090 nal GHG for 2004- aken from
Value of data applied (for ex ante calculations/determinations) Justification of the choice of data or description of measurement methods and procedures (to be) applied	20040.916When deemission2005 arethe docum	2005 0.896 veloping factors ar taken fro nent "Carl	2006- 2007 0.896 the joint re used, in m ERUPT bon dioxid	2008 1.082 implemen case of th , factors f e emission	2009 1.096 tation pro- eir absence or 2006-2 factor" ap	2010 1.093 ject natio ce factors 007 are ta oproved T	2011 1.090 nal GHG for 2004- aken from UV SUD
Value of data applied (for ex ante calculations/determinations) Justification of the choice of data or description of measurement methods and procedures (to be) applied QA/QC procedures (to be)	2004 0.916 When de emission 2005 are the docun Only offic	2005 0.896 veloping factors ar taken fro- nent "Carl cially app	2006- 2007 0.896 the joint re used, in m ERUPT bon dioxide roved factor	2008 1.082 implemen case of th factors f e emission ors are use	2009 1.096 tation pro eir absenc or 2006-2 factor" ap 1 for calcu	2010 1.093 ject natio ce factors 007 are ta oproved T lations.	2011 1.090 nal GHG for 2004- aken from UV SUD
Value of data applied (for ex ante calculations/determinations) Justification of the choice of data or description of measurement methods and procedures (to be) applied QA/QC procedures (to be) Applied	2004 0.916 When de emission 2005 are the docum Only offic	2005 0.896 veloping factors ar taken fro nent "Carl cially appr	2006- 2007 0.896 the joint re used, in m ERUPT bon dioxide roved facto	2008 1.082 implemen case of th , factors f e emission ors are used	2009 1.096 tation pro- eir absence or 2006-2 factor" ap 1 for calcu	2010 1.093 ject natio e factors 007 are ta oproved T lations.	2011 1.090 nal GHG for 2004- aken from UV SUD
Value of data applied (for ex ante calculations/determinations) Justification of the choice of data or description of measurement methods and procedures (to be) applied QA/QC procedures (to be) Applied Any comment	2004 0.916 When de emission 2005 are the docum Only office Researched	2005 0.896 veloping factors ar taken fro- nent "Carl cially appr es don't t	2006- 2007 0.896 the joint re used, in m ERUPT bon dioxide roved facto	2008 1.082 implemen case of th , factors f e emission ors are used	2009 1.096 tation pro- eir absence or 2006-2 factor" ap 1 for calcu	2010 1.093 ject natio ce factors 007 are ta proved T lations. ction of e	2011 1.090 nal GHG for 2004- aken from UV SUD energy by

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>43</sup>http://www.neia.gov.ua/nature/doccatalog/document?id=127171

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>44</sup>http://www.neia.gov.ua/nature/doccatalog/document?id=127172

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>45</sup>http://www.neia.gov.ua/nature/doccatalog/document?id=126006

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>46</sup>http://www.neia.gov.ua/nature/doccatalog/document?id=127498





D.1.1.2. Description of formulae used to estimate project emissions (for each gas, source etc.; emissions in units of CO<sub>2</sub> equivalent):

GHG emissions, in the project scenario:

$$PE_{p}^{y} = PE_{p,gas,PP}^{y} + PE_{p,gas,LE}^{y} + PE_{p,los}^{y} + PE_{tp,gf}^{y},$$
(D.1)

where:

 $PE_{p,gas,PP}^{y}$  - GHG emissions from natural gas combustion by "PP" type consumers during the period «y», in the project scenario (t CO<sub>2</sub>e);  $PE_{p,gas,LE}^{y}$  - GHG emissions from natural gas combustion by «LE» type consumers during the period «y», in the project scenario (t CO<sub>2</sub>e);  $PE_{p,los}^{y}$  - GHG emissions from leakage of methane on production equipment and end-users for period «y», in the project scenario (t CO<sub>2</sub>e);  $PE_{tp,ga}^{y}$  - GHG emissions from gas fuel combustion by gas-turbine installations when transporting natural gas to end consumers (t CO<sub>2</sub>e); [y] - index corresponding to monitoring period; [PP] - index corresponding to project scenario; [PP] - index corresponding to individual; [LE] - index corresponding to legal entity.

$$PE_{p,gas,PP}^{y} = \sum_{pp=1}^{PP} V_{gas,PP}^{y} * NCV_{gas}^{y} * EF_{p,gas}^{y},$$
(D.2)

where:

 $\sum_{pp=1}^{rr} V_{gas, PP}^{y}$  - Total quantity of natural gas combusted in period «y» by individuals (ths m<sup>3</sup>);

 $NCV_{gas}^{y}$  - Net calorific value of natural gas (TJ/ths m<sup>3</sup>);

 $EF_{p,gas}^{y}$  - on default carbon dioxide emission factor for permanent combustion of natural gas (t CO<sub>2</sub>e /TJ).

[y] - index corresponding to monitoring period;

[*p*] - index corresponding to project scenario;

[*PP*] - index corresponding to individual.

 $EF_{p,gas}^{y} = k_{p,gas}^{c} * k_{p,gas}^{o} * 44/12,$ (D.3)







### where:

 $k_{p,gas}^{c}$  - Carbon emission factor in the course of natural gas combustion (t C/TJ);

 $k_{p,eas}^{o}$  - Carbon oxidation factor in the course of natural gas combustion (relative units);

 $44/12\,$  - stoichiometric correlation of molecular weight of carbon dioxide and carbon, t CO\_2e /t C;

# [y] - index corresponding to monitoring period;

[p] - index corresponding to project scenario.

$$PE_{p,gas,LE}^{y} = \sum_{le=1}^{LE} V_{gas,LE}^{y} * NCV_{gas}^{y} * EF_{p,gas}^{y},$$
(D.4)

Where:

 $\sum_{le=1}^{LE} V_{gas,LE}^{y}$  - Total quantity of natural gas combusted in period «y» by legal entities, in the project scenario (ths m<sup>3</sup>);  $NCV_{gas}^{y}$  - Net calorific value of natural gas (TJ/ths m<sup>3</sup>);

 $EF_{p,eas}^{y}$  - on default carbon dioxide emission factor for permanent combustion of natural gas (t CO<sub>2</sub>e /TJ).

[y] - index corresponding to monitoring period;

[p] - index corresponding to project scenario;

[LE] - index corresponding to legal entity.

$$PE_{p,los}^{y} = PE_{p,los,1}^{y} + PE_{p,los,2}^{y},$$
(D.5)

where:

 $PE_{p,los,1}^{y}$  - GHG emissions from methane leakage at technological equipment in period «y», in the project scenario (t CO<sub>2</sub>e);

 $PE_{p,los,2}^{y}$  - GHG emissions from methane leakage at equipment of end consumers in period «y», in the project scenario (t CO<sub>2</sub>e);

 $\begin{bmatrix} y \end{bmatrix}$  - index corresponding to monitoring period;

[p] - index corresponding to project scenario.

$$PE_{p,los,1}^{y} = \sum L_{p,los,1}^{y} * EF_{CH_{4},p,los,1}^{y} * GWP_{CH_{4}},$$
(D.6)







### where:

 $L_{p,los,1}^{y}$  - Length of gas distribution systems constructed under the project (ths km);

 $EF_{CH_4,p,los,1}^{y}$  - on default methane emission factor in the course of natural gas transportation and distribution (t CH<sub>4</sub>e /ths km);

GWP<sub>CH4</sub> - global warming potential for methane. It is determined according to the recommendation of Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change.

$$PE_{p,los,2}^{y} = \left(\sum_{l=1}^{LE} V_{gas,LE}^{y} + \sum_{pp=1}^{PP} V_{gas,PP}^{y}\right) * NCV_{gas}^{y} * EF_{CH_{4},p,los,2}^{y} * GWP_{CH_{4}},$$
(D.7)

where:

 $\sum_{pp=1}^{1} V_{gas,PP}^{y}$  - Total quantity of natural gas combusted in period «y» by individuals, (ths m<sup>3</sup>);

 $\sum_{gas,LE}^{LE} V_{gas,LE}^{y}$  - Total quantity of natural gas combusted in period «y» by legal entities, in the project scenario (ths m<sup>3</sup>);

 $NCV_{gas}^{y}$  - Net calorific value of natural gas, (TJ/ths m<sup>3</sup>);

 $EF_{CH_4,p,los,2}^{y}$  - on default methane emission factor at technological gas equipment at end consumer place (t CH<sub>4</sub>e /PJ).

GWP<sub>CH4</sub> - global warming potential for methane. It is determined according to the recommendation of Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change.

- $\begin{bmatrix} y \end{bmatrix}$  index corresponding to monitoring period;
- [p] index corresponding to project scenario;
- [*PP*] index corresponding to individual;
- [LE] index corresponding to legal entity.

Calculation of GHG emissions (from gas combustion by gas turbine units) during transportation of gas by gas distribution networks:

$$PE_{tp,gf}^{y} = (\sum_{le=1}^{LE} V_{gas,LE}^{y} + \sum_{pp=1}^{PP} V_{gas,PP}^{y}) * CEF_{gas,unit}^{y}$$
(D.8)

where:

 $\sum_{pp=1}^{1} V_{gas,PP}^{y}$  - total quantity of natural gas combusted during the period «y» by individuals (ths m<sup>3</sup>);







Page53

 $\sum_{le=1}^{LE} V_{gas,LE}^{y}$  - total volume of natural gas combusted in period "y" by legal entities, in the project scenario(ths m<sup>3</sup>).

 $CEF_{gas,unit}^{y}$  - Reduced GHG emission factor in the course of natural gas transportation to the end consumers (t CO<sub>2e</sub>/m<sup>3</sup>). Determination of the factor is provided in section of Annex 3 and accompanying Excel file.

 $CO_2$  emission factors for all consumed types of fuel are taken from reports of IPCC<sup>47</sup> or taken in accordance with National inventory report of anthropogenic emissions by sources and removals by sinks of greenhouse gases in Ukraine<sup>48</sup>.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>47</sup><u>http://www.ipcc-nggip.iges.or.jp/public/2006gl/russian/pdf/2\_Volume2/V2\_2\_Ch2\_Stationary\_Combustion.pdf</u>

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>48</sup><u>http://www.neia.gov.ua/nature/doccatalog/document?id=124939</u>





# D.1.1.3. Relevant data necessary for determining the <u>baseline</u> of anthropogenic emissions of greenhouse gases by sources within the <u>project boundary</u>, and how such data will be collected and archived::

Data/Parameter	$V_{gas,PP}^y$
Unit of measurement	ths m <sup>3</sup>
Description	Total quantity of natural gas combusted in period «y» by an
	individual
Periodicity of	Monthly
determination/monitoring	
Source of data (to be) used	Gas meters
Value of data applied	Subject to periodic monitoring
(for ex ante	
calculations/determinations)	
Justification of the choice of	Measurements will be performed by gas meters
data or description of	
measurement methods and	
procedures (to be) applied	
QA/QC procedures (to be)	Equipment is calibrated and verified according to the quality
applied	management procedures, the law of Ukraine "On metrology and
	metrological activities"
Any comment	A cubic meter, reduced to standard conditions ( $T = 20$ degrees, C, P
	= 101.325 kPa (760 mm. of mercury) and humidity is equal to zero)
	is taken as the unit of account of gas supplied to individuals and
	legal entities. Data about the amount of gas consumption by
	subscribers is the basic Data allowing for calculation of GHG
	emissions for each year in the project scenario; information will be
	archived in paper and electronic form

Data/Parameter	$V_{gas,LE}^y$
Unit of measurement	ths m <sup>3</sup>
Description	Total quantity of natural gas combusted in period «y» by a legal entity, in the project scenario





Periodicity of Monthly determination/monitoring Source of data (to be) used Gas meters Value of data applied Subject to periodic monitoring (for ex ante calculations/determinations) Justification of the choice of Measurements will be performed by gas meters data or description of measurement methods and procedures (to be) applied QA/QC procedures (to be) Equipment is calibrated and verified according to the quality management procedures, the law of Ukraine "On metrology and applied metrological activities" A cubic meter, reduced to standard conditions (T = 20 degrees, C, P Any comment = 101.325 kPa (760 mm. of mercury) and humidity is equal to zero) is taken as the unit of account of gas supplied to individuals and legal entities. Data about the amount of gas consumption by subscribers is the basic Data allowing for calculation of GHG emissions for each year in the project scenario; information will be archived in paper and electronic form

Data/Parameter	NCV <sup>y</sup> <sub>fuel</sub>
Unit of measurement	TJ/ ths t
Description	Net calorific value of fossil fuel of «fuel» type (Fuel of "fuel" type means coal wood fuel oil or diesel oil)
Periodicity of	Annually
determination/monitoring	
Source of data (to be) used	The national inventory report of anthropogenic emissions by
	1990-2009. Table P.2.24 <sup>49</sup> .

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>49</sup>http://unfccc.int/files/national reports/annex i ghg inventories/national inventories submissions/application/zip/ukr-2011-nir-08jun.zip





Value of data applied	N/A
(for ex ante	
calculations/determinations)	
Justification of the choice of	The parameter is used according to the approved CDM
data or description of	methodology ACM0009 and "Guidance on criteria for baseline
measurement methods and	setting and monitoring». Net calorific value of natural gas that is
procedures (to be) applied	based on officially approved national data will be used.
	Data on the type of fossil fuel used by the consumer before the gasification are provided by district administrations and village councils.
QA/QC procedures (to be)	Officially approved national data that are actual at the moment of
applied	the monitoring report preparation will be used.
Any comment	Data allowing for calculation of GHG emissions in the baseline
	scenario; information will be archived in paper and electronic form.

Data/Parameter	$NCV_{gas}^{y}$
Unit of measurement	TJ/ mln m <sup>3</sup>
Description	Net calorific value of natural gas
Periodicity of	Annually
determination/monitoring	
Source of data (to be) used	The national inventory report of anthropogenic emissions by
	sources and removals by sinks of greenhouse gases in Ukraine for
	1990-2009. Table P.2.24 <sup>50</sup> .
Value of data applied	Subject to periodic monitoring
(for ex ante	
calculations/determinations)	
Justification of the choice of	The parameter is used according to the approved CDM
data or description of	methodology ACM0009 and "Guidance on criteria for baseline
measurement methods and	setting and monitoring». Net calorific value of natural gas that is

<sup>50</sup>http://unfccc.int/files/national reports/annex i ghg inventories/national inventories submissions/application/zip/ukr-2011-nir-08jun.zip







Page57

procedures (to be) applied	based on officially approved national data will be used.
QA/QC procedures (to be)	Officially approved national data that are actual at the moment of
applied	the monitoring report preparation will be used.
11	
Any comment	According to principle of conservatism principles minimal calorific
	value of gas is used.

Data/Parameter	$k_{fuel}^c$
Unit of measurement	Т С/ТЈ
Description	Carbon emission factor when combusting fossil fuel of "fuel" type.
	(Fuel of «fuel» type means coal, wood, fuel oil, diesel oil).
Periodicity of	Annually
determination/monitoring	
Source of data (to be) used	The national inventory report of anthropogenic emissions by
	sources and removals by sinks of greenhouse gases in Ukraine for
	1990-2009. Table P.2.26 <sup>51</sup> .
Value of data applied	Subject to periodic monitoring
(for ex ante	
calculations/determinations)	
Justification of the choice of	Carbon emission factor when combusting natural gas is used to
data or description of	determine the carbon dioxide emission factor by default for
measurement methods and	stationary combustion of natural gas in Ukraine. The data source for
procedures (to be) applied	this parameter is the National inventory report of anthropogenic
	emissions by sources and removals by sinks of greenhouse gases in
	Ukraine, based on approved national data.
QA/QC procedures (to be)	Officially approved national data that are actual at the moment of
applied	the monitoring report preparation will be used.
Any comment	Data allowing for calculation of GHG emissions in the baseline
	scenario; information will be archived in paper and electronic form

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>51</sup>http://unfccc.int/files/national reports/annex i ghg inventories/national inventories submissions/application/zip/ukr-2011-nir-08jun.zip

Data/Parameter  $k^{o}_{fuel}$ Unit of measurement **Relative units** Carbon oxidation factor when combusting fossil fuel of "fuel" type Description Periodicity of Annually determination/monitoring The national inventory report of anthropogenic emissions by Source of data (to be) used sources and removals by sinks of greenhouse gases in Ukraine for 1990-2009. Table P.2.27<sup>52</sup>. Subject to periodic monitoring Value of data applied (for ante ex calculations/determinations) Justification of the choice of Carbon oxidation factor when combusting natural gas is used to data or description of determine the carbon dioxide emission factor by default for stationary combustion of natural gas in Ukraine. The data source for measurement methods and this parameter is the National inventory report of anthropogenic procedures (to be) applied emissions by sources and removals by sinks of greenhouse gases in Ukraine, based on approved national data. Officially approved national data that are actual at the moment of QA/QC procedures (to be) applied the monitoring report preparation will be used. Data allowing for calculation of GHG emissions in the baseline Any comment scenario; information will be archived in paper and electronic form

Data/Parameter	k <sub>7, fuel</sub>
Unit of measurement	kWh/GJ
Description	Specific saving of electric energy in the course of heat carrier
	transportation to end consumer with account of losses in electrical

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>52</sup><u>http://unfccc.int/files/national\_reports/annex\_i\_ghg\_inventories/national\_inventories\_submissions/application/zip/ukr-2011-nir-08jun.zip</u>



Page58





	grids
Periodicity of	Once at the beginning of the project
determination/monitoring	
Source of data (to be) used	Report «Determination of change of specific energy data of heat
	supply system in the course of gasification" developed by
	"UKRENERGOPROM-2" as of June 24, 2011. Also this report
	received a positive review from the "Institute of Engineering
	Thermophysics, NAS of Ukraine." The report is provided to the
	State Environmental Investment Agency as a separate
	accompanying document.
Value of data applied	2.016
(for ex ante	
calculations/determinations)	
Justification of the choice of	This applies only to individuals who were previously connected to
data or description of	central heating system. This factor was determined by analyzing the
measurement methods and	actual performance characteristics of technological equipment
procedures (to be) applied	located in different regions of Ukraine (Odesa, Donetsk, Kyiv
	regions). The use of the factor is possible throughout Ukraine.
	The specified value (2.016) applies only if consumers switch from
	centralized heating systems, which ran on fossil fuel of "fuel" type,
	to gasified centralized or individual systems. Otherwise, the value
	of 0 is used.
QA/QC procedures (to be)	N/A
applied	
A	Data allowing for coloristics of CHC emissions in the best line
Any comment	Data allowing for calculation of GHG emissions in the baseline
	scenario; information will be archived in paper and electronic form

Data/Parameter	k <sup>b</sup> <sub>1, prepfuel</sub>
Unit of measurement	Relative units
Description	Average efficiency factor that takes into account energy loss in the





Page60

UNFCCC

	course of energy carrier prep	aration, in the base	eline scenario
Periodicity of	Once		
determination/monitoring			
Source of data (to be) used	Report «Determination of change of specific energy data of heat		
	supply system in the course of gasification". The report is provided		
	to the State Environmenta	al Investment Ag	gency as a separate
	accompanying document.		
Value of data applied	Basic source of heat	Baseli	ine fuel
calculations/determinations)	Dasie source of heat	Fuel oil	Coal
	Central system	0.965	0.965
	Individual system	1	1
Justification of the choice of data or description of measurement methods and procedures (to be) applied	This applies in case of transfer of individual and central heat supply systems to gas. This factor was determined by analyzing the actual performance characteristics of technological equipment located in different regions of Ukraine (Odesa, Donetsk, Kyiv regions). The use of the factor is possible throughout Ukraine. The specified values apply in case of transfer of individual or central heat supply systems to gas. Otherwise, the value of 1 is used.		
QA/QC procedures (to be) applied	N/A		
Any comment	Data allowing for calculation scenario; information is archite	on of GHG emissived in paper and e	sions in the baseline electronic form

Data/Parameter	$k_{1, prepfuel}^{p}$
Unit of measurement	Relative units
Description	Average efficiency factor that takes into account energy loss in the
	course of energy carrier preparation, in the project scenario
Periodicity of	Once



determination/monitoring Source of data (to be) used Report «Determination of change of specific energy data of heat supply system in the course of gasification". The report is provided to the State Environmental Investment Agency as a separate accompanying document. Value of data applied Project fuel Project source of heat (for ante ex Natural gas calculations/determinations) Central system 0.98 Individual system 1 Justification of the choice of This applies in case of transfer of individual and central heat supply data or description of systems to gas. This factor was determined by analyzing the actual performance characteristics of technological equipment located in measurement methods and procedures (to be) applied different regions of Ukraine (Odesa, Donetsk, Kyiv regions). The use of the factor is possible throughout Ukraine. The specified values apply in case of transfer of individual or central heat supply systems to gas. Otherwise, the value of 1 is used. QA/QC procedures (to be) N/A applied Data allowing for calculation of GHG emissions in the baseline Any comment scenario; information will be archived in paper and electronic form

Data/Parameter	$k_{3,ef}^b$
Unit of measurement	Relative units
Description	Average efficiency factor of boiler equipment that takes into
	account efficiency of thermal generating units in the baseline
	scenario
Periodicity of	Once at the beginning of the project
determination/monitoring	



INFCCC



Source of data (to be) used Report «Determination of change of specific energy data of heat supply system in the course of gasification". The report is provided to the State Environmental Investment Agency as a separate accompanying document. Value of data applied Baseline fuel Basic source of heat (for ante ex Fuel oil Coal calculations/determinations) Central system 0.79 0.76 Individual system 0.74 \_ Justification of the choice of This applies in case of transfer of individual and central heat supply systems to gas. This factor was determined by analyzing the actual data or description of performance characteristics of technological equipment located in measurement methods and procedures (to be) applied different regions of Ukraine (Odesa, Donetsk, Kyiv regions). Using of the factor is possible throughout Ukraine. The specified values apply in case of transfer of individual or central heat supply systems to gas. Otherwise, the value of 1 is used. QA/QC procedures (to be) N/A applied Data allowing for calculation of GHG emissions in the baseline Any comment scenario; information will be archived in paper and electronic form

Data/Parameter	$k_{3,ef}^p$
Unit of measurement	Relative units
Description	Average efficiency factor of boiler equipment that takes into account efficiency of thermal generating units, in the project scenario
Periodicity of	Once at the beginning of the project
determination/monitoring	
Source of data (to be) used	Report «Determination of change of specific energy data of heat



Page62



	supply system in the course of gasification". The report is provided	
	to the State Environmenta	l Investment Agency as a separate
	accompanying document.	
Value of data applied	Project source of heat	Project fuel
(for ex ante calculations/determinations)	Toject source of heat	Natural gas
	Central system	0.92
	Individual system	0.92
Justification of the choice of data or description of measurement methods and procedures (to be) applied	This applies in case of transfer of individual and central heat supply systems to gas. This factor was determined by analyzing the actual performance characteristics of technological equipment located in different regions of Ukraine (Odesa, Donetsk, Kyiv regions).The use of the factor is possible throughout Ukraine. The specified values apply in case of transfer of individual or central heat supply systems to gas. Otherwise, the value of 1 is used.	
QA/QC procedures (to be) applied	N/A	
Any comment	Data allowing for calculation scenario; information will be	on of GHG emissions in the baseline archived in paper and electronic form

Data/Parameter	$k_{4, pipes}^{b}$
Unit of measurement	Relative units
Description	Average efficiency factor that takes into account heat energy losses
	in the course of heat carrier transportation to the end consumer, in
	the baseline scenario
Periodicity of	Once
determination/monitoring	
Source of data (to be) used	Report «Determination of change of specific energy data of heat
	supply system in the course of gasification". The report is provided







	to the State Environmental Investment Agency as a sepa accompanying document.					
Value of data applied	Desig course of boot		Baseline fuel			
(for ex ante calculations/determinations)	Basic source of heat	Fuel oil	Wood	Coal		
	Central system	0.844	0.844	0.844		
	Individual system	0.844	0.844	0.844		
Justification of the choice of data or description of measurement methods and procedures (to be) applied	This applies in case of transfer of individual and central heat supply systems to gas. This factor was determined by analyzing the actual performance characteristics of technological equipment located in different regions of Ukraine (Odesa, Donetsk, Kyiv regions).The use of the factor is possible throughout Ukraine. The specified values apply in case of transfer of individual of central heat supply systems to gas. Otherwise, the value of 1 is used					
QA/QC procedures (to be) applied	N/A					
Any comment	Data allowing for calculation of GHG emissions in the baselin scenario; information will be archived in paper and electronic form				ine m	

Data/Parameter	$k_{4,pipes}^p$				
Unit of measurement	Relative units				
Description	Average efficiency factor that takes into account heat energy losses				
	in the course of heat carrier transportation to the end consumer, in				
	the project scenario				
Periodicity of	Once				
determination/monitoring					
Source of data (to be) used	Report «Determination of change of specific energy data of heat				
	supply system in the course of gasification". The report is provided				
	to the State Environmental Investment Agency as a separate				







		accompanying document.			
Value of data applied (for ex ante calculations/determinations)			Project fuel		
		Project source of heat	Natural gas		
,		Central system	0.844		
		Individual system	1		
Justification of the choice of data or description of measurement methods and procedures (to be) applied		This applies in case of transfer of individual and central heat supply systems to gas. This factor was determined by analyzing the actual performance characteristics of technological equipment located in different regions of Ukraine (Odesa, Donetsk, Kyiv regions).The use of the factor is possible throughout Ukraine. The specified values apply in case of transfer of individual or central heat supply systems to gas. Otherwise, the value of 1 is used.			
QA/QC procedures (to be) applied		N/A			
Any comment		Data allowing for calculation scenario; information will be	on of GHG emissions in the baseline archived in paper and electronic form		

Data/Parameter	CEF <sup>y</sup> <sub>elec</sub>
Data unit	tCO <sub>2</sub> /MWh
Description	GHG emission factor when electricity consumption is reduced
Time of	Annually
determination/monitoring	
Source of data (to be) used	Carbon dioxide emission factors for 2004-2005 are taken from the
	document issued by the Ministry of economy of Netherland
	"Operational Guidelines for Project Design Documents of Joint
	Implementation Projects Volume 1: General guidelines" (ERUPT) <sup>53</sup>

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>53</sup>http://ji.unfccc.int/CallForInputs/BaselineSettingMonitoring/ERUPT/index.html







Page66

	- Carbon dioxide emission factors for 2006-2007 are taken from the							
	document	"Carbo	on dioxid	de emiss	ion fact	ors (for	energy	
	consumpt	consumption according to the methodology "Ukraine - Assessment						
	of new calculation of CEF", approved by TUV SUD 17.08.2007) <sup>54</sup> ;							
	- Carbon dioxide emission factors for 2008 are taken from Order of							
	the National Environmental Investment Agency of Ukraine							
	(hereinaft	er - NEIA	U) № 62	of 15.04.2	011 "On a	approval o	f specific	
	carbon di	oxide emi	ssion facto	ors in 2008	3 <sup>55</sup> ";			
	- Carbon	dioxide ei	nission fac	ctors for 2	009 are ta	ken from	the Order	
	of NEIA	U # 63 c	of 15.04.2	011 "On	approval	of specifi	ic carbon	
	dioxide en	mission fa	ctors in 20	)09" <sup>56</sup> ;				
	- Carbon dioxide emission factors for 2010 are taken from the Order							
	of NEIAU # 43 of 28.03.2011. "On approval of specific carbon							
	dioxide emission factors in 2010" <sup>57</sup> ;							
	- Carbon dioxide emission factors for 2011 are taken from the Order							
	of NEIA	U # 75 o	f 12.05.20	)11. "On	approval	of specif	ic carbon	
	dioxide er	mission fa	ctors in 20	)11" <sup>58</sup> ;		-		
Value of data applied	2004	2005	2006-	2008	2009	2010	2011	
(for ex ante	2004	2005	2007	2000	2007	2010	2011	
calculations/determinations)	0.916 0.896 0.896 1.082 1.096 1.093 1.090							
Justification of the choice of	When developing the joint implementation project national GHG							
data or description of	emission factors are used, in case of their absence factors for 2004-							
measurement methods and	2005 are taken from ERUPT, factors for 2006-2007 are taken from							
procedures (to be) applied	the document "Carbon dioxide emission factor" approved TUV							

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>54</sup>http://ji.unfccc.int/UserManagement/FileStorage/46JW2KL36KM0GEMI0PHDTQF6DVI514

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>55</sup>http://www.neia.gov.ua/nature/doccatalog/document?id=127171

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>56</sup>http://www.neia.gov.ua/nature/doccatalog/document?id=127172

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>57</sup>http://www.neia.gov.ua/nature/doccatalog/document?id=126006

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>58</sup>http://www.neia.gov.ua/nature/doccatalog/document?id=127498



	SUD
QA/QC procedures (to be)	Only officially approved factors are used for calculations.
Applied	
Any comment	N/A

Data/Daramatar	(CDD)					
	$CEF_{gas,unit}^{j}$					
Data unit	t $CO_2 eq/m^3$					
Description	Reduced GHO	Reduced GHG emission factor in the course of natural gas				
	transportation	transportation to end consumers				
Time of	Once at the beginning of the projecty					
determination/monitoring						
Source of data (to be) used	Official data of	f the Ministry of	f Fuel and Energy	of Ukraine	and the	
	national invent	ory report of an	thropogenic emiss	sions by sour	ces and	
	removals by si	nks of greenhou	se gases in Ukrair	ne for 1990-2	009.	
	Detailed calcu	lation and the	reference to the	source of d	ata are	
	provided in th	ne accompanyır	ig document 1.13	8 Excel f	ile and	
Value of data applied	Annex 3.					
value of data applied	Daduaa	d CUC amiasia	factor in the cou	man of	1	
(for ex ante	Keuuce	1  GHG emission		$CO_{1}/m^{3}$		
calculations/determinations)	transpor	tation of 1 m° of	$gas, CEF_{gas}$ , t	$CO_2/m^2$		
	2003	2004	2005	2006		
	0.000072	0.000071	0.000094	0.00007		
	2007	2008	2009	2010		
	0.000066	0.000073	0.000057	0.000055		
Justification of the choice of	See Annex 3					
data or description of						
measurement methods and						
procedures (to be) applied						
QA/QC procedures (to be)	Calculations are based on the officially approved national data of					
Applied	the Ministry of Fuel and Energy of Ukraine and the national					
	inventory report of anthropogenic emissions by sources and					

### Page67





	removals by sinks of greenhouse gases in Ukraine.
Any comment	N/A

D.1.1.4. Description of formulae used to estimate <u>baseline</u> emissions (for each gas, source etc.; emissions in units of CO<sub>2</sub> equivalent):

GHG emissions, in the baseline scenario:

$$BE_b^y = BE_{b,fuel,PP}^y + BE_{b,fuel,LE}^y,$$
(D.9)

where:

 $BE_{b, fuel, PP}^{y}$  - GHG emissions from fossil fuel of "fuel" type combustion by consumers of "PP" type during the period «y», in the baseline scenario (t CO<sub>2</sub>e);

BE<sup>y</sup><sub>b.fuel.LE</sub> - GHG emissions from fossil fuel of "fuel" type combustion by consumers of «LE» type during the period «y», in the baseline scenario (t CO<sub>2</sub>e);

 $\begin{bmatrix} y \end{bmatrix}$  - index corresponding to monitoring period;

[b] - index corresponding to baseline scenario;

[*fuel*] - index corresponding to type of fossil fuel (coal, wood, fuel oil or diesel oil);

[*PP*] - index corresponding to individual;

[*LE*] - index corresponding to legal entity.

$$BE_{b,fuel,PP}^{y} = \sum_{pp=1}^{PP} V_{fuel,PP}^{y} * NCV_{fuel}^{y} * k_{h,fuel}^{y} * (EF_{b,fuel}^{y} + k_{7,fuel}CEF_{elec}^{y}),$$
(D.10)

where:

 $\sum_{pp=1}^{1} V_{fuel, PP}^{y}$  - Total quantity of fossil fuel of "fuel" type combusted in period «y» by individual, in the absence of the project (t);

 $NCV_{fuel}^{y}$  - Net calorific value of fossil fuel of "fuel" type, (TJ/ t);

 $EF_{b, fuel}^{y}$  - carbon dioxide emission factor on default for permanent combustion of fossil fuel of "fuel" type, in the baseline scenario (t CO<sub>2</sub>e /TJ);

 $k_{h, fuel}$  - adjusting factor;

 $k_{7, fuel}$  - Specific saving of electric energy in the course of heat carrier transportation to end consumer with account of losses in electrical grids (kWh/GJ).

Page68





Page69

## Joint Implementation Supervisory Committee

 $CEF_{elec}^{y}$  - GHG emission factor in case of electric power consumption reduction (t CO<sub>2</sub>e /MWh);

- [y] index corresponding to monitoring period;
- [b] index corresponding to baseline scenario;
- [*fuel*] index corresponding to type of fossil fuel;
- [*PP*] index corresponding to individual.

$$V_{fuel,PP}^{y} = V_{gas,PP}^{y} * \frac{NCV_{gas,}^{y}}{NCV_{fuel}^{y}},$$

### where:

 $V_{gas,PP}^{y}$  - Total quantity of natural gas combusted in period «y» by an individual,(ths m<sup>3</sup>);

- $NCV_{gas}^{y}$  Net calorific value of natural gas, (TJ/ t);
- $NCV_{fuel}^{y}$  Net calorific value of fossil fuel of "fuel" type, (TJ/ t);
- $\begin{bmatrix} y \end{bmatrix}$  index corresponding to monitoring period;
- [b] index corresponding to baseline scenario;
- [gas] index corresponding to natural gas;
- [*fuel*] index corresponding to type of fossil fuel;
- [PP] index corresponding to individual.

$$EF_{b, fuel}^{y} = k_{fuel}^{c} * k_{fuel}^{o} * 44 / 12,$$

### where:

 $k_{fuel}^{c}$  - Carbon emission factor in the course of combustion of fossil fuel of «fuel» type (t C/TJ);

- $k_{fuel}^{o}$  Carbon oxidation factor when combusting fossil fuel of "fuel" type (relative units);
- 44/12 stoichiometric correlation of molecular weight of carbon dioxide and carbon, t CO<sub>2</sub>e /t C.

(D.11)

(D12)



**Adjusting factor:** 

$$k_{h,fuel} = \frac{k_{1,prepfuel}^{p}}{k_{1,prepfuel}^{b}} * \frac{k_{2,transfuel}^{p}}{k_{2,transfuel}^{b}} * \frac{k_{3,ef}^{p}}{k_{3,ef}^{b}} * \frac{k_{4,pipes}^{p}}{k_{4,pipes}^{b}},$$
(D.13)

where:

 $k_{1,prepfuel}^{b}$  - factor that takes into account energy loss in the course of energy carrier preparation in the baseline scenario. This factor applies in case of transfer of individual and central heat supply systems to gas.

 $k_{1,prepfuel}^{p}$  - factor that takes into account energy loss in the course of energy carrier preparation in the project scenario. This factor applies in case of transfer of individual and central heat supply systems to gas.

 $k_{3,ef}^{b}$  - efficiency factor of boiler equipment that takes into account efficiency of thermal generating units in the baseline scenario. This factor applies in case of transfer of individual and central heat supply systems to gas.

 $k_{3,ef}^p$  - efficiency factor of boiler equipment that takes into account efficiency of thermal generating units in the project scenario. This factor applies in case of transfer of individual and central heat supply systems to gas.

 $k_{4,pipes}^{b}$  - efficiency factor that takes into account heat energy losses in the course of heat carrier transportation to the end consumer, in the baseline scenario. This factor applies in case of transfer of individual and central heat supply systems to gas.

 $k_{4,pipes}^{p}$  - efficiency factor that takes into account heat energy losses in the course of heat carrier transportation to the end consumer, in the project scenario. This factor applies in case of transfer of individual and central heat supply systems to gas.

$$BE_{b,fuel,LE}^{y} = \sum_{le=1}^{LE} V_{fuel,LE}^{y} * NCV_{fuel}^{y} * k_{m,fuel},$$
(D.14)

where:

 $\sum V_{fuel,LE}^{y}$  - total quantity of fossil fuel of «fuel» type, combusted during «y» period by legal entity, (t);

 $NCV_{fuel}^{y}$  - Net calorific value of fossil fuel of "fuel" type, in the baseline scenario (TJ/ t);

 $EF_{b,fuel}^{y}$  - on default carbon dioxide emission factor for permanent combustion of fossil fuel of "fuel" type, in the baseline scenario (t CO<sub>2</sub>e /TJ);

 $k_{m,fuel}$  - adjusting factor;

[y] - index corresponding to monitoring period;

[b] - index corresponding to baseline scenario;



Page70





[*fuel*] - index corresponding to type of fossil fuel; [*LE*] - index corresponding to legal entity.

$$V_{fuel,LE}^{y} = V_{gas,LE}^{y} * \frac{NCV_{gas}^{y}}{NCV_{fuel}^{y}},$$

### where:

 $V_{gas,LE}^{y}$  - Total quantity of natural gas combusted in period «y» by legal entity (ths m<sup>3</sup>);

 $NCV_{gas}^{y}$  - Net calorific value of natural gas, (TJ/ t);

 $NCV_{fuel}^{y}$  - Net calorific value of fossil fuel of "fuel" type, (TJ/ t);

[y] - index corresponding to monitoring period;

[b] - index corresponding to baseline scenario;

[gas] - index corresponding to natural gas;

[*fuel*] - index corresponding to type of fossil fuel;

[LE] - index corresponding to legal entity.

### Adjusting factor:

$$k_{m,fuel} = \frac{k_{1,prepfuel}^{p}}{k_{1,prepfuel}^{b}},$$
(D.16)

### where:

 $k_{1,prepfuel}^{b}$  - factor that takes into account energy loss in the course of energy carrier preparation in the baseline scenario. This factor applies in case of transfer of individual and central heat supply systems to gas.

 $k_{1,prepfuel}^{p}$  - factor that takes into account energy loss in the course of energy carrier preparation in the project scenario. This factor applies in case of transfer of individual and central heat supply systems to gas.

Page71

(D.15)





Page72

### **Joint Implementation Supervisory Committee**

 $CO_2$  emission factors for all consumed types of fuel are taken from reports of IPCC<sup>59</sup> or taken in accordance with the National inventory report of anthropogenic emissions by sources and removals by sinks of greenhouse gases in Ukraine<sup>60</sup>.

D. 1.2. Variant 2 – Direct monitoring of emission reductions from the project (values should be consistent with those in section E.):

D.1.2.1. Data to be collected in order to monitor emission reductions from the project, and how these data will be archived:									
ID number	Data variable	Source of data	Data unit	Measured (m),	Recording	Proportion of	How will the	Comment	
(Please use				calculated (c),	frequency	data to be	data be		
numbers to				estimated (e)		monitored	archived?(elec		
ease cross-							tronic/		
referencing to							paper)		
D.2.)									

N/A

D.1.2.2. Description of formulae used to calculate emission reductions from the <u>project</u> (for each gas, source etc.; emissions/emission reductions in units of CO<sub>2</sub> equivalent):

H/B

D.1.3. Assessment of leakage in the monitoring plan:

No leakages connected with the project implementation are expected.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>59</sup>http://www.ipcc-nggip.iges.or.jp/public/2006gl/russian/pdf/2 Volume2/V2 2 Ch2 Stationary Combustion.pdf

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>60</sup><u>http://www.neia.gov.ua/nature/doccatalog/document?id=124939</u>




Page73

#### **Joint Implementation Supervisory Committee**

D.1.3.1. If applicable, please describe the data and information that will be collected in order to monitor leakage effects of the project Data variable How will the Comment **ID** number Source of data Data unit Measured (m), Recording **Proportion of** frequency data be (Please use calculated (c). data to be archived?(elec numbers to estimated (e) monitored tronic/ ease crosspaper) referencing to D.2.)

No leakages are expected.

## D.1.3.2. Description of formulae used to estimate <u>leakage</u> (for each gas, source etc.; emissions in units of CO<sub>2</sub> equivalent):

GHG leakage from oil and coal extraction activities, petrol combustion by transport during transportation of diesel oil and coal to end consumer are conservatively excluded. Methane leakage in the course of gas transportation by gas transportation networks are included in the project emissions.

D.1.4. Description of formulae used to estimate emission reductions for the <u>project</u> (for each gas, source etc.; emissions/emission reductions in units of CO<sub>2</sub> equivalent):

Quantity of Emission Reduction Units (ER), t CO<sub>2</sub>e:

 $ER^{y} = BE_{b}^{y} - PE_{p}^{y},$ 

(D.17)

where:

 $BE_b^y$  - GHG emissions due to use of out dated system of energy carrier supply, in period «y», in the baseline scenario (t CO<sub>2</sub>e);

 $PE_b^y$  - GHG emissions due to use of new system of energy carrier supply, in period «y», in the project scenario (t CO<sub>2</sub>e);

 $\begin{bmatrix} y \end{bmatrix}$  - index corresponding to monitoring period;

[b] - index corresponding to baseline scenario;

[p] - index corresponding to project scenario.





Page74

#### Joint Implementation Supervisory Committee

D.1.5. Where applicable, in accordance with procedures as required by the <u>host Party</u>, information on the collection and archiving of information on the environmental impacts of the <u>project:</u>

The main legislative acts of Ukraine concerning the monitoring of the environmental impact of business entities are:

- Ukrainian Law № 1264-XII «On environmental protection"<sup>61</sup> as of 25.06.1991
- Ukrainian Law № 2707-XII «On atmospheric air protection»<sup>62</sup> as of 16.10.1992.

• Current rules on emission limitation: «Norms of maximum permissible emissions of pollutants from permanent sources» – approved by the Ministry of Environmental Protection of Ukraine as of 27.06.2006, N 309 and registered in the Ministry of Justice of Ukraine as of 01.09.2006, N 912/12786.

In the framework of procedures performed at the request of the Law of Ukraine "On State Statistics", the company periodically reports on environmental indicators, in particular environmental department of OJSC "Odesagas" develops quarterly report form  $N_{2}$  2-TP (air) that is submitted to the State Statistics.

D.2. Quality control (QC) and quality assurance (QA) procedures undertaken for data monitored:						
Data	Uncertainty level of	Explain QA/QC procedures planned for these data, or why such procedures are not necessary.				
(Indicate table and	data					
ID number)	(high/medium/low)					
		Calibration of accounting and metering devices is carried out according to manufacturer's instructions,				
$V_{p,gas,PP}^{y}$	Low	approved methodologies of verification / calibration of metering equipment and also in accordance with				
		national standards of Ukraine;				
	Low	Calibration of accounting and metering devices is carried out according to manufacturer's instructions,				
$V_{p,gas,LE}^y$		approved methodologies of verification / calibration of metering equipment and also in accordance with				
		national standards of Ukraine;				
	Low	Net calorific value of natural gas is determined according to the "National inventory of anthropogenic				
NCV <sup>y</sup>		greenhouse gases emissions by sources and removals by sinks of Ukraine", issued by the State				
p,gas		Environmental Investment Agency of Ukraine. This document is subject to periodic review and adding of				
		actual data to it.				

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>61</sup>http://zakon.rada.gov.ua/cgi-bin/laws/main.cgi?nreg=1264-12

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>62</sup>http://zakon.rada.gov.ua/cgi-bin/laws/main.cgi?nreg=2707-12





Page75

$NCV_{b,fuel}^{y}$	Low	Net calorific value of natural gas is determined according to the "National inventory of anthropogenic greenhouse gases emissions by sources and removals by sinks of Ukraine", issued by the State Environmental Investment Agency of Ukraine. This document is subject to periodic review and adding of actual data to it.
$L_{p,los,1}^{y}$	Low	The length of gas distribution systems, implemented under the project, assembly and technical service of OJSC "Odesagas" is responsible for collection of information (Commissioning certificate).
$k_{p,gas}^{c}$	Low	Carbon emission factor for combustion of natural gas is determined according to the "National inventory of anthropogenic greenhouse gases emissions by sources and removals by sinks of Ukraine", issued by the State Environmental Investment Agency of Ukraine. This document is subject to periodic review and adding of actual data to it.
$k_{p,gas}^{o}$	Low	Carbon oxidation factor for natural gas combustion is determined according to the "National inventory of anthropogenic greenhouse gases emissions by sources and removals by sinks of Ukraine", issued by the State Environmental Investment Agency of Ukraine. This document is subject to periodic review and adding of actual data to it.
k <sup>c</sup> <sub>fuel</sub>	Low	Carbon emission factor for fossil fuel type «fuel» is determined according to the "National inventory of anthropogenic greenhouse gases emissions by sources and removals by sinks of Ukraine", issued by the State Environmental Investment Agency of Ukraine. This document is subject to periodic review and adding of actual data to it.
$k_{fuel}^{o}$	Low	Carbon oxidation factor for fossil fuels of «fuel» type combustion is determined according to the "National inventory of anthropogenic greenhouse gases emissions by sources and removals by sinks of Ukraine", issued by the State Environmental Investment Agency of Ukraine. This document is subject to periodic review and adding of actual data to it.
$EF_{CH_4,p,los,1}^{y}$	Low	On default methane emission factor at technological gas equipment at end consumers place is determined according to the "National inventory of anthropogenic greenhouse gases emissions by sources and removals by sinks of Ukraine", issued by the State Environmental Investment Agency of Ukraine. This document is subject to periodic review and adding of actual data to it.





Page76

$EF^{y}_{CH_4,p,los,2}$	Low	On default methane emission factor in the course of natural gas transportation and distribution is determined according to the "National inventory of anthropogenic greenhouse gases emissions by sources and removals by sinks of Ukraine", issued by the State Environmental Investment Agency of Ukraine. This document is subject to periodic review and adding of actual data to it.
CEF <sup>y</sup> <sub>elec</sub>	Low	GHG emission factor when electricity consumption is reduced. When developing the joint implementation project national GHG emission factors are used, in case of their absence factors for 2004-2005 are taken from ERUPT, factors for 2006-2007 are taken from the document "Carbon dioxide emission factor" approved TUV SUD

## D.3. Please describe the operational and management structure that the <u>project</u> operator will apply in implementing the <u>monitoring plan</u> :

Operational and management structure to be applied by OJSC "Odesagas" for implementation of monitoring is given below in scheme.





Page77

## Joint Implementation Supervisory Committee



Fig.13. Structure of collection and processing of gas supply data.





Page78

#### Monitoring of natural gas consumption by legal entities.

- 1. Legal entities supply information on gas consumption to the Gas accounting service every month.
- 2. Service of consumer's gas consumption control for Odesa MPU conducts monthly inspections of meters, executes the statement signed by the enterprise and transfers it to the Gas accounting service.
- 3. Gas accounting service provides information to the Gas supply regime department for its processing into basic form by "Atlas SYBIL" program.
- 4. Indices of gas supply volume processed by "Atlas SYBIL" program are delivered to the project developer «VEMA S.A.».

#### Monitoring of natural gas consumption by individuals.

- 1. Service of consumer's gas consumption control for Odesa MPU conducts monthly inspections of meters, executes the statement signed by the individual and transfers it to the Contact center.
- 2. Bank institutions deliver the information on gas consumption in the form of paid bills to the Contact center of OJSC "Odesagas".
- 3. Contact center processes received information and bases it into "Gasolina" program.
- 4. Indices of gas supply volume processed by «Gasolina» program are delivered to the project developer «VEMA S.A.»

The length of gas distribution systems, implemented under the project is determined by the assembly and technical service based on GDN Commissioning certificates.





## **D.4.** Name of person(s)/entity(ies) establishing the <u>monitoring plan</u>:

Monitoring plan is determined by the project developer, VEMAS. A., and OJSC "Odesagas".

Gas supplying and gasification enterprise OJSC "Odesagas" Odesa, Ukraine . GerasymenkoVitaliy Oleksandrovych, Director. Telephone: +38(050)316 53 17 Fax:+38 (0482)723-75-94 e-mail:<u>Info@odgaz.Odesa.ua</u> Website: http://www.odgaz.Odesa.ua OJSC "Odesagas" is the project participant (Annex 1).

VEMAS.A.: Geneva, Switzerland. Fabian Knodel, Director. Telephone: (044)-594-48-10 Fax: (044)-594-48-19 e-mail:<u>info@vemacarbon.com</u> Website: www.vemacarbon.com VEMAS.A. is the project participant (Annex 1).



Page 80

#### SECTION E. Estimation of greenhouse gas emission reductions

#### E.1. Estimated project emissions:

Project emissions were estimated in accordance with the formulae given in Section D.1.1.2. To estimate emissions for the period 2004-2010 existing data of OJSC "Odesagas" on the actual monitoring parameters values for an appropriate period were used, for the period 2011-2020 predicted data according to the company development plant were used.

Results of calculation are given below in Tables. The calculations are stated in Accompanying document 1 annexed to the PDD.

Table 9. Estimated project emissions for the period preceding the first commitment period (January 1, 2004–December 31, 2007)

		Project en	nissions (t CO <sub>2</sub> e	equivalent)	
Source of emissions	2004	2005	2006	2007	Total for the period 2004-2007
GHG emissions due to natural gas combustion by individuals	164510	281594	405795	332043	1183942
GHG emissions due to natural gas combustion by legal entities	1428909	1276696	1311340	1280016	5296961
GHG emissions due to methane leakage at technological equipment and end consumers place	90400	86380	96106	90517	363403
GHG emissions due to gas transportation by gas transportation networks	60934	78608	64282	57301	261125
Total (t CO <sub>2</sub> equivalent)	1744753	1723278	1877523	1759877	7105431

Table 10.	Estimated project	ct emissions	during the	he first	commitment	period	(January	1, 2008	- December
31, 2012)									

		Project emissions (t CO <sub>2</sub> equivalent)								
Source of emissions						Total for				
Source of emissions	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	the period				
						2008-2012				
GHG emissions due to										
natural gas combustion by	381138	396481	483139	483139	483139					
individuals						2227036				





Page 81

UNFCCC

GHG emissions due to natural gas combustion by legal entities	1307521	1260732	1313621	1313621	1313621	6509116
GHG emissions due to methane leakage at technological equipment and end consumers place	90882	92152	101590	101590	101590	487804
GHG emissions due to gas transportation by gas transportation networks	66552	50758	53052	53052	53052	276466
Total (t CO <sub>2</sub> equivalent)	1846093	1800123	1951402	1951402	1951402	9500422

Table	11.	Estimated	project	emissions	for th	e period	following	the fir	st commitment	period	(January	1,
2013.	– D	December 3	1, 2020)									

			<u>P</u> 1	<u>roject en</u>	nissions	(t CO <sub>2</sub> eq	luivalent	)	
Sourcemofmemissions	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	Total for the period 2013-2020
GHG emissions due to natural gas combustion by individuals	4831 39	4831 39	4831 39	4831 39	4831 39	4831 39	4831 39	4831 39	3865112
GHG emissions due to natural gas combustion by legal entities	1313 621	1313 621	1313 621	1313 621	1313 621	1313 621	1313 621	1313 621	10508968
GHG emissions due to methane leakage at technological equipment and end consumers place	1015 90	1015 90	1015 90	1015 90	1015 90	1015 90	1015 90	1015 90	812720
GHG emissions due to gas transportation by gas transportation networks	5305 2	5305 2	5305 2	5305 2	5305 2	5305 2	5305 2	5305 2	424416
Total (t CO <sub>2</sub> equivalent)	1951 402	1951 402	1951 402	1951 402	1951 402	1951 402	1951 402	1951 402	15611216

Detailed calculations are given in Accompanying documents 1, 1.18.

#### E.2. **Estimated leakage:**

GHG leakage from oil and coal extraction activities, petrol combustion by transport during transportation of diesel oil and coal to end consumer are conservatively excluded. Methane leakage in the course of gas transportation by gas transportation networks are included in the project emissions.

## UNFOCC

#### Joint Implementation Supervisory Committee

## E.3. The sum of E.1. and E.2.:

Since there no leakage is expected under the project the sum of emissions from leakages and from the project activity is equal to emissions from the project activity, the results are given in the tables below.

*Table 12. Sum of emission from leakages project activity for the period, preceding the first commitment period (January1, 2004.– December 31, 2012)* 

Year	Estimated project emissions (t CO <sub>2e</sub> )	Estimated leakages (T CO <sub>2</sub> e)	Estimated project emissions and leakages (t CO <sub>2</sub> e)
2004	1744753	0	1744753
2005	1723278	0	1723278
2006	1877523	0	1877523
2007	1759877	0	1759877
Total (t CO <sub>2e</sub> )	7105431	0	7105431

Table 13. Sum of emission from	leakages project activity	during the first c	ommitment period	(January1,
2008– December 31, 2012)				

Year	Estimated project emissions (t CO <sub>2e</sub> )	Estimated leakages (T CO <sub>2</sub> e)	Estimated project emissions and leakages (t CO <sub>2</sub> e)
2008	1846093	0	1846093
2009	1800123	0	1800123
2010	1951402	0	1951402
2011	1951402	0	1951402
2012	1951402	0	1951402
Total (t CO <sub>2e</sub> )	9500422	0	9500422

*Table 23. Sum of emission from leakages and project activity for the period, following the first commitment period (January 1, 2013 - December 31, 2020)* 

Year	Estimated project emissions (t CO <sub>2e</sub> )	Estimated leakages (T CO <sub>2</sub> e)	Estimated project emissions and leakages (t CO <sub>2</sub> e)
2013	1951402	0	1951402
2014	1951402	0	1951402
2015	1951402	0	1951402
2016	1951402	0	1951402
2017	1951402	0	1951402
2018	1951402	0	1951402
2019	1951402	0	1951402
2020	1951402	0	1951402
Total (t CO <sub>2e</sub> )	15611216	0	15611216



## E.4. Estimated <u>baseline</u> emissions:

All results of baseline emissions assessment in the project are provided in Tables15-17.

*Table 15. Estimated baseline emissions for the period preceding the first commitment period (January 1, 2004–December 31, 2007)* 

	Baseline emisions (t CO <sub>2</sub> equivalent)					
Source of emissions					Total for	
	2004	2005	2006	2007	the period	
					2004-2007	
GHG emissions due to fossil fuel	376676	524062	751745	600355	2202788	
combustion by individuals	520020	524002	131743	000333	2202700	
GHG emissions due to fossil fuel	22/1217	2048505	2120561	2040527	Q <i>47</i> QQ1A	
combustion by legal entities	2241217	2040303	2139301	2049327	04/0010	
Total (t CO <sub>2</sub> equivalent)	2567843	2572567	2891306	2649882	10681598	

*Table 16. Estimated baseline emissions during the first commitment period (January 1, 2008 – December 31, 2012)* 

	Baseline emisions (t CO2equivalent)					
Source of emissions						Total for
	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	the period
						2008-2012
GHG emissions due to fossil fuel	680567	700101	847256	847256	847256	3022/36
combustion by individuals	080507	/00101	047230	047230	047230	3722430
GHG emissions due to fossil fuel	210399	201481	208616	208616	2096160	10277201
combustion by legal entities	9	2	0	0	2080100	103/7291
Total (t CO. equivalent)	278456	271491	293341	293341	2033/16	1/200727
	6	3	6	6	2755410	14477141

Table 17. Estimated project emissions for the period following the first commitment period (January 1, 2013. – December 31, 2020)

		<u>Baseline</u> emisions (t CO <sub>2</sub> equivalent)							
Source of emissions									Total for
	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	the period
									2013-2020
GHG emissions due									
to fossil fuel	84725	84725	84725	84725	84725	84725	84725	84725	6778048
combustion by	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	0770040
individuals									
GHG emissions due									
to fossil fuel	20861	20861	20861	20861	20861	20861	20861	20861	16680280
combustion by legal	60	60	60	60	60	60	60	60	10007200
entities									



Joint Implementation Supervisory Committee						Page 84				
Total	(t	29334	29334	29334	29334	29334	29334	29334	29334	12467220
CO <sub>2</sub> equivalent)		16	16	16	16	16	16	16	16	2340/328

#### E.5. Difference between E.4. and E.3. representing the emission reductions of the project:

Project emission reductions = Baseline emissions – (Project emissions + Estimated Leakage). All results of estimation of project emission reductions are given in Table 18-20.

*Table18. Estimated emission reductions for the period, preceding the first commitment period (January1, 2004–December 31, 2007)* 

Year	Emission reductions (t CO <sub>2e</sub> )
2004	823090
2005	849289
2006	1013783
2007	890005
Total (t CO <sub>2</sub> equivalent)	3576167

*Table19. Estimated emission reductions during the first commitment period (January1, 2008– December 31, 2012)* 

Year	Emission reductions(t CO <sub>2e</sub> )
2008	938473
2009	914790
2010	982014
2011	982014
2012	982014
Total (t CO <sub>2</sub> equivalent)	4799305

*Table 20. Estimated emission reductions for the period, after the first commitment period (January 1, 2013 - December 31, 2020)* 

Year	Emission reductions (t CO <sub>2e</sub> )
2013	982014
2014	982014
2015	982014
2016	982014
2017	982014
2018	982014
2019	982014
2020	982014
Total (t CO <sub>2</sub> equivalent)	7856112



Page 85

#### E.6. Table providing values obtained when applying formulae above:

Table. 21. Table, containing results of emission reductions estimations for the period, preceding the first commitment period (January1, 2004– December 31, 2007)

Year	Estimated p <u>roject</u> e <u>missions (t CO<sub>2e</sub>)</u>	Estimated <u>leakages</u> (t CO <sub>2e</sub> )	Estimated b <u>aseline</u> emissions (t CO <sub>2e</sub> )	Estimated emission reductions (t CO <sub>2e</sub> )
2004	1744753	0	2567843	823090
2005	1723278	0	2572567	849289
2006	1877523	0	2891306	1013783
2007	1759877	0	2649882	890005
Total (t CO2				
equivalent)	7105431	0	10681598	3576167

Table22. Table, containing results of emission reductions estimations during the first commitment period (January1, 2008– December 31, 2012)

Year	Estimated p <u>roject</u> e <u>missions (t CO<sub>2e</sub>)</u>	Estimated <u>leakages</u> (t CO <sub>2e</sub> )	Estimated b <u>aseline</u> emissions (t CO <sub>2e</sub> )	Estimated emission reductions (t CO <sub>2e</sub> )
2008	1846093	0	2784566	938473
2009	1800123	0	2714913	914790
2010	1951402	0	2933416	982014
2011	1951402	0	2933416	982014
2012	1951402	0	2933416	982014
Total (t CO2 equivalent)	9500422	0	14299727	4799305

Table23. Table, containing results of emission reductions estimations for the period, following the first commitment period (January 1, 2013 - December 31, 2020)

Year	Estimated p <u>roject</u> e <u>missions (t CO<sub>2e</sub>)</u>	Estimated <u>leakages</u> (t CO <sub>2e</sub> )	Estimated b <u>aseline</u> emissions (t_CO <sub>2e</sub> )	Estimated emission reductions (t CO <sub>2e</sub> )
2013	1951402	0	2933416	982014
2014	1951402	0	2933416	982014
2015	1951402	0	2933416	982014
2016	1951402	0	2933416	982014
2017	1951402	0	2933416	982014
2018	1951402	0	2933416	982014
2019	1951402	0	2933416	982014
2020	1951402	0	2933416	982014
Total (t CO2 equivalent)	15611216	0	23467328	7856112

UNFCCC

#### SECTION F. Environmental impacts

# F.1. Documentation on the analysis of the environmental impacts of the <u>project</u>, including transboundary impacts, in accordance with procedures as determined by the <u>host Party</u>

According to the Ukrainian legislation, projects of new construction of gas distribution networks must include Environmental Impact Assessment (EIA), the basic requirements of which are listed in the State building norms of Ukraine A.2.2-1-2003 "The composition and content of environmental impact assessment (EIA) in the design and construction of plants, buildings and structures" <sup>63</sup>.

OJSC "Odesagas" has the necessary Environmental Impact Assessment for all projects on gas distribution network construction in accordance with Ukrainian law. EIA of the projects are developed by subcontracting project-assembling organizations and are transferred by individual departments in of reconstruction projects of OJSC "Odesagas".

Overall, the impact of the project "Reduction of greenhouse gases emissions by gasification of Odesa region" on the environment during the construction work can be assessed as permittable, because the impact is temporary. Project facilities are not included in the list of activities and facilities of environmental hazard.

Completed analysis of the facilities impact of the environment showed that all factors considered, we can conclude that in the normal technical operational mode they will neither cause any negative processes in the environment of the region, nor lead to any negative social and economic consequences and the risk of accidents and their possible impact is minimized.

Facilities included in the project boundaries meet all standards and requirements of the Laws of Ukraine "On air protection"<sup>64</sup> and "On Environmental Protection"<sup>65</sup>, and the SSR -96 "Planning and development of human settlements", is environmentally safe and do not make any negative impact on the environment.

Transboundary impacts of the project activities according to their definition in the text of ratified by Ukraine "Convention on transboundary pollution at a great distance," do not take place.



<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>63</sup>http://www.budinfo.com.ua/dbn/8.htm

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>64</sup> http://zakon.rada.gov.ua/cgi-bin/laws/main.cgi?nreg=2707-12

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>65</sup> http://zakon.rada.gov.ua/cgi-bin/laws/main.cgi?nreg=1264-12





Page 87

F.2. If environmental impacts are considered significant by the <u>project participants</u> or the <u>host Party</u>, please provide conclusions and all references to supporting documentation of an environmental impact assessment undertaken in accordance with the procedures as required by the <u>host Party</u>:

All working projects of gas flowlines and distribution networks to be constructed under this project will be considered and approved by the chief of state administration of the Environment in Odesa region.

#### Impact on water medium

Impact on water medium is absent.

#### Impact on air environment

In the operation of project facilities air environment will be influenced by the processes of production and technological (normalized) gas losses-marginal gas leakage when it is possible to ensure reliable operation and conditional normative hermetization of gas pipelines, connecting pieces, fittings, expansion joints, gas equipment, appliances etc. In addition, the industrial-technological gas losses include leakage of gas during manufacturing, maintenance and overhaul, and gas pipe tie-in and connections, installation of fixtures, appliances, equipment that uses gas and connecting parts that do not exceed the norms of gas leakage, established by effective regulations. All the technological gas leakages are included in the project boundary and are accounted as emissions generated within the framework of the project (See Section B, D).

#### Impact on land use.

To prevent impact on the environment during construction processes measures aimed at restoring the ecological balance are carried out. In order to reduce impact on the environmental all construction and installation works are carried out exclusively within the right-of-way.

Land reclamation is planned on land:

- Trails of the pipeline across the width of the allotment;
- The territory of temporary storage of pipes and ancillary materials;
- Affected land surface on the trails of temporary roads;
- The area around ground facilities affected during construction;
- Other territories in the areas of construction, as a result of the passage of vehicles, clogged and polluted with industrial and domestic waste and oil pipelines.

Technical reclamation of areas includes the following measures:

- Removal and preparation of soil and vegetation layer in the areas of construction;

- Cleaning of construction debris, unused materials, and all contaminants of area remained after the process

of dismantling of temporary structures, bases after the completion of works on the trace;

- Restore the topsoil.

#### Waste generation, their treatment and disposal

According to the Ukrainian Law "On wastes», (Article 17) «Obligations of business entities' activity in the sphere of wastes disposal»:

- enterprises shall produce the report about formation, collection, transportation, storage, treatment, utilization, destruction and removal of wastes.

- ensure complete collection, appropriate storage and prevention of wastes deterioration, for utilization of which there is corresponding technology in Ukraine.

In the process of construction activities to reduce negative impact on land resources it is provided to equip working areas and building plots with containers for household and construction waste, followed by their removal to authorized solid waste landfills (Table 24).





Page 88

Table 24. Types of waste that will be created during the construction of the GDS

Name of waste type	Class of hazard	Waste movement
Municipal mixed Waste – 7720.3.1.01	4	Will be taken to solid waste landfill
Waste received in the process of electrodes welding – 2820.2.1.20	4	Will be utilized

#### Impact on biodiversity

There is no impact on biodiversity.

So, summing up, we can say that the project "Reduction of greenhouse gases emissions by gasification of Odesa region" doesn't have any negative effects on the environment.

INFECE

#### Joint Implementation Supervisory Committee

Page 89

## SECTION G. <u>Stakeholders</u>' comments

#### G.1. Information on <u>stakeholders</u>' comments on the <u>project</u>, as appropriate:

In pursuance of requirements of Art. 18 of the Law of Ukraine "On planning and development of areas"<sup>66</sup> and Art. 11 of the Law of Ukraine "On ecological expertise"<sup>67</sup>,OJSC "Odesagas" informs the public through local media on the implementation of territory planning.

All obtained comments related to the project implementation were positive. Negative comments and critical comments relating to the project were not made.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>66</sup> http://zakon.rada.gov.ua/cgi-bin/laws/main.cgi?nreg=1699-14

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>67</sup> http://zakon.rada.gov.ua/cgi-bin/laws/main.cgi?nreg=45%2F95-%E2%F0



Page 90

## Annex 1

## CONTACT INFORMATION ON PROJECT PARTICIPANTS

## **Project owner:**

Organization:	OJSC "Odesagas"
Street/P.O.Box:	Odariya Streeet
Building:	1
City:	Odesa
State/Region:	Odesa region
Postal code:	65003
Country:	Ukraine
Phone:	+38(050)316 53 17,
Fax:	+38(0482) 723-75-94
E-mail:	Info@odgaz.Odesa.ua
URL:	http://www.odgaz.Odesa.ua
Represented by:	
Title:	Director
Salutation:	Mr
Last name:	Gerasymenko
Middle name:	Vitaliy
First name:	Oleksandrovych
Department:	
Phone (direct):	+38(050)316 53 17
Fax (direct):	(0482) 34-14-41
Mobile:	Info@odgaz.Odesa.ua

## **Project Developer:**

Organization:	VEMA S.A.
Street/P.O.Box:	Route de Tonon
Building:	2-A
City:	Geneva
State/Region:	Geneva
Postal code:	PC 170 CH-1222
Country:	Switzerland
Phone:	(044)-594-48-10
Fax:	(044)-594-48-19
E-mail:	www.vemacarbon.com
URL:	info@vemacarbon.com
Represented by:	Director
Title:	Mr.
Salutation:	Knodel
Last name:	





Page 91

Middle name:	Fabian
First name:	
Department:	(+38 044) 453 28 62
Phone (direct):	(+38 044) 456 92 62
Fax (direct):	
Mobile:	info@vemacarbon.com





Page 92

#### Annex 2 BASELINE INFORMATION

The baseline was set according to a specific approach to the Joint Implementation (JI) projects, relying on "Criteria for selecting the baseline and monitoring." (version 2) of Joint Implementation Supervisory Committee.

Key information for determining the baseline is presented in the tables below.

Summarized information on key elements of the baseline is presented in the table, which is given below:

Parameter	Description of the parameter	Description of the parameter (m), calculated (c), estimated (e)		Source of data	
V <sup>y</sup> <sub>gas,PP</sub>	Total quantity of natural gas combusted in period «y» by an individual, ths m <sup>3</sup>	m	N/A	Gas meters	
$V_{gas,LE}^y$	Total quantity of natural gas combusted in period «y» by a legal entity, in the project scenario, ths m <sup>3</sup>	m	N/A	Gas meters	
NCV <sup>y</sup> <sub>fuel</sub>	Net calorific value of fossil fuel of «fuel» type (Fuel of "fuel" type means coal, wood, fuel oil or diesel oil)	e	See Section B.1	National inventory report of anthropogenic emissions by sources and removals by sinks of greenhouse gases in Ukraine. Data on the type of fossil fuel used by a consumer before the gasification are provided by district administrations and village councils.	
	Net calorific value of natural gas, TJ/ mln m <sup>3</sup>	m	See Section B.1.	National inventory report of anthropogenic emissions by sources and removals by sinks of greenhouse gases in Ukraine.	
$k_{fuel}^{o}$	Carbon oxidation factor when combusting fossil fuel of "fuel" type	e	See Section B.1.	Carbon oxidation factor when combusting fossil fuel is used to determine on default carbon dioxide emission	





Page 93

				factor for stationary
				combustion of
				fossil fuels in
				Ukraine. The data
				source for this
				narameter is the
				National inventory
				report of
				report of
				emissions by
				sources and
				removals by sinks
				of greenhouse gases
				in Ukraine, based
				on approved
				national data.
$k_{cont}^{c}$	Carbon emission factor	e	See Section B.1.	National inventory
juei	when combusting fossil			report of
	fuel of "fuel" type. (Fuel			anthropogenic
	of «fuel» type means coal,			emissions by
	wood, fuel oil, diesel oil),			sources and
	t C/TJ			removals by sinks
				of greenhouse gases
				in Ukraine.
1, p	Average efficiency factor	e	See section B 1	Report
κ <sub>1, prepfuel</sub>	that takes into account			«Determination of
	energy loss in the course			change of specific
	of energy carrier			energy data of heat
	preparation in the project			supply system in
	scenario			the course of
	seenario			asification"
1_b	Average efficiency factor	<u>م</u>	See section B 1	Benort
$\kappa_{1, prepfuel}$	that takes into account	C	See section D 1.	" Appoint
	anarray loss in the source			«Determination of
	of an annual course			change of specific
	of energy carrier			energy data of neat
	preparation in the baseline			supply system in
	scenario			the course of
				gasification .
$k_{3,ef}^p$	Average efficiency factor	e	See section B 1.	Report
	of boiler equipment that			«Determination of
	takes into account			change of specific
	efficiency of thermal			energy data of heat
	generating units, in the			supply system in
	project scenario			the course of
				gasification".
$k_{2}^{b}$	Average efficiency factor	e	See section B 1.	Report
-3,ef	of boiler equipment that			«Determination of
	takes into account			change of specific
				an anore data of head
	efficiency of thermal			energy data of neat
	efficiency of thermal generating units, in the			supply system in the
	generating units, in the baseline scenario			supply system in the course of





Page 94

$k_{\perp}^{b}$ .	Average efficiency factor	e	See section B 1.	Report
4, pipes	that takes into account			«Determination of
	heat energy losses in the			change of specific
	course of heat carrier			energy data of heat
	transportation to the end			supply system in the
	consumer, in the baseline			course of
	scenario			gasification".
$k^p_{\star}$ .	Average efficiency factor	e	See section B 1.	Report
4, pipes	that takes into account			«Determination of
	heat energy losses in the			change of specific
	course of heat carrier			energy data of heat
	transportation to the end			supply system in the
	consumer, in the project			course of
	scenario			gasification".

The baseline is determined by a specific approach based on approved methodology ACM0009 «Consolidated baseline and monitoring methodology for fuel switching from coal or petroleum fuel to natural gas»- Version 3.2 and the Report "Identification of changes of specific energy factors of heat supply system when conducting gasification" developed by "UKRENERGOPROM-2" as of June 24, 2011. Also this report received a positive review from the "Institute of Engineering Thermophysics, NAS of Ukraine."

Excerpt from review "Specific generalized indices derived on the basis of analysis of existing heat supply systems and their further gasification can be used to evaluate the heating system in the territory of Odesa region, including assessment for reducing of greenhouse gas emissions in projects implementation under the Kyoto Protocol ".





Page 95

#### Annex 3

## MONITORINGPLAN

To determine the monitoring methodology approach for baseline setting and monitoring developed according to Appendix B of the JI guidelines, namely specific JI approach was used. The monitoring plan for this project was established in accordance with "Guidance on criteria for baseline setting and monitoring" (Version 2) of JI Supervisory Committee.

Monitoring plan provides for the following measures:

- 1. Identification of all potential sources of emissions within the project.
- 2. Collection of information on greenhouse gas emissions within the project during the crediting period.
- 3. Assessment of the project implementation schedule.
- 4. Collection of the information on measurement equipment, its calibration.
- 5. Collection and archiving information on the impact of project activities on the environment.
- 6. Data archiving.
- 7. Determination of the structure of responsibility for project monitoring.
- 8. Analysis of organization of personnel training.

#### Accounting program for gas consumers

Full-featured billing system "Atlas SYBIL" is designed to automate core processes of organizations that provide gas, heat, electricity and water supply services to the mass. Billing System "Atlas SYBIL" provides a record of services and payments to contractors - legal entities and individuals (the public). "Atlas SYBIL" contains not only billing but also the accounting function, the function of technical accounting and offers a broad analysis of the organization: gas water, heat and electricity consumption control, multidimensional analytical accounting and analysis of services and payments to contractors. More information is listed on the site of the developer (http://www.atlas.ua).

**Gasolina** is designed to automate call centers when conducting public accounting of settlements for natural gas.

Key system features:

□ Conducting of basic and additional information about subscribers;

□ Maintaining charges, payments, subsidies, meters data, adjustments of subscribers;

□ accumulation in the history of changes about the subscriber (document type "Change");

 $\Box$  Charges are conducted according to all modifications of the subscriber. Thus when accruing the daily state of subscriber is taken into account.

□ When accruing with accuracy to a day changing the norms, price of gas, benefits are considered;

 $\Box$  A wide range of reporting.

More information is listed on the site of developer (www.gasolina.com.ua).

"Software Service" is responsible for maintaining of accounting programs of gas subscribers at OJSC "Odesagas".

Calculation of reduced GHG emission factor when transporting natural gas to end consumers.

$$CEF_{gas,unit}^{y} = \frac{\sum PE_{tp,gf}}{V_{gas,sum}},$$



Page 96

$CEF_{gas,unit}^{y}$	-	reduced GHG emission factor when transporting natural gas to end consumers, tCO_2e/ $m^3$
$V^{j}_{gas,sum}$	-	total volume of transit natural gas transported through Ukraine in year j. (according to the Ministry of Fuel and Energy of Ukraine <sup>68</sup> ) bln m <sup>3</sup> ;
$\sum PE_{tp,gf}^{j}$	-	total amount of $CO_2$ that is released when transporting natural gas to end consumers, $tCO_2$ .

$\sum PE_{tp,gf}^{j} =$	$\frac{44}{12} * C$	$EF_{tg,gf} * k_{fuel}^c * k_{fuel}^o$ ,
$CEF_{tg,gf}$	-	total quantity of heat spent on transporting of natural gas through Ukraine, TJ. (according to data from National inventory report of anthropogenic emissions by sources and removals by sinks of greenhouse gases in Ukraine, Table 1 $A(a)s3$ ) <sup>;</sup>
$k_{p,gas}^{c}$	-	Carbon emission factor when combusting natural gas, t/TJ;
$k^{o}_{p,gas}$	-	Carbon oxidation factor when combusting natural gas, relative units.

Calculations are based on approved national data of the Ministry of Fuel and Energy of Ukraine and data from the National inventory report of anthropogenic emissions by sources and removals by sinks of greenhouse gases in Ukraine. Frequency of monitoring of data is annual. Detailed calculations are provided in the accompanying documents 1.18. (Excel file).

Table 1. Annual reduced GHG emission factor when transporting natural gas to end consumers in 2003-2010

Reduced GHG emission factor when transporting 1 $m^3$ of gas, CEF <sub>gas</sub> <sup>unit</sup> , t CO <sub>2</sub> /m <sup>3</sup>							
2003	2004	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010
0,000072	0.000071	0.000094	0.000070	0.000066	0.000073	0.000057	0.000055

Table 2. Annual total volume transit natural gas transported through Ukraine in 2003-2010 (according to the Ministry of Fuel and Energy of Ukraine<sup>69</sup>)

Total volume of transit natural gas transported through Ukraine m <sup>3</sup> of gas, Vgas, sum, bln m <sup>3</sup>							
2003	2004	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010
129	137.1	101.9	128.5	115.2	109.9	95.2	98.6

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>68</sup><u>http://mpe.kmu.gov.ua/</u>

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>69</sup><u>http://mpe.kmu.gov.ua/</u>





Page 97

Table 3. Total quantity of heat spent on transporting of natural gas through Ukraine in 2003-2011, TJ. (according to data from the National inventory report of anthropogenic emissions by sources and removals by sinks of greenhouse gases in Ukraine<sup>22</sup>)

Total quantity of heat energy (obtained by natural gas combustion), necessary for transporting of									
natural gas in gas distribution networks of Ukraine, TJ									
2003     2004     2005     2006     2007     2008     2009     2010							2010		
171 173	171 173     180 401     176 724     165 090     140 318     148 018     99 412     99 412								