

## **AIE REPORT FORM**

(version 04)

(to be completed by an AlE requesting the publication of a determination pursuant to paragraph 37 of the JI guideli
---

(to be	e completed by an	AIE requesting the publi	cation of a det	ermination pu	rsuant to paragra	aph 37 of the Ji gi	uidelines)	
Accredited independent entity (AIE) submitting form				Bureau Veritas Certification Holding SAS				
			JI activ	vity				
				0263: Wind Power Farm in Buciai and Kadariai Villages Joint Implementation Project				
Parties involved in the 31 activity				Lithuania Netherlands				
Project participants				UAB Silales vejo elektra (Previously UAB Vejo Elektra. Revised MoC and requests for PP change are attached) Stichting Carbon Finance (SCF)				
Coordinating entity (applicable to JI PoA only)				N.A.				
Type of JI activity: □ large-scale ☑ small-			scale		□ PoA			
Emission reductions/Enhancements of removals								
Monitoring period(s) (DD/MM/YYYY - DD/MM/YYYY)			01/10/2012-31/12/2012					
Verified reductions in anthropogenic emissions by sources/enhancements of anthropogenic removals by sinks (tonnes of CO <sub>2</sub> eq.)				6,3	17			
The present verification is the first with regard to the JI activity					□ Yes	⊠ No		
to JI	Verification pe	riod (DD/MM/YYYY-D	D/MM/YYYY)	⊠ N/A	1			
Applicable to JI PoA only	Reference nun	nber of JPAs verifie	ed	⊠ N/A	1			
Appl	Sampling appr	oach used to verify	the PoA	⊠ N/A	\ □ Ye:	s □ No		
Statements regarding requirements and possible changes								
Please <u>confirm that all requirements</u> of Article 6 of the Kyoto Protocol, the JI guidelines and further relevant requirements defined by the CMP or the JISC with regard to verifications pursuant to paragraph 37 of the JI guidelines <u>are met</u> :								
⋈	Yes							
	No							
Verification opinion								
Please provide a verification opinion (or a reference to the verification opinion in one of the								

documents attached), including a statement regarding materiality and level of assurance, in accordance with the Standard for applying the concept of materiality in verifications

Bureau Veritas Certification has performed the 2nd monitoring period verification of "Wind Power Farm in Buciai and Kadariai Villages Joint Implementation Project", which applies the project specific

The verification was performed on the basis of UNFCCC criteria and the host country criteria and also on the criteria given to provide for consistent project operations, monitoring and reporting.

The verification consisted of the following three phases: i) a desk review of the project design, baseline and monitoring plan; ii) follow-up interviews with project stakeholders; iii) resolution of outstanding issues and issuance of the final verification report and opinion. The management of UAB Silales veio elektra is responsible for the preparation of the GHG emission data and the reported GHG emission reductions of the project on the basis set out within the within the project Monitoring Plan indicated in the final PDD version 1.4 (dated 07/11/2011). The development and maintenance of records and reporting procedures in accordance with that plan, including the calculation and determination of GHG emission reductions from the project, is the responsibility of the management of the project.

Bureau Veritas Certification verified the Monitoring Report version 1 (dated 26/02/2013) for the reporting period as indicated below. Bureau Veritas Certification confirms that the project is implemented as planned and described in the approved project design documents. The installed equipment being essential for generating emission reduction runs reliably and is calibrated appropriately. The monitoring system is in place and the project is generating GHG emission reductions.

Bureau Veritas Certification can confirm that the GHG emission reduction is accurately calculated and is

free of material errors, omissions, or misstatements. Our opinion relates to the project's GHG emissions and resulting GHG emission reductions reported and related to the approved project baseline and monitoring, and its associated documents. Based on the information we have seen and evaluated, we confirm, with a reasonable level of assurance, the following statement: Reporting period: From 01/10/2012 to 31/12/2012 Baseline emissions: 6,317 t CO2 equivalents; Project emissions: 0 t CO2 equivalents; Emission Reductions: 6,317 t CO2 equivalents. The project design was revised in the current monitoring period, after the determination has □ Yes No been deemed final If yes, please list all revisions to the project design and express a determination opinion (or provide a ⋈ N/A reference to an attached document containing the required information) If project design was revised in the current ⋈ N/A □ Yes  $\square$  No monitoring period, please confirm that conditions defined in JI guidelines (paragraph 33) are still met If project design was revised in the current ⋈ N/A □ Yes □ No monitoring period, please confirm that the changes do not alter the original determination opinion If project design was revised in the current monitoring period, please confirm that: (a) Physical location of the project has not changed ⋈ N/A □ Yes □ No (b) If emission sources have changed, they are ⋈ N/A □ Yes □ No reflected in an updated monitoring plan (c) Baseline scenario has not changed ⋈ N/A □ Yes □ No (d) Changes are consistent with JI specific approach ⋈ N/A □ Yes □ No or CDM methodology upon which the determination was prepared for the project The monitoring plan was revised in the current □ Yes ⋈ No monitoring period If yes, please specify for which technologies and measures under each type of JPA the monitoring plan was revised (applicable to JI PoA only) The current revisions to the monitoring plan improve the accuracy and/or applicability of information collected, compared to the original ⋈ N/A □ Yes □ No monitoring plan without changing conformity with the relevant rules and regulations for establishing monitoring plans

List of documents attached to the verification report form								
Please attach relevant documents used in the verification process and mark below accordingly								
Verification report								
☐ Written approvals by the Parties involved, if applicable. Note: LoA's were provided during determination process.								
Other relevant documents:								
<ul> <li>Document listing all revisions to the project design, if applicable</li> </ul>								
<ul> <li>Determination that the revisions to the <u>project design</u>, if applicable, do not alter the original determination opinion and that the conditions defined by paragraph 33 of the JI guidelines are still met</li> </ul>								
□ Revised monitoring plan, if applicable								
Determination that the revisions to the <u>monitoring plan</u> , if applicable, improve the accuracy and/or applicability of information collected, compared to the original monitoring plan withou changing conformity with relevant rules and regulations for establishing monitoring plans								
□ Sampling plan, if applicable (JI PoA only)								
Monitoring report version (version 1, dated 26/02/2013)								
Excel spreadsheet (Monitoring data Buciai-Kadariai 2012.xls)								
Revised Letter of Modalities (Letter of Modalities.pdf)								
Requests for PP change (Request_Silales vejo elektra.pdf, Request_Vejo Elektra.pdf)								
he AIE herewith declares that undertaking the verification for the JI activity referred to above oes not constitute a conflict of interest which is incompatible with the role of an AIE								
Inerational manager signing for the AIF Witold Dzugan								

22/03/2013

Date and signature