JI VERIFICATION REPORT FORM				
(version 03.1)				
(to be completed by an AIE requesting the publication of a det	ermination pursuant t	o paragraph 37	of the JI guidelines)	
Accredited independent entity (AIE) submitting form	Bureau Veritas Cert	ification Holdir	ng SAS	
JI activity				
Reference number and title of JI activity	Modernization of e at OJSC "Odesaol		distribution system	
Coordinating entity (applicable to JI PoA only)	N/a			
Parties involved in the JI activity	- Ukraine - Switzerland			
Type of JI activity:   ☑ large scale    □ small	scale 🛛 LUL	UCF	□ PoA	
Emission reductions / Enhancements of removals				
Monitoring period(s) (DD/MM/YYYY - DD/MM/YYYY)	01/01/200	8 – 31/12/20 <sup>,</sup>	10	
Reference number of JPAs verified	☑ N.A.			
(applicable to JI PoA only)				
A sampling approach was used to verify the JI PoA (applicable to JI PoA only)	☑ N.A.	□ Yes	□ No	
Verification period (DD/MM/YYYY - DD/MM/YYYY) (applicable to JI PoA only)	☑ N.A.			
Verified reductions in anthropogenic emissions by sources / enhancements of anthropogenic removals by sinks (tonnes of CO <sub>2</sub> equivalent)	1583207			
The present verification is the first with regard to the JI activity	☑ Yes	;	□ No	
Statements regarding requirements and possible changes				
Please <u>confirm that all requirements</u> of Article 6 of the Kyoto Protocol, the JI guidelines and further relevant requirements defined by the CMP or the JISC with regard to verifications pursuant to paragraph 37 of the JI guidelines <u>are met</u> :				
No				
Verification opinion				
Please provide a verification opinion (or a reference to the verification opinion in one of the documents attached), including a statement regarding materiality and level of assurance, in accordance with the Standard for applying the concept of materiality in verifications:				
The verification opinion is provided in the attached Verification Report, No. UKRAINE- ver/0345/2011 rev.02, of 20/09/2011.				
The <u>project design was revised</u> after the determination has been deemed final	□ <b>Y</b> (	es	☑ No	

If yes, please <u>list all revisions</u> to the project design and express a determination opinion (or provide a reference to an attached document containing the required information) If project design was revised, <u>please confirm</u> that conditions defined by paragraph 33 of the JI guidelines are still met If project design was revised, <u>please confirm</u> that the changes do not alter the original determination opinion If project design was revised, <u>please confirm</u> that: (a) Physical location of the project has not changed (b) If emission sources have changed, they are reflected in an updated monitoring plan (c) Baseline scenario has not changed (d) Changes are consistent with JI specific approach or CDM methodology upon which the determination was prepared for the project The <u>monitoring plan was revised</u> If yes, please inform for which technologies and measures under each type of JPA the monitoring plan was revised (applicable to JI PoA only) The <u>revisions to the monitoring plan</u> improve the accuracy and/or applicability of information collected, compared to the original monitoring plan without changing conformity with the relevant rules and regulations for the establishment of monitoring plans	☑ N.A. ☑ N.A. ☑ N.A. ☑ N.A. ☑ N.A. ☑ N.A. ☑ N.A. □ Yes		□ No □ No □ No □ No □ No □ No		
<ul> <li>conditions defined by paragraph 33 of the JI guidelines are still met</li> <li>If project design was revised, <u>please confirm</u> that the changes do not alter the original determination opinion</li> <li>If project design was revised, <u>please confirm</u> that: <ul> <li>(a) Physical location of the project has not changed</li> <li>(b) If emission sources have changed, they are reflected in an updated monitoring plan</li> <li>(c) Baseline scenario has not changed</li> <li>(d) Changes are consistent with JI specific approach or CDM methodology upon which the determination was prepared for the project</li> </ul> </li> <li>The monitoring plan was revised</li> <li><i>If yes, please inform for which technologies and measures under each type of JPA the monitoring plan was revised (applicable to JI PoA only)</i></li> <li>The revisions to the monitoring plan improve the accuracy and/or applicability of information collected, compared to the original monitoring plan without changing conformity with the relevant rules and regulations for the establishment of</li> </ul>	☑ N.A. ☑ N.A. ☑ N.A. ☑ N.A. ☑ N.A. □ Yes	<ul> <li>Yes</li> <li>Yes</li> <li>Yes</li> <li>Yes</li> <li>Yes</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>No</li> <li>No</li> <li>No</li> <li>No</li> <li>No</li> <li>No</li> </ul>		
<ul> <li>the changes do not alter the original determination opinion</li> <li>If project design was revised, <u>please confirm</u> that: <ul> <li>(a) Physical location of the project has not changed</li> <li>(b) If emission sources have changed, they are reflected in an updated monitoring plan</li> <li>(c) Baseline scenario has not changed</li> <li>(d) Changes are consistent with JI specific approach or CDM methodology upon which the determination was prepared for the project</li> </ul> </li> <li>The monitoring plan was revised</li> <li>If yes, please inform for which technologies and measures under each type of JPA the monitoring plan was revised (applicable to JI PoA only)</li> <li>The revisions to the monitoring plan improve the accuracy and/or applicability of information collected, compared to the original monitoring plan without changing conformity with the relevant rules and regulations for the establishment of</li> </ul>	☑ N.A. ☑ N.A. ☑ N.A. ☑ N.A. □ Yes	<ul> <li>Yes</li> <li>Yes</li> <li>Yes</li> <li>Yes</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>No</li> <li>No</li> <li>No</li> <li>No</li> </ul>		
<ul> <li>(a) Physical location of the project has not changed</li> <li>(b) If emission sources have changed, they are reflected in an updated monitoring plan</li> <li>(c) Baseline scenario has not changed</li> <li>(d) Changes are consistent with JI specific approach or CDM methodology upon which the determination was prepared for the project</li> <li>The monitoring plan was revised</li> <li>If yes, please inform for which technologies and measures under each type of JPA the monitoring plan was revised (applicable to JI PoA only)</li> <li>The revisions to the monitoring plan improve the accuracy and/or applicability of information collected, compared to the original monitoring plan without changing conformity with the relevant rules and regulations for the establishment of</li> </ul>	⊠ N.A. ⊠ N.A. ⊠ N.A. □ Yes	□ Yes □ Yes □ Yes	□ No □ No □ No		
<ul> <li>changed</li> <li>(b) If emission sources have changed, they are reflected in an updated monitoring plan</li> <li>(c) Baseline scenario has not changed</li> <li>(d) Changes are consistent with JI specific approach or CDM methodology upon which the determination was prepared for the project</li> <li>The monitoring plan was revised</li> <li>If yes, please inform for which technologies and measures under each type of JPA the monitoring plan was revised (applicable to JI POA only)</li> <li>The revisions to the monitoring plan improve the accuracy and/or applicability of information collected, compared to the original monitoring plan without changing conformity with the relevant rules and regulations for the establishment of</li> </ul>	⊠ N.A. ⊠ N.A. ⊠ N.A. □ Yes	□ Yes □ Yes □ Yes	□ No □ No □ No		
<ul> <li>reflected in an updated monitoring plan</li> <li>(c) Baseline scenario has not changed</li> <li>(d) Changes are consistent with JI specific approach or CDM methodology upon which the determination was prepared for the project</li> <li>The monitoring plan was revised</li> <li>If yes, please inform for which technologies and measures under each type of JPA the monitoring plan was revised (applicable to JI PoA only)</li> <li>The revisions to the monitoring plan improve the accuracy and/or applicability of information collected, compared to the original monitoring plan without changing conformity with the relevant rules and regulations for the establishment of</li> </ul>	⊠ N.A. ⊠ N.A. □ Yes	□ Yes □ Yes	□ No □ No		
<ul> <li>(d) Changes are consistent with JI specific approach or CDM methodology upon which the determination was prepared for the project</li> <li>The monitoring plan was revised</li> <li>If yes, please inform for which technologies and measures under each type of JPA the monitoring plan was revised (applicable to JI PoA only)</li> <li>The revisions to the monitoring plan improve the accuracy and/or applicability of information collected, compared to the original monitoring plan without changing conformity with the relevant rules and regulations for the establishment of</li> </ul>	⊠ N.A. □ Yes	□ Yes	□ No		
approach or CDM methodology upon which the determination was prepared for the project The monitoring plan was revised If yes, please inform for which technologies and measures under each type of JPA the monitoring plan was revised (applicable to JI PoA only) The revisions to the monitoring plan improve the accuracy and/or applicability of information collected, compared to the original monitoring plan without changing conformity with the relevant rules and regulations for the establishment of	□ Yes	3			
If yes, please inform for which technologies and measures under each type of JPA the monitoring plan was revised (applicable to JI PoA only) The revisions to the monitoring plan improve the accuracy and/or applicability of information collected, compared to the original monitoring plan without changing conformity with the relevant rules and regulations for the establishment of			⊠ No		
measures under each type of JPA the monitoring plan was revised (applicable to JI PoA only) The <u>revisions to the monitoring plan</u> improve the accuracy and/or applicability of information collected, compared to the original monitoring plan without changing conformity with the relevant rules and regulations for the establishment of	□ Yes				
accuracy and/or applicability of information collected, compared to the original monitoring plan without changing conformity with the relevant rules and regulations for the establishment of	□ Yes	- •			
		□ No	☑ N.A.		
List of documents attached to the verification report form					
Please attach relevant documents used in the verification process and check mark below accordingly					
☑ Verification report					
Written approvals by the Parties involved					
☑ Other documents:					
Document listing all revisions to the project design, if applicable					
Determination that the revisions to the project design, if applicable, do not alter the original determination opinion and that the conditions defined by paragraph 33 of the JI guidelines are still met					
Revised monitoring plan, if applicable	e				
Determination that the revisions to the monitoring plan, if applicable, improve the accuracy and/or applicability of information collected, compared to the original monitoring plan without changing conformity with the relevant rules and regulations for the establishment of monitoring plans					
Sampling plan, if applicable (JI PoA o	Sampling plan, if applicable ( <i>JI PoA only</i> )				
Any other documents (please list):					
<ul> <li>Annex 1 to the Monitoring Report for the period 01/01/2008-31/12/2010. Information about implementation of new and reconstruction of existing elements of the electrical grid in the monitoring period (Excel file)</li> </ul>					
<ul> <li>Annex 2 to the Monitoring Report for the period 01/01/2008-31/12/2010: Data about reconstruction of existing segments of the electrical grid that was executed in the monitoring period (Excel file)</li> </ul>					
- Annex 3 to the Monitoring Report for the period 01/01/2008-31/12/2010: List of					

metering equipment (Excel file	)
- Annex 4 to the Monitoring Rep GHG emission reductions (Exc	ort for the period 01/01/2008-31/12/2010: Calculation of cel file)
The AIE herewith declares that undertaking th does not constitute a conflict of interest which	e verification for the JI activity referred to above h is incompatible with the role of an AIE
Authorized officer signing for the AIE	Flavio Gomesu Veritas Certification
Date and signature	20/09/2011 Maria