



## AIE REPORT FORM

(version 03)

(to be completed by an AIE requesting the publication of a determination pursuant to paragraph 33 of the JI guidelines)

**Accredited independent entity (AIE) submitting form** Burea Veritas Certification Holding SAS

### Proposed JI activity

**Reference number and title of JI activity** 0263 – Wind Power Farm in Buciai and Kadariai Villages Joint Implementation Project

**Host Party(ies)** Lithuania

**Other Parties involved in the JI activity** The Netherlands

**Project participants**  
Vejo elektra, UAB (Host party)  
Lariteksas, UAB (Host party)  
Stichting Carbon Finance (The Netherlands)

**Coordinating entity (applicable to JI PoA only)** N.A.

**Type of JI activity:**  large-scale  small-scale  LULUCF  PoA

#### Brief description of the JI activity

The project would displace carbon intensive electricity produced from fossil fuel sources in the Lietuvos Elektrine. It is foreseen to install 6 wind power plants with the total capacity of 13,8 MW (2,3 MW x 6). The Project, in a conservative approach, will generate about 35,96 GWh of electric power per year. Such wind park's generation will lead 22509 tCO<sub>2</sub>/year emission reductions on Lietuvos Elektrine side.

### Determination report

Please confirm that all requirements of Article 6 of the Kyoto Protocol, the JI guidelines and further relevant requirements defined by the CMP or the JISC with regard to determinations pursuant to paragraph 33 of the JI guidelines are met:

- Yes  
 No

#### General information on determination

Please describe:

- The scope of the determination process, including all documentation reviewed, and list the names of persons interviewed during the determination process, as applicable;
- The AIE's determination team, including a list of all persons involved in the determination process and a description of the functions assumed.

The Project Design Document (PDD) submitted by the Project Participant and additional background documents related to the project design and baseline, i.e. country Law, Guidelines for Completing the Project Design Document (JI-PDD), Approved methodology, Kyoto Protocol, Clarifications on Determination Requirements to be Checked by a Accredited Independent Entity were reviewed, as listed below:

Preliminary electric energy production calculation, made by EMD International A/S, dated 25/11/2008  
Lithuania's national allocation plan for greenhouse gas emission allowances for the period 2008 to 2012  
Permits to enhance the energy generation capacity No. LP-0349 and No. LP-0349, issued on 06/05/2010  
Detailed plan on wind park, approved by Silale municipality on 23/02/2010  
Building permits, issued by Silale municipality on 09/09/2010 and 13/09/2010  
Conclusion No. (9.14.5.)-LV4-2625 issued by Klaipeda Regional Department of Environment (regarding the environmental impact assessment of the planned economic activity) on 26/05/2009

The letter of Endorsement (LoE) issued by the Lithuanian Ministry of Environment on 12/10/2009  
Minutes of the meeting with local stakeholders, dated 18/10/2009  
Enercon GmbH offer for Mockiai wind park, dated 18/06/2008  
Enercon GmbH EPK offer concerning maintenance services, dated October 2008  
CNA Ltd policy no 310-16033 for Virtsu II wind park 01/03/2008-20.03.2009  
Management agreement between 4energia UAB and Vejo elektra UAB, dated 10/12/2008  
Resolution No. 03-27 of the State price and Energy Control Commission of 21 February 2008  
([http://www.regula.lt/lt/elektra/tarifai/viap\\_kainos.php](http://www.regula.lt/lt/elektra/tarifai/viap_kainos.php))  
The letter of Approval (LoA) (10-2)-D8-11230 issued by the Lithuanian Ministry of Environment on 15/12/2011  
The Letter of Approval (LoA) (reference 2012JI03) issued by the NL Energy and Climate Change

On 30/09/2011 Bureau Veritas Certification performed interviews with project stakeholders to confirm selected information and to resolve issues identified in the document review. Representative of Vejo elektra, UAB was interviewed:

Mr. Tadas Navickas, Director (Vejo Elektra, UAB)

Mr. Julius Mikalauskas, Project manager (Vejo Elektra, UAB).

Determination team:

Tomas Paulaitis– Climate Change Lead Verifier;

Gediminas Vaskela – Financial Specialist;

Kęstutis Navickas – Technical Specialist;

Ashok Mammen – Internal Technical Reviewer

#### **Description of determination process**

Please briefly describe and refer to:

- The review of the JI PDD/PoA DD and additional documentation attached to it;
- The assessment against JI requirements, e.g. by using a determination protocol;
- The report of findings by the AIE, including the use of different types of findings (e.g. corrective action requests, clarifications or observations etc.).

**Statements or assessments should be included in section “Conclusions, final comments and determination opinion”.**

Bureau Veritas Certification internal procedures.

In order to ensure transparency, a determination protocol was customized for the project. The protocol shows, in a transparent manner, criteria (requirements), means of verification and the results from validating the identified criteria. The determination protocol serves the following purposes:

- It organizes, details and clarifies the requirements JI project is expected to meet;
- It ensures a transparent determination process where the determinator will document how a particular requirement has been validated and the result of the determination.

The completed determination protocol is enclosed in Appendix A to the determination report.

The determination findings for each determination subject are presented as follows:

1) The findings of the desk review of the original project design documents and the findings from interviews during the follow up visit are summarized. A more detailed record of these findings can be found in the Determination Protocol in Appendix A.

2) Where Bureau Veritas Certification had identified issues that needed clarification or that represented a risk to the fulfilment of the project objectives, a Clarification or Corrective Action request, respectively, have been issued. The Clarification and Corrective Action Requests are stated, where applicable, in the following sections and are further documented in the Determination Protocol in Appendix A.

The conclusions for determination subject are presented.

#### **Comments received from Parties, stakeholders and UNFCCC accredited observers**

Please:

- Summarise the comments received pursuant to paragraph 32 of the JI guidelines; and
- Provide a report of how due account was taken of these comments.

There are no comments received from Parties, stakeholders and UNFCCC accredited observers.

### **Conclusions, final comments and determination opinion**

Please provide:

- Conclusions describing how each of the requirements of Article 6 of the Kyoto Protocol and the JI guidelines and further relevant requirements defined by the CMP or the JISC, in particular those referred to in paragraph 33 of the JI guidelines, have been met, including assessments and findings (e.g. corrective action requests, clarifications or observations) related to each requirement, and statements on whether all issues raised have been addressed to the AIE's satisfaction;
- Final comments and a determination opinion.

Bureau Veritas Certification has performed a determination of the „Wind Power Farm in Buciai and Kadariai Villages Joint Implementation Project” project in Lithuania. The determination was performed on the basis of UNFCCC criteria and host country criteria and also on the criteria given to provide for consistent project operations, monitoring and reporting.

The determination consisted of the following three phases: i) a desk review of the project design and the baseline and monitoring plan; ii) follow-up interviews with project stakeholders; iii) the resolution of outstanding issues and the issuance of the final determination report and opinion.

Project participant/s used the latest tool for demonstration of the additionality. In line with this tool, the PDD provides analysis of investment, technological and other barriers to determine that the project activity itself is not the baseline scenario.

By building a wind farm the project is likely to result in reductions of GHG emissions. Emission reductions attributable to the project are hence additional to any that would occur in the absence of the project activity. Given that the project is implemented and maintained as designed, the project is likely to achieve the estimated amount of emission reductions.

The review of the project design documentation (version 1.4) and the subsequent follow-up interviews have provided Bureau Veritas Certification with sufficient evidence to determine the fulfilment of stated criteria.

In our opinion, the project correctly applied and meets the relevant UNFCCC requirements for the JI and the relevant host country criteria.

The determination is based on the information made available to us and the engagement conditions detailed in this report.

### **List of documents attached to the determination report form**

Please attach relevant documents used in the determination process and mark below accordingly

- PDD/PoA DD of the proposed JI activity**
- Determination report**
- Written approvals by the Parties involved, listed in the JI PDD/PoA DD**
- Other relevant documents:**
  - Financial model (Silale sensitivity\_sept2011.xls)
  - Letter of Modalities

**The AIE herewith declares that undertaking the determination for the proposed JI activity referred to above does not constitute a conflict of interest which is incompatible with the role of an AIE**

<b>Operational manager signing for the AIE</b>	Witold Dzugan
<b>Date and signature</b>	2012-05-14 