

## **AIE REPORT FORM**

(to be	(version 04)  (to be completed by an AIE requesting the publication of a determination pursuant to paragraph 37 of the JI guidelines)					
Accre	dited independent entity (AIE) submitting form	Bureau Veritas Certification Holding SAS				
JI activity						
Refere	ence number and title of JI activity	JI0077 "CMM utilisation on the coal mine Shcheglovskaya-Glubokaya of the State Holding Joint-Stock Company "GOAO Shakhtoupravlenye Donbass"				
Partie	s involved in the JI activity	Ukraine The Netherlands				
Projec	et participants	PUBLIC JOINT STOCK COMPANY "COLLIERY GROUP "DONBAS" Carbon-TF B.V.				
Coord	inating entity (applicable to Jl PoA only)	N/A				
Type of JI activity: ☑ large-scale □ small-scale □ LULUCF □ PoA						
Emission reductions/Enhancements of removals						
Monit	oring period(s) (DD/MM/YYYY - DD/MM/YYYY)	01/05/2012 – 31/12/2012				
sourc	ed reductions in anthropogenic emissions by es/enhancements of anthropogenic removals ks (tonnes of CO <sub>2</sub> eq.)					
_	resent verification is the first with regard to activity	□ Yes ☑ No				
to J	Verification period (DD/MM/YYYY-DD/MM/YYYY)	∕) ☑ <b>N/A</b>				
Applicable to PoA only	Reference number of JPAs verified	☑ N/A				
App  P	Sampling approach used to verify the PoA	☑ N/A □ Yes □ No	<b>o</b>			
Statements regarding requirements and possible changes						
Please <u>confirm that all requirements</u> of Article 6 of the Kyoto Protocol, the JI guidelines and further relevant requirements defined by the CMP or the JISC with regard to verifications pursuant to paragraph 37 of the JI guidelines <u>are met</u> :  ☑ Yes □ No						

Verification opinion							
Please provide a verification opinion (or a reference to the verification opinion in one of the documents attached), including a statement regarding materiality and level of assurance, in accordance with the Standard for applying the concept of materiality in verifications							
The verification opinion is provided in the Verification Report №.UKRAINE-ver/0885/2012, revision 03.							
The <u>project design was revised</u> in the current monitoring period, after the determination has been deemed final	□ Yes		☑ No				
If yes, please <u>list all revisions</u> to the project design and express a determination opinion (or provide a reference to an attached document containing the required information)	☑ <b>N/A</b>						
If project design was revised in the current monitoring period, <u>please confirm</u> that conditions defined in JI guidelines (paragraph 33) are still met	<b>☑ N/A</b>	□ Yes	□ No				
If project design was revised in the current monitoring period, <u>please confirm</u> that the changes do not alter the original determination opinion	☑ N/A	□ Yes	□ No				
If project design was revised in the current monitoring period, <u>please confirm</u> that:							
(a) Physical location of the project has not changed							
(b) If emission sources have changed, they are reflected in an updated monitoring plan	☑ N/A	□ Yes	□ No				
(c) Baseline scenario has not changed	☑ N/A	□ Yes	□ No				
(d) Changes are consistent with JI specific approach or CDM methodology upon which the	☑ N/A	□ Yes	□ No				
determination was prepared for the project	☑ N/A	□ Yes	□ No				
The monitoring plan was revised in the current monitoring period  If yes, please specify for which technologies and measures under each type of JPA the monitoring plan was revised (applicable to JI PoA only)	<b>☑ Y</b> €	<b>9</b> S	□ <b>No</b>				
The current revisions to the monitoring plan improve the accuracy and/or applicability of information collected, compared to the original monitoring plan without changing conformity with the relevant rules and regulations for establishing monitoring plans	□ <b>N/A</b>	☑ Yes	□ No				

List of documents attached to the verification report form						
Please	atta	ch relevant documents used in the verification process and mark below accordingly				
$\overline{\mathbf{A}}$	Verification report					
	Written approvals by the Parties involved, if applicable					
$\overline{\checkmark}$	0	Other relevant documents:				
		Document listing all revisions to the project design, if applicable				
		Determination that the revisions to the <u>project design</u> , if applicable, do not alter the original determination opinion and that the conditions defined by paragraph 33 of the JI guidelines are still met				
		Revised monitoring plan version 6b of 26/02/2013				
	☑ Determination that the revisions to the monitoring plan, if applicable, improve the accuracy and/or applicability of information collected, compared to the original monitoring plan without changing conformity with relevant rules and regulations for establishing monitoring plans (included in the Verification Report)					
	□ Sampling plan, if applicable (JI PoA only)					
	☑ Any other documents: Excel calculation spreadsheets					
The AIE herewith declares that undertaking the verification for the JI activity referred to above does not constitute a conflict of interest which is incompatible with the role of an AIE						
Opera	al manager signing for the AIE					

Date and signature

08/04/2013