

page 1

UNFCCC

# JOINT IMPLEMENTATION PROJECT DESIGN DOCUMENT FORM Version 01 - in effect as of: 15 June 2006

# CONTENTS

- A. General description of the project
- B. Baseline
- C. Duration of the project / crediting period
- D. <u>Monitoring plan</u>
- E. Estimation of greenhouse gas emission reductions
- F. Environmental impacts
- G. <u>Stakeholders</u>' comments

### Annexes

- Annex 1: Contact information on project participants
- Annex 2: Baseline information
- Annex 3: Monitoring plan



page 2

UNFOO

#### SECTION A. General description of the project

#### A.1. Title of the <u>project</u>:

ACHEMA UKL-7 plant N<sub>2</sub>O abatement project

#### A.2. Description of the <u>project</u>:

Achema AB (akcine bendrove – stock company) is a manufacturer of chemicals based in Jonava, Lithuania. The company is the biggest nitrogen fertilizers producer in Lithuania. Company has over 1,500 employees and annual fertilizers production exceeds 2 million tons. There are tens of various items in Achema's product list – nitrogen and compound fertilizers, adhesives, paints, resins, industrial gases, other chemical products and intermediates. This project relates to the UKL-7 nitric acid production technology used in Achema for further processing and production of finalised goods.

Achema operates two nitric acid production lines, one manufactured by Grande Paroisse, the other by UKL. This project relates to the UKL-7 line. Nitrous oxide is an unwanted by-product of the process of oxidising ammonia to create nitric oxide, which is required for the production of nitric acid. The emissions of 1 tonne  $N_2O$  corresponds to 310 tonnes  $CO_2e$ .

The project involves the installation in each of eight ammonia burners in the UKL-7 line of secondary de- $N_2O$  catalyst. Particular composition of the secondary catalysts shall be known after the recently undergoing selection procedure completion. In the presence of this catalyst, the  $N_2O$  is broken down into harmless constituents of  $N_2$  and  $O_2$ .

Name of Party	Private project participants	Indicate whether the Party involved wishes to be considered as project participant
of Lithuania	Achema AB	No
	Vertis Environmental Finance	No
	Zrt. (JI Project Advisor)	

# A.3. Project participants:

This project design document (PDD) has been developed by Vertis Environmental Finance Zrt., which is also the JI project advisor.

Vertis Environmental Finance Zrt. Alkotas utca 39/c 1123 Budapest Hungary

 Telephone :
 +36 1 488 8410

 Fax :
 +36 1 488 8411

# A.4. Technical description of the project:

#### A.4.1. Location of the <u>project</u>:

#### A.4.1.1. Host Party(ies):

Lithuania



page 3

UNFCCC

#### A.4.1.2. Region/State/Province etc.:

Jonavos region

# A.4.1.3. City/Town/Community etc.:

Jonalaukio village, Ruklos county

# A.4.1.4. Detail of physical location, including information allowing the unique identification of the <u>project</u> (maximum one page):

AB Achema plant is located near to town of Jonava at confluence of rivers Neris and Sventoji.



Source: <u>www.maps.com</u>



Source: <u>www.maps.lt</u> 1:112,000, Position: 55°04'42 N 24°18'53 E



page 4

A.4.2. Technology(ies) to be employed, or measures, operations or actions to be implemented by the <u>project</u>:

#### Information on the plant

The UKL-7 nitric acid plant at Achema was constructed in 1972. The plant has a nameplate capacity of 2,800 tonnes of nitric acid per day of operation. UKL-7 plant consists of 8 separate production lines. Each line has its own ammonia and air preparation and feeding system, oxidation chamber, heat exchange system, turbine and absorption tower with individual production schedules (production, shutdowns, primary catalyst gauze changes, operating conditions). Tail gas ducts of individual production lines are connected to common tail gas duct which takes the tail gas to 2 stacks, from which  $N_2O$  is emitted to the atmosphere.

This is shown in the diagram below:



#### Information on how nitrous oxide emissions occur

In the ammonia burners ammonia is burned with air in the presence of a precious metals Platinum/Rhodium catalyst (the "primary catalyst") which is located within the burner. In the presence of the primary catalyst, nitrogen combines with oxygen to form nitric oxide (NO). However, at the same time other reactions occur, some of which lead for the formation of  $N_2O$ . These equations are listed below,  $N_2O$  forming equation is latest.

$$4 \text{ NH}_3 + 5 \text{ O}_2 \leftrightarrow 4 \text{ NO} + 6 \text{ H}_2\text{O}$$
$$4 \text{ NH}_3 + 3 \text{ O}_2 \leftrightarrow 2 \text{ N}_2 + 6 \text{ H}_2\text{O}$$
$$4 \text{ NH}_3 + 4 \text{ O}_2 \leftrightarrow 2 \text{ N}_2\text{O} + 6 \text{ H}_2\text{O}$$

#### Technology to be employed

There are two types of technologies for the catalytic decomposition of  $N_2O$ , both of which are rather new, and there is only limited industrial experience with the use of these catalysts: the secondary catalyst

page 5

which is installed in the main ammonia oxidation chamber beneath the main platinum gauzes for producing NO; and the tertiary catalyst which is contained in a separate vessel into which the tail gas from the oxidation chamber are conducted.

In the presence of the secondary catalyst at temperatures zone between 800°C and 950°C, the  $N_2O$  breaks down into  $N_2$  and  $O_2$  following the reaction:

 $2N_2O = 2N_2 + O_2$ 

Neither of these gases are greenhouse gases. A secondary  $N_2O$  de-composing catalyst will be installed beneath the primary catalyst. Secondary catalyst will be placed in the appropriate support structure. The gap between the edge of the support structure and inside wall of the ammonia burner will be sealed to prevent gasses avoiding passing around the secondary catalyst. In this way the technology will ensure that all gases which pass through the primary catalyst also will pass through the secondary catalyst.

The secondary catalyst causes approximately from 70% up to 95% of the N<sub>2</sub>O to be destroyed.

The technology will be provided by a major secondary catalyst manufacturer to be selected at later stage of the project.

For information about the technology installed for measuring and recording the emissions of nitrous oxide from the plant, see the section D.1.2. below.

A.4.3. Brief explanation of how the anthropogenic emissions of greenhouse gases by sources are to be reduced by the proposed JI <u>project</u>, including why the emission reductions would not occur in the absence of the proposed <u>project</u>, taking into account national and/or sectoral policies and circumstances:

#### Brief description of additionality discussed in B.2.

Business as usual is not to install the nitrous oxide abatement system. There are three principal reasons for this. First, there is no legal obligation to install such a system. Lithuanian law does not require any abatement of nitrous oxide. Thus there is no requirement to abate nitrous oxide.

Second, there is no economic benefit to the installation of a nitrous oxide abatement system except for the revenue from the sale of Emission Reduction Units within the framework of this JI project. The revenues from the project, aside from the sale of ERUs, is zero. This means that there is no economic benefit to the project.

Finally, the installation of the system is a costly, risky, and takes up senior management resources. The investment made before any revenues is approximately Euro 3 million. Installation of  $N_2O$  abatement technology is not a common practice in Lithuania, as at least two such projects in Central and Eastern Europe are known not to have worked first time, leading to stoppage in production. It is only within the framework of the JI project that the installation of the  $N_2O$  abatement equipment has any economic rationale and risk justifying solution.



page 6

	Years
Length of the crediting period	
Year	Estimate of annual emission reductions in tonnes
	of $CO_2$ equivalent
2008	538,953
2009	1,048,397
2010	1,048,397
2011	1,048,397
2012	1,048,397
Total estimated emission reductions over the	4,732,541
crediting period (tonnes of CO <sub>2</sub> equivalent)	
Annual average of estimated emission reductions	946,508
over the crediting period (tonnes of CO <sub>2</sub>	
equivalent)	

#### A.4.3.1. Estimated amount of emission reductions over the crediting period:

# A.5. <u>Project approval by the Parties involved:</u>

A host country Letter of Endorsement was issued by the Lithuanian Ministry of Environment in January 2007. In parallel to the PDD document determination by relevant AIE there has been submitted request for issuance of the LoA by Lithuania Ministry of Environment.



page 7

# SECTION B. Baseline

### B.1. Description and justification of the <u>baseline</u> chosen:

#### Summary description of the baseline

The baseline methodology is based on CDM methodology AM0034/Version 02. Certain small modifications are made to AM0034/Version 02 which allow the baseline factor to be established and GHG emission reductions to occur in shorter time than would occur with AM0034/Version 02.

The baseline chosen is the level of nitrous oxide emissions of the plant in the absence of the project. It reasonably represents the anthropogenic emissions by sources that would occur in the absence of the project. Baseline covers GHG emissions from all sources within the project boundary. Baseline is established on the project-specific basis. Baseline emissions factor has been established in a transparent manner based on measurements and data calculations described later in this document. Baseline takes into account all relevant national and sectoral policies and circumstances. Baseline chosen does not allow the project to earn emission reduction units (ERUs) for decreases in activity levels outside the project activity or due to force majeure. Baseline takes into account uncertainties and uses conservative assumptions.

Specific design of the UKL plant necessitates certain amendments to AM0034/Version 02, such as measurement of  $N_2O$  concentrations in the tail gas ducts after expansion turbines instead of joint stacks because of different campaign cycles (i.e. different primary catalysts ageing) of all 8 production lines. Specifics of the baseline measurements are described precisely in the monitoring plan.

#### Justification of the methodology

This baseline approach is used for the following reasons:

- 1. It is based on an approved CDM methodology and therefore incorporates a level of scientific rigour which has already been approved by the UNFCCC methodology panel
- 2. It meets the conditions of applicability of AM0034/Version 02 since:
  - a. The facility was established before 31<sup>st</sup> December 2005
  - b. The facility has no existing N<sub>2</sub>O abatement technology, hence, no impact on the work of it could be done by the project activity
  - c. The project will not affect the plant's nitric acid production levels
  - d. There are no requirements in the host country to reduce N<sub>2</sub>O emissions
  - e. There is no N<sub>2</sub>O abatement technology installed at the plant
  - f. The project activity will not increase NO<sub>x</sub> emissions
  - g. There is no non-selective catalyst installed for the reduction of NO<sub>x</sub>
  - h. The project will not lead to any material change in other greenhouse gas emissions within the project boundary
  - i. Continuous monitoring of the N<sub>2</sub>O concentration and tail gas flow has been installed for the duration of a campaign for the measurement of baseline emissions, and thereafter
- 3. Our approach leads to more emission reductions than a strict application of AM0034/Version 02 since it allows for earlier installation of a secondary catalyst and therefore starts destruction of N<sub>2</sub>O emissions sooner.

#### Identification of the baseline scenario

For identification of the baseline scenario the procedure of the CDM methodology AM0028/Version 04.1 has been used, in accordance with the suggestion of the CDM methodology AM0034/Version 02.

Step 1a



page 8

UNFOO

Step 1a of a baseline scenario identification includes listing of all technically feasible alternatives to the given project. The principal theoretical alternatives to the project are:

- Continuing to operate the plant as is
- Switch to alternative production method not involving ammonia oxidation process
- Alternative use of N<sub>2</sub>O such as:
  - Recycling of N<sub>2</sub>O as a feedstock for the plant
  - $\circ$  The use of N<sub>2</sub>O for external purposes
- Installation of Non-Selective Catalytic Reduction (NSCR) De-NO<sub>x</sub> system
  - Installation of N<sub>2</sub>O abatement not as a JI project
- Installation of an N<sub>2</sub>O destruction or abatement technology:
  - Tertiary measure for N<sub>2</sub>O destruction
  - Primary or secondary measures for N<sub>2</sub>O destruction or abatement

#### Step 1b

This step includes all possible technically feasible options to handle  $NO_x$  emissions. Non-Selective De-NO<sub>x</sub> units cause also reduction of N<sub>2</sub>O and thus it is necessary to elaborate also on this technical option. Possibilities regarding NO<sub>x</sub> emissions are as following:

- Continuation of the current situation, whether either De-NO<sub>x</sub> units is installed or not
- Installation of new Selective Catalytic Reduction De-NO<sub>x</sub> unit
- Installation of a new Non-Selective Catalytic reduction (NCSR) De-NO<sub>x</sub> unit
- Installation of a new tertiary measure that combines NO<sub>x</sub> and N<sub>2</sub>O emission reduction

# Step 2

#### Eliminate baseline alternatives that do not comply with legal or regulatory requirements

There are no regulatory requirements in Lithuania regarding N<sub>2</sub>O emissions. NO<sub>x</sub> emissions are regulated by the Approval of Integrated Polution Prevention and Control No. 4/15-04 (IPPC) requiring to keep concentration of NO<sub>x</sub> emissions below 50 ppmV level. Achema has installed at all 8 production lines a Selective Catalytic Reduction De-NO<sub>x</sub> units:

UKL-7/1-November, 2000 UKL-7/2-October, 2000 UKL-7/3-September, 2000 UKL-7/4-June, 2001 UKL-7/5-June, 2000 UKL-7/6-February, 2001 UKL-7/7-March, 2003 UKL-7/8-December, 2004

All alternatives could comply with relevant legal regulations.

# Step 3

# Eliminate baseline alternatives that face prohibitive barriers (barrier analysis)

#### Step 3a

On the basis of the alternatives that are technically feasible and in compliance with all legal and regulatory requirements establish a complete list of barriers that would prevent alternatives to occur in the absence of JI. Barriers include, among others:

- Investment barriers, *inter alia*:
  - Debt funding is not available for this type of innovative project activity
  - Debt funding is not available due to no project return without JI
  - No access to international capital markets due to perceived risks associated with domestic or foreign direct investment in the country where the project activity is to be implemented



page 9

- Technological barriers, *inter alia*:
  - Technical and operational risks of alternatives
  - Technical efficiency of alternatives (e.g. N<sub>2</sub>O destruction, abatement rate)
  - Skilled and/or properly trained labour to operate and maintain the technology is not available and no education/training institution in the host country provides the needed skill, leading to equipment disrepair and malfunctioning
  - o Lack of infrastructure for implementation of the technology
- Barriers due to prevailing practice, *inter alia*:
  - The project activity is the "first of its kind": No project activity of this type is currently operational in the host country or region

Since Lithuania is the EU Member State with corresponding level of industrial development we have used for elimination of possible alternatives mainly technical and operational risks of alternatives and technical efficiency of alternatives criteria.

Nitric acid production in industrial facilities such as Achema plant is not possible to be changed in a way not involving the ammonia oxidation process.

Alternative use of  $N_2O$  is neither technologically nor economically feasible. It is not possible to recycle  $N_2O$  in order to use it for the nitric acid production, neither it is technically and economically feasible to use  $N_2O$  for external purposes.

Installation of NSCR is also not feasible from technological and economic points of view due to high energy costs, high gas temperatures required, emissions of CO and hydrocarbons to the air. N<sub>2</sub>O emission levels are also higher than with Selective Catalytic Reduction (SCR) and it is a very expensive abatement technology both in terms of investments and operation costs. The Achema plant has installed selective catalyst reduction system which reduces  $NO_x$  emission level below the limits established by Achema's IPPC permit.

Since reduction of  $N_2O$  represents significant investments for installation, burner modifications and following costs of operation of the De-N<sub>2</sub>O system it is not economically feasible to implement a De-N<sub>2</sub>O project without use of financing provided through JI.

Tertiary De-N<sub>2</sub>O reduction is not economically feasible in the Achema plant as it would require principal changes to complete design of nitric acid production lines and  $NO_x$  emissions abatement is handled by installation of Selective Catalytic reduction unit.

Recent level of the ammonia oxidation catalyst development does not allow to implement de-N<sub>2</sub>O project using primary catalyst as the De-N<sub>2</sub>O reduction component.

#### Step 3b

Based on the reasons listed in the Step 3a above we have eliminated in this step following alternatives:

- Switch to alternative production method not involving ammonia oxidation process
- Alternative use of N<sub>2</sub>O such as:
  - Recycling of N<sub>2</sub>O as a feedstock for the plant
  - The use of  $N_2O$  for external purposes
- Installation of Non-Selective Catalytic Reduction (NSCR) De-NO<sub>x</sub> system
- Installation of N<sub>2</sub>O abatement not as a JI project
- Installation of an N<sub>2</sub>O destruction or abatement technology:
  - $\circ$  Tertiary measure for N<sub>2</sub>O destruction





• Primary measures for N<sub>2</sub>O destruction or abatement

Only remaining alternative achieving  $N_2O$  emission reduction, other than continuation of Status Quo, is secondary catalytic reduction of  $N_2O$  in existing reaction chambers of ammonia oxidation reactors.

#### Step 4

#### Identify the most economically attractive baseline scenario alternative

Implementation of installation of the secondary De- $N_2O$  catalyst, itself does not generate any financial profit. Since it is only alternative left after elimination of other alternatives in steps 2 and 3, it is not necessary to conduct further simple cost analysis, which would otherwise appropriate to this alternative.

#### Step 5

#### Re-assessment of Baseline Scenario in course of proposed project activity's lifetime

#### Sub-step 5a

Achema nitric acid plant has installed a Selective Catalytic Reduction  $De-NO_x$  units in order to comply with existing  $NO_x$  regulations in Lithuania. SCR De-NOx unit leads to higher  $N_2O$  formation and its intensification would lead to higher emission reductions. Thus change in the NOx regulations would not necessitate re-assessment of the Baseline Scenario, because it would actually increase its level of required conservativeness.

#### Sub-step 5b

In case of introduction of either concentration or mass limits of  $N_2O$  emission the Baseline Scenario would be re-assessed according to new regulations.

#### Note on variation on AM0034/Version 02 for application on this project

#### 1. Overlapping of consecutive campaigns

AM0034/Version 02 requires that the baseline factor should be established through measuring emissions during an entire campaign. The plant has eight separate lines, and the timing of the campaigns of these lines is staggered.

Instead of this approach, measuring of emissions from all lines began in August 2007. Emissions are measured for each line right up the end of the campaign which was in progress at the time the monitoring system was installed. Note that campaigns at different lines end at different times because of the staggering of campaigns. Measurements of emissions continue into the subsequent campaigns for each line until such time as the total volume of nitric acid produced from which emissions had been measured in previous campaign on each line equalled volume of nitric acid produced of a standard campaign.

The primary catalyst and operating conditions during the first campaign are materially the same as those of the subsequent campaign.

In this way data for calculating emission factor are obtained from two separate but consecutive campaigns with identical operating conditions:

- (i) Emissions factor for the last x hours of the first campaign. During this period, at the end of the campaign, the NO yield is lower and the N<sub>2</sub>O emissions are higher.
- (ii) Emissions factor for the first y hours of the subsequent campaign. During this period, at the beginning of the campaign, the NO yield is higher and the N<sub>2</sub>O emissions are lower.

#### 2. Multiple lines



The plant is designed with eight lines each comprising one production unit of burner, absorption tower,  $De-NO_x$  system, and tail gas turbine. The emissions from the eight tail gas turbines are led to a common stack bar and emitted to the air through two stacks.

Because of this, baseline emissions are measured separately from each line and a separate baseline factor is calculated for each line. Similarly, project emissions are recorded for each line. The number of emissions reductions of the project is the sum of emission reductions for each of separate lines.

Also because of the design of the plant, concentration of nitrous oxide and flow of tail gasses are not measured in the stacks. They are both measured in the duct immediately after the expansion turbine and before the common stack bar. This ensures that emissions from the individual lines are separate and not mixed, but also at below 300 degrees centigrade at which temperature  $N_2O$  is stable and no further natural decomposition will take place.

# 3. Uncertainty

The nitrous oxide concentration is measured on a switched basis when one  $N_2O$  concentration analyser serves 3 production lines. The reason for this is the limitation of the capacity of the hardware of the monitoring system. As a result of this there is a small increase in the uncertainty of the monitoring system. To reflect this in the calculation of baseline emissions and project emissions, an additional uncertainty factor defined by the QAL2 test carried out in accordance with the EN14181 norm is incorporated into the calculation of the overall uncertainty factor of the Automated Monitoring System.

# **B.2.** Description of how the anthropogenic emissions of greenhouse gases by sources are reduced below those that would have occurred in the absence of the JI <u>project</u>:

This section provides proofs of the project additionality, i.e. proofs demonstrating importance of the JI project implementation for greenhouse gas reduction that would not have been achieved in the businessas-usual scenario. *"Tool for the demonstration and assessment of additionality"* (version 03) is used as a reference for proving attractiveness of chosen JI project alternative in front of other possible alternatives.

#### Step 1

#### Identification of alternatives to the project activity consistent with current laws and regulations

As suggested by the CDM methodology AM0034/Version 02 Step 1 has been omitted because B.1 section identifying and describing baseline scenario has already identified continuation of the Status Quo as the only realistic alternative to the chosen project scenario, which is also consistent with mandatory laws and regulations of Lithuania.

#### Step 2

#### Investment analysis

Investment analysis is the next step undertaken in order to prove the necessity of ERUs revenues for the project implementation that otherwise would not be financially attractive.

As described in section "Identification of the baseline scenario", in the absence of the JI project, there is no installation of any equipment which would reduce  $N_2O$  emissions, and the business continues as usual. This means that there is no reduction of  $N_2O$  emissions and they would remain at recent level.

#### Step 3

#### **Barrier analysis**

Step 3 is omitted because the "*Tool for the demonstration and assessment of additionality*" (version 03) allows the use of alternatively Step 2 or Step 3 for the proof of additionality.

Step 4





#### **Common practice analysis**

This step allows to double check for the previous proofs of the project additionality demonstrating that besides being the only plausible alternative from financial point of view the project also introduces innovative practice in the industry of the region regarding greenhouse gas abatement activity.

Introduction of  $N_2O$  abatement technology is not common practice for nitric acid industry mainly because there are no incentives for  $N_2O$  abatement due to the absence of regulation imposing  $N_2O$ emission limits. In case of Lithuania, in particular, high costs of project implementation and absence of  $N_2O$  emission limiting regulation make  $N_2O$  abatement activity to be not attractive for local nitric acid production industry. On the other hand  $N_2O$  abatement activity is known to be widespread practice among nitric acid plants implemented as CDM or JI projects, which proves this activity to be rather attractive in a framework of Kyoto Protocol project flexibility instruments.

#### Conclusion

Implementation of the  $N_2O$  abatement project in the JI framework is the only way to make the introduction of  $N_2O$  abatement technology financially feasible for the plant. Installation of the secondary  $N_2O$  abatement catalyst is state-of-art technology, hence, not widely spread among nitric acid production plants. Because of its modernity this technology is quite costly and it does not represent for the plant any financial benefit. The only advantage of  $N_2O$  abatement is reduction of this potential greenhouse gas in order to introduce climate change mitigation measures. Given that national/local regulations do not foresee  $N_2O$  emission limits the JI project implementation and the use of ERUs sale proceeds is the only possible solution for reducing  $N_2O$  emissions at the nitric acid plant of Achema

### **B.3.** Description of how the definition of the project boundary is applied to the project:

Project boundary encompasses all anthropogenic emissions by sources of GHGs which are under the control of project participants, are reasonably attributable to the project and are significant. Project boundary and greenhouse gas sources relevant for the project implementation are individuated in accordance with the Approved Consolidated Methodology AM0034/Version 02 "Catalytic reduction of  $N_2O$  inside ammonia burner of nitric acid plants".

According to the methodology the project boundary shall cover the facility and equipment for the complete nitric acid production process. The following diagram identifies the borders where  $N_2O$  emission reduction project will take place on eight nitric acid production lines. The process of nitric acid production and  $N_2O$  abatement is identical on eight production lines. The inlet of ammonia into the ammonia oxidation reactors of all eight lines is the first point in the project boundary and the gas emission from the stacks is the last point in the nitric acid production process included into the project boundary.





 Stack No.2
 8
 7
 6
 5
 4
 3
 2
 1
 Stack No.1

The only greenhouse gas to be considered in the implementation of the  $N_2O$  abatement project at the nitric acid plant is the  $N_2O$ .

	Source	Gas	Inclusiveness	Justification /Explanation
	Nitric Acid Plant (Burner	CO <sub>2</sub>	Excluded	N <sub>2</sub> O abatement project does not lead to
ine	inlet to stack)		Excluded	any change in CO <sub>2</sub> and CH <sub>4</sub> emissions
sel		N <sub>2</sub> O	Included	
Ba				
	Nitric Acid Plant (Burner	CO <sub>2</sub>	Excluded	N <sub>2</sub> O abatement project does not lead to
	inlet to stack)	CH <sub>4</sub>	Excluded	any change in CO <sub>2</sub> and CH <sub>4</sub> emissions
ity		N <sub>2</sub> O	Included	
tiv	Leakage emissions from	CO <sub>2</sub>	Excluded	No leakage emissions are expected.
t a(	production, transport,		Excluded	
eci	operation and	N <sub>2</sub> O	Excluded	
roj	decommissioning of the			
Ь	secondary catalyst.			

# B.4. Further <u>baseline</u> information, including the date of <u>baseline</u> setting and the name(s) of the person(s)/entity(ies) setting the <u>baseline</u>:

Baseline will be set after completion of complete measurements carried out during time equal to normal length of entire project campaign. Estimated date for baseline setting is March/April 2008.

Baseline will be set by:

Contact person	James Atkins
Company	Vertis Environmental Finance
Project participant	No





page 14

Address	H-1123 Budapest, Alkotás utca 39/c, Hungary
Phone	+36 1 488 8415
Fax	+36 1 488 8411
Email	james.atkins@vertisfinance.com
Web page	www.vertisfinance.com

# SECTION C. Duration of the project / crediting period

#### C.1. **Starting date of the project:**

December 2006

#### Expected operational lifetime of the project: C.2.

The project is expected to operate beyond 31<sup>st</sup> December 2012.

#### **C.3**. Length of the crediting period:

The period for crediting of ERUs is from 1<sup>st</sup> January 2008 to 31<sup>st</sup> December 2012. In the event that the installation of secondary catalyst takes place before 1<sup>st</sup> January 2008 and therefore emission reductions occur before 1<sup>st</sup> January 2008, Achema shall apply for Early Credits in respect of emission reductions occurring before 1<sup>st</sup> January 2008.



# SECTION D. Monitoring plan

# D.1. Description of monitoring plan chosen:

## PURPOSE OF THE MONITORING PLAN

The purpose of the monitoring plan is to describe the system used to monitor emissions of  $N_2O$  from the plant in such a way that is compliant with the prescriptions of the AM0034/Version 02.

# **PLANT DESCRIPTION**

The UKL-7 nitric acid plant comprises recently 8 production lines numbered from 1 through 8, each with its own burner, absorption column and expansion turbine. Each production line represents a separate nitric acid production unit independent from each other. The tail gasses from each line are after expansion turbines led to a common stack bus and vented through two interconnected stacks.



Primary catalyst is changed at different times thus it is necessary to measure the emissions from each line individually. This means that eight separate sets of monitoring equipment are installed to measure tail gas flow, nitric acid production, nitric acid concentration, and the operating conditions.  $N_2O$  concentration in the tail gas is measured by 3 switched concentration meters.

#### **MONITORING SYSTEM ARCHITECTURE**

Methodology AM0034/Version 02 requires installation of an  $N_2O$  monitoring system that includes both a gas volume flow meter to determine the tail gas mass volume flow and an infrared gas analyser to determine the concentration of  $N_2O$ .

But tail gas  $N_2O$  concentration meter and tail gas volume flow meter alone are not sufficient for a JI project purposes. In order of being able to calculate the baseline emission factor expressed as tonnage of  $N_2O$  in t CO<sub>2</sub>e per 1 tonne of HNO<sub>3</sub> (100%), it is necessary to include also HNO<sub>3</sub> measurement, and in order of being able to document normal operating conditions it is necessary to include also operating conditions measurement.

Because of this we use the term Monitoring System (MS) to describe entire monitoring system directly and indirectly used for the JI purposes, while Automated Measurement System (AMS) covers only N<sub>2</sub>O emissions and tail gas mass volume part of the MS.

UNFCCC



1.

Joint Implementation Supervisory Committee

page

Monitoring System (MS) for purpose of this monitoring plan means:

- monitoring system measuring operational conditions;
  - a. Ammonia volume flow
  - b. Ammonia temperature
  - c. Ammonia pressure
  - d. Primary air volume flow
  - e. Primary air temperature
  - f. Primary air pressure
  - g. Oxidation temperature
  - h. Oxidation pressure
- 2. nitric acid 100% concentrate production;
  - a. Nitric acid concentration
  - b. Nitric acid flow
  - c. Nitric acid temperature
- 3. and newly installed measurement devices for measurement of N<sub>2</sub>O concentration and tail gas flow, temperature and pressure (AMS)
  - a.  $N_2O$  concentration in the stack
  - b. Stack volume flow rate
  - c. Stack gas temperature
  - d. Stack gas pressure

Incorporation of the AMS into the MS by interfacing already existing and newly installed measurement devices is documented by the diagram below.





# N2O automated measurement system

Main purpose of the  $N_2O$  automated measurement system (AMS) is to measure total mass of  $N_2O$  emitted during particular campaigns (both baseline and project). In order of calculation of total mass of  $N_2O$  emitted during particular campaign it is necessary to measure on an extractive basis the  $N_2O$  concentration in a tail gas and on a non-extractive basis tail gas flow, pressure and temperature.

#### N<sub>2</sub>O concentration

 $N_2O$  concentration is measured by extractive measurement system. Tail gas samples are taken from respective tail gas ducts at sampling points located after expansion turbines and transported by sample lines to the Monitoring room A located next to the main production hall. This applies to 2 concentration meters measuring lines 1-3 and lines 4-6. Lines 7-8 are measured by third concentration meter located at the other side of the production hall (Monitoring room B) physically closer to respective production lines 7 and 8.

Tail gas samples are filtered and conditioned in the condensation dryer (4°C), so  $N_2O$  concentration is measured on a dry basis. Correction of dry basis ppmV value to real wet tail gas conditions based on steam flow injected into the system is part of both - the project emissions calculations and baseline emission factor calculations.

 $N_2O$  concentration is measured by concentration infrared absorption principle meters Xentra 4900 using GFX monitoring technology.



LYFCCC

Joint Implementation Supervisory Committee

page

Recently there are 8 production lines.  $N_2O$  concentration is measured by 3 concentration meters on a switched basis. Under-sampling uncertainty is part of both - the project emissions calculations and baseline emission factor calculations.

 $N_2O$  concentration measured data are sent from the concentration meter on a 2 sec basis in a form of 4-20mA signal to the datalogger and processed further.

## Tail gas flow, pressure and temperature

Tail gas flow is measured by ultrasonic Durag DF-L 200 flowmeters based on ultrasonic pulse fly time across the entire duct cross-section.



The tail gas ducts do not allow any optimum location to perform the flow monitoring. The only possible location is the quite short vertical tube behind the 90° "knee".





UNFOOD

page

Joint Implementation Supervisory Committee

Since the flow is not really laminar, a classical Pitot tube one point solution wouldn't allow good results. The ultrasonic system is under these conditions superior to differential pressure. Cross duct monitoring is more accurate than a couple of discrete point averaged pressure.

Tail gas flow, pressure and temperature measured data are sent from the flow meter on a 2 sec basis in a form of 4-20mA signal to the datalogger and processed further.

# EN14181 compliance

As required by the AM0034/Version 02 methodology the  $N_2O$  automated measurement system (AMS) complies with requirements of the technical norm EN14181.  $N_2O$  AMS consists from the  $N_2O$  concentration meter and integrated flowmeter (F, T, P). Both measurement devices are QAL1 certified (concentration meter for the  $N_2O$  measurand specifically) and after full commissioning of the monitoring system there will be the QAL2 test carried out by an independent laboratory certified according to ISO17025.

# Operating conditions

For purpose of the baseline emissions factor setting it is necessary to monitor and report operating conditions in all 8 burners. Namely:

- Ammonia flow
- Ammonia temperature
- Ammonia pressure
- Primary air flow
- Primary air temperature
- Primary air pressure
- Oxidation temperature
- Oxidation pressure

All these parameters are measured by the plant monitoring system. Signals obtained from these measurement devices from production lines 1-6 are converted from pneumatic to 4-20mA analog signals. Operating conditions measurement devices installed in production lines 7-8 provide the 4-20mA signals which are digitalized and provided to the monitoring system dataloggers, which process them further.

#### Nitric acid production

Nitric acid concentration is based on two components: nitric acid and water. Standard monitoring method is on-line refractometry. Measurement of nitric acid (100%) mass volume production per campaign is necessary for calculation of the baseline emissions factor. Nitric acid concentration on all 8 lines is measured by K-Patents PR-23-GP refractometers.

This analyzer is applying a prism to "return" a generated optical beam back to an LCD detector.



UNFCCC

Joint Implementation Supervisory Committee 20

page



The detector is measuring refraction of monitored liquid based on known refraction of water and nitric acid. The instrument is calibrated for percent concentration of nitric acid. The analyzer is mounted on DIN-flange 2656, PN25 DN80. The optical prism does not require cleaning.

PR-23-GP characteristics:

- Measuring RI is a direct concentration measurement principle. No need to rely or convert magnitudes.
- Digital instrument no calibration drift
- As it is a robust instrument it is maintenance free
- Dual connectivity if the installation positions allow.
- On-line data logging, through Ethernet, on whichever web browser.
- No influence by bubbles or particles. It is very common that air enters the process pipeline and still the refractometer measures accurately.

Nitric acid concentration data are in an analog 4-20mA format provided to dataloggers which process these signals further.

In order of being able to calculate total mass volume of nitric acid 100% concentrate produced during the baseline campaign it is necessary to monitor also nitric acid flow and temperature. Measurement of pressure is not necessary for determination of the nitric acid mass volume flow, because nitric acid is in form of liquid and as such it has stabile pressure characteristic.

Signals obtained from the nitric acid flow and temperature measurement devices from production lines 1-6 are converted from pneumatic to 4-20mA analog signals. Nitric acid flow and temperature measurement devices installed in production lines 7-8 provide the 4-20mA signals which are digitalized and provided to the monitoring system dataloggers, which process them further.





# D.1.1. Option 1 – Monitoring of the emissions in the project scenario and the baseline scenario:

I	D.1.1.1. Data to be collected in order to monitor emissions from the project, and how these data will be archived:							
ID number (Please use numbers to ease cross- referencing to D.2.)	Data variable	Source of data	Data unit	Measured (m), calculated (c), estimated (e)	Recording frequency	Proportion of data to be monitored	How will the data be archived? (electronic/ paper)	Comment
P.1	$NCSG_1$ $N_2O$ concentration in the stack gas for particular line (l=1,2,38)	N <sub>2</sub> O analyser Xentra 4900 QAL1 certified	mgN <sub>2</sub> O/m <sup>3</sup> (converted from ppmV)	m	Every 2 seconds	24% (Lines 1-6) 36% (Lines 7-8) To be defined exactly after baseline measurements completion	Electronic and paper for at least 2 years	
P.2	VSG <sub>1</sub> Volume flow rate of the stack gas for particular line (l=1,2,38)	Gas volume flow meter Durag DF-L 200 QAL1 certified	m <sup>3</sup> /h	m	Every 2 seconds	100%	Electronic and paper for at least 2 years	
P.3	$PE_{n,l}$ N <sub>2</sub> O emissions of n <sup>th</sup> project campaign for particular line (l=1,2,38)	Calculation from measured data	tN <sub>2</sub> O	c	After every project campaign	100%	Electronic and paper for at least 2 years	
P.4	OH <sub>1</sub> Operating hours for particular line	Monitoring system	Hours	m	Daily, compiled for entire campaign	100%	Paper for entire crediting period	





Joint Implementation Supervisory Committee

	(l=1,2,38)							
P.5	NAP <sub>1</sub> Nitric acid production (100% concentrate) for particular line (l=1,2,38)	Production log	tHNO3	m	Daily, compiled for entire campaign	100%	Electronic and paper for at least 2 years	
P.6	TSG <sub>1</sub> Temperature of stack gas for particular line (l=1,2,38)	Probe (part of gas volume flow meter)	°C	m	Every 2 seconds	100%	Electronic and paper for at least 2 years	
P.7	PSG <sub>1</sub> Pressure of stack gas for particular line (l=1,2,38)	Probe (part of gas volume flow meter)		m	Every 2 seconds	100%	Electronic and paper for at least 2 years	
P.8	$EF_{n,l}$ Emission factor calculated for n <sup>th</sup> campaign for particular line (l=1,2,38)	Calculated from measured data	tN <sub>2</sub> O/tHNO <sub>3</sub>	c	After end of each campaign			
P.9	EF <sub>mn,a,1</sub> Moving average emissions factor for particular line (l=1,2,3,8)	Calculated from campaign emissions factors	tN <sub>2</sub> O/tHNO <sub>3</sub>	c	After end of each campaign			For the first campaing EF and $EF_x$ will be equal





Joint Implementation Supervisory Committee

23

P.10								
P.11								
P.12	CL <sub>n,1</sub> Campaign length for particular line (n=1,2,38)	Calculated from nitric acid production data	tHNO3	c	After end of each campaign	100%	Electronic and paper for at least 2 years	
P.13	$EF_{p,1}$ Emissions factor used to determine emissions reductions for particular line (l=1,2,3,,8)	Determined from campaign emissions factors	tN <sub>2</sub> O/tHNO <sub>3</sub>	c	After end of each campaign			Determined from campaign emissions factors
P.14	$EF_{min,l}$ Minimum emissions factor after 10 campaigns for particular line (l=1,2,3,,8)	Determined from campaign emissions factors	tN <sub>2</sub> O/tHNO <sub>3</sub>	c	After end of 10 <sup>th</sup> campaign			Determined from campaign emissions factors

### D.1.1.2. Description of formulae used to estimate project emissions (for each gas, source etc.; emissions in units of CO<sub>2</sub> equivalent):

Over the duration of the project activity tail gas volume flow in the stack of the nitric acid plant as well as the temperature and pressure of ammonia gas flow and ammonia-to-air ratio on eight nitric acid production lines measured continuously.  $N_2O$  concentration will be measured on the switched basis.

Estimation of campaign-specific project emissions

۲

# Joint Implementation Supervisory Committee 24



The monitoring system was installed using the guidance document EN 14181 and provides separate readings for  $N_2O$  concentration and gas flow volume for every hour of operation. Error readings (e.g. downtime or malfunction) and extreme values are automatically eliminated from the output data series by the monitoring system. Same statistical evaluation that was applied to the baseline data series will be applied to the project data series:

a) Calculate the sample mean (x)

b) Calculate the sample standard deviation (s)

c) Calculate the 95% confidence interval (equal to 1.96 times the standard deviation)

d) Eliminate all data that lie outside the 95% confidence interval

e) Calculate the new sample mean from the remaining values

 $PEn = VSG * NCSG * 10^{-9} * OH (tN_2O)$ 

where::

Variable	Definition
VSG	Mean stack gas volume flow rate for the project campaign (m <sup>3</sup> /h)
NCSG	Mean concentration of N <sub>2</sub> O in the stack gas for the project campaign (mgN <sub>2</sub> O/m <sup>3</sup> )
PE <sub>n</sub>	Total N <sub>2</sub> O emissions of the n <sup>th</sup> project campaign ( $tN_2O$ )
OH	Is the number of hours of operation in the specific monitoring period (h)

# Derivation of a moving average emission factor

In order to take into account possible long-term emissions trends over the duration of the project activity and to take a conservative approach a moving average emission factor will be estimated as follows:

Step1: campaign specific emissions factor for each campaign during the project's crediting period will be estimated by dividing the total mass of  $N_2O$  emissions during that campaign by the total production of 100% concentrated nitric acid during that same campaign.

For example, for campaign n the campaign specific emission factor would be:

 $EF_n = PE_n / NAP_n (tN_2O/tHNO_3)$ 

Step 2: moving average emissions factor to be calculated at the end of a campaign n will be estimated a as follows:



 $EF_{ma,n} = (EF_1 + EF_2 + ... + EF_n) / n (tN_2O/tHNO_3)$ 

This process will be repeated for each campaign such that a moving average,  $EF_{ma,n}$ , will established over time, becoming more representative and precise with each additional campaign.

To calculate the total emission reductions achieved in a campaign in formula below, the higher of the two values  $EF_{ma,n}$  and  $EF_n$  shall be applied as the emission factor relevant for the particular campaign to be used to calculate emissions reduction s ( $EF_p$ ). Thus:

If  $EF_{ma,n} > EF_n$  then  $EF_p = EF_{ma,n}$ If  $EF_{ma,n} < EF_n$  then  $EF_p = EF_n$ 

Where:

Variable	Definition
EFn	Emission factor calculated for a specific project campaign (tN <sub>2</sub> O/tHNO <sub>3</sub> )
EF <sub>ma,n</sub>	Moving average (ma) emission factor of after n <sup>th</sup> campaigns, including the current campaign (tN <sub>2</sub> O/tHNO <sub>3</sub> )
n	Number of campaigns to date
EFp	Emissions factor that will be applied to calculate the emissions reductions from this specific campaign (i.e. the higher of $EF_x$ and $EF_n$ ) (tN <sub>2</sub> O/tHNO <sub>3</sub> )

# Minimum project emission factor

A campaign-specific emissions factor will be used to cap any potential long-term trend towards decreasing  $N_2O$  emissions that may result from a potential built up of platinum deposits. After the first ten campaigns of the crediting period of the project, the lowest  $EF_n$  observed during those campaigns will be adopted as a minimum ( $EF_{min}$ ). If any of the later project campaigns results in a  $EF_n$  that is lower than  $EF_{min}$ , the calculation of the emission reductions for that particular campaign will used  $EF_{min}$  and not  $EF_n$ .

Where:

# Variable Definition

 $EF_{min}$  Is equal to the lowest  $EF_n$  observed during the first 10 campaigns of the project crediting period (N<sub>2</sub>O/tHNO<sub>3</sub>)





# **Project Campaign Length**

a. Longer Project Campaign

If the length of each individual project campaign  $CL_n$  is longer than or equal to the average historic campaign length  $CL_{normal}$ , then all N<sub>2</sub>O values measured during the baseline campaign can be used for the calculation of EF.

# b. Shorter Project Campaign

In practice this means that, if the assumption that platinum deposits do have a reducing effect on  $N_2O$  emissions is correct, then an increasing adoption of  $EF_{min}$  instead of  $EF_n$  should be experienced as the project progresses through its crediting period.

If  $CL_n < CL_{normal}$ ,  $EF_{BL}$  will be recalculated by eliminating those N<sub>2</sub>O values that were obtained during the production of tonnes of nitric acid beyond the  $CL_n$  (i.e. the last tonnes produced) from the calculation of  $EF_n$ .

# Leakage

No leakage calculation is required.

D.1.1.3. Relevant data necessary for determining the baseline of anthropogenic emissions of greenhouse gases by sources within the									
project boundar	project boundary, and how such data will be collected and archived:								
ID number (Please use numbers to ease cross- referencing to D.2.)	Data variable	Source of data	Data unit	Measured (m), calculated (c), estimated (e)	Recording frequency	Proportion of data to be monitored	How will the data be archived? (electronic/ paper)	Comment	
B.1	NCSG <sub>BC,1</sub> N <sub>2</sub> O concentration in the stack gas for particular line (l=1,2,3,,8)	N <sub>2</sub> O analyser Xentra 4900 QAL1 certified	mgN <sub>2</sub> O/m <sup>3</sup> (converted from ppmV)	m	Every 2 seconds	24% (Lines 1-6) 36% (Lines 7-8) To be defined exactly after the baseline measurements completion	Electronic and paper for the entire crediting period		





В.2	VSG <sub>BC,1</sub> Volume flow rate of the stack gas for particular line (l=1,2,3,,8)	Gas volume flow meter Durag DF-L 200 QAL1 certified	m <sup>3</sup> /h	m	Every 2 seconds	100%	Electronic and paper for the entire crediting period	
B.3	$BE_{BC,l}$ Total N <sub>2</sub> O for baseline campaign for particular line (l=1,2,38)	Calculation from measured data	tN <sub>2</sub> O	c	At least once after baseline campaign	100%	Electronic and paper for the entire crediting period	
B.4	OH <sub>BC,1</sub> Operating hours for particular line (l=1,2,38)	Monitoring system	Hours	m	Daily, compiled for entire campaign	100%	Electronic and paper for the entire crediting period	
B.5	NAP <sub>BC,1</sub> Nitric acid (100% concentrated) over baseline campaign for particular line (l=1,2,38)	Production log	tHNO3	m	Daily, compiled for entire campaign	100%	Electronic and paper for the entire crediting period	
B.6	TSG <sub>1</sub> Temperature of stack gas for particular line (1=1,2,3,,8)	Probe (part of gas volume flow meter)	°C	m	Every 2 seconds	100%	Electronic and paper for the entire crediting period	





B.7	PSG <sub>1</sub> Pressure of stack gas for particular line (l=1,2,38)	Probe (part of gas volume flow meter)	Ра	m	Every 2 seconds	100%	Electronic and paper for the entire crediting period
B.8	$EF_{BL,l}$ Emission factor for baseline period for particular line (l=1,2,38)	Calculated from measured data	tN <sub>2</sub> O/tHNO <sub>3</sub>	c	At the end of the baseline campaign		Electronic and paper for the entire crediting period
B.9	UNC Overall measurement uncertainty of the monitoring system	Calculation of the combined uncertainty of the applied monitoring equipment	%	c	Once after monitoring system is commissioned		Electronic and paper for the duration of the project
B.10	AFR <sub>1</sub> Ammonia gas flow rate to the AOR for particular line (l=1,2,38)	Monitored	kgNH <sub>3</sub> /h	m	Continuously	100%	Electronic and paper for at least 2 years
B.11	AFR <sub>max,1</sub> Maximum ammonia flow rate for particular line (l=1,2,38)	Plant records	kgNH <sub>3</sub> /h	m	Once	100%	Electronic and paper for at least 2 years





B.12	AIFR <sub>1</sub> Ammonia to Air ratio for particular line (1=1,2,3,8)	Monitored	m³/h	me	Every hour	100%	Electronic and paper for at least 2 years	
B.13	$CL_{BL,1}$ Campaign length of baseline campaign for particular line (l=1,2,3,,8)	Calculated from nitric acid production data	tHNO3	c	After end of each campaign	100%	Electronic and paper for at least 2 years	
B.14	CL <sub>normal,1</sub> Normal campaign length for particular line (l=1,2,3,8)	Calculated from nitric acid production data	tHNO3	cm	Prior to end of baseline campaign			
B.15	AIFR <sub>max,1</sub> Maximum ammonia to air ratio for particular line (l=1,2,38)	Calculated	m <sup>3</sup> /h	mcm	Once	100%	Electronic and paper for at least 2 years	
B.16	$OT_{h,l}$ Oxidation temperature for each hour for particular line (l=1,2,3,8)	Monitored	°C	m		100%	Electronic and paper for at least 2 years	





B.17	OT <sub>normal,1</sub> Normal operating temperature for particular line (l=1,2,38)	Monitored	°C	m	Once	100%	Electronic and paper for at least 2 years	
B.18	OP <sub>h,1</sub> Oxidation pressure for each hour for particular line (l=1,2,38)	Monitored	Ра	m		100%	Electronic and paper for at least 2 years	
B.19	OP <sub>normal,1</sub> Normal operating pressure for particular line (l=1,2,38)	Monitored	Ра	m	Once	100%	Electronic and paper for at least 2 years	
B.20	GS <sub>normal,1</sub> Normal gauze supplier for the operation condition campaigns for particular line (l=1,2,38)	Monitored		m		100%	For project crediting period	





Joint Implementation Supervisory Committee 31

-			
B 21	GS	Monitored	

B.21	$GS_{BL,l}$ Gauze supplier for baseline campaign for particular line (l=1,2,38)	Monitored	m	Once	100%	For project crediting period	
B.22	GS <sub>project,1</sub> Gauze supplier for the project campaigns for particular line (1=1,2,38)	Monitored	m	Each campaign	100%	For project crediting period	
B.23	GC <sub>normal,1</sub> Gauze composition during the operation campaign for particular line (l=1,2,38)	Monitored	m	Each campaign	100%	For project crediting period	
B.24	GC <sub>BL,1</sub> Gauze composition during baseline campaign for particular line (1=1,2,38)	Monitored	m	Once	100%	For project crediting period	





B.25	GC <sub>project,1</sub>	Monitored	m	Each campaign	100%	For project crediting period	
	Gauze						
	composition						
	during baseline						
	campaign for						
	particular line						
	(l=1,2,38)						
B.26	EF <sub>reg</sub>						
	Emissions level						
	set by incoming						
	policies or						
	regulations						

# D.1.1.4. Description of formulae used to estimate baseline emissions (for each gas, source etc.; emissions in units of CO<sub>2</sub> equivalent):

The baseline for all eight Achema nitric acid production lines shall be established separately through monitoring of  $N_2O$  concentration and gas flow volume in the stack in duration equal to one complete campaign prior to project implementation.

The schematic of the procedure is as follows:

#### 1. Determination of the permitted operating conditions of the nitric acid plant to avoid overestimation of baseline emissions:

In order to avoid the possibility that the operating conditions of the nitric acid production plant are modified in such a way that increases  $N_2O$  generation during the baseline campaign, the normal ranges for operating conditions have been determined for the following parameters:

- (i) oxidation temperature;
- (ii) oxidation pressure;
- (iii) ammonia gas flow rate,
- (iv) air input flow rates.

The permitted range has been established using the procedures described below.

## i. Oxidation temperature and pressure:





Process parameters monitored are the following:

- OTh Oxidation temperature for each hour (°C)
- OPh Oxidation pressure for each hour (Pa)
- OT<sub>normal</sub> Normal range for oxidation temperature (°C)
- OP<sub>normal</sub> Normal range for oxidation pressure (Pa)

The "permitted range" for oxidation temperature and pressure has been determined using the operating manual of the plant.

#### ii. Ammonia gas flow rates and ammonia to air ratio input into the ammonia oxidation reactor (AOR):

Parameters monitored are the following:

AFRAmmonia gas flow rate to the AOR (tNH3/h)AFR<br/>maxMaximum ammonia gas flow rate to the AOR (tNH3/h)AIFR<br/>AIFR<br/>AIFR<br/>Maximum ammonia to air ratio (%)

The limits for ammonia flow and ammonia to air ratio have been determined using operating manual of the plant.

Permitted ranges for pressure, temperature, ammonia flow rate and ammonia to air ratio determined are within the specifications of the facility.

# 2. Determination of baseline emission factor: measurement procedure for N<sub>2</sub>O concentration and gas volume flow

N<sub>2</sub>O concentration and gas volume flow are monitored on all Achema nitric acid production lines throughout the overlapped baseline campaign. The monitoring system installed complies with requirements of the European Norm 14181 (2004).

Monitoring system provides separate readings for  $N_2O$  concentration and gas flow volume for every hour of operation as an average of the measured values for the previous 60 minutes. Error readings (e.g. downtime or malfunction) and extreme values are automatically eliminated from the output data series by the monitoring system.

Measurement results can be distorted before and after periods of downtime or malfunction of the monitoring system and can lead to mavericks. To eliminate such extremes and to ensure a conservative approach, the following statistical evaluation is applied to the complete data series of  $N_2O$  concentration as well as to the data series for gas volume flow. The statistical procedure is applied to data obtained after eliminating data measured for periods where the plant operated outside the permitted ranges:





a) Calculate the sample mean (x)

b) Calculate the sample standard deviation (s)

c) Calculate the 95% confidence interval (equal to 1.96 times the standard deviation)

d) Eliminate all data that lie outside the 95% confidence interval

e) Calculate the new sample mean from the remaining values (volume of stack gas (VSG) and N<sub>2</sub>O concentration of stack gas (NCSG))

The average mass of  $N_2O$  emissions per hour is estimated as product of the NCSG and VSG. The  $N_2O$  emissions per campaign are estimates product of  $N_2O$  emission per hour and the total number of complete

hours of operation of the campaign using the following equation:

 $BE_{BC} = VSG_{BC} * NCSG_{BC} * 10^{-9} * OH_{BC} (tN_2O)$ 

The plant specific baseline emissions factor representing the average  $N_2O$  emissions per tonne of nitric acid over one full campaign is derived by dividing the total mass of  $N_2O$  emissions by the total output of 100% concentrated nitric acid for that period. The overall uncertainty of the monitoring system shall also be determined and the measurement error will be expressed as a percentage (*UNC*). The  $N_2O$  emission factor per tonne of nitric acid produced in the baseline period (EF<sub>BL</sub>) is then be reduced by the estimated percentage error as follows:

 $EF_{BL} = (BE_{BC} / NAP_{BC}) (1 - UNC/100) (tN_2O/tHNO_3)$ 

where:

Variable	Definition
EF <sub>BL</sub>	Baseline $N_2O$ emissions factor ( $tN_2O/tHNO_3$ )
$BE_{BC}$	Total $N_2O$ emissions during the baseline campaign (t $N_2O$ )
NCSG <sub>BC</sub>	Mean concentration of $N_2O$ in the stack gas during the baseline campaign (mg $N_2O/m^3$ )
OH <sub>BC</sub>	Operating hours of the baseline campaign (h)
VSG <sub>BC</sub>	Mean gas volume flow rate at the stack in the baseline measurement period $(m^3/h)$
NAP <sub>BC</sub>	Nitric acid production during the baseline campaign (tHNO <sub>3</sub> )
UNC	Overall uncertainty of the monitoring system (%), calculated as the combined uncertainty of the applied monitoring equipment.

Since there are not in Lithuania any national or regional regulations for N<sub>2</sub>O emissions, the resulting EFBL is used as the baseline emission factor.





Under certain circumstances, the operating conditions during the measurement period used to determine baseline  $N_2O$  emission factor may be outside the permitted range or limit corresponding to normal operating conditions. For instance, temperature, pressure, ammonia flow rate or ammonia to air ratio may be outside the permitted condition. Any  $N_2O$  baseline data that is measured during hours where the operating conditions are outside the permitted range are eliminated from the calculation of the baseline emissions factor.

In order to further ensure that operating conditions during the baseline campaign are representative of normal operating conditions, statistical tests will be performed to compare the average values of the permitted operating conditions with the average values obtained during the baseline measurement period.

# **Impact of regulations:**

Should  $N_2O$  emissions regulations that apply to nitric acid plants be introduced in Lithuania (host country), such regulations will be compared to the calculated baseline factor for the project ( $EF_{BL}$ ), regardless of whether the regulatory level is expressed as:

• An absolute cap on the total volume of N<sub>2</sub>O emissions for a set period;

- A relative limit on N2O emissions expressed as a quantity per unit of output; or
- A threshold value for specific N<sub>2</sub>O mass flow in the stack;

In this case, a corresponding plant-specific emissions factor cap (max. allowed  $tN_2O/tHNO_3$ ) will be derived from the regulatory level. If the regulatory limit would be lower than the baseline factor determined for the project, the regulatory limit would serve as the new baseline factor, that is:

if  $EF_{BL} > EFr_{eg}$ , then the baseline N<sub>2</sub>O emission factor shall be  $EF_{reg}$  for all calculations.

where:

VariableDefinition $EF_{BL}$ Baseline emissions factor ( $tN_2O/tHNO_3$ ) $EF_{reg}$ Emissions level set by newly introduced policies or regulations ( $tN_2O/tHNO_3$ ).

Such  $EF_{reg}$  would be determined according to the nature of the regulation (e.g. in terms of absolute emission, by-product rate, concentration in stack gas), as described in the approved methodology AM0028/Version 04.1.

۲



Joint Implementation Supervisory Committee 36

### The composition of the ammonia oxidation catalyst:

Composition of oxidation catalysts depends on latest technical knowledge of oxidation catalyst manufacturers as well as actual price of precious metals. Composition of all 8 oxidation catalysts installed during the baseline measurements were in line with compositions used in 5 previous campaigns. Same shall apply also to composition of oxidation catalysts to be used in subsequent project campaigns.

Parameters monitored for composition of the catalyst are as follows:

- GS<sub>normal</sub> Gauze supplier for the operation condition campaigns
- GS<sub>BL</sub> Gauze supplier for baseline campaign
- GS<sub>project</sub> Gauze supplier for the project campaign
- G<sub>normal</sub> Gauze composition for the operation condition campaigns
- GC<sub>BL</sub> Gauze composition for baseline campaign
- GC<sub>project</sub> Gauze composition for the project campaign

### **Campaign Length**

In order to take into account the variations in campaign length and its influence on  $N_2O$  emission levels, the historic campaign lengths and the baseline campaign length have been determined and compared to the project campaign length. Campaign length is defined as the total number of metric tonnes of nitric acid at 100% concentration produced with one set of gauzes.

# Historic Campaign Length

The average historic campaign length ( $CL_{normal}$ ) defined as the average campaign length for the historic campaigns used to define operating condition (the previous five campaigns), has been used as a cap on the length of the baseline campaign.

#### Baseline Campaign Length ( $CL_{BL}$ ) If $CL_{BL} \leq CL_{normal}$

all  $N_2O$  values measured during the baseline campaign can be used for the calculation of  $EF_{BL}$  (subject to the elimination of data that was monitored during times where the plant was operating outside of the "permitted range").

# If CL<sub>BL</sub> > CLnormal

 $N_2O$  values that were measured beyond the length of  $CL_{normal}$  during the production of the quantity of nitric acid (i.e. the final tonnes produced) are to be eliminated from the calculation of  $EF_{BL}$ .





#### D. 1.2. Option 2 – Direct monitoring of emission reductions from the project (values should be consistent with those in section E.):

]	D.1.2.1. Data to be collected in order to monitor emission reductions from the project, and how these data will be archived:							
ID number	Data variable	Source of data	Data unit	Measured (m),	Recording	Proportion of	How will the	Comment
(Please use				calculated (c),	frequency	data to be	data be	
numbers to ease				estimated (e)		monitored	archived?	
cross-							(electronic/	
referencing to							paper)	
D.2.)								

D.1.2.2. Description of formulae used to calculate emission reductions from the <u>project</u> (for each gas, source etc.; emissions/emission reductions in units of CO<sub>2</sub> equivalent):

### D.1.3. Treatment of leakage in the monitoring plan:

Due to nature of the project here is no leakage calculation required by the AM0034/Version 02.

I	D.1.3.1. If applicable, please describe the data and information that will be collected in order to monitor leakage effects of the project:							
ID number (Please use numbers to ease cross- referencing to D.2.)	Data variable	Source of data	Data unit	Measured (m), calculated (c), estimated (e)	Recording frequency	Proportion of data to be monitored	How will the data be archived? (electronic/ paper)	Comment

D.1.3.2. Description of formulae used to estimate leakage (for each gas, source etc.; emissions in units of CO<sub>2</sub> equivalent):

>>



**TT** 71



Joint Implementation Supervisory Committee 38

# D.1.4. Description of formulae used to estimate emission reductions for the <u>project</u> (for each gas, source etc.; emissions/emission reductions in units of CO<sub>2</sub> equivalent):

The emission reductions for the project activity over a specific campaign are determined by deducting the campaign-specific emission factor from the baseline emission factor and multiplying the result by the production output of 100% concentrated nitric acid over the campaign period and the GWP of  $N_2O$ :

 $ER = (EFBL - EFP) * NAP *GWPN_2O (tCO_2e)$ 

Where:	
Variable	Definition
ER	Emission reductions of the project for the specific campaign (tCO <sub>2</sub> e)
NAP	Nitric acid production for the project campaign (tHNO <sub>3</sub> ). The maximum value of NAP shall not exceed the design capacity.
EFBL	Baseline emissions factor $(tN_2O/tHNO_3)$
EFP	Emissions factor used to calculate the emissions from this particular campaign (i.e. the higher of $EF_{man}$ and $EF_{n}$ )

By nameplate (design) implies the total yearly capacity (considering 365 days of operation per year) as per the documentation of the plant technology provider (such as the Operation Manual). If the plant has been modified to increase production, and such de-bottleneck or expansion projects were completed before December 2005, then the new capacity is considered nameplate, provided proper documentation of the projects is available (such as, but not limited to: properly dated engineering plans or blueprints, engineering, materials and/or equipment expenses, or third party construction services, etc.).

D.1.5. Where applicable, in accordance with procedures as required by the <u>host Party</u>, information on the collection and archiving of information on the environmental impacts of the <u>project</u>:

Since  $N_2O$  is not a pollutant regulated by national legislation, it does not have any negative impact on an environment in vicinity of its point of emitting and it does not have any significant and attributable impact on population living in the plant's vicinity, it is not required to carry out the environmental impact study.

D.2. Quality control (QC) and quality assurance (QA) procedures undertaken for data monitored:						
Data	Uncertainty level of data	Explain QA/QC procedures planned for these data, or why such procedures are not necessary.				
(Indicate table and	(high/medium/low)					
ID number)	p number)					





Joint Implementation Supervisory Committee

P.1, B.1	Low	N <sub>2</sub> O analyser
$NCSG_{BC,1}$ N <sub>2</sub> O concentration in the stack gas for particular line		XENTRA 4900 (Servomex manufacturer) QAL1 certified, QAL2 test carried, QAL3 procedures defines and carried out at the plant
P.2, B.2	Low	Gas volume flow meter
$VSG_{BC,I}$ Volume flow rate of the stack gas for particular line		D-FL-200 (Durag manufacturer) QAL1 certified, QAL2 test carried, QAL3 procedures defines and carried out at the plant
P.6, B.6	Low	Regular calibration and control according to existing measurement requirements
TSG <sub>1</sub> Temperature of stack gas for particular line		
P.7, B.7	Low	Regular calibration and control according to existing measurement requirements
PSG <sub>1</sub> Pressure of stack gas for particular line		
B.9	Low	Regular calibration and control according to existing measurement requirements
UNC Overall measurement uncertainty of the monitoring system		
B.10	Low	Regular calibration and control according to existing measurement requirements
AIFR <sub>1</sub> Ammonia gas flow rate to the AOR for particular line		





Joint Implementation Supervisory Committee 40

	_	
B.16	Low	Regular calibration and control according to existing measurement requirements
OT <sub>h1</sub>		
Ovidation temperature for		
Oxidation temperature for		
each hour for particular		
line		
line		
B.18	Low	Regular calibration and control according to existing measurement requirements
OP <sub>h1</sub>		
Ovidation prossure for		
Oxidation pressure for		
each hour for particular		
lina		
lille		

# **D.3.** Please describe the operational and management structure that the project operator will apply in implementing the monitoring plan:

"Sistematika" a subsidiary of SC"Achema" is in charge of operation and maintenance of the  $N_2O$  monitoring system. The Nitric acid production department is responsible for the  $N_2O$  monitoring and for reporting faults in the operation of the monitoring system to "Sistematika".Perfomance of monitoring system will be supervised by plant operators and the technical support will be provided by the directly responsible automation engineer.

# D.4. Name of person(s)/entity(ies) establishing the monitoring plan:

>>

Organisation:	Stock Company "Achema"
Street/P.O.Box:	
Building:	
City:	
State/Region:	Jonalaukis village, Rukla county, Jonava region municipality
Postal code:	Lt-55550
Country:	Lithuania
Phone:	+370 349 56242
Fax:	+370 349 56911





Joint Implementation Supervisory Committee

E-mail:	sekretoriatas@achema.com
URL:	www.achema.com
Represented by:	Ramūnas Pilsudskas
Title:	Nitric acid plant deputy chief
Salutation:	Mr
Last name:	Pilsudskas
Middle name:	•
First name:	Ramūnas
Department:	•
Phone (direct):	+370 349 56503
Fax (direct):	
Mobile:	+370 682 41241
Personal e-mail:	pilsudskas@achema .com



page 42

UNFCCC

#### SECTION E. Estimation of greenhouse gas emission reductions

# E.1. Estimated <u>project</u> emissions:

405,646 tCO<sub>2</sub>e/year

It should be noted that the level of emissions of the project is a function of the amount of nitric acid produced by the plant. The amount of nitric acid produced by the plant is a function of demand for relevant Achema's products. This cannot be predicted today with certainty. The figures used above are a best estimate and are illustrative. The actual level of project emissions may be higher or lower than the figures given above.

#### E.2. Estimated leakage:

There is no leakage.

#### **E.3.** The sum of **E.1.** and **E.2.**:

405,646 tCO<sub>2</sub>e/year

#### E.4. Estimated <u>baseline</u> emissions:

#### 1,352,155 tCO<sub>2</sub>e/year

## E.5. Difference between E.4. and E.3. representing the emission reductions of the <u>project</u>:

946,508 tCO<sub>2</sub>e/year

This table shows an estimate of future circumstances. As explained above the actual number of emission reductions may be higher or lower than that shown in the table.

E.6.	Table providing values obtained when applying formulae above:
L.v.	i able providing values obtained when apprying formulae above.

Year	Estimated project emissions in tCO <sub>2</sub> e/year	Estimated leakage in tCO <sub>2</sub> e/year	Estimated baseline emissions in tCO <sub>2</sub> e/year	Estimated emission reductions in tCO <sub>2</sub> e/year
2008	230,980	0	769,933	538,953
2009	449,313	0	1,497,710	1,048,397
2010	449,313	0	1,497,710	1,048,397
2011	449,313	0	1,497,710	1,048,397
2012	449,313	0	1,497,710	1,048,397
Total in tCO2e/year	2,028,232	0	6,760,773	4,732,541

#### **SECTION F.** Environmental impacts

F.1. Documentation on the analysis of the environmental impacts of the <u>project</u>, including transboundary impacts, in accordance with procedures as determined by the <u>host Party</u>:





The project has no material adverse environmental impacts. The project's sole material environmental impact is the reduction in emissions of nitrous oxide. Immaterial, indirect, environmental impacts of the project are the environmental impact of the production and transport of steel alloy and catalyst material. However, these are considered immaterial, and are provided by suppliers which are subject to European Union environmental legislation.

The project does not require the preparation of an environmental impact assessment.

F.2. If environmental impacts are considered significant by the <u>project participants</u> or the <u>host Party</u>, please provide conclusions and all references to supporting documentation of an environmental impact assessment undertaken in accordance with the procedures as required by the <u>host Party</u>:

n/a

#### SECTION G. Stakeholders' comments

# G.1. Information on <u>stakeholders</u>' comments on the <u>project</u>, as appropriate:

Neither public nor any community will be affected or likely to be affected by the project.



page 44

UNFCCC

# Annex 1

# CONTACT INFORMATION ON PROJECT PARTICIPANTS

Organisation:	Stock Company "Achema"
Street/P.O.Box:	
Building:	
City:	
State/Region:	Jonalaukis village, Rukla county, Jonava region municipality
Postal code:	Lt-55550
Country:	Lithuania
Phone:	+370 349 56242
Fax:	+370 349 56911
E-mail:	sekretoriatas@achema.com
URL:	www.achema.com
Represented by:	Juozas Tunaitis
Title:	Technical Director
Salutation:	Mr
Last name:	Tunaitis
Middle name:	-
First name:	Juozas
Department:	-
Phone (direct):	+370 349 56722
Fax (direct):	
Mobile:	+370 686 92001
Personal e-mail:	Juozas@achema .com

#### JI Advisor

Organisation:	Vertis Environmental Finance Zrt
Street/P.O.Box:	Alkotás utca
Building:	39c
City:	Budapest
State/Region:	Pest megye
Postal code:	1123
Country:	Hungary
Phone:	+36 1 488 8410
Fax:	+36 1 488 8411
E-mail:	info@vertisfinance.com
URL:	www.vertisfinance.com
Represented by:	Laszlo Pasztor
Title:	Director
Salutation:	Mr
Last name:	Pasztor
Middle name:	-
First name:	Laszlo
Department:	-
Phone (direct):	+36 1 488 8423
Fax (direct):	+36 1 488 8411
Mobile:	+36 20 973 5439
Personal e-mail:	laszlo.pasztor@vertisfinance.com





#### Annex 2

#### **BASELINE INFORMATION**

Main assumptions	Line 1	Line 2	Line 3	Line 4	Line 5	Line 6	Line 7	Line 8	TOTAL
Plate Capacity	350	350	350	350	350	350	350	350	2,800 t/Day
Average Production	5,900	5,900	5,900	5,900	5,900	5,900	5,900	5,900	47,200 t/Day
Annual Production	86,042	86,042	86,042	86,042	86,042	86,042	86,042	86,042	688,333 t/year
N2O Concentration	1,530	1,067	842	1,233	942	1,040	1,222	788	1,083 ppmv
N2O Emission	9.92	6.92	5.46	7.99	6.10	6.74	7.92	5.11	7.02 kg/t
Annual N2O Emission	853,292	595,044	469,490	687,460	525,249	579,784	681,482	439,521	4,831,323 kg/year
Annual N2O Emission	264,521	184,464	145,542	213,113	162,827	179,733	211,259	136,252	1,497,710 tCO2e/year
Emission Reduction Factor	70%	70%	70%	70%	70%	70%	70%	70%	70%
Emission Reduction	185,164	129,125	101,879	149,179	113,979	125,813	147,882	95,376	1,048,397 tCO2e/year

Table above presents the main assumptions and the calculations method used when projecting the emission reductions contained in this PDD.

Assumptions have been derived from technical literature, plant technical parameters, partial measurements and information provided by catalyst suppliers.

It important to point out that assumptions are estimates only, therefore, the final baseline information will be available only after completion of baseline measurements.





#### Annex 3

# MONITORING PLAN

#### PURPOSE OF THE MONITORING PLAN

The purpose of the monitoring plan is to describe the system used to monitor emissions of  $N_2O$  from the plant in such a way that is compliant with the prescriptions of the AM0034/Version 02.

#### **PLANT DESCRIPTION**

The UKL-7 nitric acid plant comprises 8 production lines numbered from 1 through 8, each with its own burner, absorption column and expansion turbine. Each production line represents a separate nitric acid production unit independent from each other. The tail gasses from each line are after expansion turbines led to a common stack bus and vented through two interconnected stacks.



Primary catalyst is changed at different times thus it is necessary to measure the emissions from each line individually. This means that eight separate sets of monitoring equipment are installed to measure tail gas flow, nitric acid production, nitric acid concentration, and the operating conditions.  $N_2O$  concentration in the tail gas is measured by 3 switched concentration meters.

# **MONITORING SYSTEM ARCHITECTURE**

Methodology AM0034/Version 02 requires installation of an  $N_2O$  monitoring system that includes both a gas volume flow meter to determine the tail gas mass volume flow and an infrared gas analyser to determine the concentration of  $N_2O$ .

But tail gas  $N_2O$  concentration meter and tail gas volume flow meter alone are not sufficient for a JI project purposes. In order of being able to calculate the baseline emission factor expressed as tonnage of  $N_2O$  in t CO<sub>2</sub>e per 1 tonne of HNO<sub>3</sub> (100%), it is necessary to include also HNO<sub>3</sub> measurement, and in order of being able to document normal operating conditions it is necessary to include also operating conditions measurement.

Because of this we use the term Monitoring System (MS) to describe entire monitoring system directly and indirectly used for the JI purposes, while Automated Measurement System (AMS) covers only  $N_2O$  emissions and tail gas mass volume part of the MS.



4.

#### Joint Implementation Supervisory Committee

page 47

UNFCC

Monitoring System (MS) for purpose of this monitoring plan means:

- monitoring system measuring operational conditions;
  - a. Ammonia volume flow
  - b. Ammonia temperature
  - c. Ammonia pressure
  - d. Primary air volume flow
  - e. Primary air temperature
  - f. Primary air pressure
  - g. Oxidation temperature
  - h. Oxidation pressure
- 5. nitric acid 100% concentrate production;
  - a. Nitric acid concentration
  - b. Nitric acid flow
  - c. Nitric acid temperature
- 6. and newly installed measurement devices for measurement of N<sub>2</sub>O concentration and tail gas flow, temperature and pressure (AMS)
  - a.  $N_2O$  concentration in the stack
  - b. Stack volume flow rate
  - c. Stack gas temperature
  - d. Stack gas pressure

Incorporation of the AMS into the MS by interfacing already existing and newly installed measurement devices is documented by the diagram below.





#### N<sub>2</sub>O automated measurement system

Main purpose of the  $N_2O$  automated measurement system (AMS) is to measure total mass of  $N_2O$  emitted during particular campaigns (both baseline and project). In order of calculation of total mass of  $N_2O$  emitted during particular campaign it is necessary to measure on an extractive basis the  $N_2O$  concentration in a tail gas and on a non-extractive basis tail gas flow, pressure and temperature.

#### N<sub>2</sub>O concentration

N<sub>2</sub>O concentration is measured by extractive measurement system. Tail gas samples are taken from respective tail gas ducts at sampling points located after expansion turbines and transported by heated sample lines to the Monitoring room A located next to the main production hall. This applies to 2 concentration meters measuring lines 1-3 and lines 4-6. Lines 7-8 are measured by third concentration meter located at the other side of the production hall (Monitoring room B) physically closer to respective production lines 7 and 8.

Tail gas samples are filtered and conditioned in the condensation dryer (4°C), so  $N_2O$  concentration is measured on a dry basis. Correction of dry basis ppmV value to real wet tail gas conditions based on steam flow injected into the system is part of both - the project emissions calculations and baseline emission factor calculations.

N<sub>2</sub>O concentration is measured by concentration infrared absorption principle meters Xentra 4900 using GFX monitoring technology.

Recently there are 8 production lines.  $N_2O$  concentration is measured by 3 concentration meters on a switched basis. Tail gas samples are automatically switched. Under-sampling uncertainty is part of both - the project emissions calculations and baseline emission factor calculations.

UNFCCC



page 49

UNFCCC

 $N_2O$  concentration measured data are sent from the concentration meter on a 2 sec basis in a form of 4-20mA signal to the datalogger and processed further.

#### Tail gas flow, pressure and temperature

Tail gas flow is measured by ultrasonic Durag DF-L 200 flowmeters based on ultrasonic pulse fly time across the entire duct cross-section.



The tail gas ducts do not allow any optimum location to perform the flow monitoring. The only possible location is the quite short vertical tube behind the 90° "knee".



Since the flow is not really laminar, a classical Pitot tube one point solution wouldn't allow good results. The ultrasonic system is under these conditions superior to differential pressure. Cross duct monitoring is more accurate than a couple of discrete point averaged pressure.



page 50

#### Joint Implementation Supervisory Committee

Tail gas flow, pressure and temperature measured data are sent from the flow meter on a 2 sec basis in a form of 4-20mA signal to the datalogger and processed further.

#### EN14181 compliance

As required by the AM0034/Version 02 methodology the  $N_2O$  automated measurement system (AMS) complies with requirements of the technical norm EN14181.  $N_2O$  AMS consists from the  $N_2O$  concentration meter and integrated flowmeter (F, T, P). Both measurement devices are QAL1 certified (concentration meter for the  $N_2O$  measurand specifically) and after full commissioning of the monitoring system there will be the QAL2 test carried out by an independent laboratory certified according to ISO17025.

#### Operating conditions

For purpose of the baseline emissions factor setting it is necessary to monitor and report operating conditions in all 8 burners. Namely:

- Ammonia flow
- Ammonia temperature
- Ammonia pressure
- Primary air flow
- Primary air temperature
- Primary air pressure
- Oxidation temperature
- Oxidation pressure

All these parameters are measured by the plant monitoring system. Signals obtained from these measurement devices from production lines 1-6 are converted from pneumatic to 4-20mA analog signals. Operating conditions measurement devices installed in production lines 7-8 provide the 4-20mA signals which are digitalized and provided to the monitoring system dataloggers, which process them further.

#### Nitric acid production

Nitric acid concentration is based on two components: nitric acid and water. Standard monitoring method is on-line refractometry. Measurement of nitric acid (100%) mass volume production per campaign is necessary for calculation of the baseline emissions factor. Nitric acid concentration on all 8 lines is measured by K-Patents PR-23-GP refractometers.

This analyzer is applying a prism to "return" a generated optical beam back to an LCD detector.







The detector is measuring refraction of monitored liquid based on known refraction of water and nitric acid. The instrument is calibrated for percent concentration of nitric acid. The analyzer is mounted on DIN-flange 2656, PN25 DN80. The optical prism does not require cleaning.

PR-23-GP characteristics:

- Measuring RI is a direct concentration measurement principle. No need to rely or convert magnitudes.
- Digital instrument no calibration drift
- As it is a robust instrument it is maintenance free
- Dual connectivity if the installation positions allow.
- On-line data logging, through Ethernet, on whichever web browser.
- No influence by bubbles or particles. It is very common that air enters the process pipeline and still the refractometer measures accurately.

Nitric acid concentration data are in an analog 4-20mA format provided to dataloggers which process these signals further.

In order of being able to calculate total mass volume of nitric acid 100% concentrate produced during the baseline campaign it is necessary to monitor also nitric acid flow and temperature. Measurement of pressure is not necessary for determination of the nitric acid mass volume flow, because nitric acid is in form of liquid and as such it has stabile pressure characteristic.

Signals obtained from the nitric acid flow and temperature measurement devices from production lines 1-6 are converted from pneumatic to 4-20mA analog signals. Nitric acid flow and temperature measurement devices installed in production lines 7-8 provide the 4-20mA signals which are digitalized and provided to the monitoring system dataloggers, which process them further.