

AIE REPORT FORM

(version 04)

(to be completed by an AIE requesting the publication of a determination pursuant to paragraph 37 of the JI guidelines)									
Accre	dited independent entity (AIE) submitting form	Bureau Veritas Certification Holding SAS							
JI activity									
Refere	ence number and title of JI activity	0049: Lapes Landfill Gas Utilization and Energy Generation							
Partie	s involved in the JI activity	Lithuania (Host country) Sweden (Investor country)							
Projec	et participants	UAB Ekoresursai Nordic Environment Finance Corporation NEFCO							
Coord	inating entity (applicable to JI PoA only)	N.A.							
Туре	of JI activity: ⊠ large-scale □ small-	scale 🗆 LULI	JCF 🗆 P	PoA					
Emission reductions/Enhancements of removals									
Monite	pring period(s) (DD/MM/YYYY - DD/MM/YYYY)	01/01/2011-22/12/2011							
sourc	ed reductions in anthropogenic emissions by es/enhancements of anthropogenic removals ks (tonnes of CO ₂ eq.)	33101							
	resent verification is the first with regard to activity	□ Yes	⊠ N	o					
Applicable to JI PoA only	Verification period (DD/MM/YYYY-DD/MM/YYYY)	⊠ N/A							
	Reference number of JPAs verified	⊠ N/A							
	Sampling approach used to verify the PoA	⊠ N/A	□ Yes □	No					
Statements regarding requirements and possible changes									
Please confirm that all requirements of Article 6 of the Kyoto Protocol, the JI guidelines and further relevant requirements defined by the CMP or the JISC with regard to verifications pursuant to paragraph 37 of the JI guidelines are met: Yes No									
Verification opinion									
Please provide a verification opinion (or a reference to the verification opinion in one of the documents attached), including a statement regarding materiality and level of assurance, in accordance with the Standard for applying the concept of materiality in verifications									
Utilizat ACM0	u Veritas Certification has performed the 3rd mon tion and Energy Generation, which applies the pr 001. The verification was performed on the basis so on the criteria given to provide for consistent p	oject specific metho of UNFCCC criteria	dology mainly bas and the host cou	sed on ntry criteria					

The verification consisted of the following three phases: i) a desk review of the project design, baseline

and monitoring plan; ii) follow-up interviews with project stakeholders; iii) resolution of outstanding issues and issuance of the final verification report and opinion. The management of Ekoresursai, UAB is responsible for the preparation of the GHG emission data and the reported GHG emission reductions of the project on the basis set out within the project Monitoring Plan indicated in the final PDD version 9 dated 10/11/2009. The development and maintenance of records and reporting procedures in accordance with that plan, including the calculation and determination of GHG emission reductions from the project, is the responsibility of the management of the project. Bureau Veritas Certification verified the Project Monitoring Report version 2 dated 14/04/2012 for the reporting period as indicated below. Bureau Veritas Certification confirms that the project is implemented as planned and described in approved project design documents. The installed equipment being essential for generating emission reduction runs reliably and is calibrated appropriately. The monitoring system is in place and the project is generating GHG emission reductions. Bureau Veritas Certification can confirm that the GHG emission reduction is accurately calculated and is free of material errors, omissions, or misstatements. Our opinion relates to the project's GHG emissions and resulting GHG emission reductions reported and related to the approved project baseline and monitoring, and its associated documents. Based on the information we have seen and evaluated, we confirm, with a reasonable level of assurance, the following statement: Reporting period: From 01/01/2011 to 22/12/2011 Baseline emissions: 33619 t CO2 equivalents. Project emissions: 518 t CO2 equivalents. Emission Reductions (Year 2011): 33101 t CO2 equivalents. The project design was revised in the current monitoring period, after the determination has □ Yes No been deemed final If yes, please list all revisions to the project design and express a determination opinion (or provide a ⋈ N/A reference to an attached document containing the required information) If project design was revised in the current ⋈ N/A □ Yes □ No monitoring period, please confirm that conditions defined in JI guidelines (paragraph 33) are still met If project design was revised in the current monitoring period, please confirm that the changes ⋈ N/A □ Yes □ No do not alter the original determination opinion If project design was revised in the current monitoring period, please confirm that: (a) Physical location of the project has not changed ⊠ N/A □ Yes □ No (b) If emission sources have changed, they are ⊠ N/A □ Yes □ No reflected in an updated monitoring plan (c) Baseline scenario has not changed ⊠ N/A □ Yes □ No (d) Changes are consistent with JI specific approach ⋈ N/A □ Yes □ No or CDM methodology upon which the

monitoring period

If yes, please specify for which technologies and measures under each type of JPA the monitoring plan was revised (applicable to JI PoA only)

determination was prepared for the project

The monitoring plan was revised in the current

improvinform monite	ve th nation oring levar	ne ac n co g pla nt ru	visions to the monitoring plan ccuracy and/or applicability of ellected, compared to the original in without changing conformity with les and regulations for establishing ins	⊠ N/A	□ Yes	□ No				
List of documents attached to the verification report form										
Please attach relevant documents used in the verification process and mark below accordingly										
Verification report										
	Written approvals by the Parties involved, if applicable									
	Other relevant documents:									
		D	ocument listing all revisions to the project	ct design, if applica	able					
	Determination that the revisions to the <u>project design</u> , if applicable, do not alter the original determination opinion and that the conditions defined by paragraph 33 of the JI guidelines are still met									
	□ Revised monitoring plan, if applicable									
	Determination that the revisions to the <u>monitoring plan</u> , if applicable, improve the accuracy and/or applicability of information collected, compared to the original monitoring plan without changing conformity with relevant rules and regulations for establishing monitoring plans									
	□ Sampling plan, if applicable (JI PoA only)									
	\boxtimes	P	ny other documents (please list):							
	1) Revised monitoring report (version 02, dated 14/04/2012)									
2) Excel calculation tool (last modified 15/04/2012)										
The AIE herewith declares that undertaking the verification for the JI activity referred to above does not constitute a conflict of interest which is incompatible with the role of an AIE										
Operational manager signing for the AIE				Witold Dzugan						
Date and signature			ature	2012-05-23	The					