JI Verification



AIE REPORT FORM							
	(version	04)					
(to be completed by an AIE requesting the publication of a determination pursuant to paragraph 37 of the JI guidelines)							
Accredited independent entity (A	AIE) submitting form	Bureau Verita	as Cer	tification Ho	olding SAS		
JI activity							
Reference number and title of JI activity		«Waste Heap Dismantling in Sverdlovsk district of Luhansk Region of Ukraine with the Aim of Reducing Greenhouse Gases Emissions into the Atmosphere»					
Parties involved in the JI activity	1	Ukraine (hos The Nethedla)			
Project participants		Small Private "SLAVUTICH Ohana LLP		nercial Pro	duction Company		
Coordinating entity (applicable t	o JI PoA only)	N/A					
Type of JI activity: ☑ large-:	scale 🛛 small·	scale 🛛	LULU	ICF	D PoA		
Emission reductions/Enhancements of removals							
Monitoring period(s) (DD/MM/YYYY - DD/MM/YYYY)		01/07/2012 – 30/11/2012					
Verified reductions in anthropogenic emissions by sources/enhancements of anthropogenic removals by sinks (tonnes of CO_2 eq.)		1008644					
The present verification is the fin the JI activity	rst with regard to		Yes		☑ No		
Verification period (DD/MI DD/MM/YYYY) Reference number of JPA Sampling approach used	М/ҮҮҮҮ-	Ø N/A	L				
Reference number of JPA	s verified	Ø N/A	L .				
Sampling approach used	to verify the PoA	⊠ N/A	L .	□ Yes	□ No		
Statements regarding requirements and possible changes							
Please <u>confirm that all requirements</u> relevant requirements defined by paragraph 37 of the JI guidelines Ø Yes	y the CMP or the JIS	-		-			
□ No							

Verification opinion

Please provide a verification opinion (or a reference to the verification opinion in one of the documents attached), including a statement regarding materiality and level of assurance, in accordance with the Standard for applying the concept of materiality in verifications

The verification opinion is provided in the attached Verification Report №UKRAINE-ver/0841/2012 version 02 dated 04/12/2012.

The <u>project design was revised</u> in the current monitoring period, after the determination has been deemed final		⊠ No			
If yes, please <u>list all revisions</u> to the project design and express a determination opinion (or provide a reference to an attached document containing the required information)	⊠ N/A				
If project design was revised in the current monitoring period, <u>please confirm t</u> hat conditions defined in JI guidelines (paragraph 33) are still met	⊠ N/A	□ Yes	□ No		
If project design was revised in the current monitoring period, <u>please confirm t</u> hat the changes do not alter the original determination opinion	☑ N/A	□ Yes	□ No		
If project design was revised in the current monitoring period, <u>please confirm</u> that:					
(a) Physical location of the project has not changed					
(b) If emission sources have changed, they are reflected in an updated monitoring plan	⊠ N/A	□ Yes	□ No		
(c) Baseline scenario has not changed	⊠ N/A	□ Yes	□ No		
(d) Changes are consistent with JI specific approach or CDM methodology upon which the determination was prepared for the preject	☑ N/A ☑ N/A	□ Yes	□ No		
determination was prepared for the project					
The <u>monitoring plan was revised</u> in the current monitoring period	□ Yes		⊠ No		
If yes, please specify for which technologies and measures under each type of JPA the monitoring plan was revised (applicable to JI PoA only)					
The current <u>revisions to the monitoring plan</u> improve the accuracy and/or applicability of information collected, compared to the original monitoring plan without changing conformity with the relevant rules and regulations for establishing monitoring plans	⊠ N/A	□ Yes	□ No		
List of documents attached to the verification report form					
Please attach relevant documents used in the verificatio	n process and m	ark below acc	cordingly		

	100					
M	Verification report					
Ø	Written approvals by the Parties involved, if applicable					
Ø	Oth	er relevant documents:				
	۵	Document listing all revisions to the project design, if applicable				
	ū	Determination that the revisions to the <u>project design</u> , if applicable, do not alter the original determination opinion and that the conditions defined by paragraph 33 of the JI guidelines still met				
		Revised monitoring plan, if applicable				
	ū	Determination that the revisions to the <u>monitoring plan</u> , if applicable, improve the accuracy and/or applicability of information collected, compared to the original monitoring plan without changing conformity with relevant rules and regulations for establishing monitoring plans				
		Sampling plan, if applicable (JI PoA only)				
30111	⊠ 2»	Any other documents (please list): Excel file «ER_Calculation_Slavutich_MR_v1_010712-				
		erewith declares that undertaking the verification for the JI activity referred to above a constitute a conflict of interest which is incompatible with the role of an AIE				
Opera	ation	al manager signing for the AIE				
Date and signature		signature Holding SAS				