

AIE REPORT FORM

| | rersion 04) of adetermination pursuant to paragraph 37 of the JI guidelines) |
|--|---|
| Accredited independent entity (AIE) submitting | |
| | l activity |
| Reference number and title of JI activity | "Reduction of methane leaks on the gas equipment of the gas distribution points and or the gas armature, flanged, threaded joints of the gas distribution pipelines of PJSC "Zakarpatgas" |
| Parties involved in the JI activity | UkraineSwitzerland |
| Project participants | PJSC "Zakarpatgas"CEP Carbon Emissions Partners S.A. |
| Coordinating entity (applicable to JI PoAonly) | N/a |
| Type of JI activity: ☑large-scale □ s | small-scale □ LULUCF □PoA |
| Emission reductions | /Enhancements of removals |
| Monitoring period(s) (DD/MM/YYYY - DD/MM/Y | (YYY) 01/01/2008 – 30/06/2012 |
| Verified reductions in anthropogenic emission sources/enhancements of anthropogenic rem by sinks (tonnes of CO ₂ eq.) | |
| The present verification is the first with regard the JI activity | d to □Yes ☑No |
| Verification period(DD/MM/YYYY-DD/MM/ | YYYY) 🗹 N.A. |
| Verification period(DD/MM/YYYY-DD/MM/Y Reference number of JPAs verified Sampling approach used to verify the P | ⊠n.a. |
| Sampling approach used to verify the P | PoA ☑N.A. □Yes □ No |
| Statements regarding req | uirements and possible changes |
| Please <u>confirm that all requirements</u> of Arti further relevant requirements defined by t pursuant toparagraph 37 of the JI guidelines <u>a</u> ☑Yes | icle 6 of the Kyoto Protocol, the JI guidelines and he CMP or the JISC with regard to verifications are met: |
| Verification opinion | |
| | reference to the verification opinion in one of the |

accordance with the Standard for applying the concept of materiality in verifications

The <u>project design was revised</u> in the current monitoring period, after the determination has

been deemed final

The verification opinion is provided in the attached Verification Report, ver. 02, as of 27/09/2012

□Yes ☑No

| Date and signature 27/09/2012 Holding SAS | | | | | | |
|---|-------|-------------|-----------------|--|--|--|
| | Dure | au verna | s Cortification | | | |
| does not constitute a conflict of interest which is incomparational manager signing for the AIE | | th the role | | | | |
| List of documents attached to the verification report form Please attach relevant documents used in the verification process and mark below accordingly ☑Verification report □Written approvals by the Parties involved, if applicable ☑Other relevant documents: □ Document listing all revisions to the project design, if applicable □ Determination that the revisions to the project design, if applicable, do not alter the original determination opinion and that the conditions defined by paragraph 33 of the JI guidelines are still met □ Revised monitoring plan, if applicable □ Determination that the revisions to the monitoring plan, if applicable, improve the accuracy and/or applicability of information collected, compared to the original monitoring plan without changing conformity with relevant rules and regulations for establishing monitoring plans □ Sampling plan, if applicable (JI PoA only) ☑Any other documents (please list): □ Annex A. Supporting document 1. Calculation of greenhouse gas emission reductions generated by the JI project "Reduction of methane leaks on the gas equipment of the gas distribution points and on the gas armature, flanged, threaded joints of the gas distribution pipelines of PJSC "Zakarpatgas" in the period from January 1, 2008 to June 30, 2012 The AIE herewith declares that undertaking the verification for the JI activity referred to above | | | | | | |
| The current revisions to the monitoring plan improve the accuracy and/or applicability of information collected, compared to the original monitoring plan without changing conformity with the relevant rules and regulations for establishing monitoring plans | □Yes | □ No | ⊠n.a. | | | |
| The monitoring plan was revised in the current monitoring period If yes, please specify for which technologies and measures under each type of JPA the monitoring plan was revised (applicable to JI PoA only) | □Yes | ⊠No | | | | |
| (d) Changes are consistent with JI specific approach or CDM methodology upon which the determination was prepared for the project | ⊠n.a. | □Yes | □ No | | | |
| (c) Baseline scenario has not changed | ⊠n.a. | □Yes | □ No | | | |
| (a) Physical location of the project has not changed(b) If emission sources have changed, they are reflected in an updated monitoring plan | ⊠n.a. | □Yes | □ No | | | |
| If project design was revised in the current monitoring period, please confirm that: | ⊠n.a. | □Yes | □ No | | | |
| If project design was revised in the current monitoring period, <u>please confirm</u> that the changes do not alter the original determination opinion | ⊠n.a. | □Yes | □ No | | | |
| If project design was revised in the current monitoring period, <u>please confirm</u> that conditions defined in JI guidelines (paragraph 33) are still met | ⊠n.a. | □Yes | □ No | | | |
| If yes, please <u>list all revisions</u> to the project design and express a determination opinion (or provide a reference to an attached document containing the required information) | ⊠n.a. | | | | | |