| JI VERIFICATION REPORT FORM (version 03.1) (to be completed by an AIE requesting the publication of a determination pursuant to paragraph 37 of the JI guidelines) | | | | | | | | | |
|---|--|-------------|-------|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| Accredited independent entity (AIE) submitting form Bureau Veritas Certification Holding SAS | | | | | | | | | |
| JI activity | | | | | | | | | |
| Reference number and title of JI activity | "Utilization of coke gas with electricity generation by two 6 MWe CHP at "ZaporozhCox Plant" | | | | | | | | |
| Coordinating entity (applicable to JI PoA only) | N/A | | | | | | | | |
| Parties involved in the JI activity | UkraineThe Netherlands | | | | | | | | |
| Type of JI activity: ☑ large scale □ small | scale 🗆 LU | LUCF | □ PoA | | | | | | |
| Emission reductions / Enhancements of removals | | | | | | | | | |
| Monitoring period(s) (DD/MM/YYYY - DD/MM/YYYY) | 01/02/2008 – 31/12/2009 | | | | | | | | |
| Reference number of JPAs verified (applicable to JI PoA only) | ☑ N.A. | | | | | | | | |
| A sampling approach was used to verify the JI PoA (applicable to JI PoA only) | ☑ N.A. | □ Yes | □ No | | | | | | |
| Verification period (DD/MM/YYYY - DD/MM/YYYY) (applicable to JI PoA only) | ☑ N.A. | | | | | | | | |
| Verified reductions in anthropogenic emissions by sources / enhancements of anthropogenic removals by sinks (tonnes of CO ₂ equivalent) | 78583 | | | | | | | | |
| The present verification is the first with regard to the JI activity | ☑ Ye | 25 | □ No | | | | | | |
| Statements regarding requirem | ents and pos | sible chang | ges | | | | | | |
| Please <u>confirm that all requirements</u> of Article 6 of the Kyoto Protocol, the JI guidelines and further relevant requirements defined by the CMP or the JISC with regard to verifications pursuant to paragraph 37 of the JI guidelines <u>are met</u> : | | | | | | | | | |
| <u>Verification opinion</u> Please provide a verification opinion (or a reference to the verification opinion in one of the documents attached), including a statement regarding materiality and level of assurance, in accordance with the Standard for applying the concept of materiality in verifications: | | | | | | | | | |
| The verification opinion is provided in the attached Verification Report, ver.02 of 22/11/2010. | | | | | | | | | |
| The <u>project design was revised</u> after the determination has been deemed final | □ Y | 'es | ☑ No | | | | | | |

| and ex refere | opres | se <u>list all revisions</u> to the project design s a determination opinion (or provide a o an attached document containing the formation) | V | Í N.A. | | | | |
|--|---|--|----------|--------------|-------------|---|--|--|
| condit | ions | esign was revised, <u>please confirm</u> that defined by paragraph 33 of the JI are still met | V | Í N.A. | □ Yes | 🗆 No | | |
| If project design was revised, <u>please confirm</u> that the changes do not alter the original determination opinion | | | V | ☑ N.A. □ Yes | | 🗆 No | | |
| If proj | ect d | esign was revised, <u>please confirm</u> that: | 57 | 1 N.A. | | | | |
| (a) Pł | | al location of the project has not | Ľ. | J N.A. | □ Yes | □ No | | |
| re | flect | ion sources have changed, they are | | 1 N.A. | □ Yes | 🗆 No | | |
| 1000 | | ne scenario has not changed | | A | | | | |
| ar | (d) Changes are consistent with JI specific approach or CDM methodology upon which | | | 1 N.A. | □ Yes | □ No | | |
| | le de | termination was prepared for the project | ⊻ | 1 N.A. | | | | |
| lf yes, measu | pleas res u | oring plan was revised e inform for which technologies and nder each type of JPA the monitoring plan I (applicable to JI PoA only) | | □ Yes | | ⊠ No | | |
| The <u>revisions to the monitoring plan</u> improve the accuracy and/or applicability of information collected, compared to the original monitoring plan without changing conformity with the relevant rules and regulations for the establishment of monitoring plans | | | □ Yes □ | | ⊠ n.a. | | | |
| List of | f doc | uments attached to the verification report for | orm | | | De la construir de la const | | |
| Please | e atta | ch relevant documents used in the verification (| oroce | ss and chec | k mark belo | w accordingly | | |
| Ø | Ve | ification report | | | | | | |
| Ø | Wr | itten approvals by the Parties involved, if ap | plica | ble | | | | |
| ⊠ | Otl | ner documents: | | | | | | |
| Document listing all revisions to the project design, if applicable Determination that the revisions to the project design, if applicable, do not alter the original determination opinion and that the conditions defined by paragraph 33 of the JI guidelines are still met | | | | | | | | |
| | | Revised monitoring plan, if applicable | | | | · 2.24 | | |
| Determination that the revisions to the monitoring plan, if applicable, improve the accuracy and/or applicability of information collected, compared to the original monitoring plan without changing conformity with the relevant rules and regulations for the establishment of monitoring plans | | | | | | | | |
| | | Sampling plan, if applicable (JI PoA only) | | | | | | |
| | Ø | Any other documents (please list): | | | | *** · · | | |
| | | ☑ Final Monitoring Report | | | | | | |
| Documents reviewed during verification (listed in Appendix C of the Verification Report) and calculations of emission reductions excel file | | | | | | | | |
| The AIE herewith declares that undertaking the verification for the JI activity referred to above does not constitute a conflict of interest which is incompatible with the role of an AIE | | | | | | | | |
| | 1.8.9 | | | | | ertification | | |
| Date a | and s | ignature 2 | 22/11/ | 2010 | | | | |