## **JI** Verification



## **AIE REPORT FORM**

F - JI - VRep

(version 04)

(tobe completed by an AIE requesting the publication of adetermination pursuant to paragraph 37 of the JI guidelines)

Accredited independent entity (AIE) submitting form Bureau Veritas Certification Holding SAS

JI activity				
Reference number and title of JI activity	"Reduction of CO2 emissions by systematic utilization of No-till technologies in agricultural industry"			
Parties involved in the JI activity	<ul> <li>Ukraine</li> <li>Switzerland</li> <li>The UK</li> <li>Estonia</li> </ul>			
Project participants	<ul> <li>LLC "Beta-Agro-Invest"</li> <li>CER CARBON EMISSIONS PARTNERS S.A</li> <li>EVO CARBON TRADING SERVICES LTD</li> <li>LHCarbon OÜ</li> </ul>			
Coordinating entity (applicable to JI PoAonly)	N/a			
Type of JI activity:  ☑large-scale   □ sr	nall-scale			
Emission reductions/Enhancements of removals				
Monitoring period(s) (DD/MM/YYYY - DD/MM/Y)	YYY) 01/01/2008 – 31/12/2011			
Verified reductions in anthropogenic emissions sources/enhancements of anthropogenic remo by sinks (tonnes of CO <sub>2</sub> eq.)				
The present verification is the first with regard the JI activity	to ⊠Yes ⊡No			
רק געניין Verification period(DD/MM/YYYY-DD/MM/Y	YYY) ZN.A.			
Verification period(DD/MM/YYYY-DD/MM/Y	<b>⊠</b> N.A.			
Sampling approach used to verify the Po	DA ⊠N.A. ⊡Yes □ No			

## Statements regarding requirements and possible changes

Please confirm that all requirements of Article 6 of the Kyoto Protocol, the JI guidelines and further relevant requirements defined by the CMP or the JISC with regard to verifications pursuant toparagraph 37 of the JI guidelinesare met:

ØYes

No

## **Verificationopinion**

Please provide a verification opinion (or a reference to the verification opinion in one of the documents attached), including a statement regarding materiality and level of assurance, in accordance with the Standard for applying the concept of materiality in verifications

The verification opinion is provided in the attached Verification Report, ver. 02, as of 01/11/2012

The <u>project design was revised</u> in the current monitoring period, after the determination has been deemed final	□Yes	₽No		
If yes, please <u>list all revisions</u> to the project design and express a determination opinion (or provide a reference to an attached document containing the required information)	⊠n.a.			
If project design was revised in the current monitoring period, <u>please confirm</u> that conditions defined in JI guidelines (paragraph 33) are still met	⊠N.A.	□Yes	🗆 No	
If project design was revised in the current monitoring period, <u>please confirm</u> that the changes do not alter the original determination opinion	⊠N.A.	□Yes	🗆 No	
If project design was revised in the current monitoring period, <u>please confirm</u> that:	<b>⊠</b> N.A.	□Yes	🗆 No	
(a) Physical location of the project has not changed				
(b) If emission sources have changed, they are reflected in an updated monitoring plan	⊠N.A.	□Yes	🗆 No	
(c) Baseline scenario has not changed	ØN.A.	□Yes	🗆 No	
(d) Changes are consistent with JI specific approach or CDM methodology upon which the determination was prepared for the project	⊠N.A.	□Yes	🗆 No	
The <u>monitoring plan was revised in the current</u> monitoring period	□Yes	₽No		
If yes, please specify for which technologies and measures under each type of JPA the monitoring plan was revised (applicable to JI PoA only)				
The current <u>revisions to the monitoring plan</u> improve the accuracy and/or applicability of information collected, compared to the original monitoring plan without changing conformity with the relevant rules and regulations for establishing monitoring plans	□Yes	□ No	⊠N.A.	
List of documents attached to the verification repor	t form			
Please attach relevant documents used in the verification		mark belo	ow accordingly	
<ul> <li>Verification report</li> <li>Written approvals by the Parties involved, if applicable</li> <li>Other relevant documents:         <ul> <li>Document listing all revisions to the project design, if applicable</li> <li>Determination that the revisions to the project design, if applicable, do not alter the original determination opinion and that the conditions defined by paragraph 33 of the JI guidelines are still met</li> <li>Revised monitoring plan, if applicable</li> <li>Determination that the revisions to the <u>monitoring plan</u>, if applicable, improve the accuracy and/or applicability of information collected, compared to the original monitoring plan without changing conformity with relevant rules and regulations for establishing monitoring plans</li> <li>Sampling plan, if applicable (<i>JI PoA only</i>)</li> <li>MAny other documents (please list):</li> </ul> </li> </ul>				
<ul> <li>Annex 1. Parameters of the Monitoring Plan</li> <li>Annex 2. Calculation of CO<sub>2</sub> emission reductions by implementation of No-till technology at LLC "Beta-Agro-Invest"</li> </ul>				
The AIE herewith declares that undertaking the verification for the JI activity referred to above does not constitute a conflict of interest which is incompatible with the role of an AIE				
Operational manager signing for the AIE	Ivan Sokolo	Bureau	Veritas Contistanti	
Date and signature	01/11/2012	/	ending SAS	

O