



**AIE REPORT FORM**

(version 04)

(to be completed by an AIE requesting the publication of a determination pursuant to paragraph 37 of the JI guidelines)

Accredited independent entity (AIE) submitting form	Bureau Veritas Certification Holding SAS
---	--

**JI activity**

(a) Reference number and title of JI activity	UA 1000130: "Installation of a new waste heat recovery system in Alchevsk Coke Plant, Ukraine"
(b) Parties involved in the JI activity	Ukraine, Japan
(c) Project participants	Participant 1 – Ukraine: - PJSC "ALCHEVSKKOKS", - IEEC Ltd., Participant 2 – Japan: - Sumitomo Corporation
(d) Coordinating entity ( <i>applicable to JI PoA only</i> )	N/a

Type of JI activity:  large-scale     small-scale     LULUCF     PoA

**Emission reductions/Enhancements of removals**

Monitoring period(s) (DD/MM/YYYY - DD/MM/YYYY)	01/01/2011- 31/12/2011
Verified reductions in anthropogenic emissions by sources/enhancements of anthropogenic removals by sinks (tonnes of CO <sub>2</sub> eq.)	198775
The present verification is the first with regard to the JI activity	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No

Applicable to JI PoA only	Verification period (DD/MM/YYYY-DD/MM/YYYY)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> N/A
	Reference number of JPAs verified	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> N/A
	Sampling approach used to verify the PoA	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> N/A <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No

**Statements regarding requirements and possible changes**

Please confirm that all requirements of Article 6 of the Kyoto Protocol, the JI guidelines and further relevant requirements defined by the CMP or the JISC with regard to verifications pursuant to paragraph 37 of the JI guidelines are met:

- Yes  
 No

**Verification opinion**

Please provide a verification opinion (or a reference to the verification opinion in one of the documents attached), including a statement regarding materiality and level of assurance, in accordance with the Standard for applying the concept of materiality in verifications



Bureau Veritas Certification has performed the 3 periodic verification of the project "Installation of a new waste heat recovery system in Alchevsk Coke Plant, Ukraine" Project in Ukraine, which applies the methodology ACM0012 version 03.1. The verification was performed on the basis of UNFCCC criteria and host country criteria and also on the criteria given to provide for consistent project operations, monitoring and reporting.

The verification consisted of the following three phases: i) desk review of the monitoring report against project design and the baseline and monitoring plan; ii) follow-up interviews with project stakeholders; iii) resolution of outstanding issues and the issuance of the final verification report and opinion.

The management of Institute for Environment and Energy Conservation is responsible for the preparation of the GHG emissions data and the reported GHG emissions reductions of the project on the basis set out within the project Monitoring Plan as per determined changes. The development and maintenance of records and reporting procedures in accordance with that plan, including the calculation and determination of GHG emission reductions from the project, is the responsibility of the management of the project.

The reasons of the difference between the prognostic estimation of emission reductions in the PDD and the actual emission reductions are explained in section 3 of Monitoring Report.

Bureau Veritas Certification verified the Project Monitoring Report version 02 for the reporting period as indicated below. Bureau Veritas Certification confirms that the project is implemented as per determined changes. Installed equipment being essential for generating emission reduction runs reliably and is calibrated appropriately. The monitoring system is in place and the project is generating GHG emission reductions.

Bureau Veritas Certification can confirm that the GHG emission reduction is calculated without material misstatements. Our opinion relates to the project's GHG emissions and resulting GHG emissions reductions reported and related to the approved project baseline and monitoring, and its associated documents.

<p>The <b>project design</b> was revised in the current monitoring period, after the determination has been deemed final</p>	<p><input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No</p>
<p>If yes, please <b>list all revisions</b> to the project design and express a determination opinion (or provide a reference to an attached document containing the required information)</p>	<p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> N/A</p>
<p>If project design was revised in the current monitoring period, please confirm that conditions defined in JI guidelines (paragraph 33) are still met</p>	<p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> N/A <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No</p>
<p>If project design was revised in the current monitoring period, please confirm that the changes do not alter the original determination opinion</p>	<p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> N/A <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No</p>
<p>If project design was revised in the current monitoring period, please confirm that:</p> <p>(a) Physical location of the project has not changed</p> <p>(b) If emission sources have changed, they are reflected in an updated monitoring plan</p> <p>(c) Baseline scenario has not changed</p> <p>(d) Changes are consistent with JI specific approach or CDM methodology upon which the determination was prepared for the project</p>	<p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> N/A <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No</p> <p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> N/A <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No</p> <p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> N/A <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No</p> <p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> N/A <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No</p>
<p>The <b>monitoring plan</b> was revised in the current monitoring period</p> <p><i>If yes, please specify for which technologies and measures under each type of JPA the monitoring plan was revised (applicable to JI PoA only)</i></p>	<p><input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No</p>



The current revisions to the monitoring plan improve the accuracy and/or applicability of information collected, compared to the original monitoring plan without changing conformity with the relevant rules and regulations for establishing monitoring plans

N/A

Yes

No

**List of documents attached to the verification report form**

Please attach relevant documents used in the verification process and mark below accordingly

- Verification report
- Written approvals by the Parties involved, if applicable
- Other documents:
  - Document listing all revisions to the project design, if applicable
  - Determination that the revisions to the project design, if applicable, do not alter the original determination opinion and that the conditions defined by paragraph 33 of the JI guidelines are still met
  - Revised monitoring plan, if applicable
  - Determination that the revisions to the monitoring plan, if applicable, improve the accuracy and/or applicability of information collected, compared to the original monitoring plan without changing conformity with the relevant rules and regulations for the establishment of monitoring plans
  - Sampling plan, if applicable (*JI PoA only*)
- Any other documents (please list): Emission reductions calculation spreadsheet

The AIE herewith declares that undertaking the verification for the JI activity referred to above does not constitute a conflict of interest which is incompatible with the role of an AIE

Operational manager signing for the AIE

Ivan Sokolov

Date and signature

19.03.2012

Veritas Certification  
Holding SAS