

A IE DEDODT EODM

% (C) AIE REPUI	RIFORIVI				
(version 04) (to be completed by an AIE requesting the publication of a determination pursuant to paragraph 37 of the JI guidelines)						
Accre	dited independent entity (AIE) submitting form	Bureau Veritas Certification Holding SAS				
JI activity						
Refere	ence number and title of JI activity	«Implementation of the energy efficiency measures and reduction of greenhouse gas emissions into the atmosphere at State Enterprise "SHAKHTARSKANTRATSYT"				
Partie	s involved in the JI activity	Ukraine Switzerland Great Britain				
Projec	et participants	SE "SHAKHTARSKANTRATSYT" CEP Carbon Emissions Partners S.A. EVO CARBON TRADING SERVICES LTD				
Coord	linating entity (applicable to JI PoA only)	N/A				
Туре	of JI activity: ☑ large-scale □ small	II-scale □ LULUCF □ PoA				
Emission reductions/Enhancements of removals						
Monit	oring period(s) (DD/MM/YYYY - DD/MM/YYYY)	01/01/2008 – 31/12/2011				
sourc	ed reductions in anthropogenic emissions by es/enhancements of anthropogenic removals ks (tonnes of CO ₂ eq.)					
-	resent verification is the first with regard to activity	☑ Yes □ No				
Applicable to JI PoA only	Verification period (DD/MM/YYYY-DD/MM/YYYY)) ☑ N/A				
	Reference number of JPAs verified	☑ N/A				
	Sampling approach used to verify the PoA	☑ N/A □ Yes □ No				
Statements regarding requirements and possible changes						
releva	e <u>confirm that all requirements</u> of Article 6 of to nt requirements defined by the CMP or the JI raph 37 of the JI guidelines <u>are met</u> :	the Kyoto Protocol, the JI guidelines and furthe ISC with regard to verifications pursuant to				
☑ Yes						
	No					
Verific	<u>Verification opinion</u>					

Please provide a verification opinion (or a reference to the verification opinion in one of the documents attached), including a statement regarding materiality and level of assurance, in accordance with the Standard for applying the concept of materiality in verifications

The verification opinion is provided in the attached Verification Report №UKRAINEver/0712/2012 version 02 dated 10/10/2012.

The <u>project design was revised</u> in the current monitoring period, after the determination has been deemed final	□ Yes		☑ No			
If yes, please <u>list all revisions</u> to the project design and express a determination opinion (or provide a reference to an attached document containing the required information)	☑ N/A					
If project design was revised in the current monitoring period, <u>please confirm</u> that conditions defined in JI guidelines (paragraph 33) are still met	☑ N/A	□ Yes	□ No			
If project design was revised in the current monitoring period, <u>please confirm</u> that the changes do not alter the original determination opinion	☑ N/A	□ Yes	□ No			
If project design was revised in the current monitoring period, <u>please confirm</u> that:						
(a) Physical location of the project has not changed	☑ N/A	□ Yes	□ No			
(b) If emission sources have changed, they are reflected in an updated monitoring plan	☑ N/A	□ Yes	□ No			
(c) Baseline scenario has not changed	☑ N/A	□ Yes	□ No			
(d) Changes are consistent with JI specific approach or CDM methodology upon which the determination was prepared for the project	☑ N/A	□ Yes	□ No			
The monitoring plan was revised in the current monitoring period	□ Yes		☑ No			
If yes, please specify for which technologies and measures under each type of JPA the monitoring plan was revised (applicable to JI PoA only)						
The current revisions to the monitoring plan improve the accuracy and/or applicability of information collected, compared to the original monitoring plan without changing conformity with the relevant rules and regulations for establishing monitoring plans	☑ N/A	□ Yes	□ No			
List of documents attached to the verification report	form					
Please attach relevant documents used in the verification	n process and ma	irk below acc	ordingly			
 ✓ Verification report □ Written approvals by the Parties involved, if applicable ☑ Other relevant documents: □ Document listing all revisions to the project design, if applicable □ Determination that the revisions to the project design, if applicable, do not alter the original determination opinion and that the conditions defined by paragraph 33 of the JI guidelines are still met □ Revised monitoring plan, if applicable □ Determination that the revisions to the monitoring plan, if applicable, improve the accuracy and/or applicability of information collected, compared to the original monitoring plan without changing conformity with relevant rules and regulations for establishing monitoring plans □ Sampling plan, if applicable (JI PoA only) ☑ Any other documents: Excel calculation file 						
The AIE herewith declares that undertaking the verifications not constitute a conflict of interest which is inc		he role of a	n AIE			
Operational manager signing for the AIE	Ivan Sokolovire	ACTION IN A A	Certification SAS			
Date and signature	10/10/2012					