JI	Verification			F - JI - VRep				
(to be	(version 04) (to be completed by an AIE requesting the publication of a determination pursuant to paragraph 37 of the JI guidelines)							
Accre	dited independent entity	(AIE) submitting form	Bureau Veritas Certification Holding SAS					
JI activity								
Reference number and title of JI activity			0178: Liepynes Wind Power Park Joint Implementation Project					
Partie	s involved in the JI activi	ty	Lithuania Netherlands					
Projec	ct participants		Energogrupe, UAB Ecocom BG, LTD					
Coord	linating entity (applicable	to JI PoA only)	N.A.					
Туре	of JI activity: 🛛 large-	scale ⊠ small•	scale 🛛 LULU	JCF 🗆 PoA				
	Emission	n reductions/Enha	ancements of re	movals				
Monitoring period(s) (DD/MM/YYYY - DD/MM/YYYY) 01/01/2011-31/12/2011								
Verified reductions in anthropogenic emissions by sources/enhancements of anthropogenic removals by sinks (tonnes of CO_2 eq.)			17345					
The present verification is the first with regard to the JI activity			□ Yes	⊠ No				
lL oJ	Verification period (DD/M	erification period (DD/MM/YYYY-DD/MM/YYYY)						
Applicable to PoA only	Reference number of JPAs verified		⊠ N/A					
Appl	Sampling approach used to verify the PoA		⊠ N/A	🗆 Yes 🗆 No				
	Statements re	egarding requirem	nents and possi	ble changes				
Please <u>confirm that all requirements</u> of Article 6 of the Kyoto Protocol, the JI guidelines and further relevant requirements defined by the CMP or the JISC with regard to verifications pursuant to paragraph 37 of the JI guidelines <u>are met</u> : vertice Yes								
	No							
Verific	cation opinion							
Please provide a verification opinion (or a reference to the verification opinion in one of the documents attached), including a statement regarding materiality and level of assurance, in accordance with the Standard for applying the concept of materiality in verifications								
Bureau Veritas Certification has performed the 2 nd periodic verification of the "Liepynes wind power park joint implementation project" Project in Lithuania, the project specific methodology. The verification was performed on the basis of UNFCCC criteria and host country criteria and also on the criteria given to provide for consistent project operations, monitoring and reporting.								
	The verification consisted of the following three phases: i) desk review of the project design and the baseline and monitoring plan; ii) follow-up interviews with project stakeholders; iii) resolution of							

outstanding issues and the issuance of the final verification report and opinion.

The management of Vejo gusis, UAB is responsible for the preparation of the GHG emissions data and the reported GHG emissions reductions of the project on the basis set out within the project Monitoring Plan indicated in the final PDD version 04 (dated 14/09/2009). The development and maintenance of records and reporting procedures in accordance with that plan, including the calculation and determination of GHG emission reductions from the project, is the responsibility of the management of the project.

Bureau Veritas Certification verified the Project Monitoring Report version 1 for the reporting period as indicated below. Bureau Veritas Certification confirms that the project is implemented as planned and described in approved project design documents. Installed equipment being essential for generating emission reduction runs reliably and is calibrated appropriately. The monitoring system is in place and the project is generating GHG emission reductions.

Bureau Veritas Certification can confirm that the GHG emission reduction is accurately calculated and is free of material errors, omissions, or misstatements. Our opinion relates to the project's GHG emissions and resulting GHG emissions reductions reported and related to the approved project baseline and monitoring, and its associated documents. Based on the information we have seen and evaluated, we confirm, with a reasonable level of assurance, the following statement:

confirm, with a reasonable level of as					
Reporting period: From 01/01/2011 to	31/12/2011				
Baseline emissions :	17345 t CO2 e	equivalents.			
Project emissions:	0 t CO2 e	equivalents.			
Emission Reductions (Year 2011):	17345 t CO2 e	equivalents.			
The <u>project design was revised</u> in the monitoring period, after the determine been determine the de	□ Yes		⊠ No		
If yes, please <u>list all revisions</u> to the and express a determination opinio reference to an attached document required information)	n (or provide a	⊠ N/A			
If project design was revised in the monitoring period, <u>please confirm t</u> defined in JI guidelines (paragraph	hat conditions	⊠ N/A	□ Yes	□ No	
If project design was revised in the monitoring period, <u>please confirm t</u> do not alter the original determinati	hat the changes	⊠ N/A	□ Yes	□ No	
If project design was revised in the monitoring period, <u>please confirm t</u>					
(a) Physical location of the project ha	s not changed	⊠ N/A	□ Yes	□ No	
 (b) If emission sources have changed reflected in an updated monitoring 		⊠ N/A	□ Yes	□ No	
(C) Baseline scenario has not change	ed	⊠ N/A	□ Yes	□ No	
(d) Changes are consistent with JI sp or CDM methodology upon which determination was prepared for the determination was prepared for the	the	⊠ N/A	□ Yes	□ No	
The <u>monitoring plan was revised</u> in monitoring period	the current	□ Ye	es	⊠ No	
If yes, please specify for which technologie under each type of JPA the monitoring pla (applicable to JI PoA only)					
The current <u>revisions to the monito</u> improve the accuracy and/or applic information collected, compared to monitoring plan without changing o the relevant rules and regulations fo monitoring plans	ability of the original conformity with	⊠ N/A	□ Yes	□ No	

List of documents attached to the verification report form Please attach relevant documents used in the verification process and mark below accordingly

Verification report						
	Wri	Written approvals by the Parties involved, if applicable				
	Oth	her relevant documents:				
		Document listing all revisions to the project design, if applicable				
		Determination that the revisions to the <u>project design</u> , if applicable, do not alter the original determination opinion and that the conditions defined by paragraph 33 of the JI guidelines are still met				
		Revised monitoring plan, if applicable				
		Determination that the revisions to the <u>monitoring plan</u> , if applicable, improve the accuracy and/or applicability of information collected, compared to the original monitoring plan without changing conformity with relevant rules and regulations for establishing monitoring plans				
		Sampling plan, if applicable (JI PoA only)				
	\boxtimes	Any other documents (please list):				
Monitoring report version (version 1, dated 02/01/2012)						
The AIE herewith declares that undertaking the verification for the JI activity referred to above does not constitute a conflict of interest which is incompatible with the role of an AIE						
Operat	tiona	I manager signing for the AIE Witold Dzugan				
Date a	nd si	gnature 2012-02-06				