

AIF REPORT FORM

A	(version			
(to be	(version completed by an AIE requesting the publication of a determinant to the complete of th	•	nt to paragraph 3	37 of the JI guidelines)
Accre	dited independent entity (AIE) submitting form	ertification Hold	ing SAS	
	JI acti	vity		
Refere	nce number and title of JI activity	0220: YARA Köping S3 N2O abatement project in Sweden		
Partie	s involved in the JI activity	Sweden Netherlands		
Projec	t participants	YARA AB N.serve Environmental Services GmbH		
Coord	inating entity (applicable to JI PoA only)	N.A.		
Туре	of JI activity: ⊠ large-scale □ small-	scale 🗆 Ll	ULUCF	□ РоА
	Emission reductions/Enha	ancements of	removals	
Monito	pring period(s) (DD/MM/YYYY - DD/MM/YYYY)	07/05/2011-03/01/2012		
source	d reductions in anthropogenic emissions by es/enhancements of anthropogenic removals ks (tonnes of CO ₂ eq.)	257454		
	esent verification is the first with regard to activity	□ Ye	s	No ⊠
to JI y	Verification period (DD/MM/YYYY-DD/MM/YYYY)	⊠ N/A		
Applicable to JI PoA only	Reference number of JPAs verified	⊠ N/A		
Appl P	Sampling approach used to verify the PoA	⊠ N/A	□ Yes	□ No
	Statements regarding requiren	nents and pos	ssible chan	ges
furthe	e <u>confirm that all requirements</u> of Article 6 of the relevant requirements defined by the CMP of agraph 37 of the JI guidelines <u>are met</u> : Yes			
	No			
	ation opinion provide a verification opinion (or a reference	e to the verificati	ion opinion i	n one of the

documents attached), including a statement regarding materiality and level of assurance, in accordance with the Standard for applying the concept of materiality in verifications

Bureau Veritas Certification has performed the 2nd periodic verification of the JI Track II Project "YARA" KÖPING S3 N2O ABATEMENT PROJECT IN SWEDEN", which applies the methodology AM0034 version 03.4.

The verification was performed on the basis of UNFCCC criteria and the host country criteria and also on the criteria given to provide for consistent project operations, monitoring and reporting.

The verification consisted of the following three phases: i) desk review of the project design and the

baseline and monitoring plan; ii) follow-up interviews with project stakeholders; iii) resolution of outstanding issues and the issuance of the final verification report and opinion.

The management of YARA AB is responsible for the preparation of the data on GHG emission and the reported GHG emission reductions of the project on the basis set out within the project Monitoring and Verification Plan indicated in the final PDD version 8 issued on 02/09/2011. The development and maintenance of records and reporting procedures in accordance with that plan, including the calculation and determination of GHG emission reductions from the project, is the responsibility of the management of the project.

Bureau Veritas Certification verified the Project Monitoring Report version 02 dated 05 June 2012 for the reporting period as indicated below. Bureau Veritas Certification confirms that the project is implemented as planned and described in the approved project design documents. The installed equipment being essential for generating emission reduction runs reliably and is calibrated appropriately. The monitoring system is in place and the project is generating GHG emission reductions.

Bureau Veritas Certification can confirm that the GHG emission reduction is accurately calculated and is free of material errors, omissions or misstatements. Our opinion relates to the project's GHG emissions and resulting GHG emission reductions reported and related to the approved project baseline and monitoring, and its associated documents. Based on the information we have seen and evaluated, we confirm, with a reasonable level of assurance, the following statement:

monitoring, and its associated documents. Based on the confirm, with a reasonable level of assurance, the follo		have seen an	d evaluated, we	;	
Reporting period: From 07/05/2011 to 03/01/201	2				
Emission Reductions (year 2011): 25509	1 t CO2 equivale	t CO2 equivalents			
Emission Reductions (year 2012): 2363	t CO2 equivalents				
Emission Reductions (total): 25745	4 t CO2 equivale	nts.			
The <u>project design was revised</u> in the current monitoring period, after the determination has been deemed final	□ Yes		⊠ No		
If yes, please <u>list all revisions</u> to the project design and express a determination opinion (or provide a reference to an attached document containing the required information)	⊠ N/A				
If project design was revised in the current monitoring period, <u>please confirm</u> that conditions defined in JI guidelines (paragraph 33) are still met	⊠ N/A	□ Yes	□ No		
If project design was revised in the current monitoring period, please confirm that the change do not alter the original determination opinion	s ⊠ N/A	□ Yes	□ No		
If project design was revised in the current monitoring period, <u>please confirm</u> that:					
(a) Physical location of the project has not changed	⊠ N/A	□ Yes	□ No		
(b) If emission sources have changed, they are reflected in an updated monitoring plan	⊠ N/A	□ Yes	□ No		
(c) Baseline scenario has not changed	⊠ N/A	□ Yes	□ No		
(d) Changes are consistent with JI specific approach or CDM methodology upon which the determination was prepared for the project	⊠ N/A	□ Yes	□ No		
The <u>monitoring plan was revised</u> in the current monitoring period	□ Yes		⊠No		
If yes, please specify for which technologies and measures under each type of JPA the monitoring plan was revised (applicable to JI PoA only)					
The current revisions to the monitoring plan improve the accuracy and/or applicability of information collected, compared to the original monitoring plan without changing conformity with the relevant rules and regulations for establishing monitoring plans	⊠ N/A	□ Yes	□ No		

List of	List of documents attached to the verification report form					
Please attach relevant documents used in the verification process and mark below accordingly						
Verification report						
	□ Written approvals by the Parties involved, if applicable					
⊠	Oth	Other relevant documents:				
		Document listing all revisions to the project design, if applicable				
		Determination that the revisions to the <u>project design</u> , if applicable, do not alter the original determination opinion and that the conditions defined by paragraph 33 of the JI guidelines are still met				
		□ Revised monitoring plan, if applicable				
		Determination that the revisions to the <u>monitoring plan</u> , if applicable, improve the accuracy and/or applicability of information collected, compared to the original monitoring plan without changing conformity with relevant rules and regulations for establishing monitoring plans				
		Sampling plan, if applicable (JI PoA only)				
		Any other documents (please list):				
	Monitoring Report version 02, dated 05/06/2012					
		Excel spreadsheet 20110620_HistoricData-Baseline_v10				
		Excel spreadsheet S3_ERcalc_VP2_PC3_v5				
The AIE herewith declares that undertaking the verification for the JI activity referred to above does not constitute a conflict of interest which is incompatible with the role of an AIE						
Opera	tiona	Il manager signing for the AIE Witold Dzugan				
Date a	nd s	ignature 20/08/2012				