	•	rsion 02)		
(to be completed by an A	E requesting the publication of a det	termination pursuant to paragraph 3	3 of the JI guidelines)	
Accredited independent entity (AIE) submitting form		Bureau Veritas Certification Holding SAS		
	Proposed J	I activity		
Reference number and title of proposed JI activity		Slag usage and switch from wet to dry process at Yugcement, Ukraine		
Host Party(ies)		Ukraine		
Parties involved in the JI activity		Netherlands, Germany		
Coordinating entity (applicable to JI PoA only)		N/a		
Project participants		JSC Yugcement, Dyckerhoff AG, Global Carbon BV		
Type of JI activity:	☑ large scale □ small	scale 🗆 LULUCF	□ PoA	
Brief description of the JI activity				
	Determinati	on report		
further relevant requir	l requirements of Article 6 of t ements defined by the CMP o a 33 of the JI guidelines are m	r the JISC with regard to dete		
General information on determination				
Please describe:				
	e determination process, includ of persons interviewed during the			
	mination team, including a list c on of the functions assumed.	f all persons involved in the det	ermination process	
documents related to the Project Design Docu	ocument (PDD) submitted by he project design and baseline ment (JI-PDD), Approved r nents to be Checked by a Accre	e, i.e. country Law, Guidelines nethodology, Kyoto Protocol	for Completing the , Clarifications or	
PDD of JI project "Sla dated 03/03/2008. PDD of JI project "Slag dated 18/03/2008.	has been reviewed is listed being usage and switch from wet to a usage and switch from wet to a gusage and switch from wet to a	o dry process at Yugcement, I dry process at Yugcement, Ukra	aine" version 1.2	

I

PDD of JI project "Slag usage and switch from wet to dry process at Yugcement, Ukraine" version 4.0 dated 24/06/2010.

PDD of JI project "Slag usage and switch from wet to dry process at Yugcement, Ukraine" version 5.0 dated 20/09/2010.

Letter of Endorsement # 12325/11/10-07 issued 05/12/2007.

Guidelines for Users of the Joint Implementation Project Design Document Form/Version 04, JISC.

JISC Guidance on criteria for baseline setting and monitoring. Version 02.

Tool for the demonstration and assessment of additionality, Version 05.2.

Glossary of Joint Implementation Terms, Version 02.

Letter of Approval from the Netherlands 2009JI14 dated 7th of January 2010 issued by SenterNovem Letter of Approval from Ukraine 1399/23/7 dated 16th of September 2010 issued by National Environmental Investment Agency of Ukraine

Letter of Approval from Germany dated 22nd of July 2010 issued by Federal Environment Agency, German Emissions Trading Authority

The determination findings presented in this report relate to the project as described in the PDD version 3.1 dated 25/05/2010. To address Bureau Veritas Certification corrective action and clarification requests Global Carbon B.V. revised the PDD and resubmitted it on 25/05/2010.

After the procedure of Internal Technical Review in order to meet the requested changes the PDD has been updated to the version 4.0 dated 24th of June 2010. After issuance of the Letter of Approval from Ukrainian side PDD was transformed into the version 5.0 dated 20.09.2010.

On 10/04/2008 and 16/07/2009 Bureau Veritas Certification performed interviews with project stakeholders to confirm selected information and to resolve issues identified in the document review. Representatives of OJSC "Yugcement" were interviewed:

S. Boboshko – Financial director

A. Pogrebniak – Project and program manager

O. Kasjanov - Chief power engineer

A. Borsukevych – Chief technologist

A. Tkachuk – Head of ΠЭΟ

A. Chornyy – Head of ACУ TП

V. Bulakh – Lead technologist

V. Vegerynskyi – Chief executive officer

Karl-Heinz Kash – Technical director of "Dikerhof"

D. Artamonov – Chief mechanic

A. Doumik – Consultant of Global Carbon B.V.

Determination team:

Ivan Sokolov – Climate Change Lead verifier Kateryna Zinevych – Climate Change Verifier Olena Manziuk - Climate Change Verifier Trainee Denis Pischalov – Financial specialist Leonid Yaskin – Internal Technical Reviewer

Description of determination process

Please briefly describe and refer to:

- > The review of the JI PDD/PoA DD and additional documentation attached to it;
- > The assessment against JI requirements, e.g. by using a determination protocol;
- > The report of findings by the AIE, including the use of different types of findings (e.g. corrective action requests, clarifications or observations etc.).

Statements or assessments should be included in section "Conclusions, final comments and determination opinion".

The overall determination, from Contract Review to Determination Report & Opinion, was conducted using Bureau Veritas Certification internal procedures.

In order to ensure transparency, a determination protocol was customized for the project, according to the Determination and Verification Manual (IETA/PCF). The protocol shows, in a transparent manner, criteria (requirements), means of verification and the results from validating the identified criteria. The determination protocol serves the following purposes:

- It organizes, details and clarifies the requirements JI project is expected to meet;
- It ensures a transparent determination process where the determinator will document how a particular requirement has been validated and the result of the determination.

The determination protocol consists of five tables.

The completed determination protocol is enclosed in Appendix A to this report.

The determination findings for each determination subject are presented as follows:

- The findings of the desk review of the original project design documents and the findings from interviews during the follow up visit are summarized. A more detailed record of these findings can be found in the Determination Protocol in Appendix A.
- 2) Where Bureau Veritas Certification had identified issues that needed clarification or that represented a risk to the fulfilment of the project objectives, a Clarification or Corrective Action request, respectively, have been issued. The Clarification and Corrective Action Requests are stated, where applicable, in the following sections and are further documented in the Determination Protocol in Appendix A.

The conclusions for determination subject are presented.

Comments received from Parties, stakeholders and UNFCCC accredited observers

Please:

- Summarise the comments received pursuant to paragraph 32 of the JI guidelines; and
- > Provide a report of how due account was taken of these.

Comments were not received.

Conclusions, final comments and determination opinion

Please provide:

- Conclusions describing how each of the requirements of Article 6 of the Kyoto Protocol and the JI guidelines and further relevant requirements defined by the CMP or the JISC, in particular those referred to in paragraph 33 of the JI guidelines, have been met, including assessments and findings (e.g. corrective action requests, clarifications or observations) related to each requirement, and statements on whether all issues raised have been addressed to the AIE's satisfaction;
- Final comments and a determination opinion.

Bureau Veritas Certification has performed a determination of the "Slag usage and switch from wet to dry process at Yugcement, Ukraine" Project in Ukraine. The determination was performed on the basis of UNFCCC criteria and host country criteria and also on the criteria given to provide for consistent project operations, monitoring and reporting.

The determination consisted of the following three phases: i) a desk review of the project design and the baseline and monitoring plan; ii) follow-up interviews with project stakeholders; iii) the resolution of outstanding issues and the issuance of the final determination report and opinion.

Project participant/s used the latest tool for demonstration of the additionality. In line with this tool, the PDD provides analysis of technological and other barriers to determine that the project activity itself is not the baseline scenario.

By by addition of alternative raw materials, the project is likely to result in reductions of GHG emissions from calcination. An analysis of the technological barriers demonstrates that the proposed project activity is not a likely baseline scenario. Emission reductions attributable to the project are hence additional to any that would occur in the absence of the project activity. Given that the project is implemented and maintained as designed, the project is likely to achieve the estimated amount of emission reductions.

The review of the project design documentation (version 5.0) and the subsequent follow-up interviews have provided Bureau Veritas Certification with sufficient evidence to determine the fulfillment of stated criteria. In our opinion, the project correctly applies and meets the relevant UNFCCC requirements for the JI and the relevant host country criteria.

The determination is based on the information made available to us and the engagement conditions detailed in this report.

List of documents attached to the determination report form

Please attach relevant documents used in the determination process and check mark below accordingly

- ☑ PDD/PoA DD of the proposed JI activity
- ☑ Determination report
- ☑ Written approvals by the Parties involved, listed in the JI PDD/PoA DD
- ☑ Other relevant documents:
- ☑ Determination protocol
- ☑ List of persons interviewed
- Any other documents (please list): Emission Reduction calculation excel spreadsheet version 4.0 dated 25.06.2010, Cash Flow Analysis excel spreadsheet version 4.0 dated 23.06.2010

The AIE herewith declares that undertaking the determination for the proposed JI activity referred to above does not constitute a conflict of interest which is incompatible with the role of an AIE				
Authorized officer signing for the AIE	Flavio Gomes			
Date and signature	23.09.2010			