

AIE REPORT FORM

(version 04)

(to be completed by an AIE requesting the publication of a de	etermination pursuant to paragraph 37 of the JI guidelines)			
Accredited independent entity (AIE) submitting form	Bureau Veritas Certification Holding SAS			
JI activity				
Reference number and title of JI activity	0089 - ACHEMA UKL-7 plant N2O abatement project			
Parties involved in the JI activity	Lithuania Netherlands			
Project participants	ACHEMA AB ECOCOM BG Ltc			
Coordinating entity (applicable to JI PoA only)	N.A.			
Type of JI activity: 図 large-scale □ small	-scale 🗆 LULUCF 🗆 PoA			
Emission reductions/Enhancements of removals				
Monitoring period(s) (DD/MM/YYYY - DD/MM/YYYY	17/06/2009 – 12/05/2011 (LINE 2: 13/10/2009 - 12/05/2011 LINE 3: 17/06/2009 - 16/11/2010 LINE 4: 03/08/2010 - 09/03/2011 LINE 5: 12/08/2010 - 17/03/2011 LINE 7: 03/11/2009 - 08/12/2010 LINE 8: 21/11/2009 - 25/10/2010)			
Verified reductions in anthropogenic emissions by sources/enhancements of anthropogenic removals by sinks (tonnes of CO ₂ eq.)				
The present verification is the first with regard to the JI activity	□ Yes ⊠ No			
Verification period (DD/MM/YYYY-DD/MM/YYYY) ⊠ N/A			
Verification period (DD/MM/YYYY-DD/MM/YYYY Reference number of JPAs verified Sampling approach used to verify the PoA	⊠ N/A			
Sampling approach used to verify the PoA	⊠ N/A □ Yes □ No			
Statements regarding requirements and possible changes				
Please <u>confirm that all requirements</u> of Article 6 of further relevant requirements defined by the CMP of to paragraph 37 of the JI guidelines <u>are met:</u>				
Verification opinion				
Diago provide a varification eninion (or a reference	a to the verification eninion in one of the			

documents attached), including a statement regarding materiality and level of assurance, in accordance with the Standard for applying the concept of materiality in verifications

Bureau Veritas Certification has performed the 3rd monitoring period verification of the "ACHEMA UKL-7 plant N2O abatement project", which applies the methodology AM0034/Version 02.

The verification was performed on the basis of UNFCCC criteria and the host country criteria and also on the criteria given to provide for consistent project operations, monitoring and reporting.

The verification consisted of the following three phases: i) a desk review of the project design, baseline and monitoring plan; ii) follow-up interviews with project stakeholders; iii) resolution of outstanding issues and the issuance of the final verification report and opinion.

In summary, Bureau Veritas Certification confirms that the project is implemented as planned and described in the approved project design documents. The installed equipment being essential for generating emission reduction runs reliably and is calibrated appropriately. The monitoring system is in place and the project is generating GHG emission reductions. The GHG emission reduction is calculated accurately and without material errors, omissions, or misstatements, and is total 855 112 tons of CO2eq for the monitoring period.

Our opinion relates to the project's GHG emissions and resulting GHG emission reductions reported and related to the approved project baseline and monitoring, and its associated documents.

The <u>project design was revised</u> in the current monitoring period, after the determination has been deemed final	□ Yes		⊠ No	
If yes, please <u>list all revisions</u> to the project design and express a determination opinion (or provide a reference to an attached document containing the required information)	⊠ N/A			
If project design was revised in the current monitoring period, <u>please confirm</u> that conditions defined in JI guidelines (paragraph 33) are still met	⊠ N/A	□ Yes	□ No	
If project design was revised in the current monitoring period, <u>please confirm</u> that the changes do not alter the original determination opinion	⊠ N/A	□ Yes	□ No	
If project design was revised in the current monitoring period, <u>please confirm</u> that:				
(a) Physical location of the project has not changed	⊠ N/A	□ Yes	□ No	
(b) If emission sources have changed, they are reflected in an updated monitoring plan	⊠ N/A	□ Yes	□ No	
(c) Baseline scenario has not changed	⊠ N/A	□ Yes	□ No	
(d) Changes are consistent with JI specific approach or CDM methodology upon which the determination was prepared for the project	⊠ N/A	□ Yes	□ No	
The <u>monitoring plan was revised</u> in the current monitoring period	□ Y e	s	⊠ No	
If yes, please specify for which technologies and measures under each type of JPA the monitoring plan was revised (applicable to JI PoA only)				
The current revisions to the monitoring plan improve the accuracy and/or applicability of information collected, compared to the original monitoring plan without changing conformity with the relevant rules and regulations for establishing monitoring plans	⊠ N/A	□ Yes	□ No	
List of documents attached to the verification report		ark below acc	cordingly	

Verification report

- ☑ Written approvals by the Parties involved, if applicable
- Other relevant documents:
 - Document listing all revisions to the project design, if applicable
 - Determination that the revisions to the <u>project design</u>, if applicable, do not alter the original determination opinion and that the conditions defined by paragraph 33 of the JI guidelines are still met
 - □ Revised monitoring plan, if applicable
 - Determination that the revisions to the <u>monitoring plan</u>, if applicable, improve the accuracy and/or applicability of information collected, compared to the original monitoring plan without changing conformity with relevant rules and regulations for establishing monitoring plans
 - □ Sampling plan, if applicable (JI PoA only)
 - □ Any other documents (please list):

The AIE herewith declares that undertaking the verification for the JI activity referred to above does not constitute a conflict of interest which is incompatible with the role of an AIE

Operational manager signing for the AIE	Witold Dżugan
Date and signature	2012-01-17