

JI VERIFICATION REPORT FORM

(version 03.1)

(to be completed by an AIE requesting the publication of a determination pursuant to paragraph 37 of the JI guidelines)

					and or gandon.	,
Accredited independent entity (AIE) subm	itting form	TUV Rhein	land Grou	ıp		
	JI acti	vity				
Reference number and title of JI activity	Implementation of Arc Furnace Steelmaking Plant "Electrostal" at Kurakhovo, Donetsk Region ITL Project ID UA1000181					
Coordinating entity (applicable to JI PoA	only)	NA				
Parties involved in the JI activity		Ukraine, Th	ne Netherl	lands		
Type of JI activity: □ large scale	□ small	scale		UCF	□РоА	
Emission reduction	ns / Enh	anceme	nts of r	emovals		
Monitoring period(s) (DD/MM/YYYY - DD/N	MM/YYYY)	01	/06/2010) – 28/02/20	011	
Reference number of JPAs verified (applicable to JI PoA only)		⊠n	.A.			
A sampling approach was used to verify t (applicable to JI PoA only)	he JI PoA	⊠n	.A.	□ Yes	□ No	
Verification period (DD/MM/YYYY - DD/MM (applicable to JI PoA only)	I/YYYY)	⊠N	I.A.			
Verified reductions in anthropogenic emis sources / enhancements of anthropogenic removals by sinks (tonnes of CO ₂ equivaler	C			3010	043	
The present verification is the first with re	gard to		□ Yes		☑ No	
Statements regarding	requiren	nents and	l possi	ble chan	ges	To the
Please <u>confirm that all requirements</u> of Ar relevant requirements defined by the CMF paragraph 37 of the JI guidelines <u>are met</u> : ☑ Yes □ No	or the JIS	he Kyoto F SC with reg	rotocol, jard to v	, the JI gui	delines and fur s pursuant to	ther
Verification opinion	rofores	to the	ifi a a 4!			
Please provide a verification opinion (or a	reterence	to the ver	itication	opinion in	one of the	,

Please provide a verification opinion (or a reference to the verification opinion in one of the documents attached), including a statement regarding materiality and level of assurance, in accordance with the Standard for applying the concept of materiality in verifications:

TUV Rheinland Group (TUV Rheinland) has performed the verification of the emission reductions that have been reported for the "Implementation of Arc Furnace Steelmaking Plant "Electrostal" at Kurakhovo, Donetsk Region" (ITL Project ID UA1000181) for the period from the 1st of June 2010 till the 28th of February 2011.

The project participants are responsible for the collection of data in accordance with the monitoring

plan and the reporting of GHG emissions reductions from the project.

It is TUV Rheinland's responsibility to express an independent verification opinion - conclusion on the verified amount of emission reductions from the project.

TUV Rheinland has conducted the verification on the basis of the monitoring plan contained in the registered Project Design Document Version 2.0 dated 27th of May 2010 and the Monitoring Report Version 2.0 dated 19 April 2011.

The verification included the assessment of:

- Project implementation in accordance with the Project Design Document (PDD);
- Compliance with the monitoring plan;
- Calculation of emission reductions and expression of a conclusion with a reasonable level of assurance about whether the reported GHG emission reduction data are accurate and free of material errors, omissions, or misstatements;
- Quality and management of data and verification that reported GHG emission reductions data is sufficiently supported by evidence.

TUV Rheinland's verification approach draws on an understanding of the risks associated with reporting of GHG emission data and the controls in place to mitigate these. TUV Rheinland planned and performed the verification by obtaining evidence and other information and explanations that TUV Rheinland considers necessary to give reasonable assurance that reported GHG emission reductions are fairly stated, accurate and free of material errors, omissions, or misstatements.

In our opinion the GHG emissions reductions of the "Implementation of Arc Furnace Steelmaking Plant "Electrostal" at Kurakhovo, Donetsk Region" (ITL Project ID UA1000181) for the period from the 1st of June 2010 till the 28th of February 2011 are fairly stated, accurate and free of material errors, omissions, or misstatements in the Monitoring Report Version 2.0 dated 19 April 2011.

The GHG emission reductions were calculated correctly on the basis of the monitoring plan contained in the registered Project Design Document Version 2.0 dated 27th of May 2010.

TUV Rheinland Group is able to verify that the emission reductions from the "Implementation of Arc Furnace Steelmaking Plant "Electrostal" at Kurakhovo, Donetsk Region" (ITL Project ID UA1000181) for the period from the 1st of June 2010 till the 28th of February 2011 amount to 301043 tonnes of CO2 equivalent.

The <u>project design was revised</u> after the determination has been deemed final	- Y	☑ No		
If yes, please <u>list all revisions</u> to the project design and express a determination opinion (or provide a reference to an attached document containing the required information)	☑ N.A.			
If project design was revised, <u>please confirm</u> that conditions defined by paragraph 33 of the JI guidelines are still met	☑ N.A.	□ Yes	□ No	
If project design was revised, please confirm that the changes do not alter the original determination opinion	☑ N.A.	□ Yes	□ No	
If project design was revised, please confirm that:				
(a) Physical location of the project has not changed	☑ N.A.	□ Yes	□ No	
(b) If emission sources have changed, they are reflected in an updated monitoring plan	☑ N.A.	□ Yes	□ No	
(c) Baseline scenario has not changed	☑ N.A.	□ Yes	□ No	
(d) Changes are consistent with JI specific approach or CDM methodology upon which the determination was prepared for the project	☑ N.A.	□ Yes	□ No	

If yes, p	oleas res u	oring plan was revised se inform for which technologies and nder each type of JPA the monitoring plan (applicable to JI PoA only)	□ Yes		☑ No
The reaction accurate collection without	vision icy a ted, o it cha	ons to the monitoring plan improve the nd/or applicability of information compared to the original monitoring plan anging conformity with the relevant egulations for the establishment of	□ Yes	□ No	☑ N.A.
		uments attached to the verification report form ch relevant documents used in the verification process.	ess and check	mark below	accordingly
		fication report			
	Wri	tten approvals by the Parties involved, if applica	able		
	Oth	er documents:			
		Document listing all revisions to the project de	esign, if appl	icable	
		Determination that the revisions to the project original determination opinion and that the co JI guidelines are still met	design, if ap	plicable, do	o not alter the graph 33 of the
		Revised monitoring plan, if applicable			
		Determination that the revisions to the monito accuracy and/or applicability of information comonitoring plan without changing conformity for the establishment of monitoring plans	llected, com	pared to the	e original
		Sampling plan, if applicable (JI PoA only)			
	П	Any other documents (please list):			
The All	E he	rewith declares that undertaking the verification onstitute a conflict of interest which is incompate	for the all ac	tivity referre	ed to above
Author	ized	officer signing for the AIE Valeri	y Yakupovskii	120	7
Date ar	nd si	gnature 20/04/	2011	Elle-	
			ad Grove		