JI DETERMINATION REPORT FORM						
(to be completed by an All	E requesting the publ	ication of a def	termination	pursuant to paragraph	33 of the JI guidelines)	
Accredited independent entity (AIE) submitting form			Burea Veritas Certification Holding SAS			
Proposed JI activity						
Reference number and title of proposed JI activity			0200 - Griezpelkiu wind power park project			
Host Party(ies)			Lithuania			
Parties involved in the JI activity						
Coordinating entity (applicable to JI PoA only)			N.A.	N.A.		
Project participants			Vejo gusi	Vejo gusis, UAB		
Type of JI activity:	Iarge scale	☑ small	scale		🗆 PoA	
Brief description of the JI activity Griezpelkiu wind power park would displace carbon intensive electricity produced from fossil fuel sources in the AB Lietuvos Elektrine. It is foreseen to install 5 wind power plants with the total capacity of 10,0 MW (2MW x 5). The Wind power park, in a conservative approach, will generate about 31,7 GWh of electric power per year. Such wind park s generation will lead 19855 tCO2/year emission reductions on Lietuvos Elektrine side.						
Please confirm that all requirements of Article 6 of the Kyoto Protocol, the JI guidelines and further relevant requirements defined by the CMP or the JISC with regard to determinations pursuant to paragraph 33 of the JI guidelines are met: Ves						
General information on determination Please describe:						
 The scope of the determination process, including all documentation that has been reviewed and list the names of persons interviewed during the determination process, as applicable; The AIE's determination team, including a list of all persons involved in the determination process 						
The Project Design Do documents related to th Project Design Docur Determination Requirem below: - Project Design Docume - Project Design Docume - Project Design Docume	ne project design ment (JI-PDD), lents to be Check ent, version 2, 9 No ent, version 3, 9 Ap ent, version 4, 23 J	Ibmitted by and baseline Approved r ed by a Acci ovember 200 pril 2010 July 2010	e, i.e. cou methodolo redited Inc	intry Law, Guideline gy, Kyoto Protoco dependent Entity we	es for Completing the ol, Clarifications on	
· Excel sheet for financial IRR calculation, version 1, 03 November 2009 · Excel sheet for financial IRR calculation, version 3, 14 January 2010						

- Annual energy production estimations, made by Enercon GmbH, July 2007

- Lithuanian s national allocation plan for greenhouse gas emission allowances for the period 2008 to 2012

- Permit to enhance the energy generation capacity No. LP-0181, 20/11/2008

- Decision of the board of Taurage Municipality regarding the approval of the Project detailed plan, 22/10/2009

- Communications No (9.14.5.)-LV4-7027 of the Klaipeda Regional Department of Environment of the Lithuanian Ministry of Environment of 18/11/2008 (the conclusion, concerning the environmental impact of the planned economic activity)

- The letter of Endorsement issued by Lithuania Ministry of Environment on 19 February 2009

- The letter of approval issued by Ministry of Environment of the Republic of Lithuania on 19 June 2010

The determination findings presented in this report relate to the project as described in the PDD version 4, submitted on 23 July 2010.

On 16/12/2009 Bureau Veritas Certification performed interviews with representatives of Vejo gusis, UAB and Taurage municipality, as informed below:

- Egidijus Simutis – general director, Vejo gusis, UAB

Rolanda Sukiene – finance director, Vejo gusis, UAB

Sarune Beitaite – chief architect, Taurage municipality

Determination team:

- Ashok Mammen – Climate Change Lead Verifier

- Tomas Paulaitis – Climate Change Verifier

- Gediminas Vaskela – Financial Specialist

- Ivan Sokolov – Internal Technical Reviewer

Description of determination process

Please briefly describe and refer to:

- > The review of the JI PDD/PoA DD and additional documentation attached to it;
- > The assessment against JI requirements, e.g. by using a determination protocol;
- > The report of findings by the AIE, including the use of different types of findings (e.g. corrective action requests, clarifications or observations etc.).

Statements or assessments should be included in section "Conclusions, final comments and determination opinion". Bureau Veritas Certification internal procedures.

In order to ensure transparency, a determination protocol was customized for the project. The protocol shows, in a transparent manner, criteria (requirements), means of verification and the results from validating the identified criteria. The determination protocol serves the following purposes:

• It organizes, details and clarifies the requirements JI project is expected to meet;

 It ensures a transparent determination process where the determinator will document how a particular requirement has been validated and the result of the determination.

The completed determination protocol is enclosed in Appendix A to the determination report.

The determination findings for each determination subject are presented as follows:

 The findings of the desk review of the original project design documents and the findings from interviews during the follow up visit are summarized. A more detailed record of these findings can be found in the Determination Protocol in Appendix A.

2) Where Bureau Veritas Certification had identified issues that needed clarification or that represented a risk to the fulfilment of the project objectives, a Clarification or Corrective Action request, respectively, have been issued. The Clarification and Corrective Action Requests are stated, where applicable, in the following sections and are further documented in the Determination Protocol in Appendix A.

The conclusions for determination subject are presented.

Comments received from Parties, stakeholders and UNFCCC accredited observers

Please:

- Summarise the comments received pursuant to paragraph 32 of the JI guidelines; and
- > Provide a report of how due account was taken of these.

There are no comments received from Parties, stakeholders and UNFCCC accredited observers.

Conclusions, final comments and determination opinion

Please provide:

- Conclusions describing how each of the requirements of Article 6 of the Kyoto Protocol and the JI guidelines and further relevant requirements defined by the CMP or the JISC, in particular those referred to in paragraph 33 of the JI guidelines, have been met, including assessments and findings (e.g. corrective action requests, clarifications or observations) related to each requirement, and statements on whether all issues raised have been addressed to the AIE's satisfaction;
- > Final comments and a determination opinion.

Bureau Veritas Certification has performed a determination of the Griezpelkiu wind power park project in Lithuania. The determination was performed on the basis of UNFCCC criteria and host country criteria and also on the criteria given to provide for consistent project operations, monitoring and reporting.

The determination consisted of the following three phases: i) a desk review of the project design and the baseline and monitoring plan; ii) follow-up interviews with project stakeholders; iii) the resolution of outstanding issues and the issuance of the final determination report and opinion.

Project participant/s used the latest tool for demonstration of the additionality. In line with this tool, the PDD provides analysis of investment, technological and other barriers to determine that the project activity itself is not the baseline scenario.

By building a wind farm the project is likely to result in reductions of GHG emissions. Emission reductions attributable to the project are hence additional to any that would occur in the absence of the project activity. Given that the project is implemented and maintained as designed, the project is likely to achieve the estimated amount of emission reductions.

The review of the project design documentation (version 3) and the subsequent follow-up interviews have provided Bureau Veritas Certification with sufficient evidence to determine the fulfilment of stated criteria.

In our opinion, the project correctly applied and meets the relevant UNFCCC requirements for the JI and the relevant host country criteria.

The determination is based on the information made available to us and the engagement conditions detailed in this report.

List of documents attached to the determination report form

Please attach relevant documents used in the determination process and check mark below accordingly

- ☑ PDD/PoA DD of the proposed JI activity
- ☑ Determination report
- ☑ Written approvals by the Parties involved, listed in the JI PDD/PoA DD
- ☑ Other relevant documents:
 - ☑ Determination protocol
 - ☑ List of persons interviewed
 - ☑ Any other documents (please list): Financial Model

The AIE herewith declares that undertaking the determination for the proposed JI activity referred to above does not constitute a conflict of interest which is incompatible with the role of an AIE

Authorized officer signing for the AIE	Flavio Gomes
Date and signature	28/07/2010 Maino