



JI VERIFICATION REPORT FORM

(version 03.1)

(to be completed by an AIE requesting the publication of a determination pursuant to paragraph 37 of the JI guidelines)

Accredited independent entity (AIE) submitting form Bureau Veritas Certification Holding SAS

JI activity

Reference number and title of JI activity Revamping of sintering and blast-furnace production at OJSC «Dniprovsky Integrated Iron and Steel Works named after Dzerzhynsky»

Coordinating entity (*applicable to JI PoA only*) N/A

Parties involved in the JI activity Ukraine,
Japan,
Spain,
The Netherlands

Type of JI activity: large scale small scale LULUCF PoA

Emission reductions / Enhancements of removals

Monitoring period(s) (DD/MM/YYYY - DD/MM/YYYY) 01/01/2008 – 31/12/2009

Reference number of JPAs verified
(*applicable to JI PoA only*) N.A.

A sampling approach was used to verify the JI PoA
(*applicable to JI PoA only*) N.A. Yes No

Verification period (DD/MM/YYYY - DD/MM/YYYY)
(*applicable to JI PoA only*) N.A.

Verified reductions in anthropogenic emissions by sources / enhancements of anthropogenic removals by sinks (tonnes of CO₂ equivalent) 3 024 672

The present verification is the first with regard to the JI activity Yes No

Statements regarding requirements and possible changes

Please confirm that all requirements of Article 6 of the Kyoto Protocol, the JI guidelines and further relevant requirements defined by the CMP or the JISC with regard to verifications pursuant to paragraph 37 of the JI guidelines are met:

- Yes
 No

Verification opinion

Please provide a verification opinion (or a reference to the verification opinion in one of the documents attached), including a statement regarding materiality and level of assurance, in accordance with the Standard for applying the concept of materiality in verifications:

The verification opinion is provided in the attached Verification Report №. UKRAINE-VER /0262/2011, ver.02 of 29/07/2011.

<p>The project design was revised after the determination has been deemed final</p>	<p><input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No</p>
<p>If yes, please list all revisions to the project design and express a determination opinion (or provide a reference to an attached document containing the required information)</p>	<p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> N.A.</p>
<p>If project design was revised, please confirm that conditions defined by paragraph 33 of the JI guidelines are still met</p>	<p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> N.A. <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No</p>
<p>If project design was revised, please confirm that the changes do not alter the original determination opinion</p>	<p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> N.A. <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No</p>
<p>If project design was revised, please confirm that:</p> <p>(a) Physical location of the project has not changed</p> <p>(b) If emission sources have changed, they are reflected in an updated monitoring plan</p> <p>(c) Baseline scenario has not changed</p> <p>(d) Changes are consistent with JI specific approach or CDM methodology upon which the determination was prepared for the project</p>	<p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> N.A. <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No</p> <p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> N.A. <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No</p> <p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> N.A. <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No</p> <p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> N.A. <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No</p>
<p>The monitoring plan was revised</p> <p><i>If yes, please inform for which technologies and measures under each type of JPA the monitoring plan was revised (applicable to JI PoA only)</i></p>	<p><input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No</p>
<p>The revisions to the monitoring plan improve the accuracy and/or applicability of information collected, compared to the original monitoring plan without changing conformity with the relevant rules and regulations for the establishment of monitoring plans</p>	<p><input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> N.A.</p>
<p>List of documents attached to the verification report form</p>	
<p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Verification report</p> <p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Written approvals by the Parties involved (The letter of approval from the Government of the Netherlands, reference: 2011JI15 of 10.05.2011).</p> <p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Other documents:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <input type="checkbox"/> Document listing all revisions to the project design, if applicable <input type="checkbox"/> Determination that the revisions to the project design, if applicable, do not alter the original determination opinion and that the conditions defined by paragraph 33 of the JI guidelines are still met <input type="checkbox"/> Revised monitoring plan, if applicable <input type="checkbox"/> Determination that the revisions to the monitoring plan, if applicable, improve the accuracy and/or applicability of information collected, compared to the original monitoring plan without changing conformity with the relevant rules and regulations for the establishment of monitoring plans <input type="checkbox"/> Sampling plan, if applicable (JI PoA only) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Any other documents: Excel-file "Revamping of sintering and blast-furnace production at 	

OJSC DIISW-ER-Monitoring-2008-2009-mod".

The AIE herewith declares that undertaking the verification for the JI activity referred to above does not constitute a conflict of interest which is incompatible with the role of an AIE

Authorized officer signing for the AIE

Flavio Gomes

Bureau Veritas Certification

Date and signature

06.08.2011

Holding SAS
