

JI VERIFICATION REPORT FORM

(version 03.1)

(to be completed by an AIE requesting the publication of a	termination pursu	ant to paragraph 3	37 of the Ji guideline		
Accredited independent entity (AIE) submitting for	Bureau Veritas Certification Holding SAS				
JI ac	vity				
Reference number and title of JI activity	0025: Rudaiciai Wind Power Park Project				
Coordinating entity (applicable to JI PoA only)	Not applicable				
Parties involved in the JI activity	Lithuania and th	Lithuania and the Netherlands			
Type of JI activity: ⊠ large scale □ sm	scale 🗆	LULUCF	□ РоА		
Emission reductions / Enhancements of removals					
Monitoring period(s) (DD/MM/YYYY - DD/MM/YYY	01/01/	/2010 - 31/12/20	010		
Reference number of JPAs verified (applicable to Jl PoA only)	⊠ N.A.				
A sampling approach was used to verify the JI Po (applicable to JI PoA only)	N.A.	□ Yes	□ No		
Verification period (DD/MM/YYYY - DD/MM/YYYY) (applicable to Jl PoA only)	⊠ N.A.				
Verified reductions in anthropogenic emissions I sources / enhancements of anthropogenic removals by sinks (tonnes of CO ₂ equivalent)	34 121 tonnes of CO₂ equivalent				
The present verification is the first with regard to the JI activity	□ Yes ⊠ No		⊠ No		
Statements regarding require	nents and po	ossible chan	iges		
Please <u>confirm that all requirements</u> of Article 6 of further relevant requirements defined by the CMI to paragraph 37 of the JI guidelines <u>are met</u> : ☑ Yes No					
Verification opinion					

Please provide a verification opinion (or a reference to the verification opinion in one of the documents attached), including a statement regarding materiality and level of assurance, in accordance with the Standard for applying the concept of materiality in verifications:

Bureau Veritas Certification has performed the 3rd monitoring period verification of "Rudaiciai wind power park" joint implementation project, which applies the project specific methodology.

The verification was performed on the basis of UNFCCC criteria and the host country criteria and also on the criteria given to provide for consistent project operations, monitoring and reporting.

The verification consisted of the following three phases: i) a desk review of the project design, baseline and monitoring plan; ii) follow-up interviews with project stakeholders; iii) resolution of outstanding issues and issuance of the final verification report and opinion.

The management of Veju spektras, UAB is responsible for the preparation of the GHG emission data and the reported GHG emission reductions of the project on the basis set out within the project Monitoring Plan indicated in the final PDD version 05 (dated April 2008).

The development and maintenance of records and reporting procedures in accordance with that plan, including the calculation and determination of GHG emission reductions from the project, is the responsibility of the management of the project.

Bureau Veritas Certification verified the Project Monitoring Report version 03 (dated 29/03/2011) for the reporting period as indicated below. Bureau Veritas Certification confirms that the project is implemented as planned and described in the approved project design documents. The installed equipment being essential for generating emission reduction runs reliably and is calibrated appropriately. The monitoring system is in place and the project is generating GHG emission reductions.

Bureau Veritas Certification can confirm that the GHG emission reduction is accurately calculated and is free of material errors, omissions, or misstatements. Our opinion relates to the project's GHG emissions and resulting GHG emission reductions reported and related to the approved project baseline and monitoring, and its associated documents. Based on the information we have seen and evaluated, we confirm, with a reasonable level of assurance, the following statement:

Reporting period: From 01/01/2010 to 31/12/2010

Baseline emissions: 34 121 t CO2 equivalents;
Project emissions: 0 t CO2 equivalents;
Emission Reductions: 34 121 t CO2 equivalents;
Emission Reductions (Year 2010) : 34 121 t CO2 equivalents.

The <u>project design was revised</u> after the determination has been deemed final	_ \	⁄es	⊠ No	
If yes, please <u>list all revisions</u> to the project design and express a determination opinion (or provide a reference to an attached document containing the required information)	⊠ N.A.			
If project design was revised, <u>please confirm</u> that conditions defined by paragraph 33 of the JI guidelines are still met	⊠ N.A .	□ Yes	□ No	
If project design was revised, <u>please confirm</u> that the changes do not alter the original determination opinion	⊠ N.A .	□ Yes	□ No	
If project design was revised, <u>please confirm</u> that:				
(a) Physical location of the project has not changed	⊠ N.A.	□ Yes	□ No	
(b) If emission sources have changed, they are reflected in an updated monitoring plan	⊠ N.A .	□ Yes	□ No	
(c) Baseline scenario has not changed	⊠ N.A .	□ Yes	□ No	
(d) Changes are consistent with JI specific approach or CDM methodology upon which the determination was prepared for the project	⊠ N.A.	□ Yes	□ No	
The monitoring plan was revised	⊠ Ye	es	□ No	
If yes, please inform for which technologies and measures under each type of JPA the monitoring plan was revised (applicable to JI PoA only)				
The revisions to the monitoring plan improve the accuracy and/or applicability of information collected, compared to the original monitoring plan without changing conformity with the relevant rules and regulations for the establishment of monitoring plans	⊠ Yes	□ No	□N.A.	

List of documents attached to the verification report						
Please attach relevant documents used in the verification process and check mark below accordingly						
Verification report						
□ Written approvals by the Parties involved, if applicable						
Other documents:						
 Document listing all revisions to the pro 	ject design, if applicable					
	Determination that the revisions to the project design, if applicable, do not alter the original determination opinion and that the conditions defined by paragraph 33 of the JI guidelines are still met					
Revised monitoring plan, if applicable. the Monitoring report, Annex 1	Note. Revised monitoring plan is attached in					
accuracy and/or applicability of informa monitoring plan without changing confo	accuracy and/or applicability of information collected, compared to the original monitoring plan without changing conformity with the relevant rules and regulations for the establishment of monitoring plans. Note. Determination opinion is provided in					
Sampling plan, if applicable (JI PoA onl	y)					
- Monitoring Report, dated 29/03/2011 (final version 03)						
- Excel calculation tool, dated 11/01/201	1 (final version v01)					
The AIE herewith declares that undertaking the verif does not constitute a conflict of interest which is inc						
Authorized officer signing for the AIE	Witold Dżugan					

ades not constitute a committee of interest which is meaningatione with the role of all All		
Authorized officer signing for the AIE	Witold Dżugan	
Date and signature	25/05/2011	•