

AIE REPORT FORM

(version 04)

(to be	completed by an AIE requesting the publication of a det	ermination p	oursuan	t to paragraph 3	37 of the JI guidelines)			
Accredited independent entity (AIE) submitting form Bureau Veritas Certification Holding SAS								
JI activity								
Refere	0089 - ACHEMA UKL-7 plant N2O abatement project							
raities ilivolved ili tile 31 activity			Lithuania Netherlands					
Project participants			ACHEMA AB ECOCOM BG Ltc					
Coord	N.A.							
Туре с	scale		JLUCF	□ РоА				
Emission reductions/Enhancements of removals								
Monito	13/09/2010-08/12/2011 (LINE 1: 13/09/2010 - 21/08/2011 LINE 2: 13/05/2011 - 08/12/2011 LINE 3: 19/11/2010 - 25/08/2011 LINE 4: 16/03/2011 - 05/10/2011 LINE 5: 17/03/2011 - 09/11/2011 LINE 6: 01/10/2010 - 10/08/2011 LINE 7: 10/12/2010 - 30/08/2011 LINE 8: 09/11/2010 - 01/09/2011)							
Verifie source by sin	1	164996	6					
The pr	□ Yes			⊠ No				
to JI Iy	Verification period (DD/MM/YYYY-DD/MM/YYYY)	⊠ N	/A					
pplic Po,	Reference number of JPAs verified	⊠ N/A						
	Sampling approach used to verify the PoA	⊠ N	/A	□ Yes	□ No			
Statements regarding requirements and possible changes								
Please <u>confirm that all requirements</u> of Article 6 of the Kyoto Protocol, the JI guidelines and further relevant requirements defined by the CMP or the JISC with regard to verifications pursuant to paragraph 37 of the JI guidelines <u>are met</u> :								
	No							
Verification opinion								

Please provide a verification opinion (or a reference to the verification opinion in one of the documents attached), including a statement regarding materiality and level of assurance, in accordance with the Standard for applying the concept of materiality in verifications

Bureau Veritas Certification has performed the 4th periodic verification of the JI Track II Project "ACHEMA UKL-7 plant N2O abatement project", located in Lithuania which applies the AM0034 "Catalyst reduction of N2O inside the ammonia burner of nitric acid plants" v02. The verification was performed on the basis of UNFCCC criteria and the host country criteria and also on the criteria given to provide for consistent project operations, monitoring and reporting. The verification consisted of the following three phases: i) desk review of the project design and the baseline and monitoring plan; ii) follow-up interviews with project stakeholders; iii) resolution of outstanding issues and the issuance of the final verification report and opinion. The management of ACHEMA, AB is responsible for the preparation of the data on GHG emission and the reported GHG emission reductions of the project on the basis set out within the project Monitoring and Verification Plan indicated in the final PDD version 5 issued on 07/09/2009. The development and maintenance of records and reporting procedures in accordance with that plan, including the calculation and determination of GHG emission reductions from the project, is the responsibility of the management of the project. Bureau Veritas Certification verified the Project Monitoring Report version dated 20 April 2012 for the reporting period as indicated below. Bureau Veritas Certification confirms that the project is implemented as planned and described in the approved project design documents. The installed equipment being essential for generating emission reduction runs reliably and is calibrated appropriately. The monitoring system is in place and the project is generating GHG emission reductions. Bureau Veritas Certification can confirm that the GHG emission reduction is accurately calculated and is free of material errors, omissions or misstatements. Our opinion relates to the project's GHG emissions and resulting GHG emission reductions reported and related to the approved project baseline and monitoring, and its associated documents. Based on the information we have seen and evaluated, we confirm, with a reasonable level of assurance, the following statement: Reporting period: From 13/09/2010 to 08/12/2011 Emission Reductions (year 2010): 200103 t CO2 equivalents Emission Reductions (year 2011): 964893 t CO2 equivalents. Emission Reductions (total): 1164996 t CO2 equivalents. The project design was revised in the current monitoring period, after the determination has □ Yes ⋈ No been deemed final If yes, please list all revisions to the project design and express a determination opinion (or provide a ⋈ N/A reference to an attached document containing the required information) If project design was revised in the current

⋈ N/A

⋈ N/A

monitoring period, <u>please confirm</u> that conditions defined in JI guidelines (paragraph 33) are still met

monitoring period, <u>please confirm</u> that the changes do not alter the original determination opinion

If project design was revised in the current

□ Yes

□ Yes

□ No

□ No

		esign was revised in the current period, <u>please confirm</u> that:							
(a) F	Physica	I location of the project has not changed	⊠ N/A	□ Yes	□ No				
		ion sources have changed, they are d in an updated monitoring plan	⊠ N/A	□ Yes	□ No				
(c) E	Baselin	e scenario has not changed	⊠ N/A	□ Yes	□ No				
	or CDM	s are consistent with JI specific approach methodology upon which the nation was prepared for the project	⊠ N/A	□ Yes	□ No				
		ring plan was revised in the current period	□ Yes		⊠ No				
under	each ty	specify for which technologies and measures pe of JPA the monitoring plan was revised JI PoA only)							
impro informoni moni the re	ove the mation toring	revisions to the monitoring plan accuracy and/or applicability of collected, compared to the original plan without changing conformity with t rules and regulations for establishing plans	⊠ N/A	□ Yes	□ No				
		ments attached to the verification report							
Pleas	se attac	th relevant documents used in the verification	n process and ma	rk below ac	ccordingly				
Verification report									
	□ Written approvals by the Parties involved, if applicable								
	Oth	ner relevant documents:							
		Document listing all revisions to the project design, if applicable							
		Determination that the revisions to the <u>project design</u> , if applicable, do not alter the original determination opinion and that the conditions defined by paragraph 33 of the JI guidelines are still met							
		Revised monitoring plan, if applicable							
		Determination that the revisions to the <u>monitoring plan</u> , if applicable, improve the accuracy and/or applicability of information collected, compared to the original monitoring plan without changing conformity with relevant rules and regulations for establishing monitoring plans							
		□ Sampling plan, if applicable (JI PoA only)							
	☑ Any other documents (please list):								
	-cal	culations models acess list							
The AIE herewith declares that undertaking the verification for the JI activity referred to above does not constitute a conflict of interest which is incompatible with the role of an AIE									
Oper	ationa	I manager signing for the AIE	Witold Dżugan						
Date	and si	gnature	2012-05-15						